

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2008011318121002-249520 ; Sun, 13 Jan 2008 18:12:10 -0700 Received: from p01c12m054.mxlogic.net (mx1145v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.145.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 109D119E800D for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 13 Jan 2008 17:50:40 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c12m054.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 9e6ba874.2688814000.38554.00-037.p01c12m054.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jswilken@comcast.net>); Sun, 13 Jan 2008 18:12:09 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 13 Jan 2008 17:07:27 -0800 PostedDate: 01/13/2008 06:12:09 PM \$MessageID: <20080114011209.6548.127449.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: jswilken@comcast.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069 X_Spam: [F=0.0036817872; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.017(2007121801); MH=0.500(2008011323); R=0.169(108013142548); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <jswilken@comcast.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 01/13/2008 06:12:10 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:57:56 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:57:56 PM SMTPOriginator: jswilken@comcast.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 01/13/2008 06:12:10 PM-01/13/2008 06:12:11 PM,01/13/2008 06:12:12 PM-01/13/2008 06:12:13 PM \$Orig: A4BD274D9177FCAF872573D000069B6A Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 01/13/2008 06:12:13 PM U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap 2105 Osuna NE Albuquerque, NM 87113 Dear Dr. Millsap, Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on the above referenced proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA). Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program. Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*Canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*Canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j). Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in

the Southwest. These changes include: * promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; * revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA, as well as in other suitable habitats outside of the BRWRA as allowed in order to further the conservation of the species; * eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; * requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; * formally supporting voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; * repealing or at least suspending Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until there are 100 wolves, including 18 breeding pairs, living within the BRWRA; and * immediately reinitiating recovery planning on behalf of the lobos. Each of these changes should be represented by a "Conservation Alternative" in the forthcoming National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process, which the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service should ultimately adopt. The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves. Sincerely, Jane Wilken 22 Cerrado Loop Santa Fe, NM 87508

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2008011314124531-247080 ; Sun, 13 Jan 2008 14:12:45 -0700 Received: from p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 8F3B419E8028 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 13 Jan 2008 13:51:12 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id cce7a874.3077122992.72810.00-064.p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lpm_star@yahoo.com>); Sun, 13 Jan 2008 14:12:44 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 13 Jan 2008 13:08:03 -0800 PostedDate: 01/13/2008 02:12:44 PM \$MessageID: <20080113211244.6548.123595.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: lpm_star@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069 X_Spam: [F=0.0365155535; B=0.500(0); S=0.156(2007121801); MH=0.500(2008011320); R=0.169(10801375543); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <lpm_star@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 01/13/2008 02:12:45 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:57:56 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:57:56 PM SMTPOriginator: lpm_star@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-

SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
01/13/2008 02:12:45 PM-01/13/2008 02:12:46 PM,01/13/2008 02:12:47 PM-01/13/2008
02:12:47 PM \$Orig: F32C75566FCA59DC872573CF00748633 Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 01/13/2008 02:12:47 PM U.S.
F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap 2105 Osuna NE Albuquerque, NM
87113 Dear Dr. Millsap, Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping
comments on the above referenced proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA)
Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential
experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area
(BRWRA). Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after
wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The
Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage,
which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that
the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the
conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf
program. Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on
track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*Canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as
endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*Canis lupus*). At the
very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as
"experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j). Beyond this initial "uplisting," the
Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in
the Southwest. These changes include: * promulgating formal management procedures
or guidelines for maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the
BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; * revising the current 10(j) rule to include
authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA, as
well as in other suitable habitats outside of the BRWRA as allowed in order to further the
conservation of the species; * eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and
movements; * requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render
inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become
habituated to feeding on livestock; * formally supporting voluntary grazing permit
buyout in the Gila bioregion; * repealing or at least suspending Standard Operating
Procedure (SOP) 13 until there are 100 wolves, including 18 breeding pairs, living within
the BRWRA; and * immediately reinitiating recovery planning on behalf of
the lobos. Each of these changes should be represented by a "Conservation Alternative"
in the forthcoming National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process, which the U.S.
Fish and Wildlife Service should ultimately adopt. The ESA requires that our lobos
be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to
recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to
their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank
you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves. Sincerely, Rev. Lucien Pan
Morningstar 70 Waltham Ave Apt 2 #2 Manitou Springs, CO 80829

p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by
smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 8CCEA19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>;
Fri, 11 Jan 2008 11:32:21 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [209.86.89.65] (EHLO
elasmailto:kukur.atl.sa.earthlink.net) by p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3)
with ESMTP id 36bb7874.3080436656.276975.00-008.p01c11m083.mxlogic.net
(envelope-from <jcstigliani@earthlink.net>); Fri, 11 Jan 2008 11:54:27 -0700
(MST) DomainKey_Signature: a=rsa-sha1; q=dns; c=nofws; s=dk20050327;
d=earthlink.net;
b=PuiC1/3gfd9uhBo+UzGqM7/c4PdrAwbCSPOFIxBDuTFW2h7c8nEZzl2hSMB32s;
h=Received:User-Agent:Date:Subject:From:To:Message-ID:Thread-Topic:Thread-
Index:Mime-version:Content-type:Content-transfer-encoding:X-ELNK-Trace:X-
Originating-IP; Received: from [66.167.134.191] (helo=[172.16.1.13]) by elasmailto-
kukur.atl.sa.earthlink.net with asmailto (Exim 4.34) id 1JDP1O-0004mV-RU for
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov; Fri, 11 Jan 2008 13:54:27 -0500 User_Agent: Microsoft-
Entourage/11.3.6.070618 PostedDate: 01/11/2008 11:54:25 AM Subject: Mexican Gray
Wolf NEPA Scoping From: Joan Stigliani <jcstigliani@earthlink.net> SendTo:
<R2FWE_AL@fws.gov> \$MessageID:
<C3AD0971.13BB%jcstigliani@earthlink.net> Thread_Topic: Mexican Gray Wolf
NEPA Scoping thread_index:
AchUg2J/oQ8xVMB2Edy6BwAbY5Okrg== MIME_Version: 1.0 X_ELNK_Trace:
3f56d2c7662b210d5974fb4aa49106e9ef193a6bfc3dd48a5c4bc9531d28a152ead544858
bb10d2676ae045857c2f36350badd9bab72f9c350badd9bab72f9c X-Originating_Ip:
66.167.134.191 X_Spam: [F=0.0117625447; B=0.500(0); S=0.068(2007121801);
MH=0.500(2008011132); R=0.139(10801173759); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From:
<jcstigliani@earthlink.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [209.86.89.65] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by
SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at
01/11/2008 11:54:28 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena
Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:57:57
PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:57:57 PM SMTPOriginator:
jcstigliani@earthlink.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
01/11/2008 11:54:28 AM-01/11/2008 11:54:29 AM,01/11/2008 11:54:30 AM-
01/11/2008 11:54:30 AM \$Orig:
A23B89A3B1853B57872573CD0067DD25 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags:
0 DeliveredDate: 01/11/2008 11:54:30 AM Hi I'm writing to support the reintroduction
of the Mexican Gray Wolf and stabilization of its population. I'm not an expert and do
not live in a wilderness area, but I am a taxpayer and thereby a benefactor of the public
lands used by both wildlife and humans. I believe we need to preserve wildlife and
wilderness areas for current and future generations. I do not think the wolves should be
sacrificed because of their interference with ranching activities on public lands, but hope
the program can be modified to allow the wolf population to stabilize and thrive
without threatening the lives of humans and domestic animals. From what I understand,
cattle can live in a lot of places, but wolves can't. Ranchers have used public lands for
their sole benefit for a long time. I hope they can find a spirit of compromise that also
fulfills the wishes of other members of the public, who are in a sense their landlords, for

the land to be used for recreation and solace, and for the land to be preserved as wilderness and home to wildlife. Because the land is public, those leasing it and using need to look after it with even more care than if it were their own. They have the privilege of using public lands, which means they are in effect caretaking the property of others, and it is their responsibility to maintain it well. It is disheartening to hear that some ranchers have manipulated the rules of the wolf reintroduction program in order to eliminate wolves. This is abuse of their privileged use of public lands and should be cause for their leases to be revoked. Please continue to find ways to modify the program to ensure its success. Thanks Joan Stigliani PO Box 27142 Albuquerque NM 87125

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2008010915315811-115582 ; Wed, 9 Jan 2008 15:31:58 -0700 Received: from p01c12m071.mxlogic.net (mxl145v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.145.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 6DEA319E8033 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 9 Jan 2008 15:09:21 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c12m071.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id d5b45874.2502245296.53541.00-005.p01c12m071.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <inezthomas@msn.com>); Wed, 09 Jan 2008 15:31:57 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 09 Jan 2008 14:27:19 -0800 PostedDate: 01/09/2008 03:31:57 PM \$MessageID: <20080109223157.3639.853.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: inezthomas@msn.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069 X_Spam: [F=0.0041152388; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.022(2007121801); MH=0.500(2008010951); R=0.153(10809135548); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <inezthomas@msn.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 01/09/2008 03:31:58 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:57:57 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:57:57 PM SMTPOriginator: inezthomas@msn.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 01/09/2008 03:31:58 PM-01/09/2008 03:32:02 PM,01/09/2008 03:32:03 PM-01/09/2008 03:32:03 PM \$Orig: 2788EAA99A66787E872573CB007BC6C3 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 01/09/2008 03:32:03 PM U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap 2105 Osuna NE Albuquerque, NM 87113 Dear Dr. Millsap, Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on the above referenced proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA). Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The

Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program. Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*Canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*Canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j). Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These changes include: * promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; * revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA, as well as in other suitable habitats outside of the BRWRA as allowed in order to further the conservation of the species; * eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; * requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; * formally supporting voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; * repealing or at least suspending Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until there are 100 wolves, including 18 breeding pairs, living within the BRWRA; and * immediately reinitiating recovery planning on behalf of the lobos. Each of these changes should be represented by a "Conservation Alternative" in the forthcoming National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process, which the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service should ultimately adopt. The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves. Sincerely, Inez Thomas 551 W Cordova Rd Pmb 420 Santa Fe, NM 87505

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2008010621523828-433497 ; Sun, 6 Jan 2008 21:52:38 -0700 Received: from p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 2349C19E8010 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 6 Jan 2008 21:29:17 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.55.175.165] (EHLO blu139-omc1-s25.blu139.hotmail.com) by p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 510b1874.2528648112.41552.00-072.p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <edelmanx3@hotmail.com>); Sun, 06 Jan 2008 21:52:37 -0700 (MST) Received: from BLU120-W45 ([65.55.162.181]) by blu139-omc1-s25.blu139.hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.3959); Sun, 6 Jan 2008 20:52:37 -0800 \$MessageID: <BLU120-W45F08E096D368C09E07C1AEE4F0@phx.gbl> X-Originating_Ip: [63.230.224.30] From: Patricia Edelman <edelmanx3@hotmail.com> SendTo:

<r2fwe_al@fws.gov> Subject: PLEASE, Let wolves prosper! PostedDate: 01/06/2008 09:52:37 PM Importance: 2 MIME_Version: 1.0 X_OriginalArrivalTime: 07 Jan 2008 04:52:37.0215 (UTC) FILETIME=[1FDBA6F0:01C850E9] X_Spam: [F=0.0347061169; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2008010627); R=0.780(10806211543); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <edelmanx3@hotmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.55.175.165] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 01/06/2008 09:52:38 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:57:58 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:57:58 PM SMTPOriginator: edelmanx3@hotmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 01/06/2008 09:52:38 PM-01/06/2008 09:52:39 PM,01/06/2008 09:52:39 PM-01/06/2008 09:52:40 PM \$Orig: CBD0BFE2169DAD29872573C9001ACAB4 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 01/06/2008 09:52:40 PM Hello, I am writing to you regarding the wildlife wolf program situation. I believe that wolves should not be intentionally killed and that there are other ways to solve the problem. Although it may not seem fair that the cattle are being eaten, it is simply the natural cycle of life. Ranchers are ignoring the fact that the precious Mexican Wolves are going extinct, and the wolves should be protected. So with your help, please find a better way to enforce these rules, and ultimately let the wolves prosper! Put your friends on the big screen with Windows Vista® + Windows Live™. Start now!

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2008010310381226-349399 ; Thu, 3 Jan 2008 10:38:12 -0700 Received: from p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id C309219E813D for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Thu, 3 Jan 2008 10:13:54 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.54.246.138] (EHLO bay0-omc2-s2.bay0.hotmail.com) by p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 38d1d774.2388237232.6186.00-102.p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gmhuey@hotmail.com>); Thu, 03 Jan 2008 10:38:11 -0700 (MST) Received: from hotmail.com ([65.55.141.119]) by bay0-omc2-s2.bay0.hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.3959); Thu, 3 Jan 2008 09:38:11 -0800 Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC; Thu, 3 Jan 2008 09:38:10 -0800 \$MessageID: <BAY136-F39F0E6F8FAF57CCC409403C4530@phx.gbl> Received: from 65.55.141.123 by by136fd.bay136.hotmail.msn.com with HTTP; Thu, 03 Jan 2008 17:38:05 GMT X-Originating_Ip: [164.64.147.107] X-Originating_Email: [gmhuey@hotmail.com] X_Sender: gmhuey@hotmail.com From: "Greg Huey" <gmhuey@hotmail.com> SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Lobo NEPA scoping PostedDate: 01/03/2008 10:38:05 AM MIME_Version: 1.0 X_OriginalArrivalTime: 03 Jan 2008 17:38:10.0793 (UTC) FILETIME=[68C06D90:01C84E2F] X_Spam: [F=0.0165856734; B=0.500(0);

spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2008010328); R=0.625(1080382629); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <gmhuey@hotmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.54.246.138] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 01/03/2008 10:38:12 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:57:58 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:57:58 PM SMTPOriginator: gmhuey@hotmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 01/03/2008 10:38:12 AM-01/03/2008 10:38:13 AM,01/03/2008 10:38:14 AM- 01/03/2008 10:45:42 AM \$Orig: A28AA1CD5D583A81872573C50060E1AB Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 01/03/2008 10:45:42 AM Greg Huey PO Box 6941 Santa Fe, NM 87502 gmhuey@hotmail.com January 3, 2008 John Slown U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service New Mexico Ecological Services Field Office 2105 Osuna NE Albuquerque, NM 87113 RE: Lobo EIS, NEPA Scoping Mr. Slown, I regret that I failed to attend any of the scheduled public meetings concerning this process, and hope that my comments may be accepted despite my missing the December 31 deadline. I understand that this issue is a very controversial one and appreciate your efforts in this endeavor. Wolves belong in the Blue Range and it is encouraging to see the USFWS adapting its policy from predator eradication to that of reintroduction and support in recent years. While concerns from residents of surrounding communities such as Glenwood and Reserve are most likely valid, it seems to me that the greatest resistance to this reintroduction has come from individual forest permittees. Free-range grazing of private cattle on public lands is a privilege extended to select individuals with the understanding that this honor is dependent on the ability of the recipient to uphold the wishes of the actual land owners, the American public. Recent reviews of federal BLM environmental impact statements concerning grazing of public lands show extreme bias toward this privileged group & blatant disregard for scientific data and the opinion of the majority of public land users. There is concrete evidence that grazing in fragile, high-altitude range is detrimental to stream health and water and range quality. I understand that, as a USFWS employee, the issue of grazing on forest service property is out of your scope. However, my belief is that the "ranchers" that are posing the greatest resistance to your project have forgotten that they are being extended a privilege by the American public and are subject to the wishes of the land owners. Those living in proximity to the Blue Range may call themselves outdoorsmen or naturalists, but that title is not granted simply by location, it is an attitude of respect for the natural ecosystems that once existed there that grants such a label. I am unsure of how serious a threat at large lobos pose to the local residents, and if the Glenwood bus stop enclosures are actually necessary or a loud display of resistance conjured by those with the most to gain from the failure of your project, but lobos belong in this range and your success is of utmost importance to send a message to the country about who actually owns public lands. I agree with your 3-strikes rule and think it should be continued. Otherwise, I fully support reintroduction and maintenance of a sustainable lobo population throughout the Blue Range at whatever cost necessary. Thank you for your time. Greg Huey Professional Scientist and Self Proclaimed Naturalist

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2008010216113736-321907 ; Wed, 2 Jan 2008 16:11:37 -0700 Received: from p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 8ED8A19E803D for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 2 Jan 2008 15:47:07 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [68.230.241.44] (EHLO fed1rmmtao102.cox.net) by p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 82a1c774.2577632176.86094.00-028.p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <japrioste@cox.net>); Wed, 02 Jan 2008 16:11:36 -0700 (MST) Received: from fed1rmimpo03.cox.net ([70.169.32.75]) by fed1rmmtao102.cox.net (InterMail vM.7.08.02.01 201-2186-121-102-20070209) with ESMTP id <20080102231136.QLSQ24689.fed1rmmtao102.cox.net@fed1rmimpo03.cox.net> for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 2 Jan 2008 18:11:36 -0500 Received: from NANNA ([24.251.181.221]) by fed1rmimpo03.cox.net with bizsmtp id YPB51Y00T4n0nWY0000000; Wed, 02 Jan 2008 18:11:06 -0500 \$MessageID: <000001c84d94\$c3df77c0\$0200a8c0@NANNA> From: "Jerry & Annette Prioste" <japrioste@cox.net> SendTo: <R2FWE_AL@fws.gov> Subject: Wolves PostedDate: 01/02/2008 01:39:29 PM MIME_Version: 1.0 DeliveryPriority: N X_MSMail_Priority: Normal \$Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2900.3138 X_MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2900.3198 X_Processed_By: Rebuild v2.0-0 X_Spam: [F=0.0889790408; B=0.500(0); S=0.423(2007121801); MH=0.500(2008010250); R=0.117(10802142538); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <japrioste@cox.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [68.230.241.44] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 01/02/2008 04:11:37 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:57:59 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:57:59 PM SMTPOriginator: japrioste@cox.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 01/02/2008 04:11:37 PM-01/02/2008 04:11:38 PM,01/02/2008 04:11:38 PM-01/02/2008 04:14:17 PM \$Orig: FB8ED3E8F9F83C1B872573C4007F6828 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 01/02/2008 04:14:17 PM Medieval attitudes continue to malign the majesty of the WOLF, a symbol of our free spirit and pioneering past. PLEASE, protect the wolf and preserve its habitat! Thank you. Annette S. Prioste 11614 North 68th Place Scottsdale, AZ 85254 japrioste@cox.net

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2008010214133796-316795 ; Wed, 2 Jan 2008 14:13:37 -0700 Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id CE9B119E8094 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>;

Wed, 2 Jan 2008 13:49:06 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [209.86.89.66] (EHLO elasmtsp-spurfowl.atl.sa.earthlink.net) by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 18efb774.2451422128.8125.00-045.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <nmccallan@mindspring.com>); Wed, 02 Jan 2008 14:13:37 -0700 (MST) Received: from [71.33.52.188] (helo=[192.168.1.100]) by elasmtsp-spurfowl.atl.sa.earthlink.net with asmtpt (Exim 4.34) id 1JAAu8-00070v-R4; Wed, 02 Jan 2008 16:13:37 -0500 In_Reply_To: <6.2.0.14.2.20071230181846.02502670@mail.comcast.net> References: <6.2.0.14.2.20071230181846.02502670@mail.comcast.net> MIME_Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v746.2) \$MessageID: <A98962CE-E6D3-46D0-9C5E-627252378DE0@mindspring.com> CopyTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov,"Dexter Coolidge" <dextercoolidge@yahoo.com> From: Norma McCallan <nmccallan@mindspring.com> Subject: Re: Gray Wolf Comments from Northern Group, Rio Grande Chapter, Sierra Club PostedDate: 01/02/2008 02:14:25 PM SendTo: John Buchser <jbuchser@comcast.net> \$Mailer: Apple Mail (2.746.2) X_ELNK_Trace: f37acf0529cd7d19faef81d128501e5d1546fc38bedf79c3e0ea61a547f5b26f6ae599e08244 9800350badd9bab72f9c350badd9bab72f9c350badd9bab72f9c X-Originating_Ip: 71.33.52.188 X_Spam: [F=0.0017109786; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2008010245); R=0.145(108028733); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <nmccallan@mindspring.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [209.86.89.66] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 01/02/2008 02:13:37 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:57:59 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:57:59 PM SMTPOriginator: nmccallan@mindspring.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 01/02/2008 02:13:37 PM-01/02/2008 02:13:39 PM,01/02/2008 02:13:41 PM-01/02/2008 02:21:47 PM \$Orig: DD266CD0AF8FC7E4872573C400749AC5 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 01/02/2008 02:21:47 PM Thanks for getting Roger's comments out. Norma On Dec 30, 2007, at 6:23 PM, John Buchser wrote: <wolf.doc>

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2008010211374760-307841 ; Wed, 2 Jan 2008 11:37:47 -0700 Received: from p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id B1FF119E800D for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 2 Jan 2008 11:13:14 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [63.231.195.115] (EHLO mpls-qmqp-04.inet.qwest.net) by p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id af9db774.3655818160.161404.00-027.p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <azsimonsez@qwest.net>); Wed, 02 Jan 2008 11:37:46 -0700 (MST) Received: from mpls-pop-09.inet.qwest.net (mpls-pop-09.inet.qwest.net [63.231.195.9]) by mpls-qmqp-04.inet.qwest.net (Postfix) with QMQP id 6689722E168 for <R2FWE_AL@fws.gov>; Wed, 2 Jan 2008 18:37:46

+0000 (UTC) Received: from vdsl-130-13-153-71.phnx.qwest.net (HELO ?192.168.1.2?) (130.13.153.71) by mpls-pop-09.inet.qwest.net with SMTP; 2 Jan 2008 18:37:46 -0000 \$MessageID: <477BD9DF.2000301@qwest.net> PostedDate: 01/02/2008 11:37:19 AM From: The Simons <azsimonsez@qwest.net> User_Agent: Thunderbird 2.0.0.9 (Windows/20071031) MIME_Version: 1.0 SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0025455955; B=0.500(0); S=0.031(2007121801); MH=0.500(2008010232); R=0.073(108028656); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <azsimonsez@qwest.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [63.231.195.115] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 01/02/2008 11:37:47 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:00 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:00 PM SMTPOriginator: azsimonsez@qwest.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 01/02/2008 11:37:47 AM-01/02/2008 11:37:48 AM,01/02/2008 11:37:49 AM- 01/02/2008 11:41:16 AM \$Orig: 1E99F0F437D76EF0872573C400665648 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 01/02/2008 11:41:16 AM I believe strongly that the wolves should be allowed to roam free. If the farmers do not want the wolves to kill their cattle, then they need to provide more protection for their cows. Besides, they do receive compensation for the cows killed by the wolves. This program is vital for the survival of the Mexican gray wolves, and these farmers should think twice before they cause their extinction. The protection of the wolves is more important than the protection of the needs of a few farmers. Christine Simons azsimonsez@qwest.net

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2008010209343445-301100 ; Wed, 2 Jan 2008 09:34:34 -0700 Received: from p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 0890519E8046 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 2 Jan 2008 09:09:59 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [66.249.82.226] (EHLO wx-out-0506.google.com) by p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 91dbb774.2737306544.44508.00-102.p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <marjanne.schnarr@gmail.com>); Wed, 02 Jan 2008 09:34:33 -0700 (MST) Received: by wx-out-0506.google.com with SMTP id h27so1524076wxd.3 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 02 Jan 2008 08:34:33 -0800 (PST) DKIM_Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed; d=gmail.com; s=gamma; h=domainkey-signature:received:received:message-id:date:from:to:subject:in-reply-to:mime-version:content-type:references; bh=wCsIPvRqIe2HbRAtLmpCq1cSh2CAD4FS3OwBu9uQ1sE=; b=AIRg7EMkL51oICkrXirMukSglahivtgqmx+PltMWdBoY+nWU6QFnVZUbNwPukVcDq+rVHBlt0M+tSyZY5RZ2Jl6954bUea5qgWM/aAIYui1jpQ7KPqRmoQE9dFWXqzYOuwwVKkpqSMUF2O6SX3nECQszeT4RbcuXe7ACQeXX2A= DomainKey_Signature: a=rsa-sha1; c=nofws; d=gmail.com; s=gamma; h=message-

id:date:from:to:subject:in-reply-to:mime-version:content-type:references;
b=iMEFM76Va/W9sZoc2T7njErODdiDKWrCHgaqF9M6ZpUNZs6+U+28tQ5WhWybI
/wWcZJJ1LGJhoKTIrokB6zrjCwFT4z7yoLoPf7PYVuMrWBeLRSkyOV2RfqT/5KKu8
QVXEdFOaEXuTzh6oIrjs84XnLIX19ovAlV2dYh/snyZo= Received: by 10.143.53.7
with SMTP id f7mr2021079wfk.217.1199291672072; Wed, 02 Jan 2008 08:34:32 -
0800 (PST) Received: by 10.143.16.12 with HTTP; Wed, 2 Jan 2008 08:34:32 -0800
(PST) \$MessageID:
<b65e07e0801020834g51289bbet1a7a53300fa30942@mail.gmail.com> PostedDate:
01/02/2008 09:34:32 AM From: "Marjanne Schnarr"
<marjanne.schnarr@gmail.com> SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov Subject: Fwd: Mexican
Gray Wolves In_Reply_To:
<b65e07e0712190849t27ce6e42i672e88fdac324467@mail.gmail.com> MIME_Version:
1.0 References:
<b65e07e0712190849t27ce6e42i672e88fdac324467@mail.gmail.com> X_Spam:
[F=0.0019652670; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801);
MH=0.500(2008010226); R=0.163(108028723); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From:
<marjanne.schnarr@gmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [66.249.82.226] \$MIMETrack:
Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26,
2007) at 01/02/2008 09:34:34 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena
Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:00
PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:00 PM SMTPOriginator:
marjanne.schnarr@gmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
01/02/2008 09:34:34 AM-01/02/2008 09:34:35 AM,01/02/2008 09:34:35 AM-
01/02/2008 09:48:42 AM \$Orig: 0580CAE8C1491048872573C4005B0E55 Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 01/02/2008 09:48:42 AM I am
resending with my full address... ----- Forwarded message ----- From: Marjanne
Schnarr <marjanne.schnarr@gmail.com> Date: Dec 19, 2007 9:49 AM Subject:
Mexican Gray Wolves To: r2fwe_al@fws.gov Dear Mr. Millsap, I am writing
to you to express my concern for the future of wolves in Arizona. These are magnificent
animals and I would love to see them in areas outside of Alpine, Arizona; to return to the
wildlands in the sky island region where they used to roam freely. These wolves are
important in restoring the natural balance in these areas. I urge you to allow them to
expand their territory and release areas. Livestock-wolf conflicts can be resolved non-
violently- without killing wolves. Let the wolves increase their wild population; it
would be a benefit to us all. Thank you for your time and consideration of this
important issue, Marjanne Schnarr 13296 E. Coyote Well Dr. Vail,
AZ 85641

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id
2008010118150599-284290 ; Tue, 1 Jan 2008 18:15:05 -0700 Received: from
p01c11m024.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by
smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 6F6B519E800B for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>;

Tue, 1 Jan 2008 17:50:21 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [206.190.58.172] (HELO web55208.mail.re4.yahoo.com) by p01c11m024.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 995ea774.2623728560.44587.00-033.p01c11m024.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <wiidc@yahoo.com>); Tue, 01 Jan 2008 18:15:05 -0700 (MST) Received: (qmail 95236 invoked by uid 60001); 2 Jan 2008 01:15:04 -0000 DomainKey_Signature: a=rsa-sha1; q=dns; c=nofws; s=s1024; d=yahoo.com; h=X-YMail-OSG:Received:Date:From:Subject:To:MIME-Version:Content-Type:Content-Transfer-Encoding:Message-ID; b=jeuIz9MtpObO1bu9O9TWrFsG8q/biauNx+XGF4cCxHFKWDJLasmD82B1FLr73RE UsxCFdbPO7nQFxhKuGKjoo8L5uCwfL7cDIzWZGUAN7xxQyApLSWBdgCQkmpuj M7ezCSk1vkcb15FEbY3sw427jJSw91cuBBRXPvSl8xbgTkY=; X_YMail_OSG: TP2meFQVM1k4Es9FFMpbrtVGoMMWwwSJjh8IfgbJLUuC1NbTLjbLMtVswVQvRU fAz66G7rtXvNdM3O6kwsBzu2MT9mQuQH5b3ldfcdlgTrEq7S3o8qUWiNCp1ufg4hFX cZkJXoIPNPRNFybOD6vLW5Yh9w-- Received: from [67.142.130.13] by web55208.mail.re4.yahoo.com via HTTP; Tue, 01 Jan 2008 17:15:04 PST PostedDate: 01/01/2008 06:15:04 PM From: Jaun Jaun <wiidc@yahoo.com> Subject: Mexican Grey Wolf Comment SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov MIME_Version: 1.0 \$MessageID: <902055.94975.qm@web55208.mail.re4.yahoo.com> X_Spam: [F=0.0219653193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.990(2008010144); R=0.021(10801134536); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <wiidc@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [206.190.58.172] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 01/01/2008 06:15:05 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:01 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:01 PM SMTPOriginator: wiidc@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 01/01/2008 06:15:05 PM-01/01/2008 06:15:07 PM,01/01/2008 06:15:08 PM-01/01/2008 06:15:08 PM \$Orig: ED922B83090784E7872573C40006E027 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 01/01/2008 06:15:08 PM January 1, 2007 Brian Milsap State Administrator, US Fish & Wildlife Service New Mexico Ecological Services Field Office 2105 Osuna, NE Albuquerque NM 87113

Fax: (505) 346-2542 R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Re: Notice of Scoping Meetings & Intent To Prepare Environmental Impact Statement & Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona & New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf (Mexican Gray Wolf) Dear Mr. Milsap: Thank you for the opportunity to offer scoping comments and issues on the above captioned rule. This comment is one day beyond the comment period as a result of technical problems, Due to the holiday this should not create a problem or be grounds for exclusion. I believe that the following issues should be included in the scope of analysis: 1. The Mexican Grey Wolf, also known as the Mexican Lobo, used in the USFWS species recovery and introduction program is believed to be genetically impure, and as a domestically produced crossbreed, will taint and irreversibly alter the genetically pure breed that otherwise exists in the proposed subject area. 2. The recovery plan for the Mexican Grey Wolf lacks sound science and is fatally defective and destructive of the

environment. The expansion of habitat without complete and adequate data, relevant information and analysis and with the due consideration uncertainties and adverse impacts is irresponsible and unauthorized. 3. A full and complete Òcumulative impactÓ study and analysis must be done, using Ôsound scienceÓ, instead of the empiric notions of those who are incompetent to undertake the study and full analysis, including those in the USFWS itself and their partners, including but not limited to, the Center for Biological Diversity. The proposed agency action and federal register notice does not include a full and complete Òcumulative impactÓ study and analysis. See: North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Agreement on Environmental Cooperation Article 2(e); see also ÒConsidering Cumulative Effects Under The National Environmental Policy ActÓ, PresidentÓs Council On Environmental Quality (CEQ, 1997). 4. Full disclosure of all treaties and other international agreements (16 U.S.C. 1531) is required under the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Agreement on Environmental Cooperation Article I(h). 5. A complete EMS review and compliances is required and mandatory under current international and domestic guidelines. The proposed introduction project does not meet the mandatory requirements imposed on the agency. See: Guidance Document, Improving Environmental Performance and Compliance, 10 Elements of Effective Environmental Management Systems, NAFTA Commission For Environmental Cooperation (CEC 2000), see also Executive Order 13423, and U.S. Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) ÒAligning NEPA Process With Environmental Management Systems Ð A Guide for NEPA and EMS Practitioners. <http://ceq.eh.doe.gov/ntf/> 6. The proposed agency action usurps the powers reserved to the States and to the people under Amendment X of the U.S. Constitution and proposes to trespass upon the rights and liberties of private property owners under color of authority. 7. The proposed agency action will create regional trade distortions in contravention of the NAFTA Agreement on Environmental Cooperation, Article I(e). 9. The proposed agency action will create a public endangerment and will result in significant alterations and irreparable harm to the existing the natural environment and components, all in contravention of 42 U.S.C. 4331(b)(3) 10. The proposed interstate and international project and agency action does not properly and fully consider and address the respective and diverse cultures, customs and traditions of the area that are essential to the proper and balanced function of that targeted area. 11. The proposed interstate and international project and agency action does not properly and fully consider and address economic impacts. 12. The proposed agency action is not essential to recovery of the subject species of natural wolf, and as such, is not under any mandate. Conclusion To Comments. The agency action to enlarge the habitat of the Mexican Grey Wolf in the targeted region should be immediately abandoned. Please keep me informed of the agency actions and decisions in this matter.

Looking for last minute shopping deals? Find them fast with
Yahoo! Search.
<http://tools.search.yahoo.com/newsearch/category.php?category=shopping>

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2008010109032232-280162 ; Tue, 1 Jan 2008 09:03:22 -0700 Received: from p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 7AA7819E8016 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Tue, 1 Jan 2008 08:38:31 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.254.253.142] (EHLO mailout17.yourhostingaccount.com) by p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 9446a774.2468240304.157.00-014.p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <srs0=egn/3g=rx=terrencemoore.com=terry@yourhostingaccount.com>); Tue, 01 Jan 2008 09:03:21 -0700 (MST) Received: from mailscan14.yourhostingaccount.com ([10.1.15.14] helo=mailscan14.yourhostingaccount.com) by mailout17.yourhostingaccount.com with esmtp (Exim) id 1J9jaL-0003FH-34 for r2fwe_al@fws.gov; Tue, 01 Jan 2008 11:03:21 -0500 Received: from impout03.yourhostingaccount.com ([10.1.55.3] helo=impout03.yourhostingaccount.com) by mailscan14.yourhostingaccount.com with esmtp (Exim) id 1J9jaK-0006ra-UV for r2fwe_al@fws.gov; Tue, 01 Jan 2008 11:03:20 -0500 Received: from authsmtp08.yourhostingaccount.com ([10.1.18.8]) by impout03.yourhostingaccount.com with NO UCE id Xs3L1Y0030ASqTN0000000; Tue, 01 Jan 2008 11:03:20 -0500 X_EN_OrigOutIP: 10.1.18.8 X_EN_IMPSID: Xs3L1Y0030ASqTN0000000 Received: from 71-220-189-142.tcsn.qwest.net ([71.220.189.142] helo=[192.192.0.102]) by authsmtp08.yourhostingaccount.com with esmtpa (Exim) id 1J9jaK-0008JB-Hg for r2fwe_al@fws.gov; Tue, 01 Jan 2008 11:03:20 -0500 MIME_Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v752.2) \$MessageID: <222D73DD-E148-4666-A737-29336D655EE6@terrencemoore.com> SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov Principal: Terrence Moore <terry@terrencemoore.com> Subject: Mexican wolf reintroduction -Originally sent 12-31-07 PostedDate: 01/01/2008 09:03:17 AM \$Mailer: Apple Mail (2.752.2) X_EN_UserInfo: 9dc9c1913fa985da36fe4e0dd7941032:712e451babdc07f332fa812e8e5e5983 X_EN_AuthUser: terrencemoore From: Terrence Moore <terry@terrencemoore.com> X_EN_OrigIP: 71.220.189.142 X_EN_OrigHost: 71-220-189-142.tcsn.qwest.net X_Spam: [F=0.0030741576; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.028(2007121801); MH=0.500(2008010119); R=0.094(1080173512); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <srs0=egn/3g=rx=terrencemoore.com=terry@yourhostingaccount.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.254.253.142] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 01/01/2008 09:03:22 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:01 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:01 PM SMTPOriginator: srs0=egn/3g=rx=terrencemoore.com=terry@yourhostingaccount.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 01/01/2008 09:03:22 AM-01/01/2008 09:03:24 AM,01/01/2008 09:03:25 AM-01/01/2008 09:16:59 AM \$Orig: 139E3B3869A320B4872573C300583308 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 01/01/2008 09:16:59 AM Brian

Millsap, state administrator U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service N.M. Ecological Services Field Office 2105 Osuna N.E. Albuquerque, N.M.-87113 Dear Mr. Millsap, We all need to get along. All of us, including the animals that inhabit our Earth. I grew up in Northeastern Minnesota where there are many wolves and more and more people every year. But, in that region there is no hysteria, no rabid anti-wolf sentiment--everyone has learned to live in harmony. I feel that all of us in the Southwest can learn from our northern neighbors. We all need to work together to make the re-introduction of the Mexican wolf successful. The FWS is mandated to do all it can to insure the success of this project. Please do all you can to facilitate the return of these magnificent animals and make them, once again a part of our natural world! Sincerely, Terrence Moore

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2008010102192540-277492 ; Tue, 1 Jan 2008 02:19:25 -0700 Received: from p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id F29BE19E8035 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Tue, 1 Jan 2008 01:54:29 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [205.188.157.37] (EHLO imo-d05.mx.aol.com) by p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id c950a774.2570316720.112912.00-020.p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <andrasol@aol.com>); Tue, 01 Jan 2008 02:19:24 -0700 (MST) Received: from ANDRASOL@aol.com by imo-d05.mx.aol.com (mail_out_v38_r9.3.) id y.c2c.26696ece (30738) for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Tue, 1 Jan 2008 04:19:16 -0500 (EST) From: ANDRASOL@aol.com \$MessageID: <c2c.26696ece.34ab5f94@aol.com> PostedDate: 01/01/2008 02:19:16 AM Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov MIME_Version: 1.0 \$Mailer: 9.0 SE for Windows sub 5043 X_Spam_Flag: NO X_Processed_By: Rebuild v2.0-0 X_Spam: [F=0.0002883622; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2008010103); R=0.027(1080115543); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <andrasol@aol.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [205.188.157.37] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 01/01/2008 02:19:25 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:02 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:02 PM SMTPOriginator: andrasol@aol.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 01/01/2008 02:19:25 AM-01/01/2008 02:19:26 AM,01/01/2008 02:19:26 AM-01/01/2008 02:36:06 AM \$Orig: CA499463D34FFE2B872573C30033377C Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 01/01/2008 02:36:06 AM To: Brian Millsap, State Administrator U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Re: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping As I provided input into the process approximately 12 years ago before the Mexican Gray Wolf Reintroduction began on the ground, before wolves set foot into the reintroduction area, I feel compelled to follow up with my comments on their behalf. Technically, in the interest of a genetically viable reintroduced Mexican Gray wolf population in the Southwest it appears to be a wise move to incorporate the

suggestions being promoted by Defenders of Wildlife, the Center for Biological Diversity, and the other environmental groups they are collaborating with. Also, I feel concerned that there has still been too much polarization of viewpoints and that the only sure means for success for the Mexican Gray wolves in the wild is to find a way, when possible, to gain the support or at minimum, neutrality, of those few who have viewed wolves as their enemy for so long, while truly, meaningfully supporting the Mexican Gray Wolf Reintroduction and Recovery effort. This means identifying the valid concerns of wolf opponents and finding workable solutions to address those concerns -- solutions that don't involve killing the wolves -- as well as exposing and debunking any myths about wolf behavior that may have promoted fear in the hearts of wolf opponents and exposing the myths for what they are. If ranchers are being asked to change their practices to accommodate the reintroduction effort, then perhaps a compensation program to support them in making those changes would be in order to soften the effect of requiring they change their practices if one does not exist already. It also means recognizing that the individual wolves are not pawns in a chess game that can be picked up and moved simply because they crossed a man-made line that was undefinable to them -- removing a wolf because it crossed a political boundary is not in the interest of the wolf reintroduction effort --it shows that the Fish & Wildlife Service has merely been giving lip service to the idea of Mexican Gray Wolf Reintroduction without providing substantial support. The U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service NEEDS to become a part of the solution, a true partner in successfully restoring Mexican Gray Wolves in America by building a strong foundation for the Reintroduction effort from which the wolves can truly flourish. Perhaps under the direction of the next presidential administration this will come to pass. Please remember, these wolves are living beings forming bonds in a pack scenario; they are not game pieces to be removed or destroyed at will. It is important that all beings in this process be treated with the respect and honor they deserve. And it is time for all of the humans in this process to step up in their role as stewards of the Earth instead of falling into the patterns of engaging in power struggles in the fight to get their way. I appreciate your consideration of my comments. Sincerely, Andra S. Ewton 837 N. Queen Ave. Tucson, Az 85705 See AOL's top rated recipes and easy ways to stay in shape for winter.

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2008010100240867-276554 ; Tue, 1 Jan 2008 00:24:08 -0700 Received: from p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id F388119E8054 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 23:59:11 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [209.73.179.32] (HELO web61118.mail.yahoo.com) by p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 79ae9774.2550778800.91613.00-038.p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dberringerwood@yahoo.com>); Tue, 01 Jan 2008 00:24:07 -0700 (MST) Received: (qmail 87292 invoked by uid 60001); 1 Jan 2008 07:24:07 -0000 DomainKey_Signature: a=rsa-sha1; q=dns; c=nofws; s=s1024; d=yahoo.com; h=X-YMail-OSG:Received:X-Mailer:Date:From:Subject:To:MIME-Version:Content-Type:Message-ID;

b=oQIALGp8M4/J0D6XcfnBgTMQCdmqc0rKy+gODN28bQd4Ye40XI+Mphd2qqmkNbTZZ2QIW4pku2aoKvGGTv9SQqg520CBBxeXhi+C1y/LaJa0wTELjiIddd7dobGk4qrRgT31B0sfL/7j4Ptj4oU98VxQ/5YQpqQ9uB1GQGgPY=; X_YMail_OSG:bKZ7jV4VM1kZ5DcIIQzkWXRpzxdrPAtl45vdSR3vfkAk6fT7lwHmVaAHrScp7akSoa16eD.57.boWosDGwRRg3dbJHXg65RBT28sBtPRgMfPWMS5_w8p Received: from [71.35.122.141] by web61118.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 23:24:07 PST \$Mailer: YahooMailRC/818.31 YahooMailWebService/0.7.158.1 PostedDate: 01/01/2008 12:24:07 AM From: Denise Berringer-Wood <dberringerwood@yahoo.com> Subject: Mexican Wolf EIS SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov MIME_Version: 1.0 \$MessageID: <346504.77199.qm@web61118.mail.yahoo.com> X_Spam: [F=0.0001761494; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2008010101); R=0.017(107113120367); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <dberringerwood@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [209.73.179.32] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 01/01/2008 12:24:08 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:03 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:03 PM SMTPOriginator: dberringerwood@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 01/01/2008 12:24:08 AM-01/01/2008 12:24:09 AM,01/01/2008 12:24:09 AM-01/01/2008 12:34:56 AM \$Orig: C04AE15E2A841824872573C30028A9A3 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 01/01/2008 12:34:56 AM Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to

prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Denise Berringer-Wood Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your homepage.

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2008010100185156-276536 ; Tue, 1 Jan 2008 00:18:51 -0700 Received: from p01c11m011.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id D8C1B19E8054 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 23:53:54 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [24.116.0.228] (EHLO S2.cableone.net) by p01c11m011.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id a59e9774.2571688880.41184.00-064.p01c11m011.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <user001@cableone.net>); Tue, 01 Jan 2008 00:18:50 -0700 (MST) Received: from localhost.localdomain (unverified [24.116.1.9]) by S2.cableone.net (CableOne SMTP Service S2) with ESMTP id 41302749-1872270 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Tue, 01 Jan 2008 00:18:50 -0700 MIME_Version: 1.0 From: "user001@cableone.net" <user001@cableone.net> SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA scoping ReplyTo: user001@cableone.net PostedDate: 01/01/2008 12:48:30 AM \$MessageID: <1980.1199173710@cableone.net> \$Mailer: AtMail 4.51 - 72.24.100.227 - user001@cableone.net X_Abuse_Info: Send abuse complaints to abuse@cableone.net X_Spam: [F=0.0026853970; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.210(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123160); R=0.009(107113120367); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <user001@cableone.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [24.116.0.228] \$SMIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 01/01/2008 12:18:51 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:03 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:03 PM SMTPOriginator: user001@cableone.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 01/01/2008 12:18:51 AM-01/01/2008 12:18:52 AM,01/01/2008 12:18:52 AM-01/01/2008 12:18:52 AM \$Orig: BD9635C81E1D8C2D872573C300282DC4 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 01/01/2008 12:18:52 AM U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, I am writing in support of the Mexican Gray Wolf. I believe they are in need of as much space as possible to survive, they need to be protected from being hunted and killed, and

they need to be respected for the beautiful creature that they are. I strongly believe in the protection of these animals. Let them live as comfortable and safe as possible. Thank you, Patricia & Michael Messier 2500 Inca Rd. NE, Rio Rancho, NM 87144 Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - <http://www.cableone.net>

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123123575051-276105 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 23:57:50 -0700 Received: from p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 9681319E8054 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 23:32:53 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [209.86.89.63] (EHLO elasmtpt-junco.atl.sa.earthlink.net) by p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id d64e9774.3497753520.67006.00-029.p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <nmccallan@mindspring.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 23:57:49 -0700 (MST) Received: from [71.33.50.223] (helo=[192.168.1.100]) by elasmtpt-junco.atl.sa.earthlink.net with asmtpt (Exim 4.34) id 1J9b4P-0006Bt-Kd; Tue, 01 Jan 2008 01:57:49 -0500 MIME_Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v746.2) \$MessageID: <B5E04E4E-D3A8-45B1-8654-F67C100F3D45@mindspring.com> CopyTo: Norma McCallan <nmccallan@mindspring.com> From: Norma McCallan <nmccallan@mindspring.com> Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping Comments PostedDate: 12/31/2007 11:58:38 PM SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov \$Mailer: Apple Mail (2.746.2) X_ELNK_Trace: f37acf0529cd7d19faef81d128501e5d1546fc38bedf79c3f86c5e751d911b8ad5036eedd6c77ade350badd9bab72f9c350badd9bab72f9c350badd9bab72f9c X-Originating_Ip: 71.33.50.223 X_Spam: [F=0.0040092796; B=0.500(0); S=0.026(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123160); R=0.128(1071131203555); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <nmccallan@mindspring.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [209.86.89.63] \$SMIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 11:57:50 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:04 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:04 PM SMTPOriginator: nmccallan@mindspring.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 11:57:50 PM-12/31/2007 11:57:52 PM,12/31/2007 11:57:52 PM-01/01/2008 12:01:49 AM \$Orig: DBA298DC7DCBA0A3872573C30026412B Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 01/01/2008 12:01:49 AM To Brian Millsap, State Administrator U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service New Mexico Ecological Services Field Office Albuquerque,NM Dear Sir I feel heartsick that the implementation of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery project has gone so badly. Not only did the Fish & Wildlife Service fall far short of the objective of at least 100 Mexican gray wolves, including 18 breeding pairs, by the end of 2006, but it appears that when the next official count is made there will be a further decline in numbers and breeding pairs. Clearly a

change in the regulations for this project is called for right away. I attended some of the early meetings prior to reintroduction, and was shocked at the fear and hatred displayed by some of the local ranchers regarding wolf reintroduction. The current restrictions were clearly set in place to appease this very vocal ranching contingent, but all the restrictions have succeeded in doing is diminishing the chances that the Mexican gray wolf will survive in the wild at all. First of all, the classification of "experimental, non-essential" needs to be changed to "experimental, ESSENTIAL" (if not to "Endangered" which would be the ideal). The Mexican gray wolf is listed as endangered under the Endangered Species Act, and clearly it is seriously endangered. This small Blue Range population of Mexican gray wolves is essential to any long term recovery of this subspecies, and changing the classification will help protect them. Second, all the various restrictions on wolf movements and releases must be dropped. Wolves cannot read maps, they don't know the boundaries of the BRWRA. Each time they are captured and brought back, there is a serious risk of injury, if not death for the individual wolf in the process of trapping, not to mention trauma and disorientation for the pack as a whole. It makes no biological sense that the initial releases can only happen in Arizona. The agency should have full discretion on where new releases take place, across the BRWRA. Third, since it is in the interest of ranchers and wolves alike that the wolves not develop a taste for cattle, it is critical that ranchers on public lands be required to move away, or render inedible, the carcasses of their livestock who have died of whatever natural causes so that the wolves aren't attracted to this "free" bounty, and start looking for more. Given the severe difficulties in getting viable packs developed, there should be no cap on the number of wolves in the BRWRA population. 100 wolves should be a minimum number to attain. The Gila and Blue Range are our National Forests. Wildlife in New Mexico belongs, I believe to the state, and not to the county or to individuals who live there. The vast majority of our citizens support the reintroduction of wolves, and understand their importance to the ecological health of our land. I have yet to hear the call of a wild wolf. I not only want that opportunity, but want my grandchildren to have it. Thank you for opening up this process to public comment. Sincerely, Norma J. McCallan 627 Camino don Emilio Santa Fe, NM 87507

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123123592837-276114 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 23:59:28 -0700 Received: from p01c11m011.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 5F47519E8054 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 23:34:31 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [69.147.97.51] (HELO web63411.mail.re1.yahoo.com) by p01c11m011.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id fc4e9774.2655611824.21561.00-015.p01c11m011.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <krlsss1@yahoo.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 23:59:27 -0700 (MST) Received: (qmail 697 invoked by uid 60001); 1 Jan 2008 06:59:27 -0000 DomainKey_Signature: a=rsa-sha1; q=dns; c=nofws; s=s1024; d=yahoo.com; h=X-YMail-OSG:Received:X-Mailer:Date:From:Subject:To:MIME-Version:Content-Type:Message-ID;

b=uPJhYzSP+74lpRjMnb9nq/PKTxEx2JQf6zRzNDoZlIChhlUsaQfaWUSKmlOggZbp8
MCdD20WhNwFlanhJ5ZJQmvawTj1NrSJmSmngE5A51t40O/UpYhLPFPbZdJiZrIgov5
Z2pH4LOeDcjTd3b9mb11gV2K1BzOZeuydFleDWfs=; X_YMail_OSG:
.eq551AVM1laCtZCFHBFRReWRuYnPvOkU9joJzkbLufs3JpuNLZVdDi4Q42.c5d6Jn
5LgNevaMO4eV6rWM4dBAHcdPAVw3LtAVNH.YpOSRStWr26gc- Received: from
[12.213.224.37] by web63411.mail.re1.yahoo.com via HTTP; Mon, 31 Dec 2007
22:59:27 PST \$Mailer: YahooMailRC/818.31
YahooMailWebService/0.7.158.1 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 11:59:27 PM From: Ron
Kearns <krlsss1@yahoo.com> Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf Reintroduction Plan
Comments SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov MIME_Version: 1.0 \$MessageID:
<121027.171.qm@web63411.mail.re1.yahoo.com> X_Spam: [F=0.0093377046;
B=0.500(0); S=0.098(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123160); R=0.079(107113120367);
SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <krlsss1@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP:
[69.147.97.51] \$SMIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 11:59:28
PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release
6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:04 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008
01:58:04 PM SMTPOriginator: krlsss1@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy:
,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 11:59:28 PM-12/31/2007 11:59:30 PM,12/31/2007 11:59:30 PM-01/01/2008
12:01:49 AM \$Orig: CECCD2DC3FEF0769872573C300266766 Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 01/01/2008 12:01:49 AM From my
experience with livestock, including dairy farm work and as a horse wrangler for an
outfitter/hunting guide while growing up in the wildlands of Colorado, I do not strictly
oppose the allowance of livestock grazing on all public lands. However, I strongly
oppose non-native livestock trumping the existence of any endangered or nonessential
experimental listed species on wildlands that belong to all of the nation's public. Public
lands conflicts between wolves and livestock in recovery areas must be eliminated unless
wolves are given protective precedence over cattle. The practice of favoring non-native
livestock over native wildlife on public lands is unconscionable. Ranchers must assume
the risk of losing their cattle through predation by wolves as part of their business
model. If cattlemen continue to be compensated for their losses by any means, federal
or NGO, they will never be compelled to properly manage their herds in an open-range
setting. The concept of the rugged Marlborough Man amakin' it on his own in the
wilderness by his sheer drive and determination is a myth. This mythical rancher is
instead pampered with payments from the Defenders of Wildlife for his predation losses
even though his mismanagement and/or neglect were causal factors. Cattleman are
further indulged by the federal government with low grazing fees for their associated
AUM stocking rates that gives them an unfair competitive edge over ranchers grazing
cattle on their own self-maintained private land. A resourceful modern-day rancher who
respects all wildlife should be allowed to use public lands within the framework of
wildlife first, including a system that affords full Endangered Species status to the
Mexican Grey Wolf. If such a rancher is unable to sustain his operation under this
range/habitat prescription, his grazing allotment on public lands must be considered a
failed experiment and permanently retired. Governmental scientists and range managers

should assist cattlemen to ensure wolf habitat is not detrimentally altered by “range improvements” to the extent of permanent ecological damage while allowing ranchers a fair chance to adapt to a 21st century strategy of wildlife first range management on public lands. Cow/calf operations must be eliminated in remote wolf recovery areas.

This is tantamount to baiting wolves with veal-aged calves. Even if cattlemen are willing to take the full financial risk for any predation losses this baiting will likely habituate wolves to an unnatural prey species, perpetuate the problem, and result in wolves that are less wild. The practice of ranchers not disposing of livestock carcasses on public lands must be changed to require carcass removal by the owners. Some jurisdictions require that large livestock that die of natural causes cannot be left within a quarter-mile of running water. Livestock carcasses should not be buried on public lands and definitely not incinerated because of potential wildfires. I oppose the use of lime to render a carcass inedible to wolves and other scavengers. I do not know of any public lands agencies that allow the public to create their own disposal landfills for any type of biotic or abiotic litter. Subsidized ranchers should not be treated differently from the public who use public lands and they should not receive any special allowances for their carcass disposals. If I rode a horse in the backcountry and that animal died, I could not simply abandon the carcass on public lands. Livestock carcasses are not comparable to native wildlife carcasses and the non-native carcass litter must be removed at whatever financial cost to the owner and as soon as possible. This would require active, responsible management of a cattle herd. Allow wolves to freely roam and discontinue the capture, release, recapture, re-release, relocation merry-go-round. Thank you for allowing public comments involving the Mexican gray wolf reintroduction plan. Ron Kearns Quartzsite, AZ Monday, December 31, 2007 Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your homepage.

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123122374324-274308 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 22:37:43 -0700 Received: from p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 7277019E805D for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 22:12:45 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [216.252.100.183] (HELO web90601.mail.mud.yahoo.com) by p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 6a1d9774.2516446128.39427.00-037.p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bgarber23@yahoo.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 22:37:42 -0700 (MST) Received: (qmail 70545 invoked by uid 60001); 1 Jan 2008 05:37:42 -0000 DomainKey_Signature: a=rsa-sha1; q=dns; c=nofws; s=s1024; d=yahoo.com; h=X-YMail-OSG:Received:X-Mailer:Date:From:Subject:To:MIME-Version:Content-Type:Message-ID; b=uUmVdEZn47+bDUML8Cygup/w7HreJ8IugqbSFR5B/tI54BbhIYU79fc2GZjGfDmA khOVMaNVifz/IN+6NeLqbTAQTclNc2dgAqUf4cYSXL68yMUVqMOEVCD0N9hW7 7BmHBudHv+/dSOi88UwP1qdkjy6UrK9nnfWJ/kMXvwFvBw=; X_YMail_OSG: wVaVoSQVM1IGQJLf7Vyw0_IA6rDAf7379oIHtWDSvVHiFKrVi7EZfM3l2EkCnVUJ 8DK2kCg4CxtLXKhOn5Qf_W.59kBolbNXiCJAiAvuuc8fuHxjH_U- Received: from [216.31.108.92] by web90601.mail.mud.yahoo.com via HTTP; Mon, 31 Dec 2007

21:37:42 PST \$Mailer: YahooMailRC/818.31
YahooMailWebService/0.7.158.1 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 10:37:42 PM From: Betsy
Garber <bgarber23@yahoo.com> Subject: Mexican wolf reintroduction process SendTo:
r2fwe_al@fws.gov MIME_Version: 1.0 \$MessageID:
<486837.70064.qm@web90601.mail.mud.yahoo.com> X_Spam: [F=0.0005540066;
B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123155); R=0.052(107113120368);
SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <bgarber23@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP:
[216.252.100.183] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 10:37:43
PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release
6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:05 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008
01:58:05 PM SMTPOriginator: bgarber23@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy:
,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 10:37:43 PM-12/31/2007 10:37:44 PM,12/31/2007 10:37:44 PM-12/31/2007
10:37:46 PM \$Orig: 8E106525D4F60392872573C3001EEB54 Categories: \$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 10:37:46 PM I support continuing the
process of reintroducing Mexican gray wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area
and beyond. In addition, I favor increasing legal protection of the wolves by reducing
instances of removal and/or killing of the animals. Too often, we are losing animal and
plant species in this country due directly to human encroachment into wild areas. The
Mexican gray wolf is important part of a healthy, diverse, and natural Southwestern
environment. Encouraging the revival of this endangered species would demonstrate
that we are able and willing to make certain reasonable sacrifices to the end of
conserving the life on which the world ultimately depends. Specifically, I favor the
following changes in the current program: -- Increasing the Blue Range recovery area
and/or identifying other suitable recovery areas in New Mexico or Arizona; -- Limiting
situations in which a wolf may be killed or removed; -- Requiring livestock owners to
remove livestock carcasses or make them unattractive to wolves; -- Prohibiting
harassment or baiting of wolves; -- Considering other restrictions on livestock owners
who use public grazing lands; -- Bringing various interested parties together to explore
new ideas. As a non-expert, I cannot comment on refining certain definitions. However,
I would encourage clarification, as well as moving toward further protection of wolves.
I do not favor allowing the killing of wolves on private land to protect pets. Thank you
for holding the recent public scoping meetings around New Mexico. The education and
discussion process was extremely valuable and, I hope, productive. Betsy Garber 23
Mill Rd. NW Albuquerque, NM 87120-1918 505-899-4495
(h) _____

Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your home
page. <http://www.yahoo.com/r/hs>

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id
2007123122363539-274251 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 22:36:35 -0700 Received: from
p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by

smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 858FB19E805D for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>;
Mon, 31 Dec 2007 22:11:37 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [209.86.89.63]
(EHLO elasmtpt-junco.atl.sa.earthlink.net) by p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-
5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 261d9774.2715532208.2368.00-
049.p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <spotblev@earthlink.net>); Mon, 31 Dec
2007 22:36:34 -0700 (MST) DomainKey_Signature: a=rsa-sha1; q=dns; c=nofws;
s=dk20050327; d=earthlink.net;
b=uJhMniI17EXP1AqTPQUZ2tvo9K37A1AKUpgeybaOHvp4BxLB9SJ0VVbhFmHPdo
Xc; h=Received:X-Mailer:Date:To:From:Subject:Cc:Mime-Version:Content-
Type:Message-ID:X-ELNK-Trace:X-Originating-IP; Received: from [4.240.213.87]
(helo=home-kpj0kh632k.earthlink.net) by elasmtpt-junco.atl.sa.earthlink.net with
asmtp (TLSv1:AES256-SHA:256) (Exim 4.34) id 1J9Znf-0008Ao-9A; Tue, 01 Jan
2008 00:36:34 -0500 \$Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Version
7.1.0.9 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 10:36:01 PM SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov From:
Cheryll Blevins <spotblev@earthlink.net> Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA
Scoping CopyTo: spotblev@earthlink.net MIME_Version: 1.0 \$MessageID:
<E1J9Znf-0008Ao-9A@elasmtpt-junco.atl.sa.earthlink.net> X_ELNK_Trace:
cfb6275a7c230a031aa676d7e74259b7b3291a7d08dfec79a20510fb707b5501cfe5b2c7f7e
21642350badd9bab72f9c350badd9bab72f9c350badd9bab72f9c X-Originating_Ip:
4.240.213.87 X_Spam: [F=0.0132247560; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801);
MH=0.900(2007123155); R=0.128(107113120365); SC=none;
SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <spotblev@earthlink.net> X_SOURCE_IP:
[209.86.89.63] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 10:36:35
PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release
6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:06 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008
01:58:06 PM SMTPOriginator: spotblev@earthlink.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy:
,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 10:36:35 PM-12/31/2007 10:36:36 PM,12/31/2007 10:36:36 PM-12/31/2007
10:37:45 PM \$Orig: F90C7E1EDA00B3C9872573C3001ED0D3 Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 10:37:45 PM To: John
Slown U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service New Mexico Ecological Services Field
Office 2105 Osuna NE Albuquerque, NM 87113 From: Cheryll Blevins P.O. Box
443 Mesilla, N.M. 88046 Dear Sir: I would like to express my support of the Mexican
Wolf reintroduction efforts in the Southwest.: Here are some key points I
support: *The Mexican Wolf should be allowed full endangered species status. I
support a Conservation Alternative that would change their classification to endangered
status. *The Mexican Wolf should be allowed to roam outside their present BRWRA,
especially if the habitat is supporting them. *The Mexican Wolf should be allowed to be
released into New Mexico as well as Arizona. *Conflicts with livestock should be
reduced as much as possible without harming Mexican Wolf reintroduction. In order to
best benefit the wolf and minimize conflicts, serious consideration or re-evaluation is
needed of how conditioned reinforcement is shaping behavior, desired or undesired, in
wolf populations. Animal behaviorists have studied why and how animals react to their
environment and how behaviors are modified or conditioned. Using these principals of

conditioned reinforcement, conflicts with livestock operations could possibly be decreased and desired wolf behavior increased, to the benefit of all. *Prevention of conflicts is preferred and wolf removal and relocation should be avoided if possible. *The gene pool of the Mexican Wolf should not be allowed to die out, as it is an essential part of the ecosystem of the Southwest. Future generations should not have this taken from them. *The Mexican Wolf, as a natural predator can help keep the ecosystem healthy. *Some studies, and historical accounts have shown that wolves, as top predators, keep cougar populations in check. The wolf is a missing key in ecosystems throughout the American West. In the American West, and extending into eastern parts of the US, cougar populations have been on the rise. Cougar attacks on humans, some fatal, are on the rise especially in the West. As someone who has had a near attack on my children by a cougar in Arizona (one conditioned to hunt in an area protected from hunting), I see Wolves, as a missing part in the ecosystem, may help reduce cougar density and keep these predators in a more proper balance. Wolves, as part of a health ecosystem can help move herbivores to disperse to a wider area, lessening over-utilization of forage. *The Mexican Wolf needs a real chance at recovery. The Recovery Plan is 25 years out of date and needs revision to better define a more comprehensive definition of recovery. Any rule changes should include options that will not limit any recovery options in the future. Sincerely, Cheryll Blevins

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123121522506-272874 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:52:25 -0700 Received: from p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id C4C9F19E805D for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:27:26 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 807c9774.2423618480.18844.00-003.p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ldhpsyd@hotmail.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:52:24 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 20:47:54 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 09:52:24 PM \$MessageID: <20080101045224.17229.61830.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: ldhpsyd@hotmail.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012280904; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123154); R=0.108(107113120368); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <ldhpsyd@hotmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 09:52:25 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:06 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:06 PM SMTPOriginator: ldhpsyd@hotmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 09:52:25 PM-12/31/2007 09:52:26 PM,12/31/2007 09:52:26 PM-12/31/2007

10:05:05 PM \$Orig: 1A55A8BA19B2562C872573C3001AC58A Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 10:05:05 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, lisa hills 2001 so. barrington #117 los angeles, CA 90025

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123121512506-272869 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:51:25 -0700 Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id C001919E805D for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:26:26 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with

ESMTP id cc6c9774.2516863920.31639.00-052.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <anngersch@sbcglobal.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:51:24 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 20:46:54 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 09:51:24 PM \$MessageID: <20080101045124.17229.61828.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: anngersch@sbcglobal.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012280904; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123154); R=0.108(1071131203553); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <anngersch@sbcglobal.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 09:51:25 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:07 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:07 PM SMTPOriginator: anngersch@sbcglobal.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 09:51:25 PM-12/31/2007 09:51:26 PM,12/31/2007 09:51:26 PM-12/31/2007 10:05:05 PM \$Orig: 843C453A77F3407C872573C3001AAE1A Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 10:05:05 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent

depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Ann Gerschefski 4 Hubbell Mountain Rd. Sherman, CT 06784

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123121445286-272833 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:44:52 -0700 Received: from p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 6054919E805D for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:19:54 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [206.123.129.84] (HELO server021.dfw.nationwide.net) by p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 445c9774.2620930992.25475.00-015.p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <wildbynature@theriver.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:44:52 -0700 (MST) Received: (qmail 10274 invoked from network); 1 Jan 2008 04:44:50 -0000 Received: from unknown (HELO cynthiacznfelb) (71.105.224.98) by server021.dfw.nationwide.net with SMTP; Tue, 01 Jan 2008 04:44:50 +0000 \$MessageID: <000c01c84c31\$0be9a870\$6601a8c0@cynthiacznfelb> From: "cynthia lee wolf" <wildbynature@theriver.com> SendTo: <r2fwe_al@fws.gov> Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping PostedDate: 12/31/2007 09:44:50 PM MIME_Version: 1.0 DeliveryPriority: N X_MSMail_Priority: Normal \$Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2900.3138 X_MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2900.3198 X_Processed_By: Rebuild v2.0-0 X_Spam: [F=0.0190377404; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123154); R=0.657(1071131203554); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <wildbynature@theriver.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [206.123.129.84] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 09:44:52 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:08 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:08 PM SMTPOriginator: wildbynature@theriver.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 09:44:52 PM-12/31/2007 09:44:53 PM,12/31/2007 09:44:54 PM-12/31/2007 09:49:00 PM \$Orig: 655F25D0D2908738872573C3001A14E6 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 09:49:00 PM Dear Mr. Sloan, If Mexican Gray Wolves are to recover, it will require giving wolves more protection in a variety of ways. Please consider: 1. Changing their current classification of

“experimental, non-essential” to “experimental, essential” or “endangered”. The BlueRangepopulation of Mexican gray wolves is essential to long-term recovery of this endangered subspecies and changing this designation will give these wolves the protections that they need to be successful in the wild. Reworking the recovery plan along with this rule change. Much has been learned about Mexican gray wolves and their management in the 25 years since the current recovery plan was written and it needs to be able to support future recovery actions and the rule changes from this scoping process. Allow wolves to expand outside of the BRWRA. Dispersal/expansion is a natural process that needs to be allowed to occur; it promotes healthy genetics and provides access to more habitat and prey. Historically, Mexican gray wolves occupied large home ranges and allowing wolves to roam outside of the BRWRA would permit them to establish territories that were located within more of their historic range. Current management that calls for capture of wolves that wander outside of this imaginary boundary is costly and disrupts natural healthy wolf behavior.

4. Expand the area for initial releases to anywhere within the BlueRangeWolf Recovery Area. Change the rule to allow new releases throughout the entire BRWRA. The current rule that allows for releases of wolves from the captive population into Arizonaonly is severely limiting to managers and a healthy wolf population. Resolve livestock-wolf conflicts in ways that keep wolves in the wild and achieve progress towards reintroduction objectives and cooperative management. Put into place special orders that require livestock owners to be accountable for the removal of dead animal carcasses on public lands and encourage and support them to do the same on private lands. Create a core area on public lands where wolves have priority in the case of conflicts and buffer zones with differing degrees of protection cascading out from that core zone. Expand the number of wolves in the wild population. Decrease the amount of “take” that is acceptable from the wild population and get more wolves on the ground immediately. Do not set a maximum number of wolves in the wild and use biology to determine when this sub-species of wolves have recovered. Thank you, Cynthia Wolf POB 423 Mimbres, NM 88049

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123121442005-272832 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:44:20 -0700 Received: from p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id ABF9119E805D for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:19:21 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 325c9774.2736761776.154776.00-002.p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <loverlymind@yahoo.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:44:19 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 20:39:49 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 09:44:19 PM \$MessageID: <20080101044419.17229.61800.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: loverlymind@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012280904; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801);

MH=0.500(2007123154); R=0.108(107113120365); SC=none;
SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <loverlymind@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP:
[65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 09:44:20
PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release
6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:08 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008
01:58:08 PM SMTPOriginator: loverlymind@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy:
,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 09:44:20 PM-12/31/2007 09:44:21 PM,12/31/2007 09:44:22 PM-12/31/2007
09:49:00 PM \$Orig: C7D8DF290D271EC1872573C3001A0817 Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 09:49:00 PM Dr. Brian
Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and
Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for
managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and
Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the
wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution
must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow
loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching
- to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please
develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact
statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves
from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered
status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of
wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect
infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of
which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which
will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative
should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive
breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable
the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which
no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the
boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained
by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed
free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render
inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being
attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on
stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent
depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves
from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of
wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the
east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for
its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that
might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if
wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts

with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, George Cauthen 12323 Shelwick Dr. Houston, TX 77031

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123121411493-272819 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:41:14 -0700 Received: from p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 8258219E805D for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:16:16 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [209.86.89.63] (EHLO elasmtcp-junco.atl.sa.earthlink.net) by p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id a64c9774.2667252656.203970.00-032.p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dvolz@earthlink.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:41:14 -0700 (MST) DomainKey_Signature: a=rsa-sha1; q=dns; c=nofws; s=dk20050327; d=earthlink.net; b=fr8n+qhvYjunB366JSbxJKuRA63qhYMYJGSVhrJgPXBaedDxg2adlZu+/PwvXG; h=Received:Message-ID:Date:From:User-Agent:X-Accept-Language:MIME-Version:To:Subject:Content-Type:Content-Transfer-Encoding:X-ELNK-Trace:X-Originating-IP; Received: from [4.240.33.192] (helo=[4.240.33.192]) by elasmtcp-junco.atl.sa.earthlink.net with asmtcp (Exim 4.34) id 1J9YwC-0000WT-VA for R2FWE_AL@fws.gov; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 23:41:14 -0500 \$MessageID: <4779C45E.2020300@earthlink.net> PostedDate: 12/31/2007 09:41:02 PM From: Dale Volz <dvolz@earthlink.net> User_Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows; U; Windows NT 5.1; en-US; rv:1.7.2) Gecko/20040804 Netscape/7.2 (ax) X_Accept_Language: en-us, en MIME_Version: 1.0 SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_ELNK_Trace: 6cd8f70139cc42b374bf435c0eb9d47850561ff51fa964bba248deb7fccf66212843067b430 14b49350badd9bab72f9c350badd9bab72f9c350badd9bab72f9c X-Originating_Ip: 4.240.33.192 X_Spam: [F=0.0114772983; B=0.500(0); S=0.073(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123154); R=0.128(1071131203553); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <dvolz@earthlink.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [209.86.89.63] \$SMIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 09:41:14 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:09 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:09 PM SMTPOriginator: dvolz@earthlink.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 09:41:14 PM-12/31/2007 09:41:16 PM,12/31/2007 09:41:17 PM-12/31/2007 09:49:00 PM \$Orig: B07665425AFB5837872573C30019BFC5 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 09:49:00 PM I submit the following comments for your consideration as you prepare a draft environmental impact statement (EIS) and consider changes to the 1998 final rule that authorized the establishment of a nonessential experimental population of Mexican gray wolves in Arizona and New Mexico. I present first the faith basis for my comments followed by specific recommendations for the EIS and rule changes. As a Christian I believe, as

universally all Christians do, that God is the Creator and that He plays a role in the creation of all living things. The Bible teaches us that all things in creation are good (Genesis 1:3-24). God calls upon us to be good stewards of the creation, "to work it and take care of it" (Genesis 2:15). God commands all living things, not just man, to share the creation and to "be fruitful and multiply" (Genesis 1:20 and 8:16). God entered into the Rainbow Covenant with "all life on earth," not just with man, promising to "never again destroy all life" (Genesis 9:8-17). Based on the forgoing faith teachings, I believe that for us to knowingly and intentionally drive a species to extinction, as we have almost done with the Mexican wolf, is a sin. For us to not do everything within our ability to restore and assure a sustainable population of Mexican gray wolves violates God's command that we should be good stewards and is a sin. For us to legally define the only wild population of highly endangered Mexican gray wolves as "nonessential" smacks contempt upon the Creator who clearly saw this species as essential when He commanded it to be fruitful and multiply and promised never again to destroy it. For us to countermand His commandment and promise is a sin. The problems in the recovery program over past nine years make it clear that greater legal protections and more management flexibility are needed if recovery and sustainability of the Mexican gray wolf are to succeed. My specific recommendations to meet these needs include the following: 1) Change the classification of the reintroduced population, either granting it full "endangered" protection or at least the "essential, experimental" designation permitted under the Endangered Species Act. 2) Eliminate the arbitrary and unenforceable boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA) and thereby permit the wolves to disperse naturally. 3) Expand the area into which new wolves can be released. The current practice of releasing new wolves only into Arizona when some of the most suitable habitat within the BRWRA is in New Mexico is an absurd practice based on decade old political folly, not sound science and logic. 4) Resolve wolf-livestock conflicts based on the best interests of the wolves. The wolves are a natural and essential part of the BRWRA eco-system, not the livestock. 5) Reduce the removal or "take" of wolves, an unfortunate outcome that most often results from the aforementioned conflicts with livestock. Reintroduction goals have not been met and are not likely to be in the near future because of the ongoing trapping, removal, relocation, and killing of both pups and adults. The focus of a new EIS and rule changes must be upon conservation of the species as an essential resource. I urge you to write these such that all government agencies and entities responsible for recovery of the Mexican gray wolf will be focused upon cooperatively doing everything within their collective powers to assure the success of the recovery program. Your consideration of these recommendations will be most appreciated. Dale Volz 1239 E. Sagebrush Gilbert, Arizona

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123121371432-272726 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:37:14 -0700 Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id DA33019E805D for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:12:15 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70]

(EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with
ESMTP id 973c9774.2611272624.17160.00-065.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-
from <berloiz1@yahoo.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:37:13 -0700
(MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109])
by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 20:32:43 -0800 PostedDate:
12/31/2007 09:37:13 PM \$MessageID:
<20080101043713.17229.61746.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From:
berloiz1@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray
Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012280904; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801);
MH=0.500(2007123154); R=0.108(1071131203553); SC=none;
SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <berloiz1@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP:
[65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 09:37:14
PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release
6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:09 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008
01:58:09 PM SMTPOriginator: berloiz1@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy:
,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 09:37:14 PM-12/31/2007 09:37:15 PM,12/31/2007 09:37:15 PM-12/31/2007
09:49:00 PM \$Orig: 9DCC6F07BCE821DF872573C3001961C8 Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 09:49:00 PM Dr. Brian
Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and
Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for
managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and
Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the
wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution
must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow
loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching
- to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please
develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact
statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves
from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered
status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of
wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect
infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of
which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which
will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative
should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive
breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable
the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which
no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the
boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained
by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed
free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render
inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being
attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on

stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Michelle Deering 180 Searidge Ct. #9 Aptos, CA 95003

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123121312014-272305 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:31:20 -0700 Received: from p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 9D8E219E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:06:21 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [209.191.86.173] (HELO web82403.mail.mud.yahoo.com) by p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 712c9774.2662304688.11950.00-063.p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <becklund57@yahoo.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:31:19 -0700 (MST) Received: (qmail 20320 invoked by uid 60001); 1 Jan 2008 04:31:19 -0000 DomainKey_Signature: a=rsa-sha1; q=dns; c=nofws; s=s1024; d=yahoo.com; h=X-YMail-OSG:Received:Date:From:Subject:To:MIME-Version:Content-Type:Content-Transfer-Encoding:Message-ID; b=JfLglkK0qIwpPOzySKvxZvh3KRZSJDCJADEry6zc+49nkrTX0p4+8W1TSnxjGKeD aWTjVS8SZqAQIb3Gy7IRu4r332HOaByvmKOsDtcETPFc7UkGpcXsH9GDK9wgB8A Vao3Rf4+b7hyPAGMnVvD1fz6XdpSpvbkvYPkiUQkzjx0=; X_YMail_OSG: ..a8cAwVM1miJwNb_TOWxKn7f.FcvZgvFB6FETALQ_fE7IXkTqH.4LRDDQ7IwkYh W9dMF_Mbf0JAQsJafNT4vPZ1.9wIjQ6kFKxbes10Clrs.6HIPfdHeuE6F7ffg-- Received: from [68.110.9.37] by web82403.mail.mud.yahoo.com via HTTP; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:31:19 PST PostedDate: 12/31/2007 09:31:19 PM From: Paul Becklund <becklund57@yahoo.com> Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov MIME_Version: 1.0 \$MessageID: <257959.17413.qm@web82403.mail.mud.yahoo.com> X_Spam: [F=0.0009585225; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123154); R=0.086(1071131203550); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <becklund57@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [209.191.86.173] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 09:31:20 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:10 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:10 PM SMTPOriginator: becklund57@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 09:31:20 PM-12/31/2007 09:31:21 PM,12/31/2007 09:31:21 PM-12/31/2007

09:32:43 PM \$Orig: C8D21AD8D2335056872573C30018D76E Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 09:32:43 PM Being that
we're Mexican Gray Wolves... We don't need no stinkeen
badges, We don't need no stinkeen cows, We don't
need no stinkeen boundaries, We don't need no stinkeen hunters, But
we do need some well fed ungulates! Signed, Los Lobos Gris Provided by: Paul
Becklund 910 S 3rd Avenue #5 Tucson, AZ 85701 Be a better friend,
newshound, and know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id
2007123121284039-272275 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:28:40 -0700 Received: from
p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by
smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id D188519E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>;
Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:03:41 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70]
(EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-
5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 771c9774.2538474416.188.00-
057.p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (envelope-from
<email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:28:39 -0700
(MST) Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-
poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTP; 31 Dec 2007 22:28:39 -0600 \$MessageID:
<6748315.1199161719529.JavaMail.www@app30> PostedDate: 12/31/2007 09:28:39
PM From: Daryl Gray <defenders@mail.defenders.org> ReplyTo: Daryl Gray
<dogray22@gmail.com> SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf
NEPA Scoping MIME_Version: 1.0 Organization: Defenders of
Wildlife X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22 X_Gateway: poolcons XData:
1010_9@nM9yneQ@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c X_ConvioDeliveryGroup:
poolb X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801);
MH=0.500(2007123154); R=0.009(1071131203554); SC=none;
SS=0.500] X_Mail_From:
<email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net> X_SOURCE_IP:
[66.45.103.70] \$SMIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 09:28:40
PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release
6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:10 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008
01:58:10 PM SMTPOriginator:
email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy:
,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 09:28:40 PM-12/31/2007 09:28:42 PM,12/31/2007 09:28:42 PM-12/31/2007
09:32:43 PM \$Orig: E2468406E383E4C1872573C300189907 Categories: \$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 09:32:43 PM Dec 31, 2007 Brian
Millsap Dear Millsap, As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management
who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to
take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest. After

ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006. Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them. There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act. As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule. First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves. Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best. Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made. I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest. Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter. Sincerely, Mr. Daryl Gray 31 Quail Hollow Rd Tijeras, NM 87059-6306

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123121290353-272283 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:29:03 -0700 Received: from p01c11m006.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 0377419E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:04:04 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m006.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id f81c9774.2507013040.10496.00-014.p01c11m006.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:29:03 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTP; 31 Dec 2007 22:29:02 -0600 \$MessageID: <33306108.1199161742701.JavaMail.www@app30> PostedDate: 12/31/2007 09:29:02 PM From: Nydia Rivera <defenders@mail.defenders.org> ReplyTo: Nydia Rivera <littlebit56@earthlink.net> SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping MIME_Version: 1.0 Organization: Defenders of Wildlife X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22 X_Gateway: poolcons XData: 1010.9@nM9ynnM@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb X_Spam: [F=0.0001998473; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.019(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123154); R=0.009(1071131203546); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [66.45.103.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-

SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 09:29:03 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:10 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:10 PM SMTPOriginator:
email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy:
,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 09:29:03 PM-12/31/2007 09:29:05 PM,12/31/2007 09:29:05 PM-12/31/2007 09:32:43 PM \$Orig: 89F336847C9B33DD872573C30018A212 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 09:32:43 PM Dec 31, 2007 Brian Millsap Dear Millsap, As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest. After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006. Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them. There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act. As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule. First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves. Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best. Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made. I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest. Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter. Sincerely, Ms. Nydia Rivera 5107 Silvertip Dr San Antonio, TX 78228-2742

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123121143365-272234 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 21:14:33 -0700 Received: from p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id E7DFC19E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:49:34 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.54.246.222] (EHLO bay0-omc3-s22.bay0.hotmail.com) by p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 92eb9774.2483760048.6376.00-028.p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <segodwin@hotmail.com>); Mon,

31 Dec 2007 21:14:33 -0700 (MST) Received: from BAY123-W31 ([207.46.11.66]) by bay0-omc3-s22.bay0.hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.3959); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:14:32 -0800 \$MessageID: <BAY123-W319F83A014206F87A77037C5510@phx.gbl> X-Originating_Ip: [71.107.65.167] From: susan godwin <segodwin@hotmail.com> SendTo: <r2fwe_al@fws.gov> Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping PostedDate: 12/31/2007 09:14:32 PM Importance: 2 MIME-Version: 1.0 X-OriginalArrivalTime: 01 Jan 2008 04:14:32.0693 (UTC) FILETIME=[CFB2C250:01C84C2C] X-Spam: [F=0.0110724932; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123154); R=0.525(1071131203550); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail_From: <segodwin@hotmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.54.246.222] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 09:14:33 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:11 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:11 PM SMTPOriginator: segodwin@hotmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 09:14:33 PM-12/31/2007 09:14:35 PM,12/31/2007 09:14:35 PM-12/31/2007 09:16:21 PM \$Orig: 9472D246C20DDF22872573C300174E45 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 09:16:21 PM To whom it may concern, While visiting the Bosque del Apache Wildlife Refuge I was given a leaflet concerning the plight of the Mexican gray wolf. We were traveling through Arizona and New Mexico and hoped to visit areas where the wolves have been reintroduced. We just returned home and I hope that these comments do not arrive too late for consideration. Please reclassify these wolves as endangered so that they receive the utmost protection and allow them to expand their territory. To help resolve the conflict with livestock owners, I think monetary compensation is acceptable if it can be proven that the livestock died due to an attack rather than of disease or starvation. Also, have any ranchers tried using large herding dogs to discourage the wolves? In many parts of the world, herding dogs are bred to protect livestock from wolves. The Anatolian Shepherd, and Briard are only two that come to mind. In addition I feel the "recovery" plan needs to be revised to include changes that have occurred in the past 25 years. Much knowledge has been gained regarding the role predators play in a healthy ecosystem.

Thank you for hearing my comments, S. E. Godwin 315 Argonne Ave. Long Beach, CA 90814 Don't get caught with egg on your face. Play Chicktionary! Check it out!

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123120491308-271989 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:49:13 -0700 Received: from p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id E36C019E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:24:13 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 838b9774.2455485360.25360.00-004.p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (envelope-

from <lswoodall@earthlink.net>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:49:12 -0700
(MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109])
by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 19:44:42 -0800 PostedDate:
12/31/2007 08:49:11 PM \$MessageID:
<20080101034911.17229.61522.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From:
lswoodall@earthlink.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray
Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012280904; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801);
MH=0.500(2007123153); R=0.108(1071131203550); SC=none;
SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <lswoodall@earthlink.net> X_SOURCE_IP:
[65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 08:49:13
PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release
6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:11 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008
01:58:11 PM SMTPOriginator: lswoodall@earthlink.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy:
,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 08:49:13 PM-12/31/2007 08:49:41 PM,12/31/2007 08:49:41 PM-12/31/2007
08:59:45 PM \$Orig: 412AAF31CE4176C9872573C30014FC4C Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 08:59:45 PM Dr. Brian
Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and
Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for
managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and
Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the
wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution
must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow
loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching
- to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please
develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact
statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves
from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered
status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of
wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect
infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of
which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which
will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative
should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive
breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable
the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which
no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the
boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained
by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed
free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render
inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being
attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on
stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent
predations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves

from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Sandra Woodall 4960 Allan Rd. Bethesda, MD 20816

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123120365429-271954 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:36:54 -0700 Received: from p01c11m006.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id CEC2319E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:11:54 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [216.184.2.91] (EHLO ikazuchi.swcp.com) by p01c11m006.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) over TLS secured channel with ESMTP id 455b9774.2730040240.98360.00-035.p01c11m006.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <hmich@thuntek.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:36:52 -0700 (MST) Received: from Office (tc1-86.swcp.com [216.184.4.246]) by ikazuchi.swcp.com (8.13.1/8.13.1) with ESMTP id m013agpJ084360 for <R2FWE_AL@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:36:47 -0700 (MST) (envelope-from hmich@thuntek.net) \$MessageID: <200801010336.m013agpJ084360@ikazuchi.swcp.com> From: "Heidi Anderson" <hmich@thuntek.net> SendTo: <R2FWE_AL@fws.gov> Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping PostedDate: 12/31/2007 08:39:38 PM MIME_Version: 1.0 \$Mailer: Microsoft Office Outlook, Build 11.0.5510 thread_index: AchMJ8u1ostmIDxWTd2osiwaVgZoiQ== X_MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2900.3198 X_Virus_Scanned: ClamAV 0.88.6/5325/Mon Dec 31 19:17:05 2007 on ikazuchi.swcp.com X_Virus_Status: Clean X_Processed_By: Rebuild v2.0-0 X_Spam: [F=0.0149253746; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123152); R=0.600(1071131142533); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <hmich@thuntek.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 08:36:54 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:13 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:13 PM SMTPOriginator: hmich@thuntek.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 08:36:54 PM-12/31/2007 08:36:55 PM,12/31/2007 08:36:55 PM-12/31/2007 08:43:21 PM \$Orig: B602807963A22996872573C30013DBB5 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 08:43:21 PM December 31, 2007 Dear Mr. Slown: I am a biologist with a master's degree as well as a science teacher. I have followed the wolf reintroduction closely for several years. I have also included the wolf re-introduction program as a unit in my science classes. I

emphatically support the reintroduction of the Mexican Wolf to the Southwest US. My comments fall into two areas as follows:

- BIOLOGY/ECOLOGY - Commit more staff, especially more experienced biologists who have studied wolves elsewhere, would help with the biological challenges.
- Increase the amount of area where wolves are released and allowed to exist. They were never evolved to be confined to a small home range and this must be especially true of wolves that have no established territory or competitors (other wolf packs).
- Concomitantly, reduce the trapping and killing of wolves by USFWS and its contractors. This should be done only in an emergency-type situation.
- Have patience. A biological system is complicated and can only be guided by people, not controlled. It just may be a particular set of wolves in a particular climate regime that results in success. If there are wolves in the ecosystem, and they belong there, then they will most likely find their niche eventually. "Life finds a way."

POLITICAL (Here's the tough one)

- Continue programs that reimburse livestock owners for their losses due to wolf kills.
- Work on new ways to improve and depolarize the relationship between local ranchers/landowners. Here are a few ideas...
- Increase passive public education initiatives about the importance of wolves and their ecology. By passive, I mean things such as plaques and posters and open forums where opponents to the reintroduction can learn and participate on their own terms. In my opinion, most of the people who oppose the program feel as if this has been forced on them, and, even worse, by people who live nowhere near them or the wolf habitat.
- Develop a program to commit funds to municipalities (the towns/counties or both) based on how many wolves or wolf pairs are living in that region. It is the situation with many large, endangered predators that if there is no economic benefit to the local people because of them, then the public does not want them around. A program of this type must obviously be planned wisely with respect to its longevity as well as the amount of money involved. If there was a benefit to the local community as a result of the reintroduction, there would likely be more support for it.
- Encourage the development of ecotourism opportunities with interested local people to demonstrate the value of these animals and the benefits to the communities in the region.
- Art and/or photography contests that involve the Mexican Wolf. My thinking on the art contest is that the wolf is an impressive animal and part of the natural and human heritage of the southwest. Perhaps, there is a sentiment in this that is akin to "The Old West" mentality that local landowners could identify with. I heard a scientist, Dr. Harry Greene, give a talk on reptiles to folks in a rural region of southeastern Arizona. His presentation was largely memorable to me because of the connections he drew between earlier generations (relatives of some of the folks in the audience) that settled and worked ranches in the area and the discovery of the unique animal and plants of the region that they were making a living in. It was a cool and somewhat moving experience to be one of only a few "city-boys" in a group of rural landowners/ranchers where we all enjoyed the presentation on the natural history of the area. People often have more in common than they think they do. It's just difficult to find. These comments are respectfully submitted for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me: anderson@aa.edu. Sincerely, Rich Anderson 3016 Sol de Vida NW Albuquerque, NM87120

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123120431906-271975 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:43:19 -0700 Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id CF9F419E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:18:19 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [209.85.132.242] (EHLO an-out-0708.google.com) by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 6d6b9774.2707381168.105825.00-046.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <greta.montagne@gmail.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:43:18 -0700 (MST) Received: by an-out-0708.google.com with SMTP id b33so855445ana.83 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:43:18 -0800 (PST) DKIM_Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed; d=gmail.com; s=gamma; h=domainkey-signature; received:received:user-agent:date:subject:from:to:message-id:thread-topic:thread-index:mime-version:content-type:content-transfer-encoding; bh=2kKuImi819SieykhaVC+/yRZOXIViW1UfeX5cNFbnH4=; b=N/QhseI4lrg9/0eyPEreUBIrQ5pxAo0yur/w+wkRqE5Dyz8CM18Ly93xwUOeFTq/M Mp6I9a9hdWv1DVyJ1wpNktX+L5GV5EMnhWD6GCgERbPJfr6fn+sKxqZvqES16dp9 M2BNYlf89uxPLyb0Uk29UNP5FDx0y8B7Fvccez/bg= DomainKey_Signature: a=rsa-sha1; c=nofws; d=gmail.com; s=gamma; h=user-agent:date:subject:from:to:message-id:thread-topic:thread-index:mime-version:content-type:content-transfer-encoding; b=wdTfOVexIvtCYTNFM85E3SeIc4G3sHF/OSiI9mx7VMKMsuRztzwHZnJ0pIDMuA LY1o/9PqQsYgIkxhSBmrkof/f22HIJpf/vFUJH41qtonDOKnXn7Xu8x9Lgn/UwMOkPU K78XQqtYDf4x23SwaEkkHim7rauLhrdvuqFKns57YE= Received: by 10.100.8.10 with SMTP id 10mr27120755anh.59.1199158998098; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:43:18 -0800 (PST) Received: from ?192.168.1.4? ([75.111.45.16]) by mx.google.com with ESMTPS id s30sm20778605elf.3.2007.12.31.19.43.16 (version=TLSv1/SSLv3 cipher=OTHER); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:43:17 -0800 (PST) User_Agent: Microsoft-Entourage/11.2.0.050811 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 08:43:17 PM Subject: Mexican Wolf Rule Change From: grizz <greta.montagne@gmail.com> SendTo: <r2fwe_al@fws.gov> \$MessageID: <C39EF6D5.4CCF%greta.montagne@gmail.com> Thread_Topic: Mexican Wolf Rule Change thread_index: AchMKHGysC/OyLgbEdyu6QAKIWfltA== MIME_Version: 1.0 X_Spam: [F=0.0013494272; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123152); R=0.117(1071131203546); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <greta.montagne@gmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [209.85.132.242] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 08:43:19 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:13 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:13 PM SMTPOriginator: greta.montagne@gmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 08:43:19 PM-12/31/2007 08:43:20 PM,12/31/2007 08:43:20 PM-12/31/2007

08:43:21 PM \$Orig: E5E12D0C2B38B33B872573C300147202 Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 08:43:21 PM Dear Sirs, I support Mexican wolves roaming free in the southwest. Please stop killing and removing Mexican wolves from their territory. Please revise your rules to allow less "take" of wolves. Please amend, update and revise the outdated Recovery Plan. Please change the rules that are currently allowing lazy and sloppy ranching techniques to directly cause mortality of wolves. The ranchers need to move over a little and share the land with the wild animals that belong there, especially the top predator, the wolf. The ranchers need to tend to their herds and remove dead animals with haste. Please expand the area for initial releases to the Blue Range in New Mexico so managers have the necessary tools to assure the sustainability and viability of the packs. Further, there should be no restrictions on where the wolves can roam. The ecosystems need them to disperse throughout the southwest and the people need to learn to manage their ranches with the wolves as a part of it. The Range Riders of Keystone Conservation are having good luck keeping depredations of livestock to a minimum in Montana. Perhaps a program like that could be implemented. Please change your rules so that Fish and Wildlife can fulfill its mandate to recover these ESSENTIAL wild wolves and make sure they survive in the wild. Thank you for taking my comments into account. Sincerely, Greta Montagne 2506 Jacoby Creek Rd Bayside, CA 95524

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123120350261-271944 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:35:02 -0700 Received: from p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 4375E19E80D3 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:10:03 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 5e4b9774.3287428016.74455.00-003.p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <karin@the-lost-arts.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:35:01 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 19:30:31 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 08:35:01 PM \$MessageID: <20080101033501.17229.61422.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: karin@the-lost-arts.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123152); R=0.107(1071131142538); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <karin@the-lost-arts.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 08:35:02 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:14 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:14 PM SMTPOriginator: karin@the-lost-arts.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 08:35:02 PM-12/31/2007 08:35:03 PM,12/31/2007 08:35:03 PM-12/31/2007

08:43:21 PM \$Orig: 447B2A1419960643872573C30013B015 Categories: \$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 08:43:21 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State
Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife
Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced
Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service
management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has
suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply
with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of
wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to
keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a
Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that
would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current
"experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do
not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits
on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the
potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already
called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely
be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include
the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding
population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish
and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf
packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries
of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by
any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed
free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render
inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being
attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on
stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent
depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves
from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of
wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the
east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for
its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that
might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if
wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts
with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your
consideration. Sincerely, Karin Collins 335 Pony Tail Rd. Alpharetta, GA 30004

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id
20071231202828-271905 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:28:28 -0700 Received: from
p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by
smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id DB76B19E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>;
Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:03:28 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70]
by p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id

b53b9774.3286318000.274801.00-031.p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:28:27 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTP; 31 Dec 2007 21:28:25 -0600 \$MessageID: <14374476.1199158105881.JavaMail.www@app30> PostedDate: 12/31/2007 08:28:25 PM From: Catherine Gumtow-Farrior <defenders@mail.defenders.org> ReplyTo: Catherine Gumtow-Farrior <gtahaka@aol.com> SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping MIME_Version: 1.0 Organization: Defenders of Wildlife X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22 X_Gateway: poolcons XData: 1010,9@nM9yn44@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb X_Spam: [F=0.0002438499; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.023(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123152); R=0.009(1071131142537); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 08:28:28 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:14 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:14 PM SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 08:28:28 PM-12/31/2007 08:28:29 PM,12/31/2007 08:28:29 PM-12/31/2007 08:43:21 PM \$Orig: 015F037D3E961E4C872573C30013160C Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 08:43:21 PM Dec 31, 2007 Brian Millsap Dear Millsap, The citizens have spoken time and again about the need for our wild wolves to return to the lands they were wiped from. We the people are tired of political force of a wealthy few to guide our national decisions about the wolf. The presence of the wolf is so completely positive to business interests and the rural communities that this is a no brainer. We demand that a serious approach to real wolf recovery for the Red wolf be put in place with real accomplishments to this end. It is a requirement for the publicly employed stewards of the public lands begin doing the job they are paid for. The private dissenters will have to accept. Decisions must be made for the public good. As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest. After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006. Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them. There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act. As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule. First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to

Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves. Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best. Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made. I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest. Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter. Sincerely, Ms. Catherine Gumtow-Farrior 4033 SE Tillamook Loop Prineville, OR 97754-8584

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123120285439-271908 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:28:54 -0700 Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 024FA19E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:03:54 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 573b9774.2632956848.18880.00-052.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kaydeech@yahoo.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:28:53 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 19:24:23 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 08:28:53 PM \$MessageID: <20080101032853.17229.61390.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: kaydeech@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123152); R=0.107(1071131142542); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <kaydeech@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 08:28:54 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:15 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:15 PM SMTPOriginator: kaydeech@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 08:28:54 PM-12/31/2007 08:28:55 PM,12/31/2007 08:28:55 PM-12/31/2007 08:43:21 PM \$Orig: 5E45425512EF6419872573C30013203F Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 08:43:21 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of

wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Christaleigh Tipton 1613 1st Ave SE Minot, ND 58702

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123120213146-271878 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:21:31 -0700 Received: from p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 027CB19E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:56:31 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id ab1b9774.2716687280.98071.00-044.p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <nes@mymailstation.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:21:30 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 19:17:00 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 08:21:30 PM \$MessageID: <20080101032130.17229.61330.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: nes@mymailstation.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801);

MH=0.500(2007123152); R=0.107(1071131142542); SC=none;
SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <nes@mymailstation.com> X_SOURCE_IP:
[65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 08:21:31
PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release
6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:15 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008
01:58:15 PM SMTPOriginator: nes@mymailstation.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy:
,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 08:21:31 PM-12/31/2007 08:21:33 PM,12/31/2007 08:21:33 PM-12/31/2007
08:27:17 PM \$Orig: 3E308BD9D78A9CE0872573C30012733A Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 08:27:17 PM Dr. Brian
Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and
Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for
managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and
Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the
wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution
must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow
loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching
- to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please
develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact
statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves
from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered
status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of
wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect
infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of
which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which
will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative
should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive
breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable
the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which
no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the
boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained
by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed
free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render
inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being
attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on
stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent
depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves
from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of
wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the
east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for
its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that
might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if
wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts
with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your

consideration. Sincerely, Nancy Sutherland 470 W. 24th St. #2i New York, NY 10011

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123120152240-271835 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:15:22 -0700 Received: from p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id D7B2319E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:50:22 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 940b9774.2641304496.36640.00-031.p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <easyeevinczik@hotmail.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:15:21 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 19:10:51 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 08:15:21 PM \$MessageID: <20080101031521.17229.61324.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: easyeevinczik@hotmail.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123152); R=0.107(1071131142533); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <easyeevinczik@hotmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 08:15:22 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:16 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:16 PM SMTPOriginator: easyeevinczik@hotmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 08:15:22 PM-12/31/2007 08:15:23 PM,12/31/2007 08:15:24 PM-12/31/2007 08:27:16 PM \$Orig: E52443DC81EB3294872573C30011E311 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 08:27:16 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already

called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Eric Evinczik 781 Richmond Ave Buffalo, NY 14222

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123120121718-271812 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:12:17 -0700 Received: from p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 9B8F019E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:47:17 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 09fa9774.2515446704.38457.00-035.p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jaeger_barbara@yahoo.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:12:16 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 19:07:46 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 08:12:16 PM \$MessageID: <20080101031216.17229.61274.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: jaeger_barbara@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123152); R=0.107(1071131142551); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <jaeger_barbara@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 08:12:17 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:16 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:16 PM SMTPOriginator: jaeger_barbara@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers:

CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 08:12:17 PM-12/31/2007 08:12:18 PM,12/31/2007 08:12:18 PM-12/31/2007
08:27:16 PM \$Orig: 84B4FFAD5AD5BACA872573C300119AB6 Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 08:27:16 PM Dr. Brian
Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and
Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for
managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and
Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the
wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution
must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow
loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching
- to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please
develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact
statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves
from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered
status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of
wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect
infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of
which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which
will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative
should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive
breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable
the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which
no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the
boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained
by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed
free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render
inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being
attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on
stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent
depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves
from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of
wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the
east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for
its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that
might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if
wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts
with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your
consideration. Sincerely, Barbara Jaeger 1085 Jaeger Rd. Kentwood, LA 70444

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id
2007123120070184-271786 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:07:01 -0700 Received: from
p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by

smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 3B5C019E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>;
Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:42:02 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70]
(EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with
ESMTP id 55ea9774.2515426224.27123.00-027.p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (envelope-
from <maciucki@yahoo.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:07:01 -0700
(MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109])
by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 19:02:30 -0800 PostedDate:
12/31/2007 08:07:00 PM \$MessageID:
<20080101030700.17229.61248.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From:
maciucki@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray
Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801);
MH=0.500(2007123152); R=0.107(1071131142533); SC=none;
SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <maciucki@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP:
[65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 08:07:01
PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release
6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:17 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008
01:58:17 PM SMTPOriginator: maciucki@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy:
,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 08:07:01 PM-12/31/2007 08:07:02 PM,12/31/2007 08:07:02 PM-12/31/2007
08:09:13 PM \$Orig: ABEDD463654FBFCD872573C300111F8A Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 08:09:13 PM Dr. Brian
Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and
Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for
managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and
Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the
wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution
must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow
loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching
- to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please
develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact
statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves
from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered
status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of
wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect
infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of
which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which
will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative
should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive
breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable
the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which
no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the
boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained
by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed
free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render

inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Marcella Ciucki 8723 Oakwood Avenue Munster, IN 46321-2731

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123119582709-271752 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:58:27 -0700 Received: from p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 6028319E8022 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:33:27 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 25ca9774.2695826352.41719.00-072.p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:58:26 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTP; 31 Dec 2007 20:58:24 -0600 \$MessageID: <21115068.1199156303955.JavaMail.www@app30> PostedDate: 12/31/2007 07:58:23 PM From: Kristine Wheaton <defenders@mail.defenders.org> ReplyTo: Kristine Wheaton <kwheaton@cox.net> SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping MIME_Version: 1.0 Organization: Defenders of Wildlife X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22 X_Gateway: poolcons XData: 1010.9@nM9yn9y@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb X_Spam: [F=0.0001434111; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.014(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123152); R=0.009(1071131142542); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 07:58:27 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:17 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:17 PM SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 07:58:27 PM-12/31/2007 07:58:27 PM,12/31/2007 07:58:27 PM-12/31/2007 08:09:13 PM \$Orig: 87570680A4D6B240872573C300105675 Categories: \$Revisions:

\$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 08:09:13 PM Dec 31, 2007 Brian Millsap Dear Millsap, As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest. After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006. Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them. There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act. As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule. First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves. Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best. Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made. I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest. Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter. Sincerely, Mrs. Kristine Wheaton 1725 N Diego Cir Mesa, AZ 85205-9502

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123119582674-271751 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:58:26 -0700 Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 0A1E919E8022 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:33:26 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 25ca9774.2561629104.13769.00-058.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:58:26 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTP; 31 Dec 2007 20:58:23 -0600 \$MessageID: <27531115.1199156303771.JavaMail.www@app30> PostedDate: 12/31/2007 07:58:23 PM From: Linda Stepp <defenders@mail.defenders.org> ReplyTo: Linda Stepp <travelinpaws@yahoo.com> SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf Protect them Now NEPA Scoping MIME_Version: 1.0 Organization: Defenders of Wildlife X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22 X_Gateway: poolcons XData: 1010.9@nM9yn99@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb X_Spam: [F=0.0001551784; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.015(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123152); R=0.009(1071131142554); SC=none;

SS=0.500] X_Mail_From:
<email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net> X_SOURCE_IP:
[(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 07:58:26
PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release
6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:18 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008
01:58:18 PM SMTPOriginator:
email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy:
,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 07:58:26 PM-12/31/2007 07:58:27 PM,12/31/2007 07:58:27 PM-12/31/2007
08:09:13 PM \$Orig: 384E02E54EF81410872573C300105652 Categories: \$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 08:09:13 PM Dec 31, 2007 Brian
Millsap Dear Millsap, As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management
who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to
take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest. After
ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the
Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in
the wild by 2006. Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world
and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these
facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them. There are millions of
acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves
continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as
they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act. As one of
900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the
following changes in the reintroduction rule. First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to
Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the
rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves. Second, allow the
wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is
best. Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure
that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size
and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward
recovery is being made. I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the
Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a
real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest. Thank you for considering
my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter. Sincerely, Ms. Linda Stepp 5822
Beau Jardin Dr Indianapolis, IN 46237-2257

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id
2007123119582531-271748 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:58:25 -0700 Received: from
p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by
smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 963D819E8022 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>;
Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:33:25 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70]
by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id

05ca9774.2488200112.13769.00-058.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:58:24 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTP; 31 Dec 2007 20:58:23 -0600 \$MessageID: <13814389.1199156303626.JavaMail.www@app30> PostedDate: 12/31/2007 07:58:23 PM From: Zoey Lee Barton <defenders@mail.defenders.org> ReplyTo: Zoey Lee Barton <zoey@mcquestions.com> SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov Subject: Please save the Mexican Gray Wolf MIME_Version: 1.0 Organization: Defenders of Wildlife X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22 X_Gateway: poolcons XData: 1010,9@nM9yKtn@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123152); R=0.009(1071131142554); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 07:58:25 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:18 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:18 PM SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 07:58:25 PM-12/31/2007 07:58:26 PM,12/31/2007 07:58:26 PM-12/31/2007 08:09:13 PM \$Orig: 2AEA1868C230CC91872573C3001055C4 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 08:09:13 PM Dec 31, 2007 Brian Millsap Dear Millsap, I write to address the needs and the specifics of the issue and to add my hope and prayers for the future of the Mexican Gray Wolves. On a personal note I am sincerely amazed that an invisible boundary line is set and that us humans {especially the ones of us charged with protecting wildlife} would imagine that an animal would understand or respect such a boundary. These animals existence is in your hands, you have the ability to make a change, to save a species from the brink of extinction. This could be a bygone race in our children's and their children's days. You have the power today. Not many of us have such a beautiful task as to help save a species, to give something so powerful back to the earth. Please consider in your heart the importance of that task. To many good things in this world have been lost to furthering capitalism {livestock industry included}, please don't let these animals be lost for all time. The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in

the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them. Please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range and to be released where biologists say is best. Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. 5) Please don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made. I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest. Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter. I am also a property owner and a future concerned resident of NM. Sincerely, Mrs. Zoey Lee Barton 8814 S Fuller Rd 60 Rattlesnake Canyon Rd. Rodeo NM Tucson, AZ 85735

p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 80B9F19E8022 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:31:38 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 5eba9774.2633632688.4403.00-045.p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <frankiepanky@earthlink.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:56:37 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 18:52:07 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 07:56:37 PM \$MessageID: <20080101025637.17229.61182.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: frankiepanky@earthlink.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123152); R=0.107(1071131142554); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <frankiepanky@earthlink.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 07:56:38 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:19 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:19 PM SMTPOriginator: frankiepanky@earthlink.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 07:56:38 PM-12/31/2007 07:56:39 PM,12/31/2007 07:56:39 PM-12/31/2007 08:09:13 PM \$Orig: D72BA62958D1DA6C872573C300102BF2 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 08:09:13 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained

by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Franklin Platizky 3117 Cedar Hill Denton, TX 76209

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123119573829-271742 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:57:38 -0700 Received: from p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 8A8A619E8022 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:32:38 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 12ca9774.2622364592.58331.00-015.p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gnatcatcher@sbcglobal.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:57:37 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 18:53:07 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 07:57:37 PM \$MessageID: <20080101025737.17229.61184.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: gnatcatcher@sbcglobal.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123152); R=0.107(1071131142549); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <gnatcatcher@sbcglobal.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 07:57:38 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:19 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:19 PM SMTPOriginator: gnatcatcher@sbcglobal.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 07:57:38 PM-12/31/2007 07:57:39 PM,12/31/2007 07:57:39 PM-12/31/2007 08:09:13 PM \$Orig: 2FEECFF8B79D9B6F872573C300104365 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 08:09:13 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and

Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Kenneth Weaver 1113 Senwood Way Fallbrook, CA 92028

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123119553828-271735 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:55:38 -0700 Received: from p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 8669319E8022 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:30:38 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 9aba9774.2511809456.8686.00-032.p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kwheaton@cox.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:55:37 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 18:51:07 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 07:55:37 PM \$MessageID:

<20080101025537.17229.61178.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: kwheaton@cox.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123152); R=0.107(1071131142549); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <kwheaton@cox.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 07:55:38 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:20 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:20 PM SMTPOriginator: kwheaton@cox.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 07:55:38 PM-12/31/2007 07:55:39 PM,12/31/2007 07:55:39 PM-12/31/2007 08:09:13 PM \$Orig: B8BE6604EA08D58D872573C300101485 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 08:09:13 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that

might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Kris Wheaton 1725 N. Diego Circle Mesa, AZ 85205

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123119513664-271712 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:51:36 -0700 Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id D184F19E8022 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:26:36 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 7baa9774.2424155056.45907.00-042.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bufsabrefan39@aol.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:51:35 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 18:47:05 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 07:51:35 PM \$MessageID: <20080101025135.17229.61162.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: bufsabrefan39@aol.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123152); R=0.107(1071131142533); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <bufsabrefan39@aol.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 07:51:36 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:20 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:20 PM SMTPOriginator: bufsabrefan39@aol.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 07:51:36 PM-12/31/2007 07:51:37 PM,12/31/2007 07:51:37 PM-12/31/2007 07:53:12 PM \$Orig: 86CBE496A6C0A0D6872573C3000FB620 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 07:53:12 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect

infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Donna Burnett 115 Avon Ave Liverpool, NY 13088

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123119483685-271699 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:48:36 -0700 Received: from p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 096CA19E8022 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:23:36 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 40aa9774.2572843952.78432.00-015.p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <johnstonstuartf@hotmail.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:48:36 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 18:44:05 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 07:48:35 PM \$MessageID: <20080101024835.17229.61160.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: johnstonstuartf@hotmail.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123152); R=0.107(1071131142542); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <johnstonstuartf@hotmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$SMIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 07:48:36 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:21 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:21 PM SMTPOriginator: johnstonstuartf@hotmail.com RoutingState:

\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers:
CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 07:48:36 PM-12/31/2007 07:48:38 PM,12/31/2007 07:48:38 PM-12/31/2007
07:53:12 PM \$Orig: 13302ECA26E0798B872573C3000F6FE5 Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 07:53:12 PM Dr. Brian
Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and
Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for
managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and
Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the
wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution
must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow
loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching
- to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please
develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact
statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves
from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered
status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of
wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect
infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of
which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which
will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative
should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive
breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable
the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which
no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the
boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained
by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed
free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render
inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being
attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on
stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent
depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves
from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of
wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the
east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for
its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that
might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if
wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts
with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your
consideration. Sincerely, Stuart Johnston P.O. Box 505 Lyle, WA 98635

p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by
smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 6A34B19E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>;
Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:16:52 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [66.196.97.61]
(HELO web56702.mail.re3.yahoo.com) by p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-
5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f68a9774.3559054256.219764.00-
027.p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kriya_babaji@yahoo.com>); Mon,
31 Dec 2007 19:41:51 -0700 (MST) Received: (qmail 38004 invoked by uid 60001); 1
Jan 2008 02:41:50 -0000 DomainKey_Signature: a=rsa-sha1; q=dns; c=nofws; s=s1024;
d=yahoo.com; h=X-YMail-OSG:Received:Date:From:Subject:To:MIME-
Version:Content-Type:Content-Transfer-Encoding:Message-ID;
b=r88EIWQfiB9qyFrMmVyE8psHk02B+TFzkktCiIb7FwisXWG9Lc8CigWAzIH/Qupc
PZ9iRQnvE/MPuxqJXjPWXv1aLQZXEGhU+5C1Wc1+QHAawQxre6EXUJGo1VuE
Da54BUzebCVlxgH3Nw8RZ563ZZAqhJTNkQaaecKst+/ams=; X_YMail_OSG:
6EtpxEVIM1m..WQPFjR4SJnQA7t3HLLytQmU08B6ta.lkJzAkCHxwiDT8ZOvPreMnx
HtovMNKdvjuge5g_Y1HHD3_RCNw3bDD72p.idUrpFMiMihGPknqzoZuzyPGRKZw
myrFr5ced7tgh2zsquwk9KqA-- Received: from [67.9.136.210] by
web56702.mail.re3.yahoo.com via HTTP; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:41:50 PST PostedDate:
12/31/2007 07:41:50 PM From: Shane McDermott <kriya_babaji@yahoo.com> Subject:
Wolf Recovery SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov MIME_Version: 1.0 \$MessageID:
<861508.37813.qm@web56702.mail.re3.yahoo.com> X_Spam: [F=0.0003194961;
B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123152);
R=0.030(1071131142537); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From:
<kriya_babaji@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [66.196.97.61] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by
SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at
12/31/2007 07:41:52 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena
Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:21
PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:21 PM SMTPOriginator:
kriya_babaji@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 07:41:52 PM-12/31/2007 07:41:54 PM,12/31/2007 07:41:54 PM-12/31/2007
07:53:12 PM \$Orig: E049762E5BFD1257872573C3000ED1E6 Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 07:53:12 PM December
30/07 Shane McDermott Wild Earth Illuminations 2801 North Garrett Lane Flagstaff,
Arizona 86001 wildearthilluminations@yahoo.com 928-380-4757 John Sloan U.S. Fish
and Wildlife Service New Mexico Ecological Services Field Office 2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque , NM 87113 Attention John Sloan As a professional wildlife
photographer, I feel both inspired and capable of participating and contributing to the
wolf recovery project. From my perspective, if this project is to be consider a success
long term, public perception and awareness of the wolves, needs to change. People
would need, and want the opportunity to gain insight and a better understanding into the
lives of the wolves and feel as though they are an integral part of the recovery
efforts. Weather we realize it or not a great deal our awareness and perceptions of
wildlife are strongly influenced by the images, (both photography and video-graphy) we
see throughout our lives. A substantial amount of the wolf images and information we
have been exposed to have been inaccurately portrayed through movie industry, weather

in the form of Hollywood fictional depictions or misrepresented documentaries. It is through the eyes and minds of our younger generation that we can leave powerful, realistic and lasting impressions of these great creatures we are trying to protect. Through the lens of photography we can provide the school systems and children with rare insights into the world of the wolves. Through school based educational programs I feel strongly that we have enormous potential to positively impact children and parents - educating and inspiring them to become directly and actively involved in the wolf recovery program. I am willing to offer my time, skill and knowledge in the craft of wildlife photography to provide powerful images that would aid in the visual content of educational presentations. I would be willing to work closely with the involved rangers and wildlife biologists to obtain the necessary images that would accurately depict the wild wolves engaged in their daily struggles for survival. Working in co-operation with rangers and wildlife biologists I would be willing to commit the time necessary to the development and presentation of educational based programs within and around the Flagstaff area. In addition, any images I was successful in obtaining I would permit to be used at no charge in all wolf recovery projects and efforts throughout the southwest. If you are willing to consider such an arrangement and are interested in seeing my work, please visit my website at:

www.shanemcdermottphotography.com Look forward to talking with you soon. Sincerely, Shane McDermott Be a better friend, newshound, and know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123119371403-271641 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:37:14 -0700 Received: from p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 1A33D19E8022 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:12:14 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 957a9774.2452954032.145414.00-055.p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mrdoolin@bellsouth.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:37:13 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 18:32:42 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 07:37:12 PM \$MessageID: <20080101023712.17229.61118.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: mrdoolin@bellsouth.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123152); R=0.107(1071131142535); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <mrdoolin@bellsouth.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 07:37:14 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:22 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:22 PM SMTPOriginator: mrdoolin@bellsouth.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-

SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 07:37:14 PM-12/31/2007 07:37:16 PM,12/31/2007 07:37:16 PM-12/31/2007
07:53:12 PM \$Orig: AADAB63371D749E9872573C3000E652D Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 07:53:12 PM Dr. Brian
Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and
Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for
managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and
Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the
wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution
must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow
loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching
- to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please
develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact
statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves
from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered
status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of
wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect
infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of
which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which
will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative
should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive
breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable
the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which
no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the
boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained
by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed
free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render
inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being
attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on
stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent
depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves
from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of
wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the
east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for
its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that
might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if
wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts
with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your
consideration. Sincerely, David Lentol 6181 Balboa Circle #301 Boca Raton, FL
33433

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id
2007123119361342-271635 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:36:13 -0700 Received: from
p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by

smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 7587E19E8022 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>;
Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:11:13 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70]
(EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with
ESMTP id c17a9774.2455034800.75167.00-041.p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (envelope-
from <gklimczak@neo.rr.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:36:12 -0700
(MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109])
by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 18:31:42 -0800 PostedDate:
12/31/2007 07:36:12 PM \$MessageID:
<20080101023612.17229.61112.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From:
gklimczak@neo.rr.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray
Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500;
S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123152); R=0.107(1071131142533); SC=none;
SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <gklimczak@neo.rr.com> X_SOURCE_IP:
[65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 07:36:13
PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release
6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:22 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008
01:58:22 PM SMTPOriginator: gklimczak@neo.rr.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy:
,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 07:36:13 PM-12/31/2007 07:36:15 PM,12/31/2007 07:36:15 PM-12/31/2007
07:36:15 PM \$Orig: 4A966B4449DEE8E5872573C3000E4D7E Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 07:36:15 PM Dr. Brian
Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and
Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for
managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and
Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the
wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution
must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow
loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching
- to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please
develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact
statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves
from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered
status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of
wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect
infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of
which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which
will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative
should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive
breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable
the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which
no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the
boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained
by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed
free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render

inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Gloria Klimczak 924 Springdale St Akron, OH 44310

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123119301133-271601 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:30:11 -0700 Received: from p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 4D7D819E8022 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:05:11 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 2b5a9774.2601565104.14712.00-019.p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kroediger@aol.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:30:10 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 18:25:39 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 07:30:09 PM \$MessageID: <20080101023009.17229.61086.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: kroediger@aol.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123152); R=0.107(1071131142549); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <kroediger@aol.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 07:30:11 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:23 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:23 PM SMTPOriginator: kroediger@aol.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 07:30:11 PM-12/31/2007 07:30:12 PM,12/31/2007 07:30:12 PM-12/31/2007 07:36:15 PM \$Orig: 4D29B0AEEF18F714872573C3000DC00D Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 07:36:15 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, It's time to fix the Mexican gray wolf reintroduction program and get it to where it should have been in the first place. Fish and Wildlife Service management have not done the will of the majority of the public, which is in support of the reintroduction project. Fish and Wildlife, with its heavy reliance on predator control

targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. Fish and Wildlife should do what the majority want and not kowtow to a few ranchers: please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. That's what they are. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituated to predation on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Almost 70 wolves have been removed from the wild, or have died during these removal/recapture or have been purposefully killed by Fish and Wildlife because they acted like wolves. Ranchers are reimbursed for livestock depredation, so they don't lose money. They, however, for the most part have refused to help themselves or the program by rendering livestock that die of other causes inedible. How difficult is that? I like what the Arizona Republic editorial of December 17, 2007 said: "Ranchers can choose to be part of that effort (wolf reintroduction) or they can get out of the way. They should not be allowed to undermine it." Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Kathy Roediger 1449 E Highland Apt 41 Phoenix, AZ 85014-3766

p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 605A719E8022 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:04:09 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 475a9774.3451808688.177380.00-033.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <btpg2252@yahoo.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:29:08 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 18:24:38 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 07:29:08 PM \$MessageID: <20080101022908.17229.61074.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: btpg2252@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123152); R=0.107(1071131142538); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <btpg2252@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 07:29:09 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:23 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:23 PM SMTPOriginator: btpg2252@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 07:29:09 PM-12/31/2007 07:29:10 PM,12/31/2007 07:29:10 PM-12/31/2007 07:36:15 PM \$Orig: 09276FDCF78DEEC8872573C3000DA7DF Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 07:36:15 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed

free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Brian Gibbons 9133 Edmonston Terrace Apt 304 Greenbelt, MD 20770

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123119301043-271600 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:30:10 -0700 Received: from p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 66A5C19E8022 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:05:10 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 1b5a9774.2507156400.14712.00-019.p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ausmoo@aol.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:30:09 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 18:25:39 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 07:30:09 PM \$MessageID: <20080101023009.17229.61084.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: ausmoo@aol.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123152); R=0.107(1071131142549); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <ausmoo@aol.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 07:30:10 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:24 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:24 PM SMTPOriginator: ausmoo@aol.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 07:30:10 PM-12/31/2007 07:30:11 PM,12/31/2007 07:30:11 PM-12/31/2007 07:36:15 PM \$Orig: 5459DCD2FDAC5968872573C3000DBFB3 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 07:36:15 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and

Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Ann Smith 89 Hillside Ave West Orange, NJ 07052

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123119282141-271586 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:28:21 -0700 Received: from p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 5D01419E8022 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:03:21 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 445a9774.2714598320.104759.00-047.p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:28:20 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTP; 31 Dec 2007 20:28:19 -0600 \$MessageID: <27681523.1199154499136.JavaMail.www@app30> PostedDate: 12/31/2007 07:28:19

PM From: Terri Denemy <defenders@mail.defenders.org> ReplyTo: Terri Denemy <denemyaz@aol.com> SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping MIME_Version: 1.0 Organization: Defenders of Wildlife X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22 X_Gateway: poolcons XData: 1010,9@nM9yKQ9@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb X_Spam: [F=0.0001489845; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.014(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123150); R=0.009(1071131142533); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 07:28:21 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:24 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:24 PM SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 07:28:21 PM-12/31/2007 07:28:21 PM,12/31/2007 07:28:22 PM-12/31/2007 07:36:15 PM \$Orig: BB2B8998EAA15DAB872573C3000D951D Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 07:36:15 PM Dec 31, 2007 Brian Millsap Dear Millsap, As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest. After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006. Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them. There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act. As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule. First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves. Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best. Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made. I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest. Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter. Sincerely, Ms. Terri Denemy 1702 S 121st Dr Avondale, AZ 85323-8159

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123119281997-271584 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:28:19 -0700 Received: from p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id E7A9119E8022 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:03:19 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 345a9774.2557250480.104759.00-047.p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:28:19 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTP; 31 Dec 2007 20:28:18 -0600 \$MessageID: <14189533.1199154498961.JavaMail.www@app30> PostedDate: 12/31/2007 07:28:18 PM From: Lynn Van Kaam <defenders@mail.defenders.org> ReplyTo: Lynn Van Kaam <lynvan77@yahoo.com> SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping MIME_Version: 1.0 Organization: Defenders of Wildlife X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22 X_Gateway: poolcons XData: 1010_9@nM9yKMQ@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb X_Spam: [F=0.0003366361; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.032(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123150); R=0.009(1071131142533); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [66.45.103.70] \$SMIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 07:28:19 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:25 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:25 PM SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 07:28:19 PM-12/31/2007 07:28:20 PM,12/31/2007 07:28:21 PM-12/31/2007 07:36:15 PM \$Orig: A3EC1FA729F2B42D872573C3000D948F Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 07:36:15 PM Dec 31, 2007 Brian Millsap Dear Millsap, As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest. After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006. Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them. There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act. As one of 900,000 members and supporters of

Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule. First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves. Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best. Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made. I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest. Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter. Sincerely, Mrs. Lynn Van Kaam 23280 W Mohave St Buckeye, AZ 85326-4029

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123119194106-271535 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:19:41 -0700 Received: from p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id E12EE19E8022 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:54:40 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id c33a9774.2548493232.104330.00-033.p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <steinwest1@hotmail.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:19:40 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 18:15:10 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 07:19:39 PM \$MessageID: <20080101021939.17229.60978.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: steinwest1@hotmail.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123150); R=0.107(1071131142535); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <steinwest1@hotmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 07:19:41 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:25 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:25 PM SMTPOriginator: steinwest1@hotmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 07:19:41 PM-12/31/2007 07:19:42 PM,12/31/2007 07:19:42 PM-12/31/2007 07:20:10 PM \$Orig: 49A39555A675DB19872573C3000CC9DA Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 07:20:10 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the

wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Richard Stein 4827 Niagara Av., #9 San Diego, CA 92107

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123119183950-271532 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:18:39 -0700 Received: from p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 57BAD19E8022 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:53:39 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id ef2a9774.2630335408.8111.00-049.p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <phixgrrrl@lycos.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:18:38 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 18:14:08 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 07:18:38
PM \$MessageID:
<20080101021838.17229.60976.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From:

phixgrrrl@lycos.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123150); R=0.107(1071131142535); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <phixgrrrl@lycos.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 07:18:39 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:26 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:26 PM SMTPOriginator: phixgrrrl@lycos.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 07:18:39 PM-12/31/2007 07:18:40 PM,12/31/2007 07:18:40 PM-12/31/2007 07:20:10 PM \$Orig: CD15E0481EBF4459872573C3000CB1CE Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 07:20:10 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if

wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Danielle Masek 1930 Green Trails Dr. Lisle, IL 60532

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123119181826-271529 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:18:18 -0700 Received: from p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 0BDF719E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:53:17 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.54.246.169] (EHLO bay0-omc2-s33.bay0.hotmail.com) by p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 9e2a9774.2694724528.8985.00-014.p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <pangaya@msn.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:18:17 -0700 (MST) Received: from hotmail.com ([64.4.51.84]) by bay0-omc2-s33.bay0.hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.3959); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:18:17 -0800 Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:18:17 -0800 \$MessageID: <BAY107-DAV12926B6F77E4CDA307C6E5A4510@phx.gbl> Received: from 4.176.33.192 by BAY107-DAV12.phx.gbl with DAV; Tue, 01 Jan 2008 02:18:13 +0000 X-Originating_Ip: [4.176.33.192] X-Originating_Email: [pangaya@msn.com] X_Sender: pangaya@msn.com From: <pangaya@msn.com> SendTo: <R2FWE_AL@fws.gov> Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping PostedDate: 12/31/2007 07:18:04 PM MIME_Version: 1.0 DeliveryPriority: N X_MSMail_Priority: Normal \$Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2900.3138 X_MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2900.3198 X_OriginalArrivalTime: 01 Jan 2008 02:18:17.0139 (UTC) FILETIME=[91F1B030:01C84C1C] X_Processed_By: Rebuild v2.0-0 X_Spam: [F=0.0057689702; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123150); R=0.364(1071131142533); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <pangaya@msn.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.54.246.169] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 07:18:18 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:26 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:26 PM SMTPOriginator: pangaya@msn.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 07:18:18 PM-12/31/2007 07:18:19 PM,12/31/2007 07:18:19 PM-12/31/2007 07:20:10 PM \$Orig: 10304DAAAE0E8835872573C3000CA982 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 07:20:10 PM To John Slown with US Fish And Wildlife, Thank you so much for offering us this time to make a public commentary on the body of your (Fish and Wildlife's) work to date concerning the Mexican Wolf reintroduction Program. I read a written speech at the first meetings, and provided public commentary at that time also. So I have been on board with your program from day 1. I would like to express pride in the work that you

have done in favor of wolf reintroduction. I have heard comments that things have not been fast enough and frankly it seemed like it happened in the blink of an eye, almost overnight! Congratulations! I would like to say after speaking with representatives at the meetings, I was happy to hear of an incident where a teenage boy had spotted two wolves while out hunting. Isn't this exactly the kind of encounter most nature lovers wait a lifetime to experience? Like seeing the whales fly out of the open ocean! It can really make your heart beat and feel the life within you! This is the type of encounter I had always dreamed could one day happen again. I would like to see the program expand and increase the wolves range and still have the restrictions maintained on them so that poachers and ranchers and ne'er-do-wells (which are out there!) can be held accountable for their actions. More people should be held accountable for their actions these days and this seems to be a perfectly good place to make people play by the rules.

I was very dissapointed to hear that the offspring of the wolves who had mated with dogs were Put To Death. In the 21st Century this approach seems awefully barbaric. Even Show Dog people figured out 30 years ago about spaying and neutering as puppies (at 6-8 weeks old) eliminates the need to drown puppies that are the wrong color or even deaf or blind. From this you should learn that we are THE CUSTODIANS (not the jailers, and executioners) of these animals, So spoiling the gene pool can be avoided, and so can the liability issue. There are numerous USDA approved facilities where wolf dogs go as permanent refugees for educational purposes and also just refuges where they can go that don't even show them to the public. If animal controls through out the country are willing to let these groups have these animals, how different is this branch of government? Either way these pups have just as much right to a life as the rest do and once they are here, and we are the CUSTODIANS, remember? So I would hope that enough people have written commentary concerning this issue by now, that it was an unaddressed area and now should be addressed in a more humane and a more realistic and in a more avant-garde fashion. Something that brings the US Fish and Wildlife into the 21st Century with some grace and dignity in their role and in their demeanor. Other wise you're just "copping out". So I am hoping to hear good news again in the future. I would like to hear more of your successess, and please keep the "obstacles" in the path to a minimum with some simple forethought. So far you are doing well and seem to truly have pride in, and see the value in the reintroduction program you have so successfully started. DON'T Lose ground now! Thank you for your time and concern in this matter: Danya Leshick, Director, Where Wolves Rescue. 30040 N 167th Ave Surprise Az 85387 623-546-9653 main, 602-689-8506 cell.

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123119022751-271396 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:02:27 -0700 Received: from p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 3075719E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:37:27 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [206.190.36.200] (HELO web53805.mail.re2.yahoo.com) by p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 23f99774.2602109872.9698.00-072.p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mikecease@yahoo.com>); Mon, 31 Dec

2007 19:02:26 -0700 (MST) Received: (qmail 9069 invoked by uid 60001); 1 Jan 2008
02:02:26 -0000 DomainKey_Signature: a=rsa-sha1; q=dns; c=nofws; s=s1024;
d=yahoo.com; h=X-YMail-OSG:Received:Date:From:Subject:To:MIME-
Version:Content-Type:Content-Transfer-Encoding:Message-ID;
b=TesJCYKkFp92564W3a/l9OGx1G0dzdtMIp+9pwHdOYiaIVgQIib6Q9fWK4toPzbmh
rQQagVe8TfSYunaIUmqS5SFXGOxgZouZiDK5InYAnEId/ELg7COzjHozcjINMI3H5
AXBSyRD1AhQ8XWMxiyeyVVoSRMBIclpMH7+MgFIxY=; X_YMail_OSG:
lCL0cs4VM1koYzShVqYKSdG8UPCw8WMrTBS_fFl6m3hokzlrshs8m5aNkgkFnI4dvv
6r96HDsreEo5n_mZrd7LspzN1FbIBXXd77Ng.p0d1Qvkr0exx6Ncy24TOZFDp3xElyVl
jXPPRht7A- Received: from [71.210.116.120] by web53805.mail.re2.yahoo.com via
HTTP; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:02:26 PST PostedDate: 12/31/2007 07:02:26 PM From:
Michael Cease <mikecease@yahoo.com> Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA
Scoping SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov MIME_Version: 1.0 \$MessageID:
<346675.8634.qm@web53805.mail.re2.yahoo.com> X_Spam: [F=0.0010221340;
B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123150);
R=0.091(1071131142551); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From:
<mikecease@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [206.190.36.200] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by
SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at
12/31/2007 07:02:27 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena
Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:27
PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:27 PM SMTPOriginator:
mikecease@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 07:02:27 PM-12/31/2007 07:02:28 PM,12/31/2007 07:02:28 PM-12/31/2007
07:04:09 PM \$Orig: 9F1F839252EAB0FC872573C3000B361F Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 07:04:09 PM Attn:
Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping I am writing to address changes to the rules
governing the Mexican gray wolf reintroduction project. I have traveled through parts of
both New Mexico and Arizona, which are included in the Blue Range Recovery Area. It
is one of the most uniquely beautiful areas in all of North America. Predators are
necessary to maintain the health of ecosystems. I am a hiker. However, more
importantly, I am a citizen of the planet. Biodiversity of species is under attack all over
the world. Specifically, within the current rule, the Fish and Wildlife Service is not
fulfilling its mandate under the Endangered Species Act to recover the population of the
Mexican gray wolves in this region. Several items need to be addressed during this
rule change process. The Recovery Plan is out of date and needs to be revised in advance
of rule changes in order not to preclude future recovery actions. The population of
Mexican gray wolves is not being successfully recovered. The rule changes must
reflect that the recovery project is ESSENTIAL rather than non-essential. Wolf
populations need to be much, much larger than the currently specified number of
100. Wolves require large areas. Not only must initial releases be allowed anywhere
within the entire recovery region (not just in Arizona as currently managed), but also,
their movements must not be restricted to just within the region because they need large
areas. It is also critical that any and all livestock owners who use public lands be
required to clean up and remove their dead stock animals before wolves can find and

scavenge on them. Thank you for your consideration of my input. Sincerely, Michael E.
Cease 2540 E. 8th St. Tucson, AZ
85716

Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your home
page. <http://www.yahoo.com/r/hs>

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id
2007123118582168-271367 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:58:21 -0700 Received: from
p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by
smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 4E85719E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>;
Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:33:21 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70]
by p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id
d3e99774.2716695472.6101.00-064.p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (envelope-from
<email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:58:21 -0700
(MST) Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-
poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTP; 31 Dec 2007 19:58:20 -0600 \$MessageID:
<9920972.1199152700025.JavaMail.www@app30> PostedDate: 12/31/2007 06:58:20
PM From: E Valencia <defenders@mail.defenders.org> ReplyTo: E Valencia
<evanospam@coffeen.net> SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf
NEPA Scoping MIME_Version: 1.0 Organization: Defenders of
Wildlife X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22 X_Gateway: poolcons XData:
1010,9@nM9yKyQ@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c X_ConvioDeliveryGroup:
poolb X_Spam: [F=0.0001427107; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.013(2007121801);
MH=0.500(2007123150); R=0.009(1071131142533); SC=none;
SS=0.500] X_Mail_From:
<email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net> X_SOURCE_IP:
[(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 06:58:21
PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release
6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:27 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008
01:58:27 PM SMTPOriginator:
email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy:
,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 06:58:21 PM-12/31/2007 06:58:22 PM,12/31/2007 06:58:22 PM-12/31/2007
07:04:09 PM \$Orig: C941E504AAD25990872573C3000AD618 Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 07:04:09 PM Dec 31,
2007 Brian Millsap Dear Millsap, As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife
management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing
to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the
Southwest. After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in
the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of
establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006. Mexican wolves are one of the most
endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to

Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them. There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act. As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule. First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves. Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best. Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made. I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest. Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter. Sincerely, Ms. E Valencia Portland St Phoenix, AZ 85007

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123118582048-271364 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:58:20 -0700 Received: from p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 1D37F19E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:33:20 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id b3e99774.3559054256.164072.00-008.p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:58:19 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTP; 31 Dec 2007 19:58:18 -0600 \$MessageID: <21173100.1199152698737.JavaMail.www@app30> PostedDate: 12/31/2007 06:58:18 PM From: Leslee Doner <defenders@mail.defenders.org> ReplyTo: Leslee Doner <leslee.doner@hp.com> SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping MIME_Version: 1.0 Organization: Defenders of Wildlife X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22 X_Gateway: poolcons XData: 1010,9@nM9yKy9@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb X_Spam: [F=0.0004426046; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.041(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123148); R=0.009(1071131142537); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 06:58:20 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:28 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:28 PM SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy:

,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 06:58:20 PM-12/31/2007 06:58:21 PM,12/31/2007 06:58:21 PM-12/31/2007 07:04:09 PM \$Orig: A068C9A5611FBAB6872573C3000AD5A0 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 07:04:09 PM Dec 31, 2007 Brian Millsap Dear Millsap, As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest. After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006. Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them. There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act. As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule. First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves. Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best. Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made. I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest. Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter. Sincerely, Ms. Leslee Doner 2500 Millway Dr Boise, ID 83709-2354

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123118472933-271287 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:47:29 -0700 Received: from p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id D0DAB19E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:22:28 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 0bb99774.2475432880.263667.00-026.p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gsweel@msn.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:47:28 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 17:42:58 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 06:47:28 PM \$MessageID: <20080101014728.17229.60862.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: gsweel@msn.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf

NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123148); R=0.107(1071131142532); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <gsweel@msn.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 06:47:29 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:28 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:28 PM SMTPOriginator: gsweel@msn.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 06:47:29 PM-12/31/2007 06:47:31 PM,12/31/2007 06:47:30 PM-12/31/2007 06:47:32 PM \$Orig: F4F0AD26A42F7810872573C30009D745 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 06:47:32 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts

with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Greg Sweel 1920 6th Street #343 Santa Monica, CA 90405

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123118335756-271215 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:33:57 -0700 Received: from p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id DFB6619E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:08:56 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 48899774.2727103408.1254.00-043.p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ellen.hamilton5@verizon.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:33:56 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 17:29:26 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 06:33:56 PM \$MessageID: <20080101013356.17229.60838.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: ellen.hamilton5@verizon.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123147); R=0.107(1071131142549); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <ellen.hamilton5@verizon.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 06:33:57 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:29 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:29 PM SMTPOriginator: ellen.hamilton5@verizon.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 06:33:57 PM-12/31/2007 06:33:58 PM,12/31/2007 06:33:58 PM-12/31/2007 06:47:31 PM \$Orig: 81AEB6606AF78022872573C300089A2C Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 06:47:31 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of

which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Ellen Hamilton 7005 Scripps Crescent Goleta, CA 93117

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123118281696-271173 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:28:16 -0700 Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 426C019E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:03:16 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 03799774.2718485424.12737.00-048.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:28:16 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTP; 31 Dec 2007 19:28:15 -0600 \$MessageID: <3815917.1199150895834.JavaMail.www@app30> PostedDate: 12/31/2007 06:28:15 PM From: Fredy & Randi Weling <defenders@mail.defenders.org> ReplyTo: Fredy & Randi Weling <usabelgi@aol.com> SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping MIME_Version: 1.0 Organization: Defenders of Wildlife X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22 X_Gateway: poolcons XData: 1010,9@nM9yKKe@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123146); R=0.009(1071131142542); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 06:28:16

PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release
6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:29 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008
01:58:29 PM SMTPOriginator:
email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy:
,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 06:28:16 PM-12/31/2007 06:28:17 PM,12/31/2007 06:28:17 PM-12/31/2007
06:31:08 PM \$Orig: EADDCCD2EC880A668872573C300081520 Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 06:31:08 PM Dec 31,
2007 Brian Millsap Dear Millsap, We are writing to urge you to take a more balanced
approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest. After ten years of
reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest,
more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by
2006. Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an
important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the
Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them. There are millions of acres of
public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to
be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand
do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act. We are asking you to make
the following changes in the reintroduction rule. First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to
Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the
rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves. Second, allow the
wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is
best. Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure
that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size
and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward
recovery is being made. Thank you for considering our viewpoints on this incredibly
important matter. Sincerely, Dr. Fredy & Randi Weling 7638 E Via Del
Placito Scottsdale, AZ 85258-4222

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id
2007123118180728-271094 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:18:07 -0700 Received: from
p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by
smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 7978519E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>;
Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:53:06 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [68.230.241.45]
(EHLO fed1rmmtao101.cox.net) by p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3)
with ESMTP id ec499774.2516765616.38088.00-041.p01c11m007.mxlogic.net
(envelope-from <craig@cybervault.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:18:06 -0700
(MST) Received: from fed1rmimpo02.cox.net ([70.169.32.72]) by
fed1rmmtao101.cox.net (InterMail vM.7.08.02.01 201-2186-121-102-20070209)
with ESMTP id
<20080101011805.QVIT22811.fed1rmmtao101.cox.net@fed1rmimpo02.cox.net>;
Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:18:05 -0500 Received: from MAIN.cybervault.com
([68.3.163.75]) by fed1rmimpo02.cox.net with bizsmtp id

XdJA1Y00J1dustQ0000000; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 20:18:11 -0500 \$Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Version 7.1.0.9 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 06:17:57 PM SendTo: <r2fwe_al@fws.gov> From: Craig Weaver <craig@cybervault.com> Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping MIME_Version: 1.0 \$MessageID: <20080101011805.QVIT22811.fed1rmmtao101.cox.net@fed1rmimpo02.cox.net> X_Sp am: [F=0.0013136088; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123146); R=0.115(1071131142533); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <craig@cybervault.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [68.230.241.45] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 06:18:07 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:30 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:30 PM SMTPOriginator: craig@cybervault.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 06:18:07 PM-12/31/2007 06:18:08 PM,12/31/2007 06:18:08 PM-12/31/2007 06:31:08 PM \$Orig: 2CD8ADD86D6C705A872573C3000726F8 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 06:31:08 PM December 31, 2007 John Slown U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service New Mexico Ecological Services Field Office 2105 Osuna NE ALBQ, NM 87113 e-mail: r2fweal@fws.gov Attention: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping Dear Mr. Slown, Thank you for providing workshops and taking public comments on the Mexican Gray Wolf management plan. The program has had successes and I hope now that changes to the rules will revise the recovery plan and result in an enhanced protection for the wolf groups. I hope you will consider an alternative that changes classification to □ Experimental Endangered'. Defined status and more protection are both needed as part of the long-term recovery success. Having vacationed in eastern Arizona and western New Mexico for many decades we have enjoyed parts of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area in a lot of different ways. In that region, we consider the Mexican gray wolf recovery as a positive for the natural wildlife system and public lands. Sincerely, Craig M. Weaver 923 W. Mercer Lane Phoenix, AZ 85029

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123118095830-271033 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:09:58 -0700 Received: from p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 61D9419E8029 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:44:57 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 5e299774.2507189168.49693.00-022.p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <iluvrottys@bellsouth.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:09:57 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 17:05:27 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 06:09:57 PM \$MessageID: <20080101010957.17229.60760.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From:

iluvrottys@bellsouth.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123146); R=0.107(1071131142554); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <iluvrottys@bellsouth.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 06:09:58 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:30 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:30 PM SMTPOriginator: iluvrottys@bellsouth.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 06:09:58 PM-12/31/2007 06:10:00 PM,12/31/2007 06:10:00 PM-12/31/2007 06:14:16 PM \$Orig: D8E16921022CA2B9872573C3000667F6 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 06:14:16 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if

wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, christine allman 107 greenbrier dr. kings mountain, NC 28086

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123118054415-270994 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:05:44 -0700 Received: from p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 379E119E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:40:43 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [69.80.0.21] (EHLO mail.aspect1.net) by p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 7e199774.2432080816.155324.00-019.p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <emiller57@aspect1.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:05:43 -0700 (MST) Received: from SOLD (unverified [209.145.217.87]) by mmNodeA.aspect1.net (Vircom SMTPRS 4.4.568.54) with SMTP id <A0251640178@mmNodeA.aspect1.net> for <R2FWE_AL@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:03:12 -0700 X_Modus_BlackList: 209.145.217.87=OK;emiller57@aspect1.net=OK X_Modus_Trusted: 209.145.217.87=NO X_Modus_Audit: FALSE;0;0;0 \$MessageID: <000e01c84c15\$d449f520\$4200a8c0@SOLD> From: "Ellen Miller" <emiller57@aspect1.net> SendTo: <R2FWE_AL@fws.gov> Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping PostedDate: 12/31/2007 06:30:01 PM MIME_Version: 1.0 DeliveryPriority: N X_MSMail_Priority: Normal \$Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106 X_MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106 X_Processed_By: Rebuild v2.0-0 X_Spam: [F=0.0039331504; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123146); R=0.281(1071131142554); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <emiller57@aspect1.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [69.80.0.21] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 06:05:44 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:31 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:31 PM SMTPOriginator: emiller57@aspect1.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 06:05:44 PM-12/31/2007 06:05:49 PM,12/31/2007 06:05:49 PM-12/31/2007 06:14:16 PM \$Orig: B67D657580DA2DA4872573C3000604AF Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 06:14:16 PM Dear Mr. Millsap, In response to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service public inquiry on changes to the rules governing the Mexican gray wolf reintroduction project, I would like to express my opinion: 1) Include an alternative to the current classification from "experimental, non-essential" to "experimental, essential" or "endangered" to provide better protection for the existing populations and newly introduced wolves into the project. 2) Allow wolves to expand their territory. Extending the boundaries beyond the current Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), will increase the wolves chances

of long term survival. Geographic boundaries that require capture and relocation are not beneficial to perpetuating the current population. Consider the Northern areas of the Gran Canyon as a possible reintroduction area for the expansion. 3) Allow an annual compensation for livestock owners whose concern for threat by livestock-wolf conflicts inhibit the progress of the reintroduction objectives. Livestock owners should be offered an incentive to cooperate with the reintroduction project by ensuring that their interests are being considered and to help reduce the perceived threat. 4) Revise the 1998 Recovery Plan. It needs to be amended to be concurrent with this rule so that rule changes do not preclude recovery actions. 5) Expand the number of wolves in the wild with no maximum set through this rule change. 6) Revise the rule for excessive removal of wolves defined as "take" and allow for less "take" options. Thanks for your consideration. Ellen Miller

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123118065337-271003 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:06:53 -0700 Received: from p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 7027719E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:41:52 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id c2299774.3317406640.24566.00-011.p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <melaine6@yahoo.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 18:06:52 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 17:02:22 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 06:06:52 PM \$MessageID: <20080101010652.17229.60742.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: Melaine6@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0161197263; B=0.500(0); S=0.119(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123146); R=0.107(1071131142538); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <melaine6@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 06:06:53 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:31 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:31 PM SMTPOriginator: melaine6@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 06:06:53 PM-12/31/2007 06:06:55 PM,12/31/2007 06:06:55 PM-12/31/2007 06:14:16 PM \$Orig: 3E006CBC6CF7BFD5872573C300061FB9 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 06:14:16 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution

must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Melaine Philpot 10405 Waycross Ave Louisville, KY 40229

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123117584145-270931 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:58:41 -0700 Received: from p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 6A54019E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:33:40 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [205.188.139.136] (EHLO imo-d20.mx.aol.com) by p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 04099774.3581819824.5973.00-013.p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <evogan@aol.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:58:40 -0700 (MST) Received: from EVogan@aol.com by imo-d20.mx.aol.com (mail_out_v38_r9.3.) id y.c74.22466a14 (34896) for <R2FWE_AL@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:58:37 -0500 (EST) Received: from WEBMAIL-MC20 (webmail-mc20.webmail.aol.com [64.12.170.97]) by cia-da01.mx.aol.com (v121.4) with ESMTP id MAILCIADA015-88504779903d205; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:58:37 -0500 SendTo:

R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Comments on Mexican gray wolf proposed rules PostedDate: 12/31/2007 05:58:37 PM X_MB_Message_Source: WebUI X_AOL_IP: 70.176.183.97 X_MB_Message_Type: User MIME_Version: 1.0 From: evogan@aol.com \$Mailer: AOL Webmail 33161-STANDARD Received: from 70.176.183.97 by WEBMAIL-MC20.sysops.aol.com (64.12.170.97) with HTTP (WebMailUI); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 19:58:37 -0500 \$MessageID: <8CA1A2893614824-444-1714@WEBMAIL-MC20.sysops.aol.com> X_Spam_Flag: NO X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123146); R=0.009(1071131142537); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <evogan@aol.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [205.188.139.136] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 05:58:41 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:32 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:32 PM SMTPOriginator: evogan@aol.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 05:58:41 PM-12/31/2007 05:58:42 PM,12/31/2007 05:58:42 PM-12/31/2007 06:14:16 PM \$Orig: 415BE60E5B360F39872573C300055F91 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 06:14:16 PM To Brian Milsap - I would like to support the continued efforts of the recovery of gray wolves in the Southwest. I do not support proposed modifications by the Dept. at this time. The wolf has proven to be an asset in other ecosystems for other mammals and plant species when allowed to be a part of that ecosystem, Yellowstone being one example. I know the Southwest faces more challenges from livestock owners and my suggestions are to offer greater incentives and "sticks" for garnering their support for the program. They are the key to whether the wolf survives and is integrated into the fabric of the ecosystem. I think the Dept. should put more effort on the humans in the area to comply with the program, whether it is picking up their dead livestock, dogs in certain program areas that could protect livestock and that volunteers be integrated into the science "end" of the program from graduate and Phd programs so that F&W person nel can relate and monitor the ranching community as well as others who may not understand the program. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. I support many of the other suggestions of the NGOs are opposed to the modification of the wolf program. Edwina Vogan More new features than ever. Check out the new AOL Mail!

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123114400515-268629 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 14:40:05 -0700 Received: from p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id D710C19E8040 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 14:15:01 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [209.246.150.165] (EHLO ntl-smtp.netbsa.org) by p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 4b169774.2643000240.8958.00-031.p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <prvs=bricklef=878ffd30f@netbsa.org>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 14:40:04 -0700

(MST) X_Group: NationalRelay X_Orig_IP: 172.16.4.42 X_Ironport_AV:
E=Sophos;i="4.24.228.1196661600";
d="scan'208,217";a="180577097" MIME_Version: 1.0 Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf
NEPA Scoping X_MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft Exchange V6.5 PostedDate:
12/31/2007 02:40:02 PM \$MessageID:
<0D3365D4BAB688479EF3A4A8CDAF403F902FA2@pm-
fs.netbsa.org> X_MS_Has_Attach: X_MS_TNEF_Correlator: Thread_Topic:
Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping thread_index:
AchL9bMGDMhtuRQeTmmEbUVPfqI/yA== DeliveryPriority: L Priority: Non-
Urgent Importance: 3 From: "Bob Ricklefs" <bricklef@netbsa.org> SendTo:
<R2FWE_AL@fws.gov> X_OriginalArrivalTime: 31 Dec 2007 21:40:03.0857 (UTC)
FILETIME=[B3F90010:01C84BF5] X_Processed_By: Rebuild v2.0-0 X_Spam:
[F=0.0003825596; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123136);
R=0.036(1071131142542); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From:
<prvs=bricklef=878ffd30f@netbsa.org> X_SOURCE_IP:
[209.246.150.165] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 02:40:05
PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release
6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:33 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008
01:58:33 PM SMTPOriginator: prvs=bricklef=878ffd30f@netbsa.org RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers:
CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 02:40:05 PM-12/31/2007 06:00:06 PM,12/31/2007 06:00:06 PM-12/31/2007
06:14:16 PM \$Orig: 45EB8B9D4AAA1027872573C2007706C3 Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 06:14:16 PM Brian
Milsap State Administrater, US Fish and Wildlife Service New MexicoEcological
Services Field Office 2105 Osuna, NE Albuquerque, New
Mexico87113 R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Re: Notice of Scoping Meetings & Intent To
Prepare Environmental Impact Statement & Socio-Economic Assessment for the
Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population
of the Arizona & New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray
Wolf") Dear Mr. Milsap: Thank you for the opportunity to offer comments on the
above captioned rule. I firmly believe that this is a failed program that cannot
succeed even by tweaking the rules or operating procedures here and there. Therefore,
the only issue that I would ask to be included in the scope of analysis is to seriously
analyze the discontinuance of the program. It is a waste of taxpayer money and should
be suspended. It is after all a "nonessential experimental population". If there is truly
any genetic value in this so-called sub-species, it can be kept from extinction by breeding
as many as necessary in a series of five acre enclosures. There were real reasons why
the wolf was extirpated in the last century, all having to do with negative wolf-human
interactions. By breeding this sub-species in pens, The ESA requirement to keep them
from becoming extinct can be met and all the problems and burdens of the rural
population in the release areas would be eliminated. Sincerely, Bob Ricklefs 167
W. Cito Rd. Cimarron, New Mexico87714 My name and home town may be used in

the public record but I strongly urge that my email address or contact information not be used.

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123117581633-270924 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:58:16 -0700 Received: from p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 4DD8B19E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:33:15 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 72099774.3653278640.346005.00-002.p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:58:15 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTP; 31 Dec 2007 18:58:14 -0600 \$MessageID: <9589733.1199149094630.JavaMail.www@app30> PostedDate: 12/31/2007 05:58:14 PM From: Julie Evens <defenders@mail.defenders.org> ReplyTo: Julie Evens <jme5@humboldt.edu> SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping MIME_Version: 1.0 Organization: Defenders of Wildlife X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22 X_Gateway: poolcons XData: 1010,9@nM9yK4K@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb X_Spam: [F=0.0002096509; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.020(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123144); R=0.009(1071131142537); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 05:58:16 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:33 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:33 PM SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 05:58:16 PM-12/31/2007 05:58:17 PM,12/31/2007 05:58:17 PM-12/31/2007 06:14:16 PM \$Orig: E2B79208CB15DECB872573C3000555C1 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 06:14:16 PM Dec 31, 2007 Brian Millsap Dear Millsap, As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest. After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006. Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them. There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much

smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act. As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule. First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves. Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best. Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made. I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest. Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter. Sincerely, Ms. Julie Evens 13175 7th Ave Sacramento, CA 95818

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123117581153-270920 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:58:11 -0700 Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 7DD8E19E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:33:10 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 22099774.2735651760.266857.00-008.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:58:10 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTP; 31 Dec 2007 18:58:09 -0600 \$MessageID: <10046294.1199149089191.JavaMail.www@app30> PostedDate: 12/31/2007 05:58:09 PM From: Kimberly Mora <defenders@mail.defenders.org> ReplyTo: Kimberly Mora <kimrmora@hotmail.com> SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping MIME_Version: 1.0 Organization: Defenders of Wildlife X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22 X_Gateway: poolcons XData: 1010,9@nM9yetE@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb X_Spam: [F=0.0001571747; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.015(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123144); R=0.009(1071131142535); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 05:58:11 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:33 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:33 PM SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 05:58:11 PM-12/31/2007 05:58:12 PM,12/31/2007 05:58:12 PM-12/31/2007

05:58:13 PM \$Orig: 26763F0C5D3B4707872573C3000553E1 Categories: \$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 05:58:13 PM Dec 31, 2007 Brian
Millsap Dear Millsap, As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management
who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to
take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest. After
ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the
Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in
the wild by 2006. Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world
and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these
facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them. There are millions of
acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves
continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as
they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act. As one of
900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the
following changes in the reintroduction rule. First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to
Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the
rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves. Second, allow the
wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is
best. Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure
that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size
and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward
recovery is being made. I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the
Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a
real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest. Thank you for considering
my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter. Sincerely, Ms. Kimberly Mora 135
Nakai Ovi Flagstaff, AZ 86001-9644

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id
2007123117581170-270921 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:58:11 -0700 Received: from
p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by
smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id A77B419E8029 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>;
Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:33:10 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70]
(EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-
5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 32099774.3138599856.292798.00-
048.p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (envelope-from
<email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:58:11 -0700
(MST) Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-
poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTP; 31 Dec 2007 18:58:09 -0600 \$MessageID:
<4866036.1199149089355.JavaMail.www@app30> PostedDate: 12/31/2007 05:58:09
PM From: "Wendy A. Adams" <defenders@mail.defenders.org> ReplyTo: "Wendy A.
Adams" <wadams33@yahoo.com> SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov Subject: Mexican Gray
Wolf NEPA Scoping MIME_Version: 1.0 Organization: Defenders of
Wildlife X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22 X_Gateway: poolcons XData:
1010,9@nM9yK9e@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c X_ConvioDeliveryGroup:

poolb X_Spam: [F=0.0003289996; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.031(2007121801);
MH=0.500(2007123144); R=0.009(1071131142538); SC=none;
SS=0.500] X_Mail_From:
<email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net> X_SOURCE_IP:
[66.45.103.70] \$SMIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 05:58:11
PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release
6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:34 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008
01:58:34 PM SMTPOriginator:
email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy:
,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 05:58:11 PM-12/31/2007 05:58:12 PM,12/31/2007 05:58:12 PM-12/31/2007
05:58:13 PM \$Orig: D32CA084D6DEAE88872573C3000553F2 Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 05:58:13 PM Dec 31,
2007 Brian Millsap Dear Millsap, As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife
management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing
to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the
Southwest. After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in
the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of
establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006. Mexican wolves are one of the most
endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to
Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress
in restoring them. There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest
where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much
smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the
promise of the Endangered Species Act. As one of 900,000 members and supporters of
Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the
reintroduction rule. First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status.
This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies
consult about impacts on wolves. Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the
recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best. Third, don't limit wolf
numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals
take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population,
the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made. I am
confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program
will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild
lands of the Southwest. Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly
important matter. Sincerely, Ms. Wendy A. Adams 1111 W Summit Pl Unit
13 Chandler, AZ 85224-1303

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id
2007123117543093-270891 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:54:30 -0700 Received: from
p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by

smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 8D0C919E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>;
Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:29:29 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [129.219.187.8]
(EHLO epo-ps.asu.edu) by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) over TLS
secured channel with ESMTP id 54f89774.2674736048.16067.00-
079.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <richf@asu.edu>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007
17:54:29 -0700 (MST) Received: from wa-out-1112.google.com (wa-out-
1112.google.com [209.85.146.177]) by epo-ps.asu.edu (Switch-3.3.0/Switch-3.3.0/asu-
postoffice-prod) with ESMTP id m010rPop004813 for <R2FWE_AL@fws.gov>; Mon,
31 Dec 2007 17:54:25 -0700 Received: by wa-out-1112.google.com with SMTP id
m33so7595933wag.8 for <R2FWE_AL@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 16:54:25 -
0800 (PST) Received: by 10.142.187.2 with SMTP id
k2mr3704633wff.83.1199148864852; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 16:54:24 -0800
(PST) Received: by 10.142.156.5 with HTTP; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 16:54:23 -0800
(PST) \$MessageID:
<120d32950712311654p63b55063maf95fd0962950fff@mail.gmail.com> PostedDate:
12/31/2007 05:54:23 PM From: "Richard Fredrickson" <richf@asu.edu> SendTo:
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping MIME_Version:
1.0 X_Virus_Scanned: by amavisd-new X_Spam: [F=0.0007982390; B=0.500(0);
S=0.073(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123144); R=0.009(1071131142542); SC=none;
SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <richf@asu.edu> X_SOURCE_IP:
[(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 05:54:30
PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release
6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:37 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008
01:58:37 PM SMTPOriginator: richf@asu.edu RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy:
,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 05:54:30 PM-12/31/2007 05:54:32 PM,12/31/2007 05:54:32 PM-12/31/2007
05:58:13 PM \$Orig: 39BB53DFDBE32187872573C30004FDB7 Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 05:58:13 PM Comments
of Richard Fredrickson (richard.frederickson@asu.edu), Missoula, MT: December 31,
2007 Dear Dr. Millsap: Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments for
the proposed amendment of the 1998 10(j) rule allowing establishment of a nonessential
experimental population of Mexican wolves in Arizona and New Mexico. My
comments follow below. I believe this revision of the existing 10(j) rule should not be
a comprehensive overhaul of the rule, but should instead be focused on enhancing the
success of the current reintroduction program in the Blue Range Wolf Reintroduction
Area (BRWRA). I make this recommendation because: 1) a comprehensive rule revision
should be guided by an updated recovery plan or active recovery planning that has
reached an advanced stage, both of which are absent currently; 2) the BRWRA Mexican
wolf population is substantially below the numbers predicted by the 1996 FEIS, and it is
not clear that under the current management regime that the population will reach the
goals set by the FEIS; 3) combining the three captive lineages has produced a time-
limited opportunity to accelerate the establishment of vigorous populations of Mexican
wolves that well-represent the genetic variation currently present in the captive
population, but in the time a comprehensive revision would take, this window may be

closing; and 4) I believe that the current reintroduction program would likely be adrift and would continue to be hindered by existing policies in the three or more years that a comprehensive revision would likely require. In the meantime little or no progress may be made towards Mexican wolf recovery. Below I address each of these points in greater detail. I then present rule revision recommendations and a course of action to enhance the success of the BRWRA reintroduction program and to facilitate Mexican wolf recovery. Rule revision should be guided by recovery planning. The existing Recovery Plan for the Mexican wolf, adopted in 1982 is outdated and does not define a clear path to recovery. More recent recovery planning for the Mexican wolf, initiated in 2003 and suspended in 2005, is incomplete and inactive. Ideally the rule revision process should be informed by recovery planning. In the absence of recovery planning others have promulgated population goals, management guidelines, thresholds, and policies (AMOC 2005) that are likely to hinder or prevent Mexican wolf recovery as defined in the Endangered Species Act. Mexican wolf recovery will likely require the reintroduction of multiple populations in addition to the BRWRA population, that are well-connected by natural dispersal. This will likely include areas in Arizona and New Mexico that are currently outside the current 10(j) rule boundaries as well as areas of Utah, Colorado, and perhaps Texas. The proposal by AMOC (2005) will not accomplish this, nor is AMOC an appropriate body to determine actions necessary to recover Mexican wolves in the USA or in the states of Arizona and New Mexico. It is clear, however, that a self-sustaining and fit BRWRA population of Mexican wolves will be a critical component of regional recovery. Therefore in the absence of recovery planning this rule revision should focus on enhancing the success of the BRWRA population. The Blue Range reintroduction program is not succeeding. The current Mexican wolf reintroduction program initiated in 1998 following the acceptance of the current 10(j) rule has not achieved the goal of a self-sustaining and viable population of at least 100 wolves set for the BRWRA population in the 1996 FEIS. The population model supporting the FEIS predicted that after 8 years the population would number 102 wolves, including 18 "breeding pairs" (defined as pairs that produced at least 2 pups in the previous breeding season that survived until December 31 of their birth year). This was predicted to be achieved following the release of about 66 wolves. At the end of 2006 (8 years after the release of the first wolves) the population was estimated to number about 59 individuals and include 7 breeding pairs after the introduction of 99 individuals. This is substantially lower than the predictions. By December of 2007, it appears that there will be 7 or fewer breeding pairs in the BRWRA population and the known population may number less than 60 individuals at the end of the year. The failure of the reintroduction program to meet numerical benchmarks has resulted from the high failure (removal + mortality) rate of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA population in combination with low recruitment. Several policies in the current 10(j) rule have contributed to these shortcomings. Since the year 2000, the failure rate has been dominated by management removals in response to wolf interactions with livestock and by removals of wolves that strayed beyond the BRWRA boundaries. It appears that from 2000 to 2006 wolf removals from these two causes have averaged about 23% of the population annually. Removals in response to livestock interactions have increased markedly since the recent revision of SOP 13 which reduced discretionary decision making and set in place a rigid policy of wolf removal in response to repeated livestock

depredation. In 2007 the USFWS removed at least 6 alpha wolves as well as many other wolves (largely pups and yearlings) to address wolf conflicts with livestock. Meanwhile, the USFWS and the US Forest Service have apparently implemented no management policies to prevent wolf-livestock conflicts on public lands (e.g. improved husbandry, carcass management). At this point it is clear that simply increasing the removal rate of wolves that come into conflict with livestock is not a solution to the problems of wolf depredation or the struggling BRWRA population. The current 10(j) rule also requires recapture (and possible permanent removal) of wolves that disperse beyond the boundaries of the BRWRA, if they don't return relatively quickly, even if they do not cause problems. The expectation that wolves would remain within the relatively small area of the BRWRA is unrealistic and counter productive. This policy unnecessarily increases the wolf failure rate, increases the monetary costs of the reintroduction program, diverts personnel time, and is antithetical to wolf recovery. In addition, limiting the initial releases of captive born wolves to the tiny Primary Recovery Zone in Arizona has severely limited needed new introductions. The result has been that the reintroduction program has often relied on translocating wolves with low fitness that were previously removed for livestock depredations or dispersing beyond the BRWRA boundary in an attempt to maintain population numbers. This portion of the current 10(j) rule has limited the establishment of a self-sustaining and fit population of wolves, and constrained the management of the reintroduced population. Establishing fit and successful populations of Mexican wolves requires active and aggressive genetic management. The BRWRA reintroduction program initially relied solely on wolves with ancestry from only the McBride lineage. Early in the program this was appropriate because there were few F1 or cross-lineage wolves excess to the captive population and available for introductions. These wolves, however, had substantial levels of inbreeding, and succeeding offspring had even greater inbreeding. In addition, available evidence suggests that the McBride lineage has been negatively affected by strong genetic drift in captivity, and the lineage founders themselves may have been products of strong genetic drift in the wild (Fredrickson 2007). Introductions of wolves with ancestry from two or more lineages began in 2000 with the introduction of F521, an F1 wolf with no inbreeding. Since then, however, relatively few F1 or cross-lineage wolves have been introduced, and because of the high removal rate for management reasons many of those introduced were removed before contributing to the population. Inbreeding and the loss of genetic variation from genetic drift is known to reduce the fitness of individuals and populations (Keller & Waller 2002; Westemeier et al. 1998), and in small populations it may also reduce population growth rate and increase the probability of extinction (Madsen et al. 1999; Newman & Pilson 1997; Saccheri et al. 1998). However, crosses between inbred but unrelated individuals may restore fitness and increase population growth rates, a phenomenon termed "genetic rescue" (Tallmon et al. 2004). The enhanced relative fitness of outbred individuals in small inbred populations may also accelerate the spread of novel genes (Ebert et al. 2002; Saccheri & Brakefield 2002). Fredrickson et al. (2007) found that F1 Mexican wolves produced from crosses between McBride and Ghost Ranch wolves and McBride and Aragon wolves had substantially greater reproductive fitness than early McBride wolves with little or no inbreeding and contemporary McBride wolves with greater levels of inbreeding in captivity. The probability of producing live pups for pairings between F1 wolves was

89% and 33% greater than pairings between contemporary McBride wolves in zoos and prerelease facilities, respectively, and litter sizes among F1 x F1 pairings were about twice that of pairings between contemporary McBride wolves. Among captive cross-lineage wolves, reproductive fitness declined predictably with increases in inbreeding.

Fredrickson et al. (2007) also found a strong relationship between inbreeding levels of pups and the numbers of pups observed among wild pairs in the BRWRA population. With an increase of 0.1 in the pup inbreeding coefficient, the number of pups observed among packs was reduced by 0.82 pups. Overall this translated into 52% more pups being observed among packs producing cross-lineage pups than among packs producing pure McBride lineage pups. These findings offer a plausible explanation for the low litter and pack sizes observed in the BRWRA population relative to other wild wolf populations in North America (AMOC 2005). Similarly large effects of inbreeding have been observed among Scandinavian wolves (Liberg et al. 2005; Vila et al. 2003). Further, the negative effects of inbreeding on wild wolf populations are probably not limited to reproductive fitness. Inbreeding is also expected to negatively affect other traits closely related to fitness, such that demographic rates that strongly affect the population growth rate are likely to also be reduced. At the end of November 2007, only 6 of the 14 alpha wolves in the BRWRA population whose ancestry was known had ancestry from two or more of the founding lineages. These 14 alpha wolves averaged only 4.5 and 10.7% Aragon and Ghost Ranch ancestry, respectively. This is substantially below the ancestry percentages recommended by Hedrick et al. (1996). Also the predominance of pure McBride wolves, as well as the repeated translocation of pure McBride wolves acts to continually dilute the existing Aragon and Ghost Ranch ancestry. When a cross-lineage wolf pairs with a McBride wolf, the percentage of Aragon and Ghost Ranch ancestry is halved in the pups. This, in combination with the poor fitness and high inbreeding levels ($f = 0.25 - 0.29$) of McBride wolves currently in the population indicates that genetic management of the BRWRA population needs to made a priority. The current ad hoc approach to genetic management is unlikely to produce a fit population that well-represents the genetic variation remaining in the captive population. Active genetic management could be expected to increase the mean short-term fitness of the population, increase population growth rate relative to that without genetic management, accelerate the spread of Ghost Ranch and Aragon ancestry in the population, and maximize the long-term fitness, adaptive potential, and viability of the population. Adopt a revised 10(j) rule by the end of 2008 A revision of the 10(j) rule governing the BRWRA reintroduction program is overdue. A comprehensive revision of the 10(j) rule, however, is inappropriate and counter-productive at this time given the absence of recovery planning and the limited window of opportunity to introduce captive wolves with high fitness and low inbreeding to accelerate establishment of a self-sustaining and fit population. If a comprehensive revision is pursued, the 3+ year process would likely rule out introducing any more F1 wolves as the youngest Ghost Ranch F1's were born in 2002, and the youngest Aragon F1's were born in 2000. Additionally, by 2011, perhaps the first chance to begin introductions of high-value wolves, half or more of the F2 wolves (produced by crosses between F1 wolves: (MB x GR) x (MB x AR); $f = \sim 0.055$) and other high-value wolves currently in existence may be too old to be considered for introductions. Given the current restrictions to new introductions it seems unlikely that many high-value wolves

would be introduced before 2011, and the high failure rate of wolves under the current management regime further suggest that the contribution of high-value wolves that are introduced may be limited. Further, it is possible that much of the progress that has been made thus far would be erased by the continued removal of existing high-value wolves for management reasons. It would be unfortunate if the USFWS was seen as stalling recovery or squandering the current historic opportunity to fast-track Mexican wolf recovery by pursuing a lengthy rule revision process. Instead, changes to the 10(j) rule should focus on enhancing the success of the current Blue Range reintroduction program and the rule revision process should be completed expeditiously. Establishing a self-sustaining and fit population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA population is a first step towards recovery. This will require significant changes to the 10(j) rule including making aggressive and deliberate genetic management of the BRWRA population a priority.

Conserving Mexican Wolves

Below I outline changes that need to be made to facilitate Mexican wolf recovery generally and to facilitate the near-term establishment of a self-sustaining and fit population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA. Again, this revision should not be comprehensive, but instead focused on enhancing the success of the BRWRA reintroduction program. Focusing on the BRWRA population should also allow for a much shorter revision process. Because time is such an important consideration at this point, every effort should be made to adopt the new rule in time to allow for new wolf introductions early in 2009.

1. Immediately reinvigorate and expedite recovery planning.
2. Revise the 10(j) rule to allow the introduction of captive-born wolves with no wild experience anywhere in the BRWRA.
3. Revise the 10(j) rule to allow wolves to disperse beyond the boundaries of the BRWRA. Wolves should be allowed to disperse through and live in any suitable habitat within Arizona or New Mexico, so long as they are not causing problems. The rule should also allow for recapture of single wolves outside the BRWRA if they are needed for genetic management of the BRWRA population.
4. Revise the 10(j) rule to institute measures to prevent wolf–livestock conflicts on federal lands. This may include removing or rendering inedible livestock carcasses, enhanced animal husbandry to prevent depredation, particularly in times or circumstances that are most likely to result in depredation (e.g. calving or lambing), or other measures. These changes should be implemented in an adaptive management framework, with attention to monitoring the effectiveness of prevention measures, and to compilation and analysis of data regarding effectiveness to provide feedback for management. The USFWS should consider hiring researchers or enlisting graduate researchers to document successes and failures.
5. Revise the 10(j) rule to greatly reduce the taking of Mexican wolves as a means of managing conflicts between Mexican wolves and livestock. This will likely require revising or replacing SOP 13 to increase the role of discretion in deciding the appropriate response to livestock depredation. It may also require changing the thresholds for wolf removal.
6. Revise the 10(j) rule to require coherent, active, and science-based genetic management to establish a fit population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA. Genetic management may include substantial numbers of new introductions in Arizona and New Mexico as well as removal of wolves that hinder or do not contribute to establishment of a fit population that well-represents the remaining genetic variation in the captive population.
7. Revise the 10(j) rule to set biologically meaningful goals for the BRWRA population. I suggest that the numerical goal be set as a genetically effective

population size (N_e) of at least 50. A population of this size would be consistent with 50 / 500 rule of thumb and would be expected to limit loss of heterozygosity to 1% per generation. Von Holdt et al. (2007) examined the effective population size of the reintroduced population of wolves in Yellowstone National Park over a 10 year period. Their findings suggest that the ratio of effective to census population size fluctuated narrowly around 0.30 over this period of rapid population growth. For the BRWRA population, however, this ratio may be lower due to the variation in individual fitness resulting from varying inbreeding levels between individuals (Nei & Murata 1966). Thus, a census size greater than 167 may be needed to meet this goal. I further suggest that the interim ancestry goals of the BRWRA population be set at a minimum of 15% ancestry and a maximum of 25% ancestry from each of the Ghost Ranch and Aragon lineages. Also the genetic variation remaining in the captive population should be well-represented in the BRWRA population. These recommendations are consistent with those made by Hedrick et al. (1996). They should be treated as interim goals until there is sufficient data to examine the effects on fitness of the genetic loads associated with the Ghost Ranch and Aragon lineages. These goals would also foster both short-term fitness and longer-term retention of adaptive potential.

8. The 10(j) rule should not be revised to increase the abilities of states, tribes, or individuals to take Mexican wolves, beyond those that currently exist. Decisions regarding increased take of Mexican wolves by non-federal bodies or individuals should be part of a comprehensive rule revision based on recovery planning.

9. The 10(j) rule should not be revised to include any provisions that may hinder or limit full recovery for Mexican wolves in the USA.

10. Once recovering planning has appropriately advanced, the 10(j) rule should be comprehensively revised to address the full needs of Mexican wolf recovery in the USA. This second round of revisions should also be conducted expeditiously such that additional populations of Mexican wolves can be established as soon as possible.

11. If a comprehensive revision of the 10(j) rule is pursued in the present revision process, it should allow for establishment of at least 3 reintroduced populations of Mexican wolves in Arizona, New Mexico, Utah, Colorado, and perhaps western Texas. The revision should also facilitate frequent natural dispersal of wolves between and within populations. Wolves should be restored to ecologically effective densities, and the genetically effective population size of the entire regional population should be sufficient for the long-term maintenance of adaptive potential in Mexican wolves.

Finally, the utility to recovery of the experimental non-essential population designation should be reexamined. At present there appear to be limited evidence that this designation has facilitated Mexican wolf recovery.

Sincerely, Richard Fredrickson Missoula, MT

Literature Cited

Adaptive Management Oversight Committee. 2005. Mexican wolf Blue Range reintroduction project 5 year review: technical component.

Ebert, D., et al. 2002. A selective advantage to immigrant genes in a *Daphnia* metapopulation. *Science* 295:485-488.

Fredrickson, R.J. 2007. Effects of inbreeding and outbreeding in red and Mexican wolves. PhD Dissertation, Arizona State University.

Fredrickson, R., P. Siminski, M. Woolf, and P. Hedrick. 2007. Genetic rescue and inbreeding depression in Mexican wolves. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B* 274:2365-2371.

Hedrick, P. W., P. S. Miller, E. Geffen, and R. Wayne. 1997. Genetic evaluation of the three captive Mexican wolf lineages. *Zoo Biology* 16: 47-69.

Keller, L. F., and D. M. Waller. 2002. Inbreeding effects in wild

populations. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 17:230-2241. Liberg, O., H. Andren, H. Pedersen, H. Sand, D. Sejberg, P. Wabakken, M. Akesson, and S. Bensch. 2005. Severe inbreeding depression in a wild wolf (*Canis lupus*) population. Biology Letters 1:17-20. Madsen, T., R. Shine, M. Olsson, and H. Wittzell. 1999. Restoration of an inbred adder population. Nature 402:34-35. Nei, M., and M. Murata. 1966. Effective population size when fertility is inherited. Genetical Research Cambridge 8:257-260. Newman D., and D. Pilson. 1997. Increased probability of extinction due to decreased genetic effective population size: Experimental populations of *Clarkia pulchella*. Evolution 51:354-362. Saccheri, I., M. Kuussaari, M. Kankare, P. Vikman, W. Fortelius, and I. Hanski. 1998. Inbreeding and extinction in a butterfly metapopulation. Nature 392: 491-494. Saccheri, I. J., and P. M. Brakefield. 2002. Rapid spread of immigrant genomes into inbred populations. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B 269:1073-1078. Tallmon, D. A., Luikart, G., and Waples, R. S 2004. The alluring simplicity and complex reality of genetic rescue. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. 19, 489-496. Vila, C., A. Sundqvist, O. Flagstad, J. Seddon, S. Bjornerfelt, I. Kojola, A. Casulli, H. Sand, P. Wabakken, and H. Ellegren. 2003. Rescue of a severely bottlenecked wolf (*Canis lupus*) population by a single immigrant. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B 270:91-97. Von Holdt, B.M., D.R. Stahler, D.W. Smith, D.A. Earl, J.P. Pollinger, and R.K. Wayne. 2007. The genealogy and genetic viability of reintroduced Yellowstone grey wolves. Molecular Ecology On Line Early. Westemeier, R. L., J. D. Brawn, S. A. Simpson, T. L. Esker, R. W. Jansen, J. W. Walk, E. L. Kershner, J. L. Bouzat, K. N. Paige. 1998. Tracking the long-term decline and recovery of an isolated population. Science 282: 1695-1698.

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123117511037-270860 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:51:10 -0700 Received: from p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 4187F19E8029 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:26:09 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id d7e89774.2623577008.25137.00-001.p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <otherjohn@hotmail.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:51:09 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 16:46:39 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 05:51:09 PM \$MessageID: <20080101005109.17229.60600.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: otherjohn@hotmail.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123144); R=0.107(1071131142549); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <otherjohn@hotmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 05:51:10 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release

6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:37 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:37 PM SMTPOriginator: otherjohn@hotmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 05:51:10 PM-12/31/2007 05:51:11 PM,12/31/2007 05:51:11 PM-12/31/2007 05:58:13 PM \$Orig: F8076BFF2CB73688872573C30004AF5D Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 05:58:13 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, V. John Bonner 1542 Sunset Ln. Grand Junction, CO 81505-1577

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123117470529-270825 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:47:05 -0700 Received: from p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 2732A19E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:22:04 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 88d89774.2537503664.178258.00-005.p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <carol@migrations.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:47:04 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 16:42:34 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 05:47:04 PM \$MessageID: <20080101004704.17229.60576.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: carol@migrations.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0043566662; B=0.500(0); S=0.035(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123144); R=0.107(1071131142542); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <carol@migrations.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 05:47:05 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:38 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:38 PM SMTPOriginator: carol@migrations.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 05:47:05 PM-12/31/2007 05:47:07 PM,12/31/2007 05:47:07 PM-12/31/2007 05:58:13 PM \$Orig: A527777577177199872573C300044FA1 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 05:58:13 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves -- from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching -- to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental nonessential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions -- just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock -- and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. The Mexican gray wolf, driven to extinction in its original homeland by human predation and cruelty, has a chance now to survive. I urge you to consider and institute the measures described above, and allow the Mexican gray wolf the right to life. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Carol Halberstadt POB 543 Newton, MA 02456

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123117460522-270820 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:46:05 -0700 Received: from p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 1638A19E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:21:04 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id c4d89774.2611682224.47453.00-024.p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <strandjuanc@yahoo.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:46:04 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 16:41:34 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 05:46:04 PM \$MessageID: <20080101004604.17229.60574.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: strandjuanc@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123144); R=0.107(1071131142554); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <strandjuanc@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 05:46:05 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:38 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:38 PM SMTPOriginator: strandjuanc@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 05:46:05 PM-12/31/2007 05:46:06 PM,12/31/2007 05:46:06 PM-12/31/2007 05:58:13 PM \$Orig: 2E64DD390ED63335872573C30004382C Categories:

\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 05:58:13 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, John Strand 113 W. 39th St. Minneapolis, MN 55409

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123117440549-270799 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:44:05 -0700 Received: from p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 4F4AB19E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:19:04 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 4dc89774.3159190448.279176.00-034.p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (envelope-

from <barbararenton@sbcglobal.net>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:44:04 -0700
(MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109])
by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 16:39:34 -0800 PostedDate:
12/31/2007 05:44:04 PM \$MessageID:
<20080101004404.17229.60570.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From:
barbararenton@sbcglobal.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican
Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0);
S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123144); R=0.107(1071131142538); SC=none;
SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <barbararenton@sbcglobal.net> X_SOURCE_IP:
[65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 05:44:05
PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release
6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:39 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008
01:58:39 PM SMTPOriginator: barbararenton@sbcglobal.net RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers:
CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 05:44:05 PM-12/31/2007 05:44:06 PM,12/31/2007 05:44:06 PM-12/31/2007
05:58:13 PM \$Orig: 8D9F309D705A6C7F872573C300040965 Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 05:58:13 PM Dr. Brian
Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and
Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for
managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and
Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the
wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution
must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow
loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching
- to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please
develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact
statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves
from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered
status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of
wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect
infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of
which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which
will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative
should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive
breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable
the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which
no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the
boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained
by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed
free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render
inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being
attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on
stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent

depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Barbara Renton 1000 Spruce St. Berkeley, CA 94707-2628

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123117440641-270800 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:44:06 -0700 Received: from p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 3DC8819E8026 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:19:05 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 5dc89774.3683683248.279176.00-034.p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ian@noahfamily.org>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:44:05 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 16:39:34 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 05:44:04 PM \$MessageID: <20080101004404.17229.60572.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: ian@noahfamily.org SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123144); R=0.107(1071131142538); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <ian@noahfamily.org> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 05:44:06 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:39 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:39 PM SMTPOriginator: ian@noahfamily.org RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 05:44:06 PM-12/31/2007 05:44:07 PM,12/31/2007 05:44:07 PM-12/31/2007 05:58:13 PM \$Orig: 200E1FE38F8D666D872573C3000409C1 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 05:58:13 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please

develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Ian Noah 939 S. Dunsmuir Ave. Los Angeles, CA 90036

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123117390105-270747 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:39:01 -0700 Received: from p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id CB29C19E8029 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:13:59 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 4ab89774.2549648304.78360.00-032.p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <hopenjoy@fairpoint.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:39:00 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 16:34:29 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 05:38:59 PM \$MessageID: <20080101003859.17229.60558.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: hopenjoy@fairpoint.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123144); R=0.107(1071131142554); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <hopenjoy@fairpoint.net> X_SOURCE_IP:

[65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 05:39:01 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:40 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:40 PM SMTPOriginator: hopenjoy@fairpoint.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 05:39:01 PM-12/31/2007 05:39:02 PM,12/31/2007 05:39:01 PM-12/31/2007 05:43:01 PM \$Orig: B1F397CAC9358057872573C300039279 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 05:43:01 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Leslie Tawnamaia PO Box 233 Cabot, VT 05647

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123117383458-270744 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:38:34 -0700 Received: from p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 57C0219E8029 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:13:33 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.197.181.251] (EHLO cube.lwpi.com) by p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 98b89774.2444479408.181961.00-063.p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <stephny@lwpi.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:38:33 -0700 (MST) Received: from 2ex0x (71-35-38-185.phnx.qwest.net [71.35.38.185]) by cube.lwpi.com (8.13.6/8.10.2) with SMTP id m010cmg8010779 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 16:38:48 -0800 \$MessageID: <002c01c84c0c\$f7733420\$026fa8c0@domain.actdsltmp> From: "Stephanie Nichols-Young" <stephny@lwpi.com> SendTo: <r2fwe_al@fws.gov> Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA scoping PostedDate: 12/31/2007 05:26:28 PM MIME_Version: 1.0 DeliveryPriority: N X_MSMail_Priority: Normal \$Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1409 X_MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1409 X_Processed_By: Rebuild v2.0-0 X_Spam: [F=0.0661785739; B=0.500(0); S=0.019(2007121801); MH=0.700(2007123144); R=0.600(1071131142535); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <stephny@lwpi.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.197.181.251] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 05:38:34 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:40 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:40 PM SMTPOriginator: stephny@lwpi.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT- SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 05:38:34 PM-12/31/2007 05:38:35 PM,12/31/2007 05:38:35 PM-12/31/2007 05:43:00 PM \$Orig: 5CDCC00518DE48A0872573C300038822 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 05:43:00 PM Dear Sir or Madame: these comments are submitted on behalf of the Animal Defense League of Arizona ("ADLA") and its members and supporters. We appreciate the opportunity to comment, and note at the outset that ADLA has joined in the comments submitted by Dave Parsons of the Rewilding Institute. Those comments are incorporated by this reference, as if fully set forth. Representatives and members of our organization attended some of the scoping meetings. Our Board of Directors voted to highlight one issue that came-up at the meetings, and was mentioned in the materials presented. ADLA's mission is "To Protect and Defend Arizona's Animals." We work extensively on behalf of companion animals and other domestic animals, as well as wildlife. It is the responsibility of people to protect their domestic animals. A wild predator in his natural habitat should not be killed because he is attacking a domestic animal that has been left unprotected or vulnerable by his or her owner/caretaker. We are troubled that this issue was highlighted in the scoping process by the USFWS. As an organization that cares deeply about companion and domestic animals, ADLA emphasizes that people need to protect these animals by using

appropriate enclosures and other forms of protection. To kill a wolf because she is engaged in natural behavior in her home range is completely inappropriate, and should not be considered further, nor be the focus of any more resources. Stephanie Nichols-Young President Animal Defense League of Arizona PO Box 33093 Phoenix, AZ 85067

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123117345628-270708 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:34:56 -0700 Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 059FF19E8029 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:09:54 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id faa89774.2683763632.12980.00-022.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <john_barthel@hotmail.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:34:55 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 16:30:25 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 05:34:55 PM \$MessageID: <20080101003455.17229.60534.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: john_barthel@hotmail.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123143); R=0.107(1071131142554); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <john_barthel@hotmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 05:34:56 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:41 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:41 PM SMTPOriginator: john_barthel@hotmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 05:34:56 PM-12/31/2007 05:34:56 PM,12/31/2007 05:34:56 PM-12/31/2007 05:43:00 PM \$Orig: FB7F6496A6D45608872573C3000332DC Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 05:43:00 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of

wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, John Barthel 1190 12th St SE Owatonna, MN 55060

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123117304689-270681 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:30:46 -0700 Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 72FE219E8029 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:05:45 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [209.85.132.245] (EHLO an-out-0708.google.com) by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 5b989774.2556513200.44829.00-039.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <croper56@gmail.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:30:45 -0700 (MST) Received: by an-out-0708.google.com with SMTP id b33so847374ana.83 for <R2FWE_AL@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 16:30:45 -0800 (PST) DKIM_Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed; d=gmail.com; s=gamma; h=domainkey-signature:received:received:from:to:subject:date:mime-version:content-type:x-mailer:thread-index:x-mimeole:message-id; bh=z/QmX2SBwOqdjIXVjO9PAKsz5vmSnkiLZCmx4Dg4pDY=; b=gpNEfsbOcPfP2/F3gMkqH45O0bcB47RyxQmbSEpp2fxQl2XJyEGcO0qceOpt2H4c CnZyNswUtGHp6lqByB5D5FZ3WD58Ay8/RiOa7l8sTFdVnkAVDuZlexg2WkcvJNqNr caeAjKVZdGM5xaBMLwDpqE1X7E64RIDP9IAsYm6FHA= DomainKey_Signature: a=rsa-sha1; c=nofws; d=gmail.com; s=gamma; h=from:to:subject:date:mime-version:content-type:x-mailer:thread-index:x-mimeole:message-id; b=DhloUjMpnnNXqd7zyy/PqzCinekKpeApxQgeHUC8zkRGKikqYfSto4r2eeXRHXxg

Ur9AoJ8T03tkzIxA9AWXx+vV861GiDudXez/SlcYQ2M1XwhurF1sQDF74oZMgwtJu/QGe4o7/RvZu6SOXinCBJSXcwuSHv1tnfEM5T1J1gA= Received: by 10.101.71.16 with SMTP id y16mr26948305ank.50.1199147445566; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 16:30:45 -0800 (PST) Received: from cindy ([148.64.185.160]) by mx.google.com with ESMTPS id b7sm14798417ana.5.2007.12.31.16.30.37 (version=SSLv3 cipher=RC4-MD5); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 16:30:45 -0800 (PST) From: "CindyR" <croper56@gmail.com> SendTo: <R2FWE_AL@fws.gov> Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping PostedDate: 12/31/2007 05:30:28 PM MIME_Version: 1.0 \$Mailer: Microsoft Office Outlook, Build 11.0.5510 thread_index: AchMDX9m4p3bwknSTEWbwRPqBt4F1g== X_MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2900.3198 \$MessageID: <477989b5.0735640a.7bf4.122e@mx.google.com> X_Processed_By: Rebuild v2.0-0 X_Spam: [F=0.0013768744; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123143); R=0.120(1071131142554); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <croper56@gmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [209.85.132.245] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 05:30:46 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:42 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:42 PM SMTPOriginator: croper56@gmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 05:30:46 PM-12/31/2007 05:30:47 PM,12/31/2007 05:30:47 PM-12/31/2007 05:43:00 PM \$Orig: D8660E4362AD3054872573C30002D171 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 05:43:00 PM To: Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Dear Dr. Millsap, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue RangeWolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by

any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Cynthia Roper 60 Tarantula Flats Road Cerrillos, NM 87010 No virus found in this outgoing message. Checked by AVG Free Edition. Version: 7.5.516 / Virus Database: 269.17.13/1204 - Release Date: 12/31/2007 12:20 PM

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123117325461-270694 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:32:54 -0700 Received: from p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 50A5C19E8029 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:07:53 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 53a89774.3159190448.264352.00-044.p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <aimeeu2@gmail.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:32:53 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 16:28:22 -0800 PostedDate: 12/31/2007 05:32:52 PM \$MessageID: <20080101003252.17229.60530.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: aimeeu2@gmail.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123143); R=0.107(1071131142538); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <aimeeu2@gmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 05:32:54 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:42 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:42 PM SMTPOriginator: aimeeu2@gmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 05:32:54 PM-12/31/2007 05:32:55 PM,12/31/2007 05:32:55 PM-12/31/2007 05:43:00 PM \$Orig: 375B696CAF350416872573C300030355 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 05:43:00 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife

Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Aimee Ursic 4209 Verona Road South Euclid, OH 44121

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123117284928-270671 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:28:49 -0700 Received: from p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id E82CC19E8029 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:03:47 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 04989774.2558225328.16109.00-015.p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <handsoncomart@aol.com>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:28:48 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109])

by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 31 Dec 2007 16:24:18 -0800 PostedDate:
12/31/2007 05:28:48 PM \$MessageID:
<20080101002848.17229.60524.qmail@web1.sac.getactive.com> From:
handsoncomart@aol.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray
Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0012154846; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500;
S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123143); R=0.107(1071131142542); SC=none;
SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <handsoncomart@aol.com> X_SOURCE_IP:
[65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 05:28:49
PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release
6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:43 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008
01:58:43 PM SMTPOriginator: handsoncomart@aol.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy:
,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 05:28:49 PM-12/31/2007 05:28:50 PM,12/31/2007 05:28:50 PM-12/31/2007
05:43:00 PM \$Orig: 527A3F6F7E0AAB81872573C30002A380 Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 05:43:00 PM Dr. Brian
Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and
Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for
managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and
Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the
wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution
must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow
loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching
- to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please
develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact
statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves
from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered
status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of
wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect
infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of
which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which
will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative
should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive
breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable
the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which
no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the
boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained
by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed
free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render
inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being
attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on
stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent
depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves
from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of
wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the

east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Betsy Millard 2012 Valle Rio Santa Fe, NM 87505

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123117282153-270669 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:28:21 -0700 Received: from p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 2FA0D19E8029 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:03:20 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 42989774.2443127728.313082.00-035.p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:28:20 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTP; 31 Dec 2007 18:28:18 -0600 \$MessageID: <27535948.1199147298629.JavaMail.www@app30> PostedDate: 12/31/2007 05:28:18 PM From: Cassandra Yinger <defenders@mail.defenders.org> ReplyTo: Cassandra Yinger <syinger@enter.net> SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping MIME_Version: 1.0 Organization: Defenders of Wildlife X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22 X_Gateway: poolcons XData: 1010,9@nM9yetK@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb X_Spam: [F=0.0001851797; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.018(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123143); R=0.009(1071131142551); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT- SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 05:28:21 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:43 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 01:58:43 PM SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/31/2007 05:28:21 PM-12/31/2007 05:28:22 PM,12/31/2007 05:28:22 PM-12/31/2007 05:43:00 PM \$Orig: 3A498BCA5C470925872573C3000298A9 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 05:43:00 PM Dec 31, 2007 Brian Millsap Dear Millsap, As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest. After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006. Mexican wolves are one of the most

endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them. There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act. As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule. First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves. Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best. Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made. I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest. Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter. Sincerely, Ms. Cassandra Yinger 511 George St Pen Argyl, PA
18072-1711

by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007123117282009-270666 ; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:28:20 -0700 Received: from p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id B7EC019E8029 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:03:18 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 32989774.2474597296.313082.00-035.p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Mon, 31 Dec 2007 17:28:19 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTP; 31 Dec 2007 18:28:18 -0600 \$MessageID: <2116033.1199147298472.JavaMail.www@app30> PostedDate: 12/31/2007 05:28:18 PM From: Joseph Jackson <defenders@mail.defenders.org> ReplyTo: Joseph Jackson <jaijackson@juno.com> SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping MIME_Version: 1.0 Organization: Defenders of Wildlife X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22 X_Gateway: poolcons XData: 1010.9@nM9yeQe@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb X_Spam: [F=0.0001055849; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123143); R=0.009(1071131142551); SC=none; SS=0.500] X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/31/2007 05:28:20 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 01:58:44 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008

01:58:44 PM SMTPOriginator:
email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy:
,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes:
12/31/2007 05:28:20 PM-12/31/2007 05:28:21 PM,12/31/2007 05:28:21 PM-12/31/2007
05:43:00 PM \$Orig: 4CAA070D6FFD61AD872573C300029819 Categories:
\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/31/2007 05:43:00 PM Dec 31,
2007 Brian Millsap Dear Millsap, As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife
management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing
to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the
Southwest. After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in
the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of
establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006. Mexican wolves are one of the most
endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to
Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress
in restoring them. There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest
where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much
smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the
promise of the Endangered Species Act. As one of 900,000 members and supporters of
Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the
reintroduction rule. First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status.
This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies
consult about impacts on wolves. Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the
recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best. Third, don't limit wolf
numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals
take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population,
the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made. I am
confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program
will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild
lands of the Southwest. Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly
important matter. Sincerely, Mr. Joseph Jackson 640 Homewood Dr Pittsburgh, PA
15235-4439