

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123012421732-243748 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:42:17 -0700
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8901519E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:16:56 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 894f7774.3327441840.35189.00-023.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jean.berk@sbcglobal.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:42:16 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:37:46 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:42:15 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230194215.17229.49618.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: jean.berk@sbcglobal.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123019); R=0.103(107113075644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jean.berk@sbcglobal.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:42:17 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:07:52 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:07:52 PM
SMTPOriginator: jean.berk@sbcglobal.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:42:17 PM-12/30/2007 12:42:18 PM,12/30/2007 12:42:18 PM-12/30/2007 12:42:19 PM
\$Orig: D004734592E89D6C872573C1006C3DE5
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:42:19 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from

their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jean Berk
1190A Drazil Road
Fallbrook, CA 92028

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123012421643-243747 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:42:16 -0700
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id A0A4D19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:16:55 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 794f7774.3316951984.35189.00-023.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lbeatty@blue-chip.us>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:42:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:37:46 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:42:15 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230194215.17229.49616.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: lbeatty@blue-chip.us
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123019); R=0.103(107113075644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <lbeatty@blue-chip.us>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:42:16 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:07:53 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:07:53 PM
SMTPOriginator: lbeatty@blue-chip.us
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:42:16 PM-12/30/2007 12:42:17 PM,12/30/2007 12:42:17 PM-12/30/2007 12:42:18 PM
\$Orig: EDFA0A409B0C9221872573C1006C3D8B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:42:18 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lorne Beatty
573 N. Maxfield Road
Brighton, MI 48114

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123012411633-243737 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:41:16 -0700
Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 89E8819E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:15:55 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id b54f7774.2475158448.18014.00-053.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bigskydvor@aol.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:41:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:36:46 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:41:15 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230194115.17229.49614.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: bigskydvor@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123019); R=0.103(107113075642); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <bigskydvor@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:41:16 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:07:54 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:07:54 PM
SMTPOriginator: bigskydvor@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:41:16 PM-12/30/2007 12:41:16 PM,12/30/2007 12:41:17 PM-12/30/2007 12:41:18 PM
\$Orig: 047F814E5C02C1A0872573C1006C2613
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:41:18 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
David Dvorak Jr.
3393 East Scarborough
Cleveland Hts., OH 44118

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123012401622-243731 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:40:16 -0700
Received: from p01c11m017.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 6C40A19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:14:55 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m017.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id f14f7774.2455882672.59777.00-101.p01c11m017.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <janatraveler@hotmail.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:40:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:35:46 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:40:15 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230194015.17229.49612.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: janatraveler@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123019); R=0.103(107113075659); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <janatraveler@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:40:16 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:07:55 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:07:55 PM
SMTPOriginator: janatraveler@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:40:16 PM-12/30/2007 12:40:17 PM,12/30/2007 12:40:17 PM-12/30/2007 12:40:18 PM
\$Orig: F461E926ADD492C9872573C1006C0E96
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:40:18 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
janet herbruck
"3098 rue d'orleans , #221"
san diego, CA 92110

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123012381682-243714 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:38:16 -0700
Received: from p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 00BA019E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:12:55 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 8a3f7774.2467195824.15251.00-095.p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <nihipalim001@hawaii.rr.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:38:16 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:33:46 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:38:15 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230193815.17229.49610.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: nihipalim001@hawaii.rr.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123018); R=0.103(107113075531); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <nihipalim001@hawaii.rr.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:38:16 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:07:56 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:07:56 PM
SMTPOriginator: nihipalim001@hawaii.rr.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:38:16 PM-12/30/2007 12:38:18 PM,12/30/2007 12:38:18 PM-12/30/2007 12:38:19 PM
\$Orig: 37B93E999C35AB36872573C1006BDF2
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:38:19 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Michele Nihipali
54-074 A Kam Hwy
Hauula, HI 96717

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123012361517-243699 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:36:15 -0700
Received: from p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 560C619E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:10:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id e23f7774.2443705264.190035.00-087.p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lindas24@fastmail.fm>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:36:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:31:45 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:36:14 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230193614.17229.49598.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: Lindas24@fastmail.fm
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123018); R=0.103(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <lindas24@fastmail.fm>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:36:15 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:07:58 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:07:58 PM
SMTPOriginator: lindas24@fastmail.fm
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:36:15 PM-12/30/2007 12:36:16 PM,12/30/2007 12:36:16 PM-12/30/2007 12:36:16 PM
\$Orig: 6E5144C282E3221A872573C1006BB06D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:36:16 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Linda Hlavin
458 Maplewood Ave
San Jose, CA 95117-1633

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123012321024-243678 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:32:10 -0700
Received: from p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 5CCA219E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:06:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 932f7774.3264330672.19530.00-024.p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <connieeconomou@comcast.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:32:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:27:40 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:32:09 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230193209.17229.49570.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: connieeconomou@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0051427321; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.043(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123018); R=0.103(107113075647); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <connieeconomou@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:32:10 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:07:59 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:07:59 PM
SMTPOriginator: connieeconomou@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:32:10 PM-12/30/2007 12:32:12 PM,12/30/2007 12:32:12 PM-12/30/2007 12:32:12 PM
\$Orig: 321E7D4AF6757339872573C1006B50C0
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:32:12 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Constantina Economou
10 Panoramic Way
Berkeley, CA 94704

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123012321106-243679 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:32:11 -0700
Received: from p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 30A3D19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:06:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id a32f7774.3400698800.19530.00-024.p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lilmattyorr@gmail.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:32:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:27:40 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:32:09 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230193209.17229.49572.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: lilmattyorr@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123018); R=0.103(107113075647); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <lilmattyorr@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:32:11 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:00 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:00 PM
SMTPOriginator: lilmattyorr@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:32:11 PM-12/30/2007 12:32:12 PM,12/30/2007 12:32:12 PM-12/30/2007 12:32:12 PM
\$Orig: B4A88158B84830FE872573C1006B5113
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:32:12 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Matthew Orr
"345 Shore Rd, Apt 2B"
E Atlantic Bch, NY 11561

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123012291021-243666 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:29:10 -0700
Received: from p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 4AF1019E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:03:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 581f7774.3508157360.357817.00-090.p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <timrita@suddenlink.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:29:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:24:40 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:29:09 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230192909.17229.49568.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: timrita@suddenlink.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123018); R=0.103(107113075644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <timrita@suddenlink.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:29:10 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:01 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:01 PM
SMTPOriginator: timrita@suddenlink.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:29:10 PM-12/30/2007 12:29:12 PM,12/30/2007 12:29:12 PM-12/30/2007 12:29:12 PM
\$Orig: 6E973030DEC58403872573C1006B0A6D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:29:12 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rita Carlson
POB 3753
Eureka, CA 95502

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123012271040-243646 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:27:10 -0700
Received: from p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 73EC619E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:01:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id d01f7774.3087051696.145931.00-052.p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <janadeau@adelphia.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:27:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:22:38 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:27:07 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230192707.17229.49542.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: janadeau@adelphia.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123016); R=0.103(107113075647); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <janadeau@adelphia.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:27:10 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:02 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:02 PM
SMTPOriginator: janadeau@adelphia.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:27:10 PM-12/30/2007 12:27:11 PM,12/30/2007 12:27:11 PM-12/30/2007 12:27:11 PM
\$Orig: 22A79A6509E24915872573C1006ADBA2
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:27:11 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jeanette Nadeau
106 Walton Heath Dr.
Orlando, FL 32828

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123012271000-243644 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:27:10 -0700
Received: from p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 11C0419E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:01:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id d01f7774.2453797808.64936.00-057.p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <junkyardog@msn.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:27:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:22:38 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:27:07 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230192707.17229.49538.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: junkyardog@msn.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123016); R=0.103(107113075642); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <junkyardog@msn.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:27:10 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:03 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:03 PM
SMTPOriginator: junkyardog@msn.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:27:10 PM-12/30/2007 12:27:11 PM,12/30/2007 12:27:11 PM-12/30/2007 12:27:11 PM
\$Orig: 949C9E01C0E73385872573C1006ADB78
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:27:11 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
jeanette donato
179 S Harrison Ave
Congers, NY 10920

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123012271017-243645 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:27:10 -0700
Received: from p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3CD8219E800A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:01:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id d01f7774.2537601968.78521.00-065.p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <leclaircarol@yahoo.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:27:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:22:38 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:27:07 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230192707.17229.49540.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: leclaircarol@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0042891434; B=0.500(0); S=0.036(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123016); R=0.103(107113075531); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <leclaircarol@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:27:10 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:04 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:04 PM
SMTPOriginator: leclaircarol@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:27:10 PM-12/30/2007 12:27:11 PM,12/30/2007 12:27:11 PM-12/30/2007 12:27:11 PM
\$Orig: DB0C56F38E50FA06872573C1006ADB89
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:27:11 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carol LeClair
104 W. Annandale Road
Falls Church, VA 22046

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123012270936-243643 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:27:09 -0700
Received: from p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 69AF219E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:01:48 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id c01f7774.2590051248.78521.00-065.p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <judithlipofsky@yahoo.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:27:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:22:38 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:27:07 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230192707.17229.49536.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: judithlipofsky@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123016); R=0.103(107113075531); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <judithlipofsky@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:27:09 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:05 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:05 PM
SMTPOriginator: judithlipofsky@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:27:09 PM-12/30/2007 12:27:10 PM,12/30/2007 12:27:10 PM-12/30/2007 12:27:10 PM
\$Orig: 56ED6B821EC3F24C872573C1006ADB38
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:27:10 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Judith Lipofsky
1831 Laurel Oak Dr. S.
Rockledge, FL 32955

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123012270917-243642 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:27:09 -0700
Received: from p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3BADA19E800A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:01:48 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id c01f7774.2516736944.64936.00-057.p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <nanlil@sbcglobal.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:27:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:22:38 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:27:07 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230192707.17229.49534.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: nanlil@sbcglobal.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123016); R=0.103(107113075642); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <nanlil@sbcglobal.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:27:09 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:06 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:06 PM
SMTPOriginator: nanlil@sbcglobal.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:27:09 PM-12/30/2007 12:27:10 PM,12/30/2007 12:27:10 PM-12/30/2007 12:27:10 PM
\$Orig: 31FCDF1F7C10128B872573C1006ADB25
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:27:10 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nancy Lilienthal
1537 Glenville Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90035

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123012270834-243641 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:27:08 -0700
Received: from p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 6710019E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:01:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id b01f7774.2569186224.64936.00-057.p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email@likroper.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:27:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:22:38 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:27:07 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230192707.17229.49532.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: email@likroper.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123016); R=0.103(107113075642); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email@likroper.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:27:08 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:07 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:07 PM
SMTPOriginator: email@likroper.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:27:08 PM-12/30/2007 12:27:09 PM,12/30/2007 12:27:09 PM-12/30/2007 12:27:09 PM
\$Orig: B92FA54E0C51FBB7872573C1006ADAD2
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:27:09 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
lik roper
po box 3617
www.likroper.com
santa clara, CA 95055-3617

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123012251470-243630 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:25:14 -0700
Received: from p01c11m082.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B78C819E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:59:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m082.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id a90f7774.3685100464.16869.00-047.p01c11m082.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:25:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 30 Dec 2007 13:25:12 -0600
\$MessageID: <28984154.1199042712654.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:25:12 PM
From: Kelly Thompson <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Kelly Thompson <kellywarriorwoman2003@yahoo.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM9K9tK@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0002170508; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.021(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123016); R=0.009(107113075644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:25:14 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:08 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:08 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:25:14 PM-12/30/2007 12:25:15 PM,12/30/2007 12:25:16 PM-12/30/2007 12:25:16 PM
\$Orig: 9F26192D0FAC052C872573C1006AAE6E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:25:16 PM

Dec 30, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kelly Thompson
4214 E Wilma St
Tampa, FL 33617-4480

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123012251436-243629 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:25:14 -0700
Received: from p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 633F319E800A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:59:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 990f7774.2601204656.19603.00-090.p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:25:13 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 30 Dec 2007 13:25:12 -0600
\$MessageID: <28673279.1199042712492.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:25:12 PM
From: abigail smith <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: abigail smith <akazoogirl@hotmail.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM9K9Q4@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0002370165; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.022(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123016); R=0.009(107113075531); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:25:14 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:08 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:08 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:25:14 PM-12/30/2007 12:25:14 PM,12/30/2007 12:25:15 PM-12/30/2007 12:25:15 PM
\$Orig: F01B4E35DE418D04872573C1006AAE4C
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:25:15 PM

Dec 30, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Miss abigail smith
6335 E Brown Rd Unit 1117
Mesa, AZ 85205-5621

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123012195223-243594 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:19:52 -0700
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 36E2B19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:54:31 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 75fe7774.3569691568.14317.00-119.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sukumarn@email.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:19:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:15:22 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:19:51 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230191951.17229.49468.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: sukumarn@email.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123016); R=0.103(107113075644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <sukumarn@email.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:19:52 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:09 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:09 PM
SMTPOriginator: sukumarn@email.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:19:52 PM-12/30/2007 12:19:52 PM,12/30/2007 12:19:53 PM-12/30/2007 12:19:53 PM
\$Orig: EB7CAEE0B8E84CB1872573C1006A3077
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:19:53 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
N. SUKUMAR
14 Wilde Street
TROY, NY 12180-8333

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123012175136-243577 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:17:51 -0700
Received: from p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 5286119E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:52:30 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id edee7774.2464197552.8501.00-074.p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ce99watson@aol.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:17:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:13:21 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:17:50 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230191750.17229.49458.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: ce99watson@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123016); R=0.103(107113075644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ce99watson@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:17:51 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:09 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:09 PM
SMTPOriginator: ce99watson@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:17:51 PM-12/30/2007 12:17:52 PM,12/30/2007 12:17:53 PM-12/30/2007 12:17:53 PM
\$Orig: 4AE89A6919B17908872573C1006A0140
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:17:53 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Claire Watson
246 Peppertree Way
Pittsburg, CA 94565

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123012134910-243558 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:13:49 -0700
Received: from p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0317A19E800A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:48:27 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id cede7774.2674633648.1237.00-008.p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dorienz@comcast.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:13:48 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:09:18 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:13:47 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230191347.17229.49448.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: Dorienz@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123016); R=0.103(107113075531); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <dorienz@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:13:49 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:09 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:09 PM
SMTPOriginator: dorienz@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:13:49 PM-12/30/2007 12:13:50 PM,12/30/2007 12:13:51 PM-12/30/2007 12:13:51 PM
\$Orig: 9F2D1A663125C8AA872573C10069A29F
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:13:51 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dorien Zaricor
1194 Windemere Circle
Gurnee, IL 60031

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123012134825-243556 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:13:48 -0700
Received: from p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 2C19019E800A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:48:27 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id bede7774.2664143792.1237.00-008.p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jmason@umich.edu>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:13:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:09:18 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:13:47 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230191347.17229.49446.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jmason@umich.edu
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123016); R=0.103(107113075531); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jmason@umich.edu>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:13:48 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:10 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:10 PM
SMTPOriginator: jmason@umich.edu
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:13:48 PM-12/30/2007 12:13:49 PM,12/30/2007 12:13:50 PM-12/30/2007 12:13:50 PM
\$Orig: 266E27726A49F6CF872573C10069A249
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:13:50 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Julianne Mason
369 Saint Johns Pl.
#7
Brooklyn, NY 11238

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123012073219-243506 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:07:32 -0700
Received: from p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 0C8E619E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:42:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 37ce7774.2673380272.43539.00-101.p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jones.factor@earthlink.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:07:31 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:03:02 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:07:31 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230190731.17229.49366.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: jones.factor@earthlink.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123015); R=0.103(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jones.factor@earthlink.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:07:32 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:10 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:10 PM
SMTPOriginator: jones.factor@earthlink.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:07:32 PM-12/30/2007 12:07:33 PM,12/30/2007 12:07:33 PM-12/30/2007 12:07:34 PM
\$Orig: BA07542ED8599478872573C100690F63
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:07:34 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

As a concerned citizen and professional ecologist, please

develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Michael Jones
1701 Ridgewood Rd.
Fort Collins, CO 80526

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123012033107-243477 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:03:31 -0700
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D835B19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:38:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 28be7774.2453298096.19313.00-006.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jidogirl@yahoo.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:03:30 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:59:00 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:03:29 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230190329.17229.49308.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jidogirl@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123015); R=0.103(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jidogirl@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:03:31 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:10 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:10 PM
SMTPOriginator: jidogirl@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:03:31 PM-12/30/2007 12:03:32 PM,12/30/2007 12:03:32 PM-12/30/2007 12:03:33 PM
\$Orig: B6D38AB5BF2DC501872573C10068B134
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:03:33 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ashleigh Gustavson
5900 20th Ave. NW Apartment # 3
Ballard, WA 98107

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123012033022-243476 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:03:30 -0700
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0AA5F19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:38:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 18be7774.2495257520.19313.00-006.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mskeller@cox.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:03:29 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:59:00 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:03:29 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230190329.17229.49304.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: mskeller@cox.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123015); R=0.103(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <mskeller@cox.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:03:30 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:11 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:11 PM
SMTPOriginator: mskeller@cox.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:03:30 PM-12/30/2007 12:03:31 PM,12/30/2007 12:03:31 PM-12/30/2007 12:03:32 PM
\$Orig: BE1D03C1FEB66B3E872573C10068B0E0
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:03:32 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Marcia Keller
7175 Bobhird Dr
San Diego, CA 92119-1403

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123012011570-243463 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:01:15 -0700
Received: from p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 7A0FC19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:35:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id bfae7774.2715839408.41376.00-048.p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jrdcraig@lmi.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 12:01:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:56:45 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 12:01:14 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230190114.17229.49280.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jrdcraig@lmi.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123015); R=0.103(107113075644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jrdcraig@lmi.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 12:01:15 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:11 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:11 PM
SMTPOriginator: jrdcraig@lmi.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 12:01:15 PM-12/30/2007 12:01:17 PM,12/30/2007 12:01:17 PM-12/30/2007 12:01:18 PM
\$Orig: 8F30F476052ADF41872573C100687C52
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 12:01:18 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

I am very concerned about the future of the wolves called Mexican gray wolves. The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. I do not want any kind of killing of these wolves to be legal.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Julia Craig
2337 Parker St. #7
Berkeley, CA 94704-2841

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123011591527-243447 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:59:15 -0700
Received: from p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0841919E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:33:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 28ae7774.2494708656.87370.00-062.p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <c1lanas@gmail.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:59:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:54:45 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:59:14 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230185914.17229.49268.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: c1lanas@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123015); R=0.103(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <c1lanas@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:59:15 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:12 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:12 PM
SMTPOriginator: c1lanas@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:59:15 AM-12/30/2007 11:59:15 AM,12/30/2007 11:59:16 AM-12/30/2007 11:59:17 AM
\$Orig: BE4CBDAA6A2B13AA872573C100684D47
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:59:17 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Cassie Llanas
11409 8th avenue
Kenosha, WI 53158

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123011551279-243425 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:55:12 -0700
Received: from p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 7FF2C19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:29:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 099e7774.2684992432.63124.00-002.p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:55:12 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 30 Dec 2007 12:55:11 -0600
\$MessageID: <28396370.1199040911923.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:55:11 AM
From: Tania Malven <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Tania Malven <tmalven@yahoo.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican wolf necessary for healthy ecosystem
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM9K9KM@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001208486; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.011(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123015); R=0.009(107113075642); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [66.45.103.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:55:12 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:12 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:12 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:55:12 AM-12/30/2007 11:55:13 AM,12/30/2007 11:55:14 AM-12/30/2007 11:55:14 AM
\$Orig: 6CF67929500CECE1872573C10067EE8F
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:55:14 AM

Dec 30, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery

efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Tania Malven
2228 E Kleindale Rd
Tucson, AZ 85719-2441

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123011551065-243423 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:55:10 -0700
Received: from p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 5C08E19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:29:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e89e7774.2612329392.18932.00-078.p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:55:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 30 Dec 2007 12:55:09 -0600
\$MessageID: <18646417.1199040909906.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:55:09 AM
From: Victoria Khalidi <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Victoria Khalidi <vkhalidi@tnc.org>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM9K9KK@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0002415308; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.023(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123015); R=0.009(107113075531); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:55:10 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:12 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:12 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:55:10 AM-12/30/2007 11:55:11 AM,12/30/2007 11:55:12 AM-12/30/2007 11:55:12 AM
\$Orig: 80FD528755C7C13A872573C10067EDB9
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:55:12 AM

Dec 30, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Victoria Khalidi
738 S Tohono Ridge Pl
Tucson, AZ 85745-5092

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123011474723-243386 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:47:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id D4FDA19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:22:25 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 2d7e7774.2655607728.115609.00-086.p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cgoss@sbuniv.edu>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:47:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:43:17 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:47:46 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230184746.17229.49172.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: cgoss@sbuniv.edu
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0024940758; B=0.500(0); S=0.021(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123015); R=0.103(107113075713); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <cgoss@sbuniv.edu>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:47:47 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:13 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:13 PM
SMTPOriginator: cgoss@sbuniv.edu
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:47:47 AM-12/30/2007 11:47:48 AM,12/30/2007 11:47:49 AM-12/30/2007 11:47:49 AM
\$Orig: E2BA8C0B96B3B0E9872573C100674083
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:47:49 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its over-reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. In order to be a viable solution, proper management must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

Please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their

current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Curtis Goss
816 E. Lindon
Bolivar, MO 65613

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123011464419-243382 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:46:44 -0700
Received: from p01c11m024.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C6DE119E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:21:22 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m024.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 397e7774.2603572144.54583.00-031.p01c11m024.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <colette2thous7@gmail.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:46:43 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:42:14 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:46:43 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230184643.17229.49156.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: colette2thous7@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123015); R=0.103(107113075642); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <colette2thous7@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:46:44 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:13 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:13 PM
SMTPOriginator: colette2thous7@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:46:44 AM-12/30/2007 11:46:45 AM,12/30/2007 11:46:46 AM-12/30/2007 11:46:46 AM
\$Orig: 588CFBC18FE10690872573C1006727E3
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:46:46 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Colette Walczak
1023 Ashland Ave.
Santa Monica, CA 90405

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123011454506-243374 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:45:45 -0700
Received: from p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id A3D2E19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:20:23 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 857e7774.2662181808.13961.00-079.p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cppbell@web.ca>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:45:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:41:14 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:45:43 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230184543.17229.49154.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: cppbell@web.ca
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123015); R=0.103(107113075531); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <cppbell@web.ca>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:45:45 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:14 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:14 PM
SMTPOriginator: cppbell@web.ca
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:45:45 AM-12/30/2007 11:45:46 AM,12/30/2007 11:45:47 AM-12/30/2007 11:45:47 AM
\$Orig: 3D7414EAF57352872573C1006710CA
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:45:47 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Warren Bell MD
Box 3458
Salmon Arm, BC V1E 4S2

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123011454415-243373 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:45:44 -0700
Received: from p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id BD26219E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:20:22 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 757e7774.2515323824.13961.00-079.p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mgan_700@yahoo.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:45:43 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:41:14 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:45:43 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230184543.17229.49152.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: mgan_700@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123015); R=0.103(107113075531); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <mgan_700@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:45:44 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:14 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:14 PM
SMTPOriginator: mgan_700@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:45:44 AM-12/30/2007 11:45:45 AM,12/30/2007 11:45:46 AM-12/30/2007 11:45:46 AM
\$Orig: 3319D64533F0EC28872573C10067106F
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:45:46 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

I welcome the opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves, especially since Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to prevent the population of rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include alternative analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Monica Gan
770 #G Canyon Oaks Drive
Oakland, CA 94605

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123011444337-243364 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:44:43 -0700
Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id DFF9419E800A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:19:21 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id a17e7774.3485719472.191596.00-044.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <carlosreyes@inbox.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:44:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:40:13 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:44:42 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230184442.17229.49148.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: carlosreyes@inbox.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0014124103; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.012(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123015); R=0.103(107113075647); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <carlosreyes@inbox.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:44:43 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:14 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:14 PM
SMTPOriginator: carlosreyes@inbox.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:44:43 AM-12/30/2007 11:44:44 AM,12/30/2007 11:44:45 AM-12/30/2007 11:44:45 AM
\$Orig: 4707876B9755235B872573C10066F8B1
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:44:45 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carlos Reyes
227 Prospect Avenue
Brooklyn, NY 11215

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123011343339-243321 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:34:33 -0700
Received: from p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D877F19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:09:11 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 8b4e7774.2453556144.9503.00-002.p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <aryanaferguson@hotmail.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:34:32 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:30:00 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:34:29 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230183429.17229.49070.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: aryanaferguson@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123014); R=0.103(107113075659); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <aryanaferguson@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:34:33 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:15 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:15 PM
SMTPOriginator: aryanaferguson@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:34:33 AM-12/30/2007 11:34:34 AM,12/30/2007 11:34:34 AM-12/30/2007 11:34:35 AM
\$Orig: 02412889D46CDF5D872573C100660A6B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:34:35 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jannette Ferguson
270 E 36th Ave
Eugene, OR 97405

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123011343256-243320 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:34:32 -0700
Received: from p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 0E1E919E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:09:11 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 7b4e7774.2568944560.9503.00-002.p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <s_otoole41@yahoo.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:34:31 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:30:00 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:34:29 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230183429.17229.49068.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: s_otoole41@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123014); R=0.103(107113075659); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <s_otoole41@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:34:32 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:15 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:15 PM
SMTPOriginator: s_otoole41@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:34:32 AM-12/30/2007 11:34:33 AM,12/30/2007 11:34:33 AM-12/30/2007 11:34:34 AM
\$Orig: 9B526ECE29ECBDCB872573C100660A18
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:34:34 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Shawn O'Toole
1335 S. Prairie
#404
Chicago, IL 60605

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123011322904-243308 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:32:29 -0700
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7E34219E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:07:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id c34e7774.2651446192.23873.00-071.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <theblackat@hotmail.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:32:28 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:27:59 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:32:28 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230183228.17229.49040.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: theblackat@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123014); R=0.103(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <theblackat@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:32:29 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:15 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:15 PM
SMTPOriginator: theblackat@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:32:29 AM-12/30/2007 11:32:30 AM,12/30/2007 11:32:30 AM-12/30/2007 11:32:31 AM
\$Orig: 5418DF606B0C6E23872573C10065D9D8
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:32:31 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kay Maher
8327 Willow Path
Tomball, TX 77375

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123011312930-243302 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:31:29 -0700
Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id BC8D019E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:06:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 004e7774.2494524336.25560.00-086.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <k_harding@sympatico.ca>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:31:28 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:26:58 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:31:27 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230183127.17229.49036.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: k_harding@sympatico.ca
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123014); R=0.103(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <k_harding@sympatico.ca>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:31:29 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:16 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:16 PM
SMTPOriginator: k_harding@sympatico.ca
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:31:29 AM-12/30/2007 11:31:30 AM,12/30/2007 11:31:30 AM-12/30/2007 11:31:31 AM
\$Orig: C438A0BDDE00088A872573C10065C282
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:31:31 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kevin Harding
530 7e Rue
Quebec, QC G1J2R9

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123011312847-243301 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:31:28 -0700
Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id E6F6319E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:06:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id ff3e7774.2473544624.25560.00-086.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <s_cordeau@sympatico.ca>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:31:27 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:26:58 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:31:27 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230183127.17229.49034.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: s_cordeau@sympatico.ca
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123014); R=0.103(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <s_cordeau@sympatico.ca>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:31:28 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:16 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:16 PM
SMTPOriginator: s_cordeau@sympatico.ca
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:31:28 AM-12/30/2007 11:31:29 AM,12/30/2007 11:31:29 AM-12/30/2007 11:31:30 AM
\$Orig: 1DAD424B261CC3C6872573C10065C22F
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:31:30 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Cordeau
530 7e Rue
Quebec, QC G1J 2R9

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123011292810-243291 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:29:28 -0700
Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 82E8119E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:04:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 783e7774.2455714736.15413.00-006.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <fahr_dawg@hotmail.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:29:27 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:24:57 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:29:26 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230182926.17229.49030.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: fahr_dawg@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123014); R=0.103(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <fahr_dawg@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:29:28 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:17 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:17 PM
SMTPOriginator: fahr_dawg@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:29:28 AM-12/30/2007 11:29:29 AM,12/30/2007 11:29:29 AM-12/30/2007 11:29:30 AM
\$Orig: D6385B7EFB4C6328872573C10065932A
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:29:30 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Stephanie McGuire
7335 Beacon Ave. S
Seattle, WA 98108-3917

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123011292724-243290 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:29:27 -0700
Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id A810B19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:04:05 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 683e7774.2655022000.15413.00-006.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <raysonlybaby@yahoo.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:29:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:24:57 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:29:26 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230182926.17229.49028.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: raysonlybaby@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123014); R=0.103(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <raysonlybaby@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:29:27 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:17 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:17 PM
SMTPOriginator: raysonlybaby@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:29:27 AM-12/30/2007 11:29:28 AM,12/30/2007 11:29:28 AM-12/30/2007 11:29:29 AM
\$Orig: D24292C19570F83D872573C1006592D4
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:29:29 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
shawn mcguire
129 n 8th street 401
las vegas, NV 89101

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123011262519-243277 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:26:25 -0700
Received: from p01c11m017.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 9195419E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:01:03 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m017.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 0d2e7774.2716842928.13509.00-097.p01c11m017.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <melissaknittle@gmail.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:26:24 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:21:55 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:26:24 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230182624.17229.49016.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: melissaknittle@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123012); R=0.103(107113075659); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <melissaknittle@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:26:25 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:18 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:18 PM
SMTPOriginator: melissaknittle@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:26:25 AM-12/30/2007 11:26:26 AM,12/30/2007 11:26:26 AM-12/30/2007 11:26:26 AM
\$Orig: F721B4718E9B998F872573C100654BB7
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:26:26 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Melissa Knittle
328 Madison Street
Apt. #1L
Hoboken, NJ 07030

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123011253974-243274 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:25:39 -0700
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2359919E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:00:18 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 3a2e7774.2462628784.13477.00-072.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:25:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 30 Dec 2007 12:25:38 -0600
\$MessageID: <12745694.1199039138359.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:25:38 AM
From: Alexis Rodin <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Alexis Rodin <missalexisbean@yahoo.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: please save the wolves
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM9K99Q@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001449497; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.014(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123012); R=0.009(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:25:39 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:18 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:18 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:25:39 AM-12/30/2007 11:25:41 AM,12/30/2007 11:25:41 AM-12/30/2007 11:25:41 AM
\$Orig: 573F2966D22A5508872573C1006539F6
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:25:41 AM

Dec 30, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Miss Alexis Rodin
3364 S 17th Ave
Yuma, AZ 85365-3919

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123011252724-243267 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:25:27 -0700
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 9984719E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:00:05 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 492e7774.3275975600.84681.00-008.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gandggroup@earthlink.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:25:24 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:20:52 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:25:21 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230182521.17229.49008.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: gandggroup@earthlink.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123012); R=0.103(107113075647); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <gandggroup@earthlink.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:25:27 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:19 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:19 PM
SMTPOriginator: gandggroup@earthlink.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:25:27 AM-12/30/2007 11:25:29 AM,12/30/2007 11:25:29 AM-12/30/2007 11:25:29 AM
\$Orig: D58B5D9449247D4D872573C100653514
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:25:29 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
bette c grotegut
5824 sw arnold road
plattsburg, MO 64477-9326

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123011252443-243265 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:25:24 -0700
Received: from p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C8DCC19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:00:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 392e7774.2504289200.10110.00-038.p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <karen.cairns@gmail.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:25:23 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:20:52 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:25:21 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230182521.17229.49010.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: karen.cairns@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123012); R=0.103(107113075644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <karen.cairns@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:25:24 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:20 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:20 PM
SMTPOriginator: karen.cairns@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:25:24 AM-12/30/2007 11:25:26 AM,12/30/2007 11:25:26 AM-12/30/2007 11:25:26 AM
\$Orig: E9FE1F4E6297EDDE872573C1006533FB
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:25:26 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Karen Cairns
711 South Main Street #D4
Blacksburg, VA 24060

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123011250855-243261 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:25:08 -0700
Received: from p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id E86D919E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:59:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 382e7774.2644204464.10346.00-038.p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:25:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 30 Dec 2007 12:25:07 -0600
\$MessageID: <3662012.1199039107137.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:25:07 AM
From: Kimberly Smith <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Kimberly Smith <smith_1kl@hotmail.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM9etEM@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001248140; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.012(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123012); R=0.009(107113075713); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:25:08 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:21 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:21 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:25:08 AM-12/30/2007 11:25:10 AM,12/30/2007 11:25:10 AM-12/30/2007 11:25:10 AM
\$Orig: 8439BF442D01F03A872573C100652DC7
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:25:10 AM

Dec 30, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Miss Kimberly Smith
4519 E Juarez St
Tucson, AZ 85711-5728

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123011211827-243244 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:21:18 -0700
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 9607719E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:55:56 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id d91e7774.2578017200.7275.00-014.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <harp77@peak.org>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:21:17 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:16:48 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:21:16 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230182116.17229.48960.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: harp77@peak.org
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123012); R=0.103(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <harp77@peak.org>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:21:18 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:22 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:22 PM
SMTPOriginator: harp77@peak.org
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:21:18 AM-12/30/2007 11:21:19 AM,12/30/2007 11:21:19 AM-12/30/2007 11:21:19 AM
\$Orig: B0AE3DFFEDBD6502872573C10064D3D3
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:21:19 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nancy Phillips
23545 Woods Creek Rd
Philomath, OR 97370

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123011195449-243239 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:19:54 -0700
Received: from p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C92C019E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:54:32 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 941e7774.2653522864.9204.00-063.p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cavanauc@hotmail.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:19:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:15:24 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:19:53 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230181953.17229.48958.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: cavanauc@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123012); R=0.103(107113075642); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <cavanauc@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:19:54 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:23 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:23 PM
SMTPOriginator: cavanauc@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:19:54 AM-12/30/2007 11:19:55 AM,12/30/2007 11:19:55 AM-12/30/2007 11:19:55 AM
\$Orig: F658552B610BD8BA872573C10064B31A
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:19:55 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Catherine Cavanaugh
503 Cherry
Wyandotte, MI 48192

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123011175093-243225 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:17:50 -0700
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3DE4D19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:52:29 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id ec0e7774.2528041904.106334.00-108.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <altimm@verizon.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:17:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:13:20 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:17:49 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230181749.17229.48946.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: altimm@verizon.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123012); R=0.103(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <altimm@verizon.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:17:50 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:23 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:23 PM
SMTPOriginator: altimm@verizon.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:17:50 AM-12/30/2007 11:17:52 AM,12/30/2007 11:17:52 AM-12/30/2007 11:17:52 AM
\$Orig: 779C10B7B4BC6D6C872573C1006482D5
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:17:52 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
A Timmons
57 Pines Br Rd
Yorktown, NY 10598

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123011175009-243224 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:17:50 -0700
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 63BCC19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:52:28 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id dc0e7774.2454612912.106334.00-108.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <robertvharper@msn.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:17:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:13:20 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:17:49 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230181749.17229.48944.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: ROBERTVHARPER@MSN.COM
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123012); R=0.103(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <robertvharper@msn.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:17:50 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:24 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:24 PM
SMTPOriginator: robertvharper@msn.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:17:50 AM-12/30/2007 11:17:51 AM,12/30/2007 11:17:51 AM-12/30/2007 11:17:51 AM
\$Orig: C9B820A9DFB30D48872573C100648281
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:17:51 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
BOB HARPER
5151 n meridian st
indianapolis, IN 46208

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123011164817-243211 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:16:48 -0700
Received: from p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 74CC819E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:51:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f80e7774.2579561392.11365.00-082.p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <clarehooson@yahoo.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:16:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:12:17 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:16:46 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230181646.17229.48940.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: clarehooson@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123012); R=0.103(107113075713); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <clarehooson@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:16:48 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:25 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:25 PM
SMTPOriginator: clarehooson@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:16:48 AM-12/30/2007 11:16:49 AM,12/30/2007 11:16:49 AM-12/30/2007 11:16:49 AM
\$Orig: 7791E95414764D3B872573C100646A51
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:16:49 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Clare Hooson
1203 Alameda #3
Belmont, CA 94002

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123011164837-243212 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:16:48 -0700
Received: from p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id A76A319E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:51:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id f80e7774.2494450608.36068.00-083.p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mark_y48@verizon.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:16:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:12:17 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:16:46 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230181646.17229.48942.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: mark_y48@verizon.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123012); R=0.103(107113075659); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <mark_y48@verizon.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:16:48 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:25 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:25 PM
SMTPOriginator: mark_y48@verizon.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:16:48 AM-12/30/2007 11:16:49 AM,12/30/2007 11:16:49 AM-12/30/2007 11:16:49 AM
\$Orig: 50F92F8A69577C19872573C100646A67
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:16:49 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mark York
10799 Sherman Grove Ave #39
Sunland, CA 91040

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123011164732-243210 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:16:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 9C7A419E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:51:25 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id e80e7774.2432703408.11365.00-082.p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <chap71@msn.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:16:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:12:17 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:16:46 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230181646.17229.48938.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: chap71@msn.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123012); R=0.103(107113075713); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <chap71@msn.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:16:47 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:26 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:26 PM
SMTPOriginator: chap71@msn.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:16:47 AM-12/30/2007 11:16:48 AM,12/30/2007 11:16:48 AM-12/30/2007 11:16:48 AM
\$Orig: A0ED43225D0A9C97872573C1006469FE
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:16:48 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
carolynn chapman
2145 birch drive
lafayette hill, PA 19444

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123011114837-243180 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:11:48 -0700
Received: from p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 95E8719E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:46:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 36fd7774.2620586928.15751.00-094.p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <irishscrub@yahoo.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:11:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:07:18 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:11:47 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230181147.17229.48894.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: irishscrub@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123012); R=0.103(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <irishscrub@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:11:48 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:27 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:27 PM
SMTPOriginator: irishscrub@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:11:48 AM-12/30/2007 11:11:49 AM,12/30/2007 11:11:49 AM-12/30/2007 11:11:49 AM
\$Orig: 1CE1EE8B063E1FEE872573C10063F535
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:11:49 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lori Barrett
5890 E. Hwy. 20
Space 34
Lucerne, CA 95458

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123011103548-243175 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:10:35 -0700
Received: from p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id B0AD019E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:45:13 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id alfd7774.2662046640.83549.00-034.p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <valicious@hotmail.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:10:34 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:06:05 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:10:34 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230181034.17229.48892.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: valicious@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123012); R=0.103(107113075713); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <valicious@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:10:35 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:28 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:28 PM
SMTPOriginator: valicious@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:10:35 AM-12/30/2007 11:10:36 AM,12/30/2007 11:10:36 AM-12/30/2007 11:10:36 AM
\$Orig: 02A905FD2299E072872573C10063D8BC
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:10:36 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
VALERIE DANIEL
5805 47th ave
bsmt
woodside, NY 11377

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123011081130-243155 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:08:11 -0700
Received: from p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7D36E19E8013 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:42:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id a8ed7774.2694958000.57160.00-046.p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <tomcotexas@yahoo.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:08:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:03:40 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:08:09 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230180809.17229.48858.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: tomcotexas@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123011); R=0.103(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <tomcotexas@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:08:11 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:28 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:28 PM
SMTPOriginator: tomcotexas@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:08:11 AM-12/30/2007 11:08:12 AM,12/30/2007 11:08:12 AM-12/30/2007 11:08:13 AM
\$Orig: 5252D3C48829B0B9872573C10063A06A
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:08:13 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Tom Elrod
2901 E. Palm Valley Blvd.
Round Rock, TX 78665-9446

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123011081111-243154 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:08:11 -0700
Received: from p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 4DDFB19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:42:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id a8ed7774.2548001712.28657.00-002.p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ninak@mills.edu>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:08:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:03:40 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:08:09 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230180809.17229.48856.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: ninak@mills.edu
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123011); R=0.103(107113075642); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ninak@mills.edu>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:08:11 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:29 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:29 PM
SMTPOriginator: ninak@mills.edu
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:08:11 AM-12/30/2007 11:08:12 AM,12/30/2007 11:08:12 AM-12/30/2007 11:08:13 AM
\$Orig: 8DEC576D82088077872573C10063A057
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:08:13 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ken Burke
5000 MacArthur Blvd.
Oakland, CA 94613

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123011081028-243153 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:08:10 -0700
Received: from p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 72F8419E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:42:48 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 98ed7774.2600450992.28657.00-002.p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bobwamcclain@aol.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:08:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:03:40 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:08:09 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230180809.17229.48854.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: bobwamcclain@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123011); R=0.103(107113075642); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <bobwamcclain@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:08:10 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:30 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:30 PM
SMTPOriginator: bobwamcclain@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:08:10 AM-12/30/2007 11:08:12 AM,12/30/2007 11:08:12 AM-12/30/2007 11:08:13 AM
\$Orig: 97165B8CCB86B610872573C10063A004
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:08:13 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Barbara McClain
12 Grump Blvd
PO Box 374
Idaho City, ID 83631-0374

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123011043429-243136 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:04:34 -0700
Received: from p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 71D4219E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:39:12 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 1bdd7774.2706054064.22607.00-078.p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <amorrcoc@msn.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:04:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 10:00:04 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:04:33 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230180433.17229.48850.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: amorrcoc@msn.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123011); R=0.103(107113075659); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <amorrcoc@msn.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:04:34 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:30 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:30 PM
SMTPOriginator: amorrcoc@msn.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:04:34 AM-12/30/2007 11:04:36 AM,12/30/2007 11:04:36 AM-12/30/2007 11:04:37 AM
\$Orig: 68EA3655D2A47913872573C100634BA5
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:04:37 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ann Morris Cockrell
106 River Oak Dr.
Ingram, TX 78025-4000

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123011002619-243109 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:00:26 -0700
Received: from p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4EB2A19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:35:04 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 9bcd7774.2433481648.6884.00-043.p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cmkirkbride@mac.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 11:00:25 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:55:56 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 11:00:25 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230180025.17229.48754.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: cmkirkbride@mac.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123011); R=0.103(10711307561); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <cmkirkbride@mac.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 11:00:26 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:31 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:31 PM
SMTPOriginator: cmkirkbride@mac.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 11:00:26 AM-12/30/2007 11:00:27 AM,12/30/2007 11:00:27 AM-12/30/2007 11:00:28 AM
\$Orig: 1655F19EE9A033A0872573C10062EABB
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 11:00:28 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carol Kirkbride
720 NW Torrey View
Portland, OR 97229

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123010592615-243097 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:59:26 -0700
Received: from p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 42A1419E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:34:04 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id d7cd7774.2675260336.12258.00-007.p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <paulamanette@verizon.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:59:25 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:54:56 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:59:25 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230175925.17229.48752.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: paulamanette@verizon.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123011); R=0.103(107113075531); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <paulamanette@verizon.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:59:26 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:32 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:32 PM
SMTPOriginator: paulamanette@verizon.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:59:26 AM-12/30/2007 10:59:27 AM,12/30/2007 10:59:27 AM-12/30/2007 10:59:28 AM
\$Orig: C847C13FDFE511A1872573C10062D348
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:59:28 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

I value the opportunity for comment on the Mexican gray wolves. I hope and trust that you will take every action needed to protect this part of our wild heritage and advance the cause of conservation for which NEPA was intended.

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources,

including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Paula Walker
P.O. Box 406
Brightwood, OR 97011

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123010582419-243087 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:58:24 -0700
Received: from p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 44B9B19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:33:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f3cd7774.2675116976.62565.00-005.p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <shaunda.crisler@gmail.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:58:23 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:53:53 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:58:22 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230175822.17229.48728.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: shaunda.crisler@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0047777789; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.040(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123011); R=0.103(107113075713); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <shaunda.crisler@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:58:24 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:32 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:32 PM
SMTPOriginator: shaunda.crisler@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:58:24 AM-12/30/2007 10:58:25 AM,12/30/2007 10:58:25 AM-12/30/2007 10:58:26 AM
\$Orig: 23DC0D64E0AD9EEC872573C10062BB13
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:58:26 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Shaunda Crisler
2635 Mapelton Ave #178
boulder, CO 80301

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123010582335-243086 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:58:23 -0700
Received: from p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 70AC619E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:33:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id e3cd7774.2444340144.62565.00-005.p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <alexis_naydenov@yahoo.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:58:22 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:53:53 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:58:22 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230175822.17229.48726.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: alexis_naydenov@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123011); R=0.103(107113075713); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <alexis_naydenov@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:58:23 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:33 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:33 PM
SMTPOriginator: alexis_naydenov@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:58:23 AM-12/30/2007 10:58:24 AM,12/30/2007 10:58:24 AM-12/30/2007 10:58:25 AM
\$Orig: 283319EA117B876B872573C10062BABF
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:58:25 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Alexis Naydenov
43 Bucknam St
#1
Everett, MA 02149

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123010550665-243066 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:55:06 -0700
Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id B12BF19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:29:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id a7bd7774.2433661872.17584.00-029.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:55:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:55:02 -0600
\$MessageID: <12089574.1199037302546.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:55:02 AM
From: Diane Adelhelm <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Diane Adelhelm <vtdee@optonline.net>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf survival
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM9etM9@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123011); R=0.009(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:55:06 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:34 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:34 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:55:06 AM-12/30/2007 10:55:07 AM,12/30/2007 10:55:07 AM-12/30/2007 10:55:08 AM
\$Orig: 6A3BA1F9BA42BDFB872573C100626DE9
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:55:08 AM

Dec 30, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Adelhelm
4500 E Sunrise Dr Apt Q3
Tucson, AZ 85718-5337

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123010550596-243064 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:55:05 -0700
Received: from p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 082C119E8013 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:29:43 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 97bd7774.2589801392.3389.00-078.p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:55:05 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:55:02 -0600
\$MessageID: <17319787.1199037302249.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:55:02 AM
From: "V. Alexander" <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: "V. Alexander" <la_arcoiris_de_verdad@hotmail.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM9etyK@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0004026809; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.038(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123011); R=0.009(107113075531); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [66.45.103.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:55:05 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:34 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:34 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:55:05 AM-12/30/2007 10:55:07 AM,12/30/2007 10:55:07 AM-12/30/2007 10:55:08 AM
\$Orig: 1E10ECE8B2ADDDF9872573C100626DA4
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:55:08 AM

Dec 30, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery

efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. V. Alexander
PO Box 11302
Albuquerque, NM 87192-0302

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123010550521-243061 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:55:05 -0700
Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 4643B19E8013 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:29:43 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 87bd7774.2674928560.17584.00-029.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:55:04 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:55:01 -0600
\$MessageID: <3924990.1199037301993.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:55:01 AM
From: Nicole Tidwell <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Nicole Tidwell <nicoletidwell@hotmail.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM9etKQ@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001295332; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.012(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123011); R=0.009(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:55:05 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:35 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:35 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:55:05 AM-12/30/2007 10:55:06 AM,12/30/2007 10:55:06 AM-12/30/2007 10:55:07 AM
\$Orig: E0980BF5DD7EAED7872573C100626D5B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:55:07 AM

Dec 30, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Miss Nicole Tidwell
753 E Moreno Ave
Colorado Springs, CO 80903-4543

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123010550437-243056 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:55:04 -0700
Received: from p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 6A03E19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:29:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 77bd7774.2528258992.57256.00-017.p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:55:03 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:55:01 -0600
\$MessageID: <28257052.1199037301793.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:55:01 AM
From: Gregory Mason <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Gregory Mason <gamason@prodigy.net>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM9etet@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001175738; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.011(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123011); R=0.009(107113075713); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:55:04 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:36 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:36 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:55:04 AM-12/30/2007 10:55:05 AM,12/30/2007 10:55:05 AM-12/30/2007 10:55:06 AM
\$Orig: 0D97D0541AD8B19D872573C100626D05
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:55:06 AM

Dec 30, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gregory Mason
4387 E Burning Tree Loop
Flagstaff, AZ 86004-7560

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123010550410-243055 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:55:04 -0700
Received: from p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 292D319E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:29:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 77bd7774.2475183024.4766.00-118.p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:55:03 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:55:01 -0600
\$MessageID: <28908891.1199037301623.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:55:01 AM
From: Clarice Snyder <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Clarice Snyder <clarice_snyder@yahoo.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Please help the Mexican Gray Wolf
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM9etey@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001393717; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.013(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123011); R=0.009(107113075713); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:55:04 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:36 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:36 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:55:04 AM-12/30/2007 10:55:05 AM,12/30/2007 10:55:05 AM-12/30/2007 10:55:06 AM
\$Orig: F1A58CCD4F0BB5AE872573C100626CEA
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:55:06 AM

Dec 30, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Clarice Snyder
6248 E Waltann Ln
Scottsdale, AZ 85254-1942

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123010550365-243053 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:55:03 -0700
Received: from p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id AC30019E8013 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:29:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 77bd7774.2444340144.57256.00-017.p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:55:03 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 30 Dec 2007 11:55:01 -0600
\$MessageID: <21592120.1199037301553.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:55:01 AM
From: Juliana Marques <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Juliana Marques <gatas@free.fr>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM9etee@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0003270279; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.031(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123011); R=0.009(107113075713); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:55:03 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:37 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:37 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:55:03 AM-12/30/2007 10:55:04 AM,12/30/2007 10:55:04 AM-12/30/2007 10:55:05 AM
\$Orig: 1E48DD9D21251E98872573C100626CBD
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:55:05 AM

Dec 30, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Juliana Marques
92 rue de lourmel
Paris, TX 75015

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123010471314-242973 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:47:13 -0700
Received: from p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 1EDD619E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:21:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 0a9d7774.2422848432.114912.00-065.p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bjasby@gmail.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:47:12 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:42:43 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:47:12 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230174712.17229.48646.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: bjasby@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075642); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <bjasby@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:47:13 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:38 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:38 PM
SMTPOriginator: bjasby@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:47:13 AM-12/30/2007 10:47:13 AM,12/30/2007 10:47:14 AM-12/30/2007 10:47:14 AM
\$Orig: 70B4EBC49D3FAC37872573C10061B4F2
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:47:14 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
jason byrd
1007 cromwell
saint paul, MN 55114

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123010461236-242967 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:46:12 -0700
Received: from p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 535CB19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:20:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 369d7774.3380689840.96498.00-073.p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mmherink@aol.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:46:11 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:41:42 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:46:11 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230174611.17229.48644.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: mmherink@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075647); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <mmherink@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:46:12 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:38 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:38 PM
SMTPOriginator: mmherink@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:46:12 AM-12/30/2007 10:46:13 AM,12/30/2007 10:46:14 AM-12/30/2007 10:46:14 AM
\$Orig: B60206792712ED4F872573C100619D36
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:46:14 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Max and Mary Herink
14541 Peppertree Cir.
Tustin, CA 92780

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123010451027-242962 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:45:10 -0700
Received: from p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 38A9119E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:19:48 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 529d7774.2485160880.20215.00-085.p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <alsorich@earthlink.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:45:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:40:40 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:45:09 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230174509.17229.48642.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: alsorich@earthlink.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <alsorich@earthlink.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:45:10 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:39 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:39 PM
SMTPOriginator: alsorich@earthlink.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:45:10 AM-12/30/2007 10:45:11 AM,12/30/2007 10:45:12 AM-12/30/2007 10:45:12 AM
\$Orig: 61E880F18C78C5F8872573C1006184F3
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:45:12 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rich Corbat
2411 NW Cedar Avenue
Redmond, OR 97756

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123010420737-242948 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:42:07 -0700
Received: from p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 489AD19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:16:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id e68d7774.2599828400.41760.00-016.p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mulderlovertwik@yahoo.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:42:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:37:37 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:42:06 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230174206.17229.48614.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: mulderlovertwik@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <mulderlovertwik@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:42:07 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:40 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:40 PM
SMTPOriginator: mulderlovertwik@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:42:07 AM-12/30/2007 10:42:08 AM,12/30/2007 10:42:09 AM-12/30/2007 10:42:09 AM
\$Orig: 232B2057AFE1A615872573C100613D81
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:42:09 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Twik Simms
1415 W . Chevy Chase Dr.
Anaheim, CA 92801-1904

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123010400633-242936 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:40:06 -0700
Received: from p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 381F419E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:14:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 5f7d7774.3244194736.50766.00-060.p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mfwahl@gmail.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:40:05 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:35:36 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:40:05 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230174005.17229.48612.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: mfwahl@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075647); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <mfwahl@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:40:06 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:41 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:41 PM
SMTPOriginator: mfwahl@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:40:06 AM-12/30/2007 10:40:07 AM,12/30/2007 10:40:08 AM-12/30/2007 10:40:08 AM
\$Orig: 8BE7847760B92C91872573C100610E39
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:40:08 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Michael Wahl
1200 Beach Dr NE #8
St Petersburg, FL 33701

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123010380564-242921 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:38:05 -0700
Received: from p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 811E019E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:12:43 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id d77d7774.2726419376.8396.00-063.p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gizmo_jr2004@yahoo.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:38:05 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:33:36 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:38:04 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230173804.17229.48608.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: gizmo_jr2004@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <gizmo_jr2004@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:38:05 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:41 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:41 PM
SMTPOriginator: gizmo_jr2004@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:38:05 AM-12/30/2007 10:38:06 AM,12/30/2007 10:38:07 AM-12/30/2007 10:38:07 AM
\$Orig: E4941A50929DF1D1872573C10060DF16
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:38:07 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Wieland
25 Fairway Dr. Box B-16
North Windham, CT 06256

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123010370134-242914 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:37:01 -0700
Received: from p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3332D19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:11:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id c37d7774.156076976.192527.00-008.p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jvhinderlider@gtmc.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:37:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:32:30 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:36:59 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230173659.17229.48588.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: jvhinderlider@gtmc.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jvhinderlider@gtmc.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:37:01 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:42 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:42 PM
SMTPOriginator: jvhinderlider@gtmc.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:37:01 AM-12/30/2007 10:37:02 AM,12/30/2007 10:37:03 AM-12/30/2007 10:37:03 AM
\$Orig: 7CA6A661F6A83E12872573C10060C5F6
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:37:03 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Valerie Hinderlider
2141 J. Rd.
Minden, NE 68959

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123010370039-242913 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:37:00 -0700
Received: from p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 3F79A19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:11:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id b37d7774.2739960752.192527.00-008.p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <wendyworth2002@aol.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:36:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:32:30 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:36:59 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230173659.17229.48586.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: wendyworth2002@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <wendyworth2002@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:37:00 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:43 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:43 PM
SMTPOriginator: wendyworth2002@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:37:00 AM-12/30/2007 10:37:01 AM,12/30/2007 10:37:02 AM-12/30/2007 10:37:02 AM
\$Orig: C28B31DC1C13715B872573C10060C597
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:37:02 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Wendy Worth
9201 Vigen Circle
Austin, TX 78748

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123010360028-242909 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:36:00 -0700
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2248B19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:10:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id ff6d7774.2496572336.40993.00-017.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mikkelg@email.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:35:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:31:30 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:35:59 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230173559.17229.48584.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: mikkelg@email.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0013658056; B=0.500(0); S=0.011(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <mikkelg@email.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:36:00 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:43 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:43 PM
SMTPOriginator: mikkelg@email.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:36:00 AM-12/30/2007 10:36:01 AM,12/30/2007 10:36:02 AM-12/30/2007 10:36:02 AM
\$Orig: 3165ECB7745FA0FC872573C10060AE1C
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:36:02 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
mikkel gredvig
pob 1001
Tonasket, WA 98855

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123010350120-242896 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:35:01 -0700
Received: from p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0C68A19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:09:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 4c6d7774.2578127792.27113.00-059.p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <wildtrout10@hotmail.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:35:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:30:30 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:34:59 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230173459.17229.48580.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: wildtrout10@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075713); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <wildtrout10@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:35:01 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:44 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:44 PM
SMTPOriginator: wildtrout10@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:35:01 AM-12/30/2007 10:35:02 AM,12/30/2007 10:35:03 AM-12/30/2007 10:35:03 AM
\$Orig: A09CA54634033F76872573C10060970A
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:35:03 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Chuck Ricevuto
Box 615
Oroville, WA 98844

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123010350139-242897 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:35:01 -0700
Received: from p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3B14019E8013 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:09:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 4c6d7774.2529065904.26105.00-053.p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <emilial@lycos.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:35:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:30:30 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:34:59 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230173459.17229.48582.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: emilial@lycos.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075531); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <emilial@lycos.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:35:01 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:44 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:44 PM
SMTPOriginator: emilial@lycos.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:35:01 AM-12/30/2007 10:35:02 AM,12/30/2007 10:35:03 AM-12/30/2007 10:35:03 AM
\$Orig: 3B6E4E61BB63DDCD872573C10060971B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:35:03 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Emilia Lausz
HC 89 Box 383
Pocono Summit, PA 18346

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123010350029-242895 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:35:00 -0700
Received: from p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 248DB19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:09:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 3c6d7774.2483719088.27113.00-059.p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <instantk8@cox.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:34:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:30:30 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:34:59 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230173459.17229.48578.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: InstantK8@cox.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075713); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <instantk8@cox.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:35:00 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:45 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:45 PM
SMTPOriginator: instantk8@cox.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:35:00 AM-12/30/2007 10:35:01 AM,12/30/2007 10:35:02 AM-12/30/2007 10:35:02 AM
\$Orig: 7070CA51430F01F8872573C1006096AF
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:35:02 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kate Donovan
15815 S. Lakewood Pkwy., W.
#2016
Phoenix, AZ 85048

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123010325514-242888 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:32:55 -0700
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id EBBDB19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:07:32 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 646d7774.2465102768.36143.00-078.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jh002d@hotmail.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:32:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:28:24 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:32:53 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230173253.17229.48540.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jh002d@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jh002d@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:32:55 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:45 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:45 PM
SMTPOriginator: jh002d@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:32:55 AM-12/30/2007 10:32:56 AM,12/30/2007 10:32:57 AM-12/30/2007 10:32:57 AM
\$Orig: C79FA8B22FEA671B872573C1006065CA
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:32:57 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Joel Helfrich
16 Oak Meadow Trl.
Pittsford, NY 14534

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123010325425-242887 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:32:54 -0700
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 10AA619E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:07:32 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 546d7774.2570001328.36143.00-078.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <traymond51@hotmail.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:32:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:28:24 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:32:53 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230173253.17229.48538.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: traymond51@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <traymond51@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:32:54 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:45 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:45 PM
SMTPOriginator: traymond51@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:32:54 AM-12/30/2007 10:32:55 AM,12/30/2007 10:32:56 AM-12/30/2007 10:32:56 AM
\$Orig: CA67823B03F6D233872573C100606571
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:32:56 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Tony Raymond
973 Circle Drive
Baltimore, MD 21227

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123010295520-242868 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:29:55 -0700
Received: from p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id EFFC919E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:04:32 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 295d7774.2412350384.68493.00-067.p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <steplich@aol.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:29:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:25:24 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:29:53 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230172953.17229.48530.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: steplich@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075642); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <steplich@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:29:55 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:46 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:46 PM
SMTPOriginator: steplich@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:29:55 AM-12/30/2007 10:29:56 AM,12/30/2007 10:29:56 AM-12/30/2007 10:29:57 AM
\$Orig: 70E52B9817A4950C872573C100601F80
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:29:57 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Stephen Lich
2905 Haverhill Drive
Indianapolis, IN 46240-3501

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123010295433-242867 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:29:54 -0700
Received: from p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1BE2A19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:04:32 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 195d7774.2433330096.68493.00-067.p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <unica99@aol.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:29:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:25:24 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:29:53 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230172953.17229.48528.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: unica99@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075642); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <unica99@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:29:54 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:46 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:46 PM
SMTPOriginator: unica99@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:29:54 AM-12/30/2007 10:29:55 AM,12/30/2007 10:29:55 AM-12/30/2007 10:29:56 AM
\$Orig: F6BFE1EFC65C4199872573C100601F29
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:29:56 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
deborah chiumento
589 jackson road
atco, NJ 08004

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123010275298-242850 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:27:52 -0700
Received: from p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B60F619E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:02:30 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 815d7774.2445147056.34220.00-086.p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kael3@aol.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:27:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:23:23 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:27:51 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230172751.17229.48504.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: kael3@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075713); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <kael3@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:27:52 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:46 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:46 PM
SMTPOriginator: kael3@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:27:52 AM-12/30/2007 10:27:54 AM,12/30/2007 10:27:54 AM-12/30/2007 10:27:55 AM
\$Orig: 6086DAD2B9A7E6FA872573C1005FEFC2
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:27:55 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Karen Lehner
775 E. Blithedale Ave #352
Mill Valley, CA 94941

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123010250290-242827 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:25:02 -0700
Received: from p01c11m082.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 9CED619E8013 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 09:59:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m082.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e64d7774.3602308016.59665.00-091.p01c11m082.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:25:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:25:00 -0600
\$MessageID: <2016097.1199035500254.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:25:00 AM
From: Rachel Hungerford <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Rachel Hungerford <rachelsan@msn.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM9et94@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0002267213; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.021(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.009(107113075644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:25:02 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:47 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:47 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:25:02 AM-12/30/2007 10:25:03 AM,12/30/2007 10:25:03 AM-12/30/2007 10:25:03 AM
\$Orig: 8636E869FBC16F01872573C1005FAD52
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:25:03 AM

Dec 30, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Rachel Hungerford
16207 E Trevino Dr
Fountain Hills, AZ 85268-5636

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123010250216-242824 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:25:02 -0700
Received: from p01c11m082.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id DD68719E8013 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 09:59:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m082.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id d64d7774.3549858736.59665.00-091.p01c11m082.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:25:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:25:00 -0600
\$MessageID: <1901546.1199035500101.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:25:00 AM
From: Rachel Hungerford <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Rachel Hungerford <rachelsan@msn.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM9eQtn@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0002533824; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.024(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.009(107113075644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [66.45.103.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:25:02 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:47 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:47 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:25:02 AM-12/30/2007 10:25:03 AM,12/30/2007 10:25:03 AM-12/30/2007 10:25:03 AM
\$Orig: B933AEDE4D9B9A82872573C1005FAD08
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:25:03 AM

Dec 30, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery

efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Rachel Hungerford
16207 E Trevino Dr
Fountain Hills, AZ 85268-5636

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123010250212-242823 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:25:02 -0700
Received: from p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id D204819E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 09:59:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id d64d7774.2412358576.80154.00-098.p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:25:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:24:59 -0600
\$MessageID: <2922204.1199035499677.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:24:59 AM
From: Mary Gillman <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Mary Gillman <mccreadyroxx@yahoo.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM9eQMn@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001468233; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.014(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.009(107113075642); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:25:02 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:47 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:47 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:25:02 AM-12/30/2007 10:25:02 AM,12/30/2007 10:25:02 AM-12/30/2007 10:25:02 AM
\$Orig: B047A4AA28A1E6BD872573C1005FAD04
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:25:02 AM

Dec 30, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Gillman
1301 Appleby Blvd Apt F5
Florence, AL 35630-2093

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123010250096-242819 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:25:00 -0700
Received: from p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id AC7BA19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 09:59:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id c64d7774.2622184368.4200.00-101.p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:25:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 30 Dec 2007 11:24:59 -0600
\$MessageID: <24350351.1199035499074.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:24:59 AM
From: Sandria Cook <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Sandria Cook <akosanmacd@aol.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM9eQE4@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001392567; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.013(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.009(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [66.45.103.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:25:00 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:48 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:48 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:25:00 AM-12/30/2007 10:25:01 AM,12/30/2007 10:25:01 AM-12/30/2007 10:25:01 AM
\$Orig: 86A6DB3FEA7E9E7F872573C1005FAC90
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:25:01 AM

Dec 30, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery

efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandria Cook
PO Box 721
Corrales, NM 87048-0721

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123010245226-242815 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:24:52 -0700
Received: from p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id F30CC19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 09:59:29 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 364d7774.2624539568.140372.00-056.p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <raaax@aol.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:24:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:20:22 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:24:51 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230172451.17229.48490.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: raaax@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075531); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <raaax@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:24:52 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:48 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:48 PM
SMTPOriginator: raaax@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:24:52 AM-12/30/2007 10:24:53 AM,12/30/2007 10:24:53 AM-12/30/2007 10:24:53 AM
\$Orig: 42D59AAC3F0B3CCF872573C1005FA92A
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:24:53 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
adam Kimmel
111 4th
nY, NY 10003

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123010225104-242801 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:22:51 -0700
Received: from p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B8AD519E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 09:57:28 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id ae3d7774.2724658096.755.00-022.p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lglendye4@hotmail.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:22:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:18:20 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:22:49 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230172249.17229.48452.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: lglendye4@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <lglendye4@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:22:51 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:48 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:48 PM
SMTPOriginator: lglendye4@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:22:51 AM-12/30/2007 10:22:51 AM,12/30/2007 10:22:51 AM-12/30/2007 10:22:51 AM
\$Orig: DC38BF89A87F25F1872573C1005F79D0
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:22:51 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Leslie Glendye
104 Crestview Ave.
Somerset, MA 02725

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123010225018-242800 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:22:50 -0700
Received: from p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id DABE419E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 09:57:27 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 9e3d7774.2577800112.755.00-022.p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gribovic@hotmail.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:22:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:18:20 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:22:49 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230172249.17229.48450.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: gribovic@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <gribovic@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:22:50 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:49 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:49 PM
SMTPOriginator: gribovic@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:22:50 AM-12/30/2007 10:22:51 AM,12/30/2007 10:22:51 AM-12/30/2007 10:22:51 AM
\$Orig: 3B90FB989E7F9436872573C1005F797A
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:22:51 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Gary Ribovic
45 Mercer St.
P.O.Box 270
Wilcox, PA 15870-0270

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123010204921-242788 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:20:49 -0700
Received: from p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id DD3FE19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 09:55:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 073d7774.3622247344.141499.00-075.p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rachelsan@msn.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:20:48 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:16:19 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:20:48 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230172048.17229.48446.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: rachelsan@msn.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <rachelsan@msn.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:20:49 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:49 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:49 PM
SMTPOriginator: rachelsan@msn.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:20:49 AM-12/30/2007 10:20:50 AM,12/30/2007 10:20:50 AM-12/30/2007 10:20:50 AM
\$Orig: E32740BBEA016AE4872573C1005F4A39
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:20:50 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rachel Sterne
16207 E Trevino Dr
Ftn Hills, AZ 85268

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123010194919-242781 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:19:49 -0700
Received: from p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id D6FC119E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 09:54:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 433d7774.2622745520.22452.00-045.p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lauriel131@yahoo.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:19:48 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:15:19 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:19:48 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230171948.17229.48444.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: lauriel131@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0063716780; B=0.500(0); S=0.052(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <lauriel131@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:19:49 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:49 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:49 PM
SMTPOriginator: lauriel131@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:19:49 AM-12/30/2007 10:19:50 AM,12/30/2007 10:19:50 AM-12/30/2007 10:19:50 AM
\$Orig: 538791D9F1F34A9D872573C1005F32C7
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:19:50 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Laurie Barre
580 E. Palm St.
Altadena, CA 91001

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123010164532-242761 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:16:45 -0700
Received: from p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id EE68A19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 09:51:22 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id c72d7774.2529065904.53022.00-087.p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <universalbeingness@yahoo.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:16:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:12:15 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:16:44 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230171644.17229.48372.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: universalbeingness@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(10711307561); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <universalbeingness@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:16:45 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:50 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:50 PM
SMTPOriginator: universalbeingness@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:16:45 AM-12/30/2007 10:16:46 AM,12/30/2007 10:16:46 AM-12/30/2007 10:16:46 AM
\$Orig: 947B6FFAED98FA0F872573C1005EEAF6
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:16:46 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sara Rankin
580 Capell St.
Oakland, CA 94610

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123010143307-242746 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:14:33 -0700
Received: from p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id A901719E8013 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 09:49:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 8f1d7774.3244321712.45927.00-002.p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <animal@cuug.ab.ca>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:14:32 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:04:08 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:08:37 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230170837.17229.48272.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: animal@cuug.ab.ca
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075647); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <animal@cuug.ab.ca>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:14:33 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:50 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:50 PM
SMTPOriginator: animal@cuug.ab.ca
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:14:33 AM-12/30/2007 10:14:34 AM,12/30/2007 10:14:34 AM-12/30/2007 10:14:34 AM
\$Orig: 28963929B2E464F9872573C1005EB74B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:14:34 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
lee horne
6477 Hwy 93 S, # 210
Whitefish, MT 59937

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123010124064-242737 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:12:40 -0700
Received: from p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3D30019E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 09:47:18 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 881d7774.3223583664.16154.00-036.p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mtnbare@yahoo.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:12:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:08:09 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:12:38 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230171238.17229.48282.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: mtnbare@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075647); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <mtnbare@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:12:40 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:50 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:50 PM
SMTPOriginator: mtnbare@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:12:40 AM-12/30/2007 10:12:41 AM,12/30/2007 10:12:41 AM-12/30/2007 10:12:41 AM
\$Orig: 7FEF71080149E4B3872573C1005E8B60
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:12:41 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carole Baldwin
3691 Warbler Ave
Santa Clara, CA 95051

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123010123975-242736 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:12:39 -0700
Received: from p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 571F919E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 09:47:17 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 781d7774.3580238768.16154.00-036.p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <maya.r@care2.com>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:12:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:08:09 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:12:38 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230171238.17229.48280.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: maya.r@care2.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011604937; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075647); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <maya.r@care2.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:12:39 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:51 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:51 PM
SMTPOriginator: maya.r@care2.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:12:39 AM-12/30/2007 10:12:41 AM,12/30/2007 10:12:41 AM-12/30/2007 10:12:41 AM
\$Orig: 416E84C846094C20872573C1005E8B07
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:12:41 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Maya Robinson
5115 43rd Ave
Woodside, NY 11377

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007123010103930-242718 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:10:39 -0700
Received: from p01c11m011.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id D5A9F19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 09:45:16 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m011.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id e01d7774.2706410416.141332.00-107.p01c11m011.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cleo57@hotmail.fr>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:10:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:06:09 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:10:38 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230171038.17229.48276.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: cleo57@hotmail.fr
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0013551509; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.011(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075659); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <cleo57@hotmail.fr>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:10:39 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:51 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:51 PM
SMTPOriginator: cleo57@hotmail.fr
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:10:39 AM-12/30/2007 10:10:40 AM,12/30/2007 10:10:40 AM-12/30/2007 10:10:40 AM
\$Orig: 7814561146BFE1FD872573C1005E5BFA
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:10:40 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
mireille azouzou
val plan
marseille, AE 13013

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007123010103905-242717 ; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:10:39 -0700
Received: from p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 99C7C19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Dec 2007 09:45:16 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e01d7774.2456701872.119579.00-081.p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jobe_l@sbcglobal.net>); Sun, 30 Dec 2007 10:10:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 30 Dec 2007 09:06:09 -0800
PostedDate: 12/30/2007 10:10:38 AM
\$MessageID: <20071230171038.17229.48274.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: jobe_l@sbcglobal.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0619232243; B=0.500(0); S=0.364(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007123010); R=0.103(107113075531); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jobe_l@sbcglobal.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/30/2007 10:10:39 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:08:52 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:08:52 PM
SMTPOriginator: jobe_l@sbcglobal.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/30/2007 10:10:39 AM-12/30/2007 10:10:40 AM,12/30/2007 10:10:40 AM-12/30/2007 10:10:40 AM
\$Orig: 7886536B270A2B10872573C1005E5BE1
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/30/2007 10:10:40 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon.

It doesn't make any sense at all to put the Mexican wolves in a lose-lose situation. They are not responsible for the carcasses that are left by ranchers and lead them to prey on livestock. These animals deserve to be given a fair chance of survival - not a cave-in to ranchers who DO NOT own the land most of their cattle graze on. This is an insult to the American people.

Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf

population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

Please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. This is the only right alternative.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
LAURA JOBE
1011 E. BELGRAVIA DR.

PEARLAND, TX 77584