

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122918504918-238007 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:50:49 -0700
Received: from p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 5AD5219E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:25:16 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 879f6774.2493995952.139491.00-079.p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <darkfaery5@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:50:48 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:46:20 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:50:48 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230015048.17229.43069.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: darkfaery5@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155555); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <darkfaery5@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:50:49 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:39 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:39 PM
SMTPOriginator: darkfaery5@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:50:49 PM-12/29/2007 06:50:50 PM,12/29/2007 06:50:51 PM-12/29/2007 06:50:51 PM
\$Orig: 87BB4FF55B44F790872573C1000A2556
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:50:51 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from

their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
amy wilson
92 Eastman Ln
Amherst, MA 01003

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918485074-238000 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:48:50 -0700
Received: from p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id DF56F19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:23:17 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 209f6774.2464770992.90646.00-049.p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <fantang7@hotmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:48:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:44:20 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:48:48 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230014848.17229.43065.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: fantang7@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(107112915553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <fantang7@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:48:50 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:40 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:40 PM
SMTPOriginator: fantang7@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:48:50 PM-12/29/2007 06:48:51 PM,12/29/2007 06:48:52 PM-12/29/2007 06:48:52 PM
\$Orig: ED0AD12AB3CB4BD7872573C10009F712
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:48:52 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Douglas Hagler
19 Belle Ave Apt 10
San Anselmo, CA 94960

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918484993-237999 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:48:49 -0700
Received: from p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 14BD219E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:23:17 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 109f6774.2433301424.90646.00-049.p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <orbyn@cox.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:48:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:44:20 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:48:48 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230014848.17229.43063.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: orbyn@cox.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <orbyn@cox.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:48:49 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:40 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:40 PM
SMTPOriginator: orbyn@cox.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:48:49 PM-12/29/2007 06:48:50 PM,12/29/2007 06:48:51 PM-12/29/2007 06:48:51 PM
\$Orig: 3079F4FBAC1E37B6872573C10009F6C1
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:48:51 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Bryon Reynolds
91 S. Cypress Ct.
Chandler, AZ 85226

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122918474715-237993 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:47:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 4962019E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:22:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 2c8f6774.2475277232.6297.00-054.p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <franbrowne@zianet.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:47:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:43:17 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:47:46 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230014746.17229.43029.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: franbrowne@zianet.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155539); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <franbrowne@zianet.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:47:47 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:41 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:41 PM
SMTPOriginator: franbrowne@zianet.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:47:47 PM-12/29/2007 06:47:48 PM,12/29/2007 06:47:49 PM-12/29/2007 06:47:49 PM
\$Orig: 7E7E17465C2A2D84872573C10009DE3B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:47:49 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

I am writing to ask you to please develop a Conservation Alternative for the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements.

The Conservation Alternative should allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico and

allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area.

And why don't you require livestock owners using public lands to remove carcasses which might attract wolves? I don't understand that.

Thank you very much for consideration of my views.

Sincerely,
Frances C. Browne
1213 Serinna Ct.
Sliver City, NM 88061

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918474796-237994 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:47:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1CEC819E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:22:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 3c8f6774.2412338096.6297.00-054.p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <waldrec@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:47:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:43:18 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:47:46 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230014746.17229.43031.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: WaldRec@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155539); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <waldrec@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:47:47 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:41 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:41 PM
SMTPOriginator: waldrec@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:47:47 PM-12/29/2007 06:47:48 PM,12/29/2007 06:47:49 PM-12/29/2007 06:47:49 PM
\$Orig: BE92560F7EA6399B872573C10009DE8C
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:47:49 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Gilbert Wald
534 Foothill Road
Bridgewater, NJ 08807-2236

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918454729-237978 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:45:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 688F119E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:20:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id a48f6774.2602486704.14583.00-047.p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <katpav3@gmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:45:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:41:18 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:45:46 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230014546.17229.43027.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: katpav3@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(107112915560); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <katpav3@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:45:47 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:41 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:41 PM
SMTPOriginator: katpav3@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:45:47 PM-12/29/2007 06:45:48 PM,12/29/2007 06:45:49 PM-12/29/2007 06:45:49 PM
\$Orig: C809529539BC529F872573C10009AF6B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:45:49 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kathy Pavlick
1716 Viscaya Pkwy.
Cape Coral, FL 33990-6208

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918414401-237952 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:41:44 -0700
Received: from p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1753019E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:16:11 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 757f6774.3328199600.46404.00-048.p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <erheeg@gmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:41:43 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:37:14 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:41:42 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230014142.17229.43005.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: erheeg@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155554); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <erheeg@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:41:44 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:42 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:42 PM
SMTPOriginator: erheeg@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:41:44 PM-12/29/2007 06:41:45 PM,12/29/2007 06:41:46 PM-12/29/2007 06:41:46 PM
\$Orig: 6EB3BCB040688264872573C100095061
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:41:46 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Heeg
4402 SW Findlay St.
Seattle, WA 98136

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918414321-237951 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:41:43 -0700
Received: from p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4858719E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:16:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 657f6774.3317709744.46404.00-048.p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <hollis28@live.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:41:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:37:13 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:41:42 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230014142.17229.43003.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: hollis28@live.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155554); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <hollis28@live.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:41:43 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:42 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:42 PM
SMTPOriginator: hollis28@live.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:41:43 PM-12/29/2007 06:41:44 PM,12/29/2007 06:41:45 PM-12/29/2007 06:41:45 PM
\$Orig: 3EB2C6E094D21533872573C100095013
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:41:45 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Holly Smith
902 1/2 S 7th Ave
Wausau, WI 54401

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918384728-237928 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:38:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 5202119E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:13:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 6a6f6774.2613607344.3404.00-053.p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <maya.mars@laposte.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:38:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:34:14 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:38:42 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230013842.17229.42967.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: maya.mars@laposte.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0093307845; B=0.500(0); S=0.083(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155530); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <maya.mars@laposte.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:38:47 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:42 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:42 PM
SMTPOriginator: maya.mars@laposte.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:38:47 PM-12/29/2007 06:38:48 PM,12/29/2007 06:38:49 PM-12/29/2007 06:38:49 PM
\$Orig: 4D413C0B23F80F50872573C100090B58
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:38:49 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
maya puerta
chemin martin
marseille, AE 13013

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918384628-237927 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:38:46 -0700
Received: from p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 533F719E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:13:13 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 2a6f6774.2603117488.3404.00-053.p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <superfarmor2004@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:38:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:34:13 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:38:42 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230013842.17229.42965.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: superfarmor2004@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155530); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <superfarmor2004@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:38:46 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:43 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:43 PM
SMTPOriginator: superfarmor2004@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:38:46 PM-12/29/2007 06:38:47 PM,12/29/2007 06:38:48 PM-12/29/2007 06:38:48 PM
\$Orig: 4EF7584006B817C0872573C100090AF4
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:38:48 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nancy Woolley
121 Greenbrook Dr.
Stoughton, MA 02072-4914

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918364217-237913 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:36:42 -0700
Received: from p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 343DE19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:11:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 926f6774.2434358192.186442.00-081.p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <alexamies@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:36:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:32:12 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:36:41 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230013641.17229.42941.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: alexamies@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155534); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <alexamies@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:36:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:43 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:43 PM
SMTPOriginator: alexamies@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:36:42 PM-12/29/2007 06:36:42 PM,12/29/2007 06:36:42 PM-12/29/2007 06:36:43 PM
\$Orig: 56EDAED7C170751F872573C10008DA79
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:36:43 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Alex Amies
192 Woodbury
Irvine, CA 92620-0296

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122918344242-237901 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:34:42 -0700
Received: from p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 6A2D319E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:09:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 1b5f6774.2443815856.60812.00-030.p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rgundersen@stcloudstate.edu>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:34:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:30:12 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:34:41 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230013441.17229.42935.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: rgundersen@stcloudstate.edu
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0163315500; B=0.500(0); S=0.138(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155530); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <rgundersen@stcloudstate.edu>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:34:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:44 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:44 PM
SMTPOriginator: rgundersen@stcloudstate.edu
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:34:42 PM-12/29/2007 06:34:43 PM,12/29/2007 06:34:43 PM-12/29/2007 06:34:44 PM
\$Orig: 787A87308231E781872573C10008ABB2
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:34:44 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ralph Gundersen
806 6th. Ave. S.
St. Cloud, MN 56391

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918334220-237889 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:33:42 -0700
Received: from p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 313CA19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:08:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 575f6774.2611485616.1902.00-005.p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <vbushy@cfl.rr.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:33:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:29:12 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:33:41 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230013341.17229.42933.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: vbushy@cfl.rr.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(107112915553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <vbushy@cfl.rr.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:33:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:44 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:44 PM
SMTPOriginator: vbushy@cfl.rr.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:33:42 PM-12/29/2007 06:33:43 PM,12/29/2007 06:33:43 PM-12/29/2007 06:33:44 PM
\$Orig: E16B11FF988EE771872573C10008942C
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:33:44 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Victoria Bushey
688 Vistawilla Drive
Winter Springs, FL 32708

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918324133-237885 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:32:41 -0700
Received: from p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4F3C219E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:07:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 835f6774.2464062384.327128.00-019.p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ladybutterfly108@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:32:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:28:12 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:32:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230013240.17229.42911.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: ladybutterfly108@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ladybutterfly108@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:32:41 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:45 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:45 PM
SMTPOriginator: ladybutterfly108@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:32:41 PM-12/29/2007 06:32:42 PM,12/29/2007 06:32:42 PM-12/29/2007 06:32:43 PM
\$Orig: 33D623C1943BB30C872573C100087C66
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:32:43 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

This is an awesome time to make a really greta IMPACT. Thank you in advance for your compassion.

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
melissa Caulfield
5252 lennox ave
sherman oaks, CA 91401

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918303921-237877 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:30:39 -0700
Received: from p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2723D19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:05:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id eb4f6774.2558868400.5503.00-007.p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <contessachris@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:30:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:26:09 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:30:38 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230013038.17229.42865.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: contessachris@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <contessachris@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:30:39 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:45 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:45 PM
SMTPOriginator: contessachris@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:30:39 PM-12/29/2007 06:30:40 PM,12/29/2007 06:30:40 PM-12/29/2007 06:30:41 PM
\$Orig: CDA6AFDE7CB8184C872573C100084CB1
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:30:41 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Christine H
69 Keegans Lane
Staten Island, NY 10308

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918304007-237878 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:30:40 -0700
Received: from p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 06D3C19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:05:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id fb4f6774.2464459696.5503.00-007.p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <desperada6@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:30:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:26:09 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:30:38 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230013038.17229.42867.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: desperada6@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <desperada6@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:30:40 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:46 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:46 PM
SMTPOriginator: desperada6@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:30:40 PM-12/29/2007 06:30:40 PM,12/29/2007 06:30:40 PM-12/29/2007 06:30:41 PM
\$Orig: 8CCB03995B6F886B872573C100084D07
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:30:41 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
June Santos-Smith
425 Desert Poppy Drive
Sedona, AZ 86336

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918273622-237857 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:27:36 -0700
Received: from p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 259C419E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:02:03 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 704f6774.3581012912.248214.00-005.p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rosalieg@citlink.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:27:35 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:23:06 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:27:35 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230012735.17229.42813.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: rosalieg@citlink.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155529); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <rosalieg@citlink.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:27:36 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:46 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:46 PM
SMTPOriginator: rosalieg@citlink.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:27:36 PM-12/29/2007 06:27:37 PM,12/29/2007 06:27:37 PM-12/29/2007 06:27:38 PM
\$Orig: 183524052DAB2BE4872573C100080536
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:27:38 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rosalie Hewitt
13 Brown Street Apt. 12 A
Norwich, NY 13815

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918264391-237847 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:26:43 -0700
Received: from p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id CB2E219E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:01:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 3d3f6774.2495929264.041.00-040.p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <joannerose@ecoisp.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:26:43 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:22:06 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:26:35 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230012635.17229.42811.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: joannerose@ecoisp.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <joannerose@ecoisp.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:26:43 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:47 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:47 PM
SMTPOriginator: joannerose@ecoisp.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:26:43 PM-12/29/2007 06:26:45 PM,12/29/2007 06:26:45 PM-12/29/2007 06:26:45 PM
\$Orig: A236DBA0849BA4BF872573C10007F0C7
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:26:45 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves.

Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding.

It is imperative that the solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act. We cannot allow the loss of wolves from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching, to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, I urgently ask you to please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions, just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration and your help on behalf of America's wolves and treasured wildlife.

Sincerely,
J. Capozzelli
315 W. 90 St.
New York, NY 10024

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122918264285-237846 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:26:42 -0700
Received: from p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id BD44119E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:01:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 2d3f6774.2611317680.041.00-040.p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <normaj36@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:26:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:22:06 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:26:35 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230012635.17229.42809.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: normaj36@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <normaj36@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:26:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:47 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:47 PM
SMTPOriginator: normaj36@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:26:42 PM-12/29/2007 06:26:44 PM,12/29/2007 06:26:44 PM-12/29/2007 06:26:44 PM
\$Orig: E497D92FE8B8DB2E872573C10007F05D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:26:44 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Norma Kearns
2974 US Hwy 522 N
Bratton, PA 17044

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122918253628-237833 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:25:36 -0700
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 2DFF919E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:00:03 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id f83f6774.2527026096.48904.00-006.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <graywolf718@gmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:25:35 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:21:07 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:25:35 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230012535.17229.42807.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: graywolf718@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <graywolf718@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:25:36 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:48 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:48 PM
SMTPOriginator: graywolf718@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:25:36 PM-12/29/2007 06:25:37 PM,12/29/2007 06:25:37 PM-12/29/2007 06:25:37 PM
\$Orig: C59C362B912496E5872573C10007D65C
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:25:37 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Stephen Haler
3021 N. 19th St.
Coeur d'Alene, ID 83815

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918234884-237825 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:23:48 -0700
Received: from p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B3BD319E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:58:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 423f6774.2549758896.308028.00-036.p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:23:48 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 29 Dec 2007 19:23:44 -0600
\$MessageID: <17900355.1198977824200.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:23:44 PM
From: Marlys Thoreen <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Marlys Thoreen <marlysthoreen@yahoo.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM94EEEn@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0002422116; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.023(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.009(1071129155543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:23:48 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:48 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:48 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:23:48 PM-12/29/2007 06:23:50 PM,12/29/2007 06:23:50 PM-12/29/2007 06:23:51 PM
\$Orig: 128D2167E67DD1E7872573C10007AC64
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:23:51 PM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marlys Thoreen
2238 SE Velocette Ln
Bend, OR 97702-2975

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122918234920-237827 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:23:49 -0700
Received: from p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 193A919E804A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:58:16 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 423f6774.2599619504.7400.00-046.p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:23:48 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 19:23:44 -0600
\$MessageID: <987246.1198977824327.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:23:44 PM
From: Karil Hazard <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Karil Hazard <keilanihazard@aol.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM94EQ4@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0003937603; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.037(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.009(107112915560); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:23:49 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:49 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:49 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:23:49 PM-12/29/2007 06:23:50 PM,12/29/2007 06:23:50 PM-12/29/2007 06:23:51 PM
\$Orig: 19B6651AF8FA1507872573C10007AC8A
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:23:51 PM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karil Hazard
10062 El Capitan Dr
Huntington Beach, CA 92646-6610

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918234959-237828 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:23:49 -0700
Received: from p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 754C919E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:58:16 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 523f6774.2675637168.308028.00-036.p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:23:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 29 Dec 2007 19:23:44 -0600
\$MessageID: <15102073.1198977824434.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:23:44 PM
From: Cheryl Arlanson Russo <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Cheryl Arlanson Russo <cheryl@arlansonplan.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM94EQM@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001218372; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.011(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.009(1071129155543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:23:49 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:50 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:50 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:23:49 PM-12/29/2007 06:23:50 PM,12/29/2007 06:23:50 PM-12/29/2007 06:23:51 PM
\$Orig: A46C0678C899D713872573C10007ACAF
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:23:51 PM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cheryl Arlanson Russo
876 Webster St
Hanover, MA 02339-1162

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122918234811-237822 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:23:48 -0700
Received: from p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id F3E6719E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:58:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 323f6774.2570738608.308028.00-036.p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:23:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 19:23:44 -0600
\$MessageID: <30632890.1198977823995.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:23:43 PM
From: Sharon Petz <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Sharon Petz <sharonpetz@commspeed.net>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM94EME@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001671879; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.016(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.009(1071129155543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [66.45.103.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:23:48 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:50 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:50 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:23:48 PM-12/29/2007 06:23:49 PM,12/29/2007 06:23:49 PM-12/29/2007 06:23:50 PM
\$Orig: 9FB108E142F89A34872573C10007AC1B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:23:50 PM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery

efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Dr. Sharon Petz
325 S Yuma
Chino Valley, AZ 86323-2711

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918234822-237823 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:23:48 -0700
Received: from p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1C46119E804A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:58:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 323f6774.2540989360.14212.00-039.p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:23:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 29 Dec 2007 19:23:44 -0600
\$MessageID: <8809123.1198977824102.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:23:44 PM
From: Kimberly Handel <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Kimberly Handel <krazykatlady@cox.net>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM94EMQ@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.009(1071129155546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:23:48 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:51 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:51 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:23:48 PM-12/29/2007 06:23:49 PM,12/29/2007 06:23:49 PM-12/29/2007 06:23:50 PM
\$Orig: 54DDC7D36E4C8DB9872573C10007AC26
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:23:50 PM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kimberly Handel
5050 E 5th St Apt G10
Tucson, AZ 85711-2143

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122918234778-237821 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:23:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id A4C9919E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:58:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 323f6774.2526190512.7400.00-046.p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:23:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 19:23:44 -0600
\$MessageID: <10687266.1198977823970.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:23:43 PM
From: Stacey Cannon <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Stacey Cannon <livingdeadgirl113@alltel.net>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM94EMy@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001452298; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.014(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.009(107112915560); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:23:47 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:51 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:51 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:23:47 PM-12/29/2007 06:23:49 PM,12/29/2007 06:23:49 PM-12/29/2007 06:23:50 PM
\$Orig: 7EC90028F5DE9621872573C10007ABFA
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:23:50 PM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Stacey Cannon
2770 Faith Rd
Salisbury, NC 28146-7363

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122918234678-237817 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:23:46 -0700
Received: from p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id A2DBA19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:58:13 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 223f6774.2582948784.14212.00-039.p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:23:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 19:23:43 -0600
\$MessageID: <14505913.1198977823785.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:23:43 PM
From: Kortney Groves <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Kortney Groves <jentza@aol.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM94EyK@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001540532; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.015(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.009(1071129155546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:23:46 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:52 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:52 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:23:46 PM-12/29/2007 06:23:48 PM,12/29/2007 06:23:48 PM-12/29/2007 06:23:49 PM
\$Orig: 4CC1445DB2D3624B872573C10007AB96
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:23:49 PM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Miss Kortney Groves
1227 Sawleaf Ct
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401-7814

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122918234534-237810 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:23:45 -0700
Received: from p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 2E7EC19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:58:12 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 023f6774.2593438640.14212.00-039.p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:23:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 19:23:43 -0600
\$MessageID: <27053513.1198977823479.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:23:43 PM
From: Dan McCoy <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Dan McCoy <rainbow@cybermesa.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Bad idea to "manage" the wolves
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM94Enn@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001299199; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.012(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.009(1071129155546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [66.45.103.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:23:45 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:52 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:52 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:23:45 PM-12/29/2007 06:23:46 PM,12/29/2007 06:23:46 PM-12/29/2007 06:23:47 PM
\$Orig: 539CA232A295AECE872573C10007AB06
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:23:47 PM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

Dear U.S. Fish and Wildlife,

Upsetting the balance of our southwest ecosystem once again is almost

medieval.

I am requesting that all of you take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, the Southwest has 40 less wolves than its goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Our Forest Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, POLITICALLY DEFINED recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

1. PLEASE "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.
2. Allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.
- 3., CERTAIN PEOPLE, a minority of land owners, present reasons to kill them without authorized removals taking into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my views on this very important issue.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dan McCoy
61 Entrada
Santa Fe, NM 87507-7605

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918234469-237808 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:23:44 -0700
Received: from p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8F64D19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:58:11 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 023f6774.2537790384.4166.00-086.p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:23:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 29 Dec 2007 19:23:43 -0600
\$MessageID: <22664826.1198977823368.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:23:43 PM
From: Kc Curry <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Kc Curry <texas-redhead@care2.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM94EKt@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001386372; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.013(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.009(1071129155555); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:23:44 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:52 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:52 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:23:44 PM-12/29/2007 06:23:45 PM,12/29/2007 06:23:45 PM-12/29/2007 06:23:46 PM
\$Orig: A6F6D7C8B5F221DA872573C10007AAC5
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:23:46 PM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kc Curry
7202 Sun Ln
San Angelo, TX 76901-6560

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918182604-237770 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:18:26 -0700
Received: from p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D61FD19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:52:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 1elf6774.2517392304.36057.00-012.p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <stoneyphyl@hotmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:18:25 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:13:56 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:18:24 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230011824.17229.42735.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: stoneyphyl@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(107112915553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <stoneyphyl@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:18:26 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:53 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:53 PM
SMTPOriginator: stoneyphyl@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:18:26 PM-12/29/2007 06:18:27 PM,12/29/2007 06:18:27 PM-12/29/2007 06:18:28 PM
\$Orig: CA9FE6FAEC018ED8872573C100072E4C
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:18:28 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Phyllis Stonebraer
"3930 Witham Hill Dr, R161"
Corvallis, OR 97330

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918182632-237771 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:18:26 -0700
Received: from p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2630219E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:52:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 1elf6774.2465033136.1596.00-011.p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kats4321@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:18:25 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:13:56 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:18:24 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230011824.17229.42737.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: kats4321@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155534); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <kats4321@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:18:26 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:53 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:53 PM
SMTPOriginator: kats4321@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:18:26 PM-12/29/2007 06:18:27 PM,12/29/2007 06:18:27 PM-12/29/2007 06:18:28 PM
\$Orig: B67170AD68BF77D3872573C100072E68
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:18:28 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
kathy cheatham
11202 s 51 ave
laveen, AZ 85339

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122918182523-237769 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:18:25 -0700
Received: from p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 0E8D019E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:52:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 0elf6774.2496412592.36057.00-012.p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bailcj@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:18:24 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:13:56 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:18:24 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230011824.17229.42733.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: bailcj@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <bailcj@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:18:25 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:54 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:54 PM
SMTPOriginator: bailcj@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:18:25 PM-12/29/2007 06:18:26 PM,12/29/2007 06:18:26 PM-12/29/2007 06:18:27 PM
\$Orig: BB7202A5B3531D8B872573C100072DFB
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:18:27 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Christopher Bail
132 El Bosque Drive
San Jose, CA 95134-1608

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122918172423-237762 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:17:24 -0700
Received: from p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 0D53E19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:51:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 3alf6774.2641902512.97649.00-020.p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jxenazeus@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:17:23 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:12:55 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:17:23 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230011723.17229.42725.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: Jxenazeus@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155539); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jxenazeus@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:17:24 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:54 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:54 PM
SMTPOriginator: jxenazeus@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:17:24 PM-12/29/2007 06:17:25 PM,12/29/2007 06:17:25 PM-12/29/2007 06:17:26 PM
\$Orig: F3093A0776C79C29872573C100071627
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:17:26 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Joan A. Lindstrom
332 1/2 Vernon Avenue
Vernon, CT 06066

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918142417-237752 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:14:24 -0700
Received: from p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id E8B1519E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:48:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id fe0f6774.2550074288.378810.00-002.p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <janusko@optonline.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:14:23 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:09:55 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:14:23 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230011423.17229.42723.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: janusko@optonline.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(107112915560); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <janusko@optonline.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:14:24 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:54 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:54 PM
SMTPOriginator: janusko@optonline.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:14:24 PM-12/29/2007 06:14:25 PM,12/29/2007 06:14:25 PM-12/29/2007 06:14:26 PM
\$Orig: 5FFC96394C60DB11872573C10006CFD2
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:14:26 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Robert Janusko
43 Upsala Path
West Milford, NJ 07480 4244

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918122437-237744 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:12:24 -0700
Received: from p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 229A419E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:46:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 770f6774.2454965168.17600.00-043.p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <michelsen@caramail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:12:23 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:07:55 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:12:23 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230011223.17229.42705.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: michelsen@caramail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155530); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <michelsen@caramail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:12:24 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:55 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:55 PM
SMTPOriginator: michelsen@caramail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:12:24 PM-12/29/2007 06:12:26 PM,12/29/2007 06:12:26 PM-12/29/2007 06:12:27 PM
\$Orig: 4844C2A373A5EA7F872573C10006A105
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:12:27 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lee Michelsen
88 Erskine rd
Stamford, CT 06903

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122918092221-237727 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:09:22 -0700
Received: from p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id E54FE19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:43:48 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 1cfe6774.2556398512.15285.00-038.p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bg214@sbcglobal.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:09:21 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:04:53 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:09:21 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230010921.17229.42697.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: bg214@sbcglobal.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <bg214@sbcglobal.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:09:22 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:55 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:55 PM
SMTPOriginator: bg214@sbcglobal.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:09:22 PM-12/29/2007 06:09:24 PM,12/29/2007 06:09:24 PM-12/29/2007 06:09:24 PM
\$Orig: 71FD06D5D537FB57872573C1000659DD
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:09:24 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lee Frank
14648 Tustin St.
Sherman Oaks, CA 91403-4103

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918092316-237728 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:09:23 -0700
Received: from p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id DA6F119E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:43:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 2cfe6774.2664057776.15285.00-038.p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <pgalvin@biologicaldiversity.org>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:09:22 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:04:53 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:09:21 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230010921.17229.42699.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: pgalvin@biologicaldiversity.org
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <pgalvin@biologicaldiversity.org>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:09:23 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:56 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:56 PM
SMTPOriginator: pgalvin@biologicaldiversity.org
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:09:23 PM-12/29/2007 06:09:24 PM,12/29/2007 06:09:24 PM-12/29/2007 06:09:24 PM
\$Orig: 44F6B4815026E057872573C100065A3E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:09:24 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
peter galvin
PO Box 220
Shelter Cove, CA 95589

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122918061815-237708 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:06:18 -0700
Received: from p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id CDA9419E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:40:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 90fe6774.2412768176.13627.00-074.p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <fireflypie@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:06:17 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:01:49 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:06:17 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230010617.17229.42661.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: fireflypie@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(107112915560); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <fireflypie@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:06:18 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:56 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:56 PM
SMTPOriginator: fireflypie@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:06:18 PM-12/29/2007 06:06:19 PM,12/29/2007 06:06:20 PM-12/29/2007 06:06:21 PM
\$Orig: 9ED7AD73D4073028872573C1000611F7
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:06:21 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Candy Miller
645 Bush Street
#207
San Francisco, CA 94108

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918051840-237698 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:05:18 -0700
Received: from p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 165AB19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:39:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id dcee6774.2662435760.280853.00-058.p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <apaloosa2@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:05:17 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:00:49 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:05:17 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230010517.17229.42659.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: apaloosa2@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(107112915560); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <apaloosa2@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:05:18 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:57 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:57 PM
SMTPOriginator: apaloosa2@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:05:18 PM-12/29/2007 06:05:19 PM,12/29/2007 06:05:20 PM-12/29/2007 06:05:21 PM
\$Orig: 51C5362BA5EE1D0A872573C10005FAA0
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:05:21 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lisa Belaski
32 Sandra Dr
East Hartford, CT 06118

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122918011525-237682 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:01:15 -0700
Received: from p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id DA83C19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:35:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id adde6774.2570116016.6778.00-084.p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <txjenrbf2005@gmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 18:01:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:56:46 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 06:01:14 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230010114.17229.42627.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: txjenrbf2005@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(107112915560); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <txjenrbf2005@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 06:01:15 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:57 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:57 PM
SMTPOriginator: txjenrbf2005@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 06:01:15 PM-12/29/2007 06:01:57 PM,12/29/2007 06:01:58 PM-12/29/2007 06:01:58 PM
\$Orig: 4B9CD9ECFDB8D731872573C100059BA5
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 06:01:58 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Fischer
102 Hope Circle
Lorena, TX 76655

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917591502-237667 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:59:15 -0700
Received: from p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9EDFA19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:33:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 26de6774.2631900080.280518.00-061.p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rutholaf@roadrunner.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:59:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:54:45 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:59:13 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230005913.17229.42623.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: rutholaf@roadrunner.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <rutholaf@roadrunner.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:59:15 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:57 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:57 PM
SMTPOriginator: rutholaf@roadrunner.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:59:15 PM-12/29/2007 05:59:16 PM,12/29/2007 05:59:17 PM-12/29/2007 05:59:17 PM
\$Orig: D2B749A1188689ED872573C100056CAE
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:59:17 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ruth Olafsdottir
938 5th St#6
Santa Monica, CA 90403

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917591419-237666 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:59:14 -0700
Received: from p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C7BD519E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:33:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 16de6774.2401123248.280518.00-061.p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <suzdove@hotmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:59:13 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:54:45 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:59:13 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230005913.17229.42621.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: suzdove@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <suzdove@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:59:14 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:58 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:58 PM
SMTPOriginator: suzdove@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:59:14 PM-12/29/2007 05:59:15 PM,12/29/2007 05:59:16 PM-12/29/2007 05:59:16 PM
\$Orig: 121CCC1494510C90872573C100056C5D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:59:16 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Susan Barnstable
2613 Krafft Road Apt D
Port Huron, MI 48060

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917571396-237657 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:57:13 -0700
Received: from p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8946C19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:31:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 9ece6774.3589913520.116590.00-073.p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <john@johnandwendy.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:57:13 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:52:44 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:57:12 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230005712.17229.42603.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: john@johnandwendy.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155529); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <john@johnandwendy.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:57:13 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:58 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:58 PM
SMTPOriginator: john@johnandwendy.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:57:13 PM-12/29/2007 05:57:15 PM,12/29/2007 05:57:16 PM-12/29/2007 05:57:16 PM
\$Orig: F6CED86E235F2E91872573C100053D64
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:57:16 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
john brinkman
385 graham ave
brooklyn, NY 11211

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917571313-237656 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:57:13 -0700
Received: from p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id B289019E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:31:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 8ece6774.3338156976.116590.00-073.p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <wendy@johnandwendy.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:57:12 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:52:44 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:57:12 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230005712.17229.42601.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: wendy@johnandwendy.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155529); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <wendy@johnandwendy.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:57:13 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:59 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:59 PM
SMTPOriginator: wendy@johnandwendy.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:57:13 PM-12/29/2007 05:57:14 PM,12/29/2007 05:57:15 PM-12/29/2007 05:57:15 PM
\$Orig: 3580D7ACEACFF85D872573C100053D11
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:57:15 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
wendy walters
385 graham ave
brooklyn, NY 11211

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917551319-237644 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:55:13 -0700
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id BAEA019E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:29:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 07ce6774.2621434800.6648.00-004.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <wsb70@comcast.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:55:12 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:50:44 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:55:12 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230005512.17229.42597.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: wsb70@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <wsb70@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:55:13 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:59 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:59 PM
SMTPOriginator: wsb70@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:55:13 PM-12/29/2007 05:55:14 PM,12/29/2007 05:55:14 PM-12/29/2007 05:55:15 PM
\$Orig: AC3850B36D16797B872573C100050E37
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:55:15 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

I am submitting comments with regard to managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves to request that a Conservation Alternative be developed for analyses in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from the current "experimental non-essential" to being endangered with full protection.

Management of the Fish and Wildlife Service, via predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow

loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

Nothing in the plan should allow further killing of wolves, set limits on wolf numbers, or restrict their movements. The 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan calls for establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, and suitable conditions must be maintained.

I urge that the Conservation Alternative include:

1) Release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. It is important that genetically valuable animals be released into areas where no wolf packs have established territories.

2) The wolves must be allowed to roam freely outside the the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained.

3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.

4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Wanda S. Ballentine
1745 Graham Ave., # 219
St. Paul, MN 55116 1373

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917541216-237642 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:54:12 -0700
Received: from p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id AFF5C19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:28:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 33ce6774.2454305712.85029.00-079.p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <miriankool@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:54:11 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:49:43 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:54:11 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230005411.17229.42595.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: miriankool@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0064368802; B=0.500(0); S=0.058(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(107112915560); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <miriankool@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:54:12 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:26:59 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:26:59 PM
SMTPOriginator: miriankool@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:54:12 PM-12/29/2007 05:54:13 PM,12/29/2007 05:54:13 PM-12/29/2007 05:54:14 PM
\$Orig: 5C1D4C9573AEAFD0872573C10004F660
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:54:14 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mirian Rivera-Shapiro
2560 Batchelder St.
Brooklyn, NY 11235

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917535081-237637 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:53:50 -0700
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 5C67819E8060 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:28:17 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id elce6774.3297921968.244883.00-047.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:53:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 18:53:47 -0600
\$MessageID: <15900124.1198976027397.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:53:47 PM
From: "D. Giann" <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: "D. Giann" <raisedbywolves120@hotmail.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM94MQE@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001567523; B=0.500(0); S=0.015(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.009(1071129155529); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [66.45.103.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:53:50 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:00 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:00 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:53:50 PM-12/29/2007 05:53:52 PM,12/29/2007 05:53:53 PM-12/29/2007 05:53:54 PM
\$Orig: 04831FAC91D036AA872573C10004EE09
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:53:54 PM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery

efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. D. Giann
35 Frisco Trl
Sedona, AZ 86351-7544

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917535144-237638 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:53:51 -0700
Received: from p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 01C1219E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:28:17 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id elce6774.3526466480.4783.00-041.p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:53:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 29 Dec 2007 18:53:47 -0600
\$MessageID: <16357063.1198976027448.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:53:47 PM
From: Romalue Keith <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Romalue Keith <romalue6@aol.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM94Mt9@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001912615; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.018(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.009(1071129155554); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:53:51 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:00 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:00 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:53:51 PM-12/29/2007 05:53:52 PM,12/29/2007 05:53:53 PM-12/29/2007 05:53:54 PM
\$Orig: 7BB1653F030170D6872573C10004EE48
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:53:54 PM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Romalue Keith
3715 S School Ave # 8
Sarasota, FL 34239-6227

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917535064-237635 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:53:50 -0700
Received: from p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 31F4B19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:28:17 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id elce6774.2461961136.220989.00-066.p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:53:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 18:53:47 -0600
\$MessageID: <23740277.1198976027313.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:53:47 PM
From: Lisa Janzer-Mott <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Lisa Janzer-Mott <ljanzermott@hotmail.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM94MQ9@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001021532; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.009(1071129155546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:53:50 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:00 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:00 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:53:50 PM-12/29/2007 05:53:52 PM,12/29/2007 05:53:52 PM-12/29/2007 05:53:53 PM
\$Orig: 6126E646D5F422C3872573C10004EDF8
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:53:53 PM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lisa Janzer-Mott
3258 Pinnacle Ct
Mason City, IA 50401-9449

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917534772-237629 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:53:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 4660B19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:28:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id blce6774.2703227824.220989.00-066.p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:53:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 18:53:46 -0600
\$MessageID: <22487998.1198976026795.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:53:46 PM
From: Dianne Douglas <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Dianne Douglas <ddouglas@mainex1.asu.edu>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM94MnM@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.009(1071129155546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [66.45.103.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:53:47 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:01 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:01 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:53:47 PM-12/29/2007 05:53:49 PM,12/29/2007 05:53:49 PM-12/29/2007 05:53:50 PM
\$Orig: 7625F6B200BDB5BB872573C10004ECD4
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:53:50 PM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery

efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dianne Douglas
2723 E Valencia Dr
Phoenix, AZ 85042-7082

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917534919-237631 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:53:49 -0700
Received: from p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id B957B19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:28:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id clce6774.2577349552.220989.00-066.p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:53:48 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 18:53:46 -0600
\$MessageID: <1457904.1198976026957.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:53:46 PM
From: Karen Jenne <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Karen Jenne <kajenha_1999@yahoo.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM94MM9@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.009(1071129155546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:53:49 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:01 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:01 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:53:49 PM-12/29/2007 05:53:50 PM,12/29/2007 05:53:50 PM-12/29/2007 05:53:50 PM
\$Orig: E7B7AD457AE67839872573C10004ED67
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:53:50 PM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Jenne
2012 La Fremontia St
South Pasadena, CA 91030-4229

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917534506-237628 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:53:45 -0700
Received: from p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 9900019E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:28:11 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 81ce6774.3149872048.54237.00-041.p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:53:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 18:53:42 -0600
\$MessageID: <26599720.1198976022037.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:53:42 PM
From: Stephen Soha <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Stephen Soha <stevesoha@aol.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM94MnK@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0004982740; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.047(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.009(1071129155554); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:53:45 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:02 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:02 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:53:45 PM-12/29/2007 05:53:46 PM,12/29/2007 05:53:46 PM-12/29/2007 05:53:47 PM
\$Orig: 0D472EDF98769C1E872573C10004EBCA
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:53:47 PM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen Soha
PO Box 31675
Mesa, AZ 85275-1675

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917534358-237624 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:53:43 -0700
Received: from p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2081919E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:28:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 71ce6774.3149872048.54237.00-041.p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:53:43 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 29 Dec 2007 18:53:41 -0600
\$MessageID: <24983770.1198976021488.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:53:41 PM
From: Kate McCarthy <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Kate McCarthy <katieemcc@yahoo.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Take Action, Save the Wolves
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM94MKy@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0002112975; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.020(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.009(1071129155554); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:53:43 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:02 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:02 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:53:43 PM-12/29/2007 05:53:45 PM,12/29/2007 05:53:45 PM-12/29/2007 05:53:46 PM
\$Orig: 8B3EE72EB99D54D6872573C10004EB36
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:53:46 PM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter. Take heart and listen.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kate McCarthy
200 Navajo Dr
Santa Fe, NM 87505-3823

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917534445-237627 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:53:44 -0700
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 058A219E804A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:28:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 71ce6774.2479537072.12865.00-056.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:53:43 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 18:53:42 -0600
\$MessageID: <17550991.1198976021984.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:53:41 PM
From: Theresa Crovello <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Theresa Crovello <donandterry4011@aol.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM94MKE@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001303467; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.012(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.009(1071129155546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:53:44 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:02 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:02 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:53:44 PM-12/29/2007 05:53:45 PM,12/29/2007 05:53:46 PM-12/29/2007 05:53:46 PM
\$Orig: 3EE2BA41C5A55D68872573C10004EB8F
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:53:46 PM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Theresa Crovello
244 SW Tulip Blvd
Port St Lucie, FL 34953-6254

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917521200-237617 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:52:12 -0700
Received: from p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8493919E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:26:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id bbbe6774.2476612528.67585.00-065.p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jtk140@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:52:11 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:47:42 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:52:10 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230005210.17229.42577.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jtk140@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155534); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jtk140@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:52:12 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:03 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:03 PM
SMTPOriginator: jtk140@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:52:12 PM-12/29/2007 05:52:13 PM,12/29/2007 05:52:13 PM-12/29/2007 05:52:14 PM
\$Orig: 528451A27BFE4D5D872573C10004C770
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:52:14 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Josef Kozaka
140 Old Post Road
Lebanon Springs, NY 12125

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917521116-237616 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:52:11 -0700
Received: from p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id AA82C19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:26:37 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id abbe6774.2644450224.67585.00-065.p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <heatherlmayo@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:52:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:47:42 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:52:10 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230005210.17229.42575.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: heatherlmayo@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155534); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <heatherlmayo@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:52:11 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:03 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:03 PM
SMTPOriginator: heatherlmayo@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:52:11 PM-12/29/2007 05:52:12 PM,12/29/2007 05:52:12 PM-12/29/2007 05:52:13 PM
\$Orig: D44451EFADE5EE3F872573C10004C71C
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:52:13 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Heather Castor
3020 E. 4th St.
Tucson, AZ 85716

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917480719-237585 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:48:07 -0700
Received: from p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id A9CC119E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:22:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 6cae6774.2684459952.219824.00-046.p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <astroqueen67@hotmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:48:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:43:38 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:48:06 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230004806.17229.42539.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: astroqueen67@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <astroqueen67@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:48:07 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:03 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:03 PM
SMTPOriginator: astroqueen67@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:48:07 PM-12/29/2007 05:48:08 PM,12/29/2007 05:48:08 PM-12/29/2007 05:48:08 PM
\$Orig: F7E3B43508B94B35872573C1000467CF
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:48:08 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Holly Swint
10616 Paul Creek Way
Riverview, FL 33569-6094

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917470802-237574 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:47:08 -0700
Received: from p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7CAAF19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:21:34 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id b8ae6774.2556361648.26798.00-041.p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <hoffsj@gmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:47:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:42:38 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:47:06 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230004706.17229.42535.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: Hoffsj@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155539); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <hoffsj@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:47:08 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:04 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:04 PM
SMTPOriginator: hoffsj@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:47:08 PM-12/29/2007 05:47:09 PM,12/29/2007 05:47:09 PM-12/29/2007 05:47:09 PM
\$Orig: E95FA139BB50BD03872573C1000450B2
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:47:09 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sarah Hoffman
450 Fitsimmons St
Palm Bay, FL 32908

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917470822-237575 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:47:08 -0700
Received: from p01c11m082.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id AC85A19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:21:34 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m082.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id b8ae6774.3663895472.12779.00-018.p01c11m082.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <howardhigh1@comcast.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:47:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:42:38 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:47:06 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230004706.17229.42537.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: howardhigh1@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155554); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <howardhigh1@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:47:08 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:06 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:06 PM
SMTPOriginator: howardhigh1@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:47:08 PM-12/29/2007 05:47:09 PM,12/29/2007 05:47:09 PM-12/29/2007 05:47:09 PM
\$Orig: 53B858C1E6ED9F64872573C1000450C6
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:47:09 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carin High
38536 Logan Drive
Fremont, CA 94536

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917470719-237573 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:47:07 -0700
Received: from p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id A7B3D19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:21:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id a8ae6774.2663357360.26798.00-041.p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cyndywicker@earthlink.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:47:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:42:38 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:47:06 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230004706.17229.42533.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: cyndywicker@earthlink.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155539); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <cyndywicker@earthlink.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:47:07 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:06 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:06 PM
SMTPOriginator: cyndywicker@earthlink.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:47:07 PM-12/29/2007 05:47:08 PM,12/29/2007 05:47:08 PM-12/29/2007 05:47:08 PM
\$Orig: 06168BB1DEBE919C872573C100045060
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:47:08 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Cyndy Wicker
9121 E. Tanque Verde #105-283
Tucson, AZ 85749

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917440717-237556 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:44:07 -0700
Received: from p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 98C4E19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:18:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 6d9e6774.2630966192.251770.00-009.p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <emray1@alltel.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:44:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:39:38 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:44:06 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230004406.17229.42531.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: emray1@alltel.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(107112915560); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <emray1@alltel.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:44:07 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:07 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:07 PM
SMTPOriginator: emray1@alltel.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:44:07 PM-12/29/2007 05:44:09 PM,12/29/2007 05:44:09 PM-12/29/2007 05:44:09 PM
\$Orig: 22BA2DB03CF3ACC8872573C100040A0D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:44:09 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
E. Ray
PO Box 474
Columbus, NC 28722

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917430330-237546 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:43:03 -0700
Received: from p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B2F4319E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:17:29 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 699e6774.2654628784.18133.00-065.p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lauraqueen@hotmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:43:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:38:33 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:43:01 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230004301.17229.42491.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: lauraqueen@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <lauraqueen@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:43:03 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:07 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:07 PM
SMTPOriginator: lauraqueen@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:43:03 PM-12/29/2007 05:43:05 PM,12/29/2007 05:43:05 PM-12/29/2007 05:43:05 PM
\$Orig: 663F0189233E9DE8872573C10003F11A
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:43:05 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Laura Queen
3933 Wyandot St
Denver, CO 80211

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917430240-237544 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:43:02 -0700
Received: from p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id CF9C019E8060 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:17:28 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 599e6774.2549730224.18133.00-065.p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <white837@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:43:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:38:33 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:43:01 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230004301.17229.42489.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: white837@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <white837@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:43:02 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:07 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:07 PM
SMTPOriginator: white837@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:43:02 PM-12/29/2007 05:43:04 PM,12/29/2007 05:43:04 PM-12/29/2007 05:43:04 PM
\$Orig: 19C47C601CC3734A872573C10003F0C0
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:43:04 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Michael White
5929
Orange Ave.
Long Beach, CA 90805-3530

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917410222-237499 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:41:02 -0700
Received: from p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9D78019E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:15:28 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id d19e6774.2506931120.20078.00-027.p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cvilla39@gmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:41:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:36:33 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:41:01 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230004101.17229.42487.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: cvilla39@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <cvilla39@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:41:02 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:08 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:08 PM
SMTPOriginator: cvilla39@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:41:02 PM-12/29/2007 05:41:04 PM,12/29/2007 05:41:04 PM-12/29/2007 05:41:04 PM
\$Orig: B8510B676BFE679A872573C10003C1CE
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:41:04 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carlos Villalpando
Ramon Betancourt 266
Colima 28984

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917390216-237462 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:39:02 -0700
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8BAB019E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:13:28 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 5a8e6774.2610129840.45993.00-078.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <romalue6@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:39:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:34:33 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:39:01 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230003901.17229.42485.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: romalue6@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <romalue6@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:39:02 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:10 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:10 PM
SMTPOriginator: romalue6@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:39:02 PM-12/29/2007 05:39:03 PM,12/29/2007 05:39:04 PM-12/29/2007 05:39:04 PM
\$Orig: 96E39A810B7C5907872573C1000392E8
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:39:04 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Romalue Keith
3715 s school ave
Sarasota, FL 34239

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917380007-237443 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:38:00 -0700
Received: from p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7187E19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:12:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 768e6774.3643370416.153668.00-058.p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <vkern1@cox.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:37:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:33:30 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:37:58 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230003758.17229.42447.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: vkern1@cox.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155529); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <vkern1@cox.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:38:00 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:10 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:10 PM
SMTPOriginator: vkern1@cox.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:38:00 PM-12/29/2007 05:38:01 PM,12/29/2007 05:38:02 PM-12/29/2007 05:38:02 PM
\$Orig: CAE5F600D4D8BE20872573C100037AA7
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:38:02 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Vic Kern
756 Westglen Dr.
Yukon, OK 73099

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917375919-237442 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:37:59 -0700
Received: from p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 92C1619E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:12:25 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 668e6774.3286715312.153668.00-058.p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <elemed2004@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:37:58 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:33:30 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:37:58 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230003758.17229.42445.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: elemed2004@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155529); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <elemed2004@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:37:59 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:11 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:11 PM
SMTPOriginator: elemed2004@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:37:59 PM-12/29/2007 05:38:00 PM,12/29/2007 05:38:01 PM-12/29/2007 05:38:01 PM
\$Orig: 42225761C2838E45872573C100037A51
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:38:01 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sarah Estes
33 Ivan Ct.
Fairview Heights, IL 62208

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917365918-237439 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:36:59 -0700
Received: from p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 87D0619E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:11:25 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id a28e6774.2402032560.14409.00-005.p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <comatosetomatoes@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:36:58 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:32:30 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:36:58 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230003658.17229.42443.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: comatosetomatoes@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <comatosetomatoes@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:36:59 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:11 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:11 PM
SMTPOriginator: comatosetomatoes@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:36:59 PM-12/29/2007 05:37:00 PM,12/29/2007 05:37:01 PM-12/29/2007 05:37:01 PM
\$Orig: A7E2F5BAD5B0FC7E872573C1000362DE
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:37:01 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
bonnie spromberg
827 peterson st.
ketchikan, AK 99901-6522

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917345933-237408 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:34:59 -0700
Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id AA5A719E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:09:25 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 2b7e6774.3410717616.3139.00-006.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <karenmac@jps.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:34:58 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:30:30 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:34:58 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230003458.17229.42439.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: karenmac@jps.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155529); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <karenmac@jps.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:34:59 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:12 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:12 PM
SMTPOriginator: karenmac@jps.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:34:59 PM-12/29/2007 05:35:00 PM,12/29/2007 05:35:01 PM-12/29/2007 05:35:01 PM
\$Orig: 1A3554BC35487D41872573C10003340D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:35:01 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Karen MacDougall
2655 Greenway Drive
Kelseyville, CA 95451

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917340024-237394 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:34:00 -0700
Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8BE0019E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:08:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 777e6774.3368758192.1526.00-003.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <atmoritz@gmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:33:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:29:30 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:33:58 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230003358.17229.42437.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: atmoritz@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155529); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <atmoritz@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:34:00 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:12 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:12 PM
SMTPOriginator: atmoritz@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:34:00 PM-12/29/2007 05:34:01 PM,12/29/2007 05:34:01 PM-12/29/2007 05:34:02 PM
\$Orig: 5F2E8D928313F03E872573C100031CF8
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:34:02 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Anna MORITZ
16517 78th PL NE
Kenmore, WA 98028

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917335932-237393 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:33:59 -0700
Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id A27E919E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:08:25 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 677e6774.3536595888.1526.00-003.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <racsailer@beu.midco.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:33:58 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:29:30 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:33:58 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230003358.17229.42435.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: racsailer@beu.midco.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155529); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <racsailer@beu.midco.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:33:59 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:12 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:12 PM
SMTPOriginator: racsailer@beu.midco.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:33:59 PM-12/29/2007 05:34:00 PM,12/29/2007 05:34:00 PM-12/29/2007 05:34:01 PM
\$Orig: 497834FC2FB19B27872573C100031C9C
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:34:01 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
randy sailer
1018 cherry lane
beulah, ND 58523

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917325719-237381 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:32:57 -0700
Received: from p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 82DF919E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:07:23 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 837e6774.2485775280.55007.00-057.p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <elhadrawi@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:32:56 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:28:28 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:32:56 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230003256.17229.42391.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: elhadrawi@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(107112915560); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <elhadrawi@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:32:57 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:13 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:13 PM
SMTPOriginator: elhadrawi@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:32:57 PM-12/29/2007 05:32:58 PM,12/29/2007 05:32:58 PM-12/29/2007 05:32:59 PM
\$Orig: 98F5F4A95FC4767E872573C100030457
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:32:59 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
alexander rouhana
906 lake destiny rd #f
altamonte springs, FL 32714

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917275329-237351 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:27:53 -0700
Received: from p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 893EC19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:02:19 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 806e6774.2547530672.147155.00-043.p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rsalexan@rogers.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:27:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:23:23 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:27:52 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230002752.17229.42377.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: rsalexan@rogers.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155555); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <rsalexan@rogers.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:27:53 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:13 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:13 PM
SMTPOriginator: rsalexan@rogers.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:27:53 PM-12/29/2007 05:27:54 PM,12/29/2007 05:27:54 PM-12/29/2007 05:27:55 PM
\$Orig: 39393773735FFF5D872573C100028DA1
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:27:55 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Bob %pa_first_name% Marlene Alexander
498 Village Green Ave
LONDON, ON N6J1Z3

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917265316-237345 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:26:53 -0700
Received: from p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 6BFA919E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:01:19 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id cc5e6774.2567232432.66031.00-006.p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <riverjen2002@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:26:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:22:23 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:26:52 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230002652.17229.42375.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: riverjen2002@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155534); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <riverjen2002@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:26:53 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:14 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:14 PM
SMTPOriginator: riverjen2002@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:26:53 PM-12/29/2007 05:26:54 PM,12/29/2007 05:26:54 PM-12/29/2007 05:26:55 PM
\$Orig: E0A7ED5EC25D6E51872573C100027624
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:26:55 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
JENNIE SNYDER
pobx 1104
Guerneville, CA 95446

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917234258-237330 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:23:42 -0700
Received: from p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id CA45019E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:58:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e05e6774.2558999472.7864.00-015.p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:23:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 18:23:39 -0600
\$MessageID: <29803432.1198974219121.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:23:39 PM
From: Kari Wisenbaker <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Kari Wisenbaker <kari_wisenbaker@administaff.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM94Me9@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001538316; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.015(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.009(107112915560); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:23:42 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:15 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:15 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:23:42 PM-12/29/2007 05:23:43 PM,12/29/2007 05:23:43 PM-12/29/2007 05:23:44 PM
\$Orig: 764CC37177A0B0C9872573C100022BB3
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:23:44 PM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Miss Kari Wisenbaker
16419 Mango Ridge Ct
Humble, TX 77396-3960

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917233963-237320 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:23:39 -0700
Received: from p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id D583219E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:58:05 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id b05e6774.3536796592.108099.00-072.p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:23:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 18:23:38 -0600
\$MessageID: <13935051.1198974218169.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:23:38 PM
From: E Telfer <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: E Telfer <erictelfer@yahoo.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Viva el Lobo Mexicano
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM94yE9@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0002986685; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.028(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.009(1071129155554); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:23:39 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:15 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:15 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:23:39 PM-12/29/2007 05:23:40 PM,12/29/2007 05:23:40 PM-12/29/2007 05:23:41 PM
\$Orig: FF2616F82B60EE61872573C100022A8B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:23:41 PM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. E Telfer
19 Spa Cir
Nogales, AZ 85621-9694

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917224827-237314 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:22:48 -0700
Received: from p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 7557719E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:57:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 7d4e6774.2431966128.126481.00-065.p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gmajejan@embarqmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:22:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:18:18 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:22:47 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230002247.17229.42335.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: gmajejan@embarqmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(107112915555); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <gmajejan@embarqmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:22:48 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:15 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:15 PM
SMTPOriginator: gmajejan@embarqmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:22:48 PM-12/29/2007 05:22:49 PM,12/29/2007 05:22:49 PM-12/29/2007 05:22:50 PM
\$Orig: 77603500BAED3FBA872573C10002167B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:22:50 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jean Wollenweber
4250 Orchard Loop
Tillamook, OR 97141

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917214789-237309 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:21:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 1A9B919E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:56:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [68.230.241.45] (EHLO fedlrmmtaol01.cox.net) by p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id b94e6774.2707102640.12194.00-024.p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jloske@cox.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:21:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from fedlrmimpo01.cox.net ([70.169.32.71]) by fedlrmmtaol01.cox.net (InterMail vM.7.08.02.01 201-2186-121-102-20070209) with ESMTTP id <20071230001645.PQBA22811.fedlrmmtaol01.cox.net@fedlrmimpo01.cox.net> for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 19:16:45 -0500
Received: from yourc8bh3jaglt ([72.201.125.96]) by fedlrmimpo01.cox.net with bizsmtp id WoGc1Y00A24uiHk0000000; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 19:16:36 -0500
\$MessageID: <000501c84a79\$003d8870\$607dc948@yourc8bh3jaglt>
From: "Sharon and Jim" <jloske@cox.net>
SendTo: <R2FWE_AL@fws.gov>
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:14:53 PM
MIME_Version: 1.0
DeliveryPriority: N
X_MSMail_Priority: Normal
\$Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2900.3138
X_MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2900.3198
X_Processed_By: Rebuild v2.0-0
X_Spam: [F=0.0414698513; B=0.500(0); S=0.205(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.143(1071129155530); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jloske@cox.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [68.230.241.45]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:21:47 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:16 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:16 PM
SMTPOriginator: jloske@cox.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:21:47 PM-12/29/2007 05:21:49 PM,12/29/2007 05:21:49 PM-12/29/2007 05:21:50 PM
\$Orig: 3A66965833C352E6872573C10001FEE5
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:21:50 PM

*Include a Conservation Alternative that will change the classification from experimental, non-essential to experimental, essential or endangered to give wolves more protection. They are not being recovered under the

existing classification.

*Eliminate all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements.

*Expand the area for initial releases to anywhere within the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area.

*Resolve livestock-wolf conflicts in ways that keep wolves in the wild and achieve progress towards reintroduction objectives.

*Stop killing and removing wolves: reduce to take.

*Revise the Recovery Plan.

*Place no cap on the number of wolves in the wild population.

*Include nothing in the amended rule that would preclude future recovery options.

James Loske
6342 W. Hill Ln.
Glendale, AZ 85310

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917194816-237298 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:19:48 -0700
Received: from p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 540C219E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:54:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 324e6774.2401651632.1790.00-051.p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <maryestelle@bellsouth.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:19:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:15:19 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:19:47 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230001947.17229.42331.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: maryestelle@bellsouth.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(107112915560); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <maryestelle@bellsouth.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:19:48 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:16 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:16 PM
SMTPOriginator: maryestelle@bellsouth.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:19:48 PM-12/29/2007 05:19:49 PM,12/29/2007 05:19:49 PM-12/29/2007 05:19:50 PM
\$Orig: 13BB64A8EC4E5BE7872573C10001D020
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:19:50 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mary Owens
311 Curtis St.
Warner Robins, GA 31093

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917184815-237294 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:18:48 -0700
Received: from p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4E46319E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:53:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 7e3e6774.2413378480.75583.00-016.p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <milktea829@hotmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:18:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:14:19 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:18:47 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230001847.17229.42329.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: milktea829@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155534); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <milktea829@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:18:48 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:17 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:17 PM
SMTPOriginator: milktea829@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:18:48 PM-12/29/2007 05:18:49 PM,12/29/2007 05:18:49 PM-12/29/2007 05:18:49 PM
\$Orig: 085511FE600D0EEC872573C10001B8AF
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:18:49 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
angela wong
2326 Julie Lane
Ssf, CA 94080

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917164718-237282 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:16:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 528D719E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:51:13 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id e63e6774.2505534384.10709.00-025.p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <carmen_sb@msn.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:16:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:12:18 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:16:46 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230001646.17229.42305.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: carmen_sb@msn.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155539); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <carmen_sb@msn.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:16:47 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:17 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:17 PM
SMTPOriginator: carmen_sb@msn.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:16:47 PM-12/29/2007 05:16:48 PM,12/29/2007 05:16:48 PM-12/29/2007 05:16:48 PM
\$Orig: E5F84D3DAD4BD551872573C10001896E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:16:48 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carmen Blakely
1439 Windjammer Loop
Lutz, FL 33559-6734

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917150914-237273 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:15:09 -0700
Received: from p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 361CE19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:49:35 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [64.136.55.20] (HELO outbound-jn.vgs.unt.d.com) by p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id c03e6774.2652560304.9072.00-080.p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rwllht@juno.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:15:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from outbound-jn.vgs.unt.d.com (localhost [127.0.0.1]) by m04.vgs.unt.d.com with SMTP id AABDZP2YQAZBYSGS for <R2FWE_AL@fws.gov> (sender <rwllht@juno.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:14:06 -0800 (PST)
X_UNTD_OriginStamp: C6OR7yek6vElxEH33DLo/vUOgdi89tj7KhgyN779HeVomsud5pgNnQ==
Received: (from rwllht@juno.com) by m04.vgs.unt.d.com (jqueuemail) id M76VEWMA; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:13:53 PST
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:13:37 PM
Subject: Need for Rule Change in Flawed Wolf Reintroduction Program
\$MessageID: <20071229.171337.3472.41.rwllht@juno.com>
\$Mailer: Juno 5.0.49
MIME_Version: 1.0
X_Juno_Line_Breaks: 7-6,7-19,21,23,25,27-28,30,32-34,36,38-39,41,43-44,46-47,49,51-52,54,56,58-59,61-70,72-73,74-32767
From: rwllht@juno.com
X_ContentStamp: 26:13:3105440795
X_MAIL_INFO: 2e90c9850505c990a0e59001e9e0b5c5b97d599499fd341499b9e4557d44447dc92de4519de5f9b0c501e59010e57080bd59e5b490e9d9
X_UNTD_Peer_Info: 127.0.0.1|localhost|outbound-jn.vgs.unt.d.com|rwllht@juno.com
X_Processed_By: Rebuild v2.0-0
X_Spam: [F=0.0001177421; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.011(1071129155546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <rwllht@juno.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [64.136.55.20]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:15:09 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:17 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:17 PM
SMTPOriginator: rwllht@juno.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:15:09 PM-12/29/2007 05:15:10 PM,12/29/2007 05:15:10 PM-12/29/2007 05:15:10 PM
\$Orig: 966D642A03906B42872573C100016322
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:15:10 PM

TO FAX 505 346 2542 and....

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN AND
John Slown
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
New Mexico Ecological Services Field Office
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Re: Mexican Grey Wolf NEPA Scoping
Need for Rule Change in Flawed Wolf Reintroduction Program

Dear Mr. Slown:

I have learned that there are deep flaws in management choices and rules governing the Mexican Gray Wolf Recovery Program. Since the Program began in 1998 the American Society of Mammalogists has deemed that the predator control program has made the Mexican Gray Wolf "one of the most imperiled mammals in North America."

Some ranchers are making money grazing their animals at below market subsidies on our public lands. If they cannot be good stewards and live with wolves, they should not be allowed to graze their animals on our public lands.

PLEASE:

1. Change rules to let the wolves roam freely, as the Yellowstone packs do, to allow them to disperse and establish territories outside the too-restrictive and arbitrarily-defined Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area.
2. Use direct reintroduction of wolves from the breeding program into New Mexico, into the very suitable Gila National Forest and Gila Wilderness whose large size and best habitat would let the wolves flourish.
3. Require ranchers to dispose of livestock carcasses to prevent potential wolf habituation to livestock.
4. Get rid of the predator control program that is imperiling wolf recovery.
5. Retire grazing permits in critical recovery areas to eliminate wolf-livestock conflicts.
6. Change the status of the Mexican Gray Wolf reintroduction population from experimental to essential. This would focus management priority on wolf recovery and the paramount importance of wolf packs and breeding pair success

rather than on the complaints of a few anti-wolf ranchers.

Please make these and other critical changes to the Program rules to

ensure the success of the Recovery Program.

Thank you. Laura Hubbard-Tompkins,

Las Cruces, NM

email: rwlht@juno.com

Cc: Senator Jeff Bingaman: U.S.Senate, Washington, DC 20510

Senator Pete Dominici: "

Rep. Tom Udall: U.S. House of Representatives, Wash, DC 20515

Rep. Heather Wilson: "

Governor Bill Richardson: 490 Old Santa Fe Trail, Room 400,

Santa

Fe NM, 87501

Email to U.S.Fish and Wildlife Service: www.mexicanwolfeis.org

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917104509-237254 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:10:45 -0700
Received: from p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2E45E19E804A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:45:11 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 402e6774.2665933744.223581.00-075.p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dragneater329@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:10:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:06:15 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:10:43 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230001043.17229.42251.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: dragneater329@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155539); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <dragneater329@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:10:45 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:18 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:18 PM
SMTPOriginator: dragneater329@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:10:45 PM-12/29/2007 05:10:46 PM,12/29/2007 05:10:46 PM-12/29/2007 05:10:46 PM
\$Orig: 3EE6A3C0581D94FF872573C10000FBFF
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:10:46 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kevin Matto
13530 sw 113th place
Miami, FL 33176

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917104424-237252 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:10:44 -0700
Received: from p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4EE2119E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:45:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 302e6774.2529565616.223581.00-075.p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <deanndeb@centurytel.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:10:43 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:06:15 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:10:43 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230001043.17229.42249.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: deanndeb@centurytel.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155539); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <deanndeb@centurytel.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:10:44 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:18 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:18 PM
SMTPOriginator: deanndeb@centurytel.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:10:44 PM-12/29/2007 05:10:45 PM,12/29/2007 05:10:45 PM-12/29/2007 05:10:45 PM
\$Orig: D8BD80DE2F557A7C872573C10000FBA8
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:10:45 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

Thank you, the opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Debra Saude
1050 Pleasant Valley Rd
Sweet Home, OR 97386

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917094421-237241 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:09:44 -0700
Received: from p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 464F919E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:44:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 7cle6774.2633665456.60743.00-066.p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <livia.mcree@mac.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:09:43 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:05:15 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:09:43 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230000943.17229.42247.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: livia.mcree@mac.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155534); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <livia.mcree@mac.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:09:44 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:18 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:18 PM
SMTPOriginator: livia.mcree@mac.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:09:44 PM-12/29/2007 05:09:45 PM,12/29/2007 05:09:45 PM-12/29/2007 05:09:46 PM
\$Orig: DEF831365CD0A95872573C10000E435
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:09:46 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Livia McRee
1409 Greenwood Terr
Berkeley, CA 94708

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917074210-237236 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:07:42 -0700
Received: from p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 27BCE19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:42:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id d41e6774.2421476272.102254.00-045.p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <johansons@comcast.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:07:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:03:13 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:07:41 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230000741.17229.42223.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: johansons@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(107112915555); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <johansons@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:07:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:19 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:19 PM
SMTPOriginator: johansons@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:07:42 PM-12/29/2007 05:07:43 PM,12/29/2007 05:07:43 PM-12/29/2007 05:07:44 PM
\$Orig: CA58BCE523EC066C872573C10000B483
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:07:44 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Winifred Johanson
72 Laurel Drive
New Providence, NJ 07974

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917064129-237229 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:06:41 -0700
Received: from p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 51DCB19E804A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:41:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 011e6774.2592996272.19164.00-069.p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <linda4441111@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:06:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:02:11 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:06:39 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230000639.17229.42217.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: linda4441111@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <linda4441111@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:06:41 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:19 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:19 PM
SMTPOriginator: linda4441111@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:06:41 PM-12/29/2007 05:06:43 PM,12/29/2007 05:06:43 PM-12/29/2007 05:06:44 PM
\$Orig: B81A9F4E0866679D872573C100009CC1
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:06:44 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Linda Churchman
1826 N 6th St.
Coeur D Alene, ID 83814-3419

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917064114-237228 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:06:41 -0700
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2E69219E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:41:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 011e6774.2401659824.106226.00-016.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <animala@ccser.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:06:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:02:11 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:06:39 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230000639.17229.42215.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: animala@ccser.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155534); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <animala@ccser.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:06:41 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:20 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:20 PM
SMTPOriginator: animala@ccser.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:06:41 PM-12/29/2007 05:06:42 PM,12/29/2007 05:06:42 PM-12/29/2007 05:06:43 PM
\$Orig: 9A525145C6512EB7872573C100009CB2
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:06:43 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
M/M Robert Anderlik
PO Box 492
Liberty Lake, WA 99019

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917064022-237227 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:06:40 -0700
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4210919E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:41:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id f01e6774.2590477232.106226.00-016.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <clayfoot_99@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:06:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:02:11 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:06:39 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230000639.17229.42213.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: clayfoot_99@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155534); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <clayfoot_99@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:06:40 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:20 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:20 PM
SMTPOriginator: clayfoot_99@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:06:40 PM-12/29/2007 05:06:41 PM,12/29/2007 05:06:41 PM-12/29/2007 05:06:42 PM
\$Orig: 7AD797FC2F470C01872573C100009C56
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:06:42 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Thomas N. Stevens
1016 Pacific Avenue
Alameda, CA 94501-2226

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122917044020-237212 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:04:40 -0700
Received: from p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 39F3119E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:39:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 790e6774.2707073968.827.00-034.p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gayelh@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:04:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 16:00:11 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:04:39 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230000439.17229.42207.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: gayelh@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155539); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <gayelh@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:04:40 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:20 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:20 PM
SMTPOriginator: gayelh@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:04:40 PM-12/29/2007 05:04:42 PM,12/29/2007 05:04:42 PM-12/29/2007 05:04:43 PM
\$Orig: B6CFDF28A8379493872573C100006D74
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:04:43 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Gaye Hamilton
3075 Bonita Woods Dr.
Bonita, CA 91902

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917003923-237191 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:00:39 -0700
Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 335A719E804A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:35:05 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 6afd6774.2365520816.424076.00-032.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kari_wisenbaker@administaff.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:00:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 15:56:09 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:00:37 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230000037.17229.42165.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: kari_wisenbaker@administaff.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155534); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <kari_wisenbaker@administaff.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:00:39 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:21 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:21 PM
SMTPOriginator: kari_wisenbaker@administaff.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:00:39 PM-12/29/2007 05:00:41 PM,12/29/2007 05:00:41 PM-12/29/2007 05:00:42 PM
\$Orig: 46953CB78DEAA1DE872573C100000F53
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:00:42 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kari Wisenbaker
PO BOX 15254
Humble, TX 77347

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917003918-237190 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:00:39 -0700
Received: from p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2851419E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:35:05 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 6afd6774.3463367600.53949.00-069.p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cbaumung@mts.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:00:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 15:56:09 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:00:37 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230000037.17229.42167.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: cbaumung@mts.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011332575; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155554); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <cbaumung@mts.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:00:39 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:21 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:21 PM
SMTPOriginator: cbaumung@mts.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:00:39 PM-12/29/2007 05:00:41 PM,12/29/2007 05:00:41 PM-12/29/2007 05:00:42 PM
\$Orig: 9E07624A355842B1872573C100000F4E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:00:42 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
clay BAUMUNG
662-38th St
BRANDN, MB R7B2S7

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122917003815-237189 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:00:38 -0700
Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 211F219E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:35:04 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 5afd6774.2449439664.424076.00-032.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bethbuff16@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 17:00:37 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 15:56:09 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 05:00:37 PM
\$MessageID: <20071230000037.17229.42163.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: Bethbuff16@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122935); R=0.093(1071129155534); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <bethbuff16@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 05:00:38 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:21 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:21 PM
SMTPOriginator: bethbuff16@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 05:00:38 PM-12/29/2007 05:00:40 PM,12/29/2007 05:00:40 PM-12/29/2007 05:00:41 PM
\$Orig: FC9DC34B77718852872573C100000EE7
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 05:00:41 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Beth Prudden
1656 Brys
Grosse Pointe Woods, MI 48236

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122916583814-237181 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:58:38 -0700
Received: from p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 16B8719E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:33:04 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id d2fd6774.2423258032.103818.00-013.p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <agl9k@virginia.edu>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:58:37 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 15:54:09 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 04:58:37 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229235837.17229.42159.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: agl9k@virginia.edu
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122934); R=0.093(107112915560); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <agl9k@virginia.edu>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 04:58:38 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:22 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:22 PM
SMTPOriginator: agl9k@virginia.edu
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 04:58:38 PM-12/29/2007 04:58:40 PM,12/29/2007 04:58:40 PM-12/29/2007 04:58:41 PM
\$Orig: DAA613773965DAB1872573C00083B606
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 04:58:41 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Anthony Guy Lopez
54 Hill Ln
Schuyler, VA 22969

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122916571035-237178 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:57:10 -0700
Received: from p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 49C2F19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:31:36 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [76.96.62.56] (EHLO QMTA06.westchester.pa.mail.comcast.net) by p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 5ded6774.3348646832.14236.00-051.p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jwilliams@wsahealth.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:57:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from OMTA08.westchester.pa.mail.comcast.net ([76.96.62.12]) by QMTA06.westchester.pa.mail.comcast.net with comcast id WlGP1Y0040Fqzac0506q00; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 23:57:09 +0000
Received: from [192.168.0.12] ([67.164.140.54]) by OMTA08.westchester.pa.mail.comcast.net with comcast id Wnx81Y0021AcyYb3U00000; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 23:57:08 +0000
X_Authority_Analysis: v=1.0 c=1 a=KniVS6DuzCWyxZ6REA:9 a=YPsd65iSkBo54V8HL_MA:7 a=sjWttVCI6rTRF5FgJoKi_KryR8cA:4 a=gi0PWCVxevcA:10 a=sDR51cHt4Kselq9yD7cA:9 a=jzHDw6M3ZtFdvIn6W58A:7 a=2dQyPDwaRW-S6ZvvRf0WlFQ2bJUA:4 a=Sz-0plzU2dQA:10
User_Agent: Microsoft-Entourage/11.3.6.070618
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 04:57:08 PM
Subject: Mexican Gret Wolf
From: Judith Williams <jwilliams@wsahealth.com>
SendTo: <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>
\$MessageID: <C39C2CE4.3C35%jwilliams@wsahealth.com>
Thread_Topic: Mexican Gret Wolf
thread_index: AchKdoUew30tDLZpEdy4cwAWy6GIGg==
MIME_Version: 1.0
X_Spam: [F=0.0004997428; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122934); R=0.047(1071129155529); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jwilliams@wsahealth.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [76.96.62.56]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 04:57:10 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:22 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:22 PM
SMTPOriginator: jwilliams@wsahealth.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 04:57:10 PM-12/29/2007 04:57:12 PM,12/29/2007 04:57:12 PM-12/29/2007 04:57:13 PM
\$Orig: E9DFD5E050E47A0D872573C0008393BB
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 04:57:13 PM

I was unable to attend your scoping meetings, so please accept my written comments on the recovery of the Mexican Grey Wolf. As you are well aware,

this is a Keystone species, meaning it has a broad impact of the ecology - water, riparian areas and other wildlife. The Mexican Grey Wolf can only contribute good to its environment. Unfortunately, some residents of New Mexico have reported to enticing and shooting of the wolves, and the creation of groundless hysteria over this endangered animal.

I ask that you enforce and strengthen protections for the Mexican Grey Wolf. This should INLCUDE retiring grazing permits near wilderness areas and other sensitive areas. Cattle grazing is simply welfare for a group of ranchers who happen to live near national forests. Grazing should not be a right but a privilege, if it exists at all. As a taxpayer I resent the ranchers being given a free ride to graze an animal that destroys the environment - water, riparian areas and the like. I am aware that this practice costs the federal government - and therefore me.

All efforts must be made to protect the Mexican Grey Wolf and help the small remaining numbers become viable packs. By the way, there is no instance of this wolf attacking children or adult humans. So ths hysteria should stop.

Thank you

Judith K Williams 13 Ute Circle
Santa Fe NM 87505

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122916553519-237171 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:55:35 -0700
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1C51219E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:30:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 67ed6774.3161553840.149479.00-016.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cliff715@comcast.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:55:34 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 15:51:06 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 04:55:34 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229235534.17229.42127.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: cliff715@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010439071; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122934); R=0.093(1071129155529); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <cliff715@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 04:55:35 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:22 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:22 PM
SMTPOriginator: cliff715@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 04:55:35 PM-12/29/2007 04:55:36 PM,12/29/2007 04:55:37 PM-12/29/2007 04:55:38 PM
\$Orig: CE0EF180A592D0CB872573C000836E90
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 04:55:38 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Clifford Oliver
730 E. Las Flores Way
Santa Maria, CA 93454

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122916533823-237151 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:53:38 -0700
Received: from p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1DD9A19E804A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:28:04 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 10ed6774.2545523632.75241.00-009.p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:53:37 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 29 Dec 2007 17:53:34 -0600
\$MessageID: <24160320.1198972414544.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 04:53:34 PM
From: Felicia McCarty <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Felicia McCarty <fe_mouse@yahoo.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM94ntt@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0002438999; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.023(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122934); R=0.009(1071129155543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [66.45.103.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 04:53:38 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:23 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:23 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 04:53:38 PM-12/29/2007 04:53:38 PM,12/29/2007 04:53:39 PM-12/29/2007 04:53:40 PM
\$Orig: DAA84DA6280CE741872573C0008340DF
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 04:53:40 PM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery

efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Felicia McCarty
90 Regier Springs Dr
Sparks, NV 89441-0503

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122916533753-237148 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:53:37 -0700
Received: from p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 6976C19E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:28:03 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 00ed6774.3474484144.27293.00-067.p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:53:36 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 29 Dec 2007 17:53:34 -0600
\$MessageID: <16689369.1198972414417.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 04:53:34 PM
From: Mary Coyle <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Mary Coyle <maryc@unm.edu>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM94nt4@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0002162764; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.020(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122934); R=0.009(1071129155554); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 04:53:37 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:23 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:23 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 04:53:37 PM-12/29/2007 04:53:37 PM,12/29/2007 04:53:38 PM-12/29/2007 04:53:39 PM
\$Orig: F661C7AE25CFDA00872573C000834099
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 04:53:39 PM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Coyle
PO Box 4273
Albuquerque, NM 87196-4273

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122916533679-237146 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:53:36 -0700
Received: from p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id A91A819E8009 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:28:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 00ed6774.3264687024.27293.00-067.p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 16:53:36 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 17:53:34 -0600
\$MessageID: <33163147.1198972414360.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 04:53:34 PM
From: Mary Murray <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Mary Murray <murray.kathleen@yahoo.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM94nQQ@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001306491; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.012(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122934); R=0.009(1071129155554); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [66.45.103.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 04:53:36 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:27:23 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:27:23 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 04:53:36 PM-12/29/2007 04:53:38 PM,12/29/2007 04:53:38 PM-12/29/2007 04:53:39 PM
\$Orig: 93483129FA72360B872573C00083404F
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 04:53:39 PM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery

efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Murray
4620 N 74th Pl
Scottsdale, AZ 85251-1418