

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122910215722-233952 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:21:57 -0700
Received: from p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 9EE5419E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:56:18 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 43286774.2609552304.97220.00-138.p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sjarvis22@hotmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:21:56 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:17:28 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:21:56 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229172156.17229.34543.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: sjarvis22@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <sjarvis22@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:21:57 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:46 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:46 PM
SMTPOriginator: sjarvis22@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:21:57 AM-12/29/2007 10:21:58 AM,12/29/2007 10:21:58 AM-12/29/2007 10:21:58 AM
\$Orig: BB7D6EF1C6D56226872573C0005F64CB
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:21:58 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from

their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Scott Jarvis
PO Box 1664 4427 One'One Rd.
Hanalei, HI 96714

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910205819-233942 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:20:58 -0700
Received: from p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9436219E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:55:19 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 9f186774.2471824304.131848.00-008.p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <leedemick@gmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:20:57 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:16:28 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:20:56 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229172056.17229.34541.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: leedemick@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985523); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <leedemick@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:20:58 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:46 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:46 PM
SMTPOriginator: leedemick@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:20:58 AM-12/29/2007 10:20:59 AM,12/29/2007 10:20:59 AM-12/29/2007 10:21:00 AM
\$Orig: A7484498B0B0D2EE872573C0005F4DBB
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:21:00 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lee Demick
713 SE 60th Ave
Apt 8
Portland, OR 97215

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122910205731-233941 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:20:57 -0700
Received: from p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id B27B619E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:55:18 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 8f186774.2660641712.131848.00-008.p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <yeehaw7@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:20:56 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:16:28 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:20:56 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229172056.17229.34539.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: yeehaw7@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985523); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <yeehaw7@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:20:57 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:46 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:46 PM
SMTPOriginator: yeehaw7@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:20:57 AM-12/29/2007 10:20:58 AM,12/29/2007 10:20:58 AM-12/29/2007 10:20:59 AM
\$Orig: 04671E73B131640F872573C0005F4D65
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:20:59 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Harriet Cohen
102 55 67 drive 4L
Forest Hills, NY 11375

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910195806-233929 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:19:58 -0700
Received: from p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7149019E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:54:19 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id db186774.2661301168.177484.00-069.p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <procyon11@sbcglobal.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:19:57 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:15:28 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:19:56 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229171956.17229.34537.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: procyon11@sbcglobal.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011138339; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(10711298569); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <procyon11@sbcglobal.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:19:58 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:47 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:47 PM
SMTPOriginator: procyon11@sbcglobal.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:19:58 AM-12/29/2007 10:19:59 AM,12/29/2007 10:19:59 AM-12/29/2007 10:20:00 AM
\$Orig: C5E450F430603BB1872573C0005F363E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:20:00 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
R. Long-Carlson
1444 Wilderness Drive
Schererville, IN 46375

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910195722-233928 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:19:57 -0700
Received: from p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9931419E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:54:18 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id cb186774.2577382320.177484.00-069.p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bikofurry@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:19:56 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:15:28 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:19:56 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229171956.17229.34535.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: bikofurry@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(10711298569); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <bikofurry@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:19:57 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:47 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:47 PM
SMTPOriginator: bikofurry@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:19:57 AM-12/29/2007 10:19:58 AM,12/29/2007 10:19:58 AM-12/29/2007 10:19:59 AM
\$Orig: 840D0FBB481C53CC872573C0005F35EB
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:19:59 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Karen Ennis
623 Wakerobin Lane
San Rafael, CA 94903

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122910185801-233921 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:18:58 -0700
Received: from p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 622B719E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:53:19 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 18186774.2408979376.83705.00-098.p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jeanthorsen@comcast.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:18:57 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:14:29 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:18:56 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229171856.17229.34533.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jeanthorsen@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jeanthorsen@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:18:58 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:47 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:47 PM
SMTPOriginator: jeanthorsen@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:18:58 AM-12/29/2007 10:18:59 AM,12/29/2007 10:18:59 AM-12/29/2007 10:18:59 AM
\$Orig: 432EC41172440FC3872573C0005F1EC9
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:18:59 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jean Thorsen
4 Bay View Avenue
Old Saybrook, CT 06475-2024

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910175312-233913 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:17:53 -0700
Received: from p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7C49B19E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:52:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 04186774.2641632176.14897.00-105.p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jessie370@earthlink.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:17:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:13:23 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:17:51 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229171751.17229.34425.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: jessie370@earthlink.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(10711298569); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jessie370@earthlink.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:17:53 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:48 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:48 PM
SMTPOriginator: jessie370@earthlink.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:17:53 AM-12/29/2007 10:17:54 AM,12/29/2007 10:17:54 AM-12/29/2007 10:17:54 AM
\$Orig: 4B6A47843B3E15BA872573C0005F0570
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:17:54 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jessica Hubbs
600 J St #225
Martinez, CA 94553

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122910165228-233901 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:16:52 -0700
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 9D26419E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:51:13 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 30186774.2556984240.283220.00-101.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ginnpr1@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:16:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:12:23 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:16:51 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229171651.17229.34419.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: ginnpr1@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985533); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ginnpr1@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:16:52 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:48 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:48 PM
SMTPOriginator: ginnpr1@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:16:52 AM-12/29/2007 10:16:54 AM,12/29/2007 10:16:54 AM-12/29/2007 10:16:55 AM
\$Orig: BF0002FFCD2BCC84872573C0005EEDAC
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:16:55 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
G. Preuss
405 Ruth Street
Bridgeport, CT 06606-3362

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910165315-233902 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:16:53 -0700
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8096919E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:51:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 40186774.2619923376.283220.00-101.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <saun41@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:16:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:12:23 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:16:51 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229171651.17229.34421.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: saun41@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985533); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <saun41@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:16:53 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:48 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:49 PM
SMTPOriginator: saun41@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:16:53 AM-12/29/2007 10:16:54 AM,12/29/2007 10:16:54 AM-12/29/2007 10:16:54 AM
\$Orig: 6E6D1CA2A33DF259872573C0005EEE03
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:16:54 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sande Rego-Ross
9208 North Condor Place
Tucson, AZ 85742

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910155393-233888 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:15:53 -0700
Received: from p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 48F1519E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:50:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 9c086774.2556402608.167779.00-025.p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <siankolio@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:15:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:11:23 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:15:51 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229171551.17229.34417.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: siankolio@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(10711298569); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <siankolio@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:15:53 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:49 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:49 PM
SMTPOriginator: siankolio@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:15:53 AM-12/29/2007 10:15:54 AM,12/29/2007 10:15:54 AM-12/29/2007 10:15:55 AM
\$Orig: 283A5300B84AB985872573C0005ED6E1
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:15:55 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

I am glad for the opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves and believe that it comes not a moment too soon! Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. I find this to be quite disturbing. I strongly believe that the solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Betty Young
608 Meadow Top
Converse, TX 78109

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910155310-233887 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:15:53 -0700
Received: from p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 704EA19E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:50:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 8c086774.2577382320.167779.00-025.p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ted10000@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:15:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:11:23 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:15:51 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229171551.17229.34415.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: ted10000@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(10711298569); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ted10000@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:15:53 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:49 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:49 PM
SMTPOriginator: ted10000@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:15:53 AM-12/29/2007 10:15:54 AM,12/29/2007 10:15:54 AM-12/29/2007 10:15:55 AM
\$Orig: A2BE63689CFEA705872573C0005ED68E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:15:55 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ted Fishman
790 Villa Teresa Way
San Jose, CA 95123

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122910155223-233886 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:15:52 -0700
Received: from p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 8FDD619E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:50:13 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 7c086774.2556402608.167779.00-025.p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <wolfadriennel@wildmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:15:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:11:23 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:15:51 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229171551.17229.34413.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: wolfadriennel@wildmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(10711298569); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <wolfadriennel@wildmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:15:52 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:50 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:50 PM
SMTPOriginator: wolfadriennel@wildmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:15:52 AM-12/29/2007 10:15:53 AM,12/29/2007 10:15:53 AM-12/29/2007 10:15:54 AM
\$Orig: 7693FEDB414EF90E872573C0005ED637
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:15:54 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Adrienne Simcox
Martin Mendalde 760-4
Col. Del Valle
Mexico City 03100

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910145304-233869 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:14:53 -0700
Received: from p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 5ED4219E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:49:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id c8086774.3106773936.31724.00-113.p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <img4pets@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:14:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:10:23 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:14:51 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229171451.17229.34411.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: img4pets@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112993146); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <img4pets@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:14:53 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:50 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:50 PM
SMTPOriginator: img4pets@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:14:53 AM-12/29/2007 10:14:54 AM,12/29/2007 10:14:54 AM-12/29/2007 10:14:54 AM
\$Orig: 8997DDB894C93886872573C0005EBF18
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:14:54 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Irma Grieve
381 Peachstone Terrace
San Rafael, CA 94903

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122910145220-233868 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:14:52 -0700
Received: from p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 87C8019E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:49:13 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id b8086774.3652246448.31724.00-113.p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <michwich@earthlink.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:14:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:10:23 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:14:51 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229171451.17229.34409.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: michwich@earthlink.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011459860; B=0.500(0); S=0.011(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112993146); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <michwich@earthlink.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:14:52 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:50 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:50 PM
SMTPOriginator: michwich@earthlink.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:14:52 AM-12/29/2007 10:14:53 AM,12/29/2007 10:14:53 AM-12/29/2007 10:14:53 AM
\$Orig: BA331A3301992CE2872573C0005EBEC4
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:14:53 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species

Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

Please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Michael Wichman
1001 Arbor Lake Dr #407
Naples, FL 34110

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910135317-233858 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:13:53 -0700
Received: from p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7DC5719E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:48:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 05086774.2665348016.67492.00-013.p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jetwater@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:13:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:09:22 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:13:50 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229171350.17229.34407.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jetwater@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jetwater@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:13:53 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:51 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:51 PM
SMTPOriginator: jetwater@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:13:53 AM-12/29/2007 10:13:54 AM,12/29/2007 10:13:54 AM-12/29/2007 10:13:54 AM
\$Orig: 31F8AA23CF3FC9D0872573C0005EA7B7
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:13:54 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
jane wilson
53 n.pointe circle
lake st. louis, MO 63367

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910135205-233854 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:13:52 -0700
Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 5B9E219E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:48:13 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f4086774.2611895216.262992.00-115.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <horselover930@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:13:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:09:22 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:13:50 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229171350.17229.34401.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: horselover930@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985326); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <horselover930@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:13:52 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:51 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:51 PM
SMTPOriginator: horselover930@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:13:52 AM-12/29/2007 10:13:53 AM,12/29/2007 10:13:53 AM-12/29/2007 10:13:54 AM
\$Orig: 11C883FAB7061704872573C0005EA745
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:13:54 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
charmaine white
25 south 7th st
ashland, PA 17921

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122910135236-233856 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:13:52 -0700
Received: from p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id A982B19E80B1 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:48:13 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id f4086774.2445061040.67492.00-013.p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <morriswm@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:13:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:09:22 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:13:50 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229171350.17229.34403.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: morriswm@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0156474177; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.134(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <morriswm@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:13:52 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:51 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:51 PM
SMTPOriginator: morriswm@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:13:52 AM-12/29/2007 10:13:53 AM,12/29/2007 10:13:53 AM-12/29/2007 10:13:53 AM
\$Orig: D46FE00F4EAD600A872573C0005EA764
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:13:53 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

I was listening to a program that centered on the destruction of wildlife in Aftica, and how several countries no longer have Rhinos and other large species. I have been in Thailand and have seen the actual impact on tigers and other species due to overpopulation and poaching. At least Thailand, one of the poorer countries, has stopped all logging in an effort to preserve some amazing creatures of the earth.

I am a 4th generation Colorado native. I grew up in Climax, a molybdenum mine. I know full well the destruction that the extraction industries will do to the environment. I consider the dryland ranching such a destructive industry. For a few percentage points of the production of beef in America, we are

pounding vast areas of the west in to sagebrush dust bowls. Ironic that we wring our hands about the third world woes and ignore what is happening in our own country. And yes, I have read such books as "The Last Ranch" by Sam Bingham. To that end, mining has phased out in the USA and such messy processes have been exported to the third world. Ranching still has a glamorous aura about it, but is a very destructive practice to both the land and the critters. I know that the ranchers are hard working mostly decent folk. So were the miners that I grew up with. And for that matter the people dependent on the manufacturing in the rust belt were good people too. The miners and heavy manufacturing workers have lost their jobs and incomes to an awareness of the real cost of our goods. Unfortunately, we now have such messy processes exported to the hapless people in the desperate poor countries of the world. Ranching is one of the industries that we also export to such places as Brazil where the rain forest is being destroyed for our hamburgers. I would ask if the general hammering of the western lands is really worth the illusion of the noble independent rancher. Wallace Stegner wrote that the west was based on the extractive mentality of grabbing and grabbing till not much is left. I travel throughout the west, on my rafts on rivers, walking in the canyons and seeing cowburnt landscapes kills the spirit of all life. We have a chance to walk our walk here, folks.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
William Morris

2301 W. VERMIJO AVE.
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122910135122-233853 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:13:51 -0700
Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 86B3A19E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:48:12 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id e4086774.2454547376.262992.00-115.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bokimball2002@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:13:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:09:22 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:13:50 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229171350.17229.34399.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: bokimball2002@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985326); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <bokimball2002@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:13:51 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:52 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:52 PM
SMTPOriginator: bokimball2002@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:13:51 AM-12/29/2007 10:13:52 AM,12/29/2007 10:13:53 AM-12/29/2007 10:13:53 AM
\$Orig: E24F5D79CA87E295872573C0005EA6F2
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:13:53 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Barbara De Avila
53B Peralta Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94110-4854

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910135286-233857 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:13:52 -0700
Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3133E19E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:48:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 05086774.2695814064.262992.00-115.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sabre_toothed_wolf@msn.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:13:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:09:22 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:13:50 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229171350.17229.34405.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: sabre_toothed_wolf@msn.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985326); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <sabre_toothed_wolf@msn.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:13:52 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:52 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:52 PM
SMTPOriginator: sabre_toothed_wolf@msn.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:13:52 AM-12/29/2007 10:13:53 AM,12/29/2007 10:13:53 AM-12/29/2007 10:13:53 AM
\$Orig: 9431E1B0247358C0872573C0005EA796
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:13:53 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Falcon Wolf
11750 E. Powers Ave
Englewood, CO 80111

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910124783-233838 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:12:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 248A819E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:47:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f0086774.2451909552.26301.00-084.p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <asd@mchsi.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:12:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:08:16 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:12:44 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229171244.17229.34305.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: asd@mchsi.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <asd@mchsi.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:12:47 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:52 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:52 PM
SMTPOriginator: asd@mchsi.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:12:47 AM-12/29/2007 10:12:49 AM,12/29/2007 10:12:49 AM-12/29/2007 10:12:50 AM
\$Orig: 89EECA707821C551872573C0005E8E2F
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:12:50 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Abby Dahlquist
545 Lynn Road
Hutchinson, MN 55350

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910124728-233836 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:12:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 92B8319E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:47:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e0086774.2558622640.96822.00-012.p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <scoran@coranvisual.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:12:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:08:16 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:12:44 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229171244.17229.34301.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: scoran@coranvisual.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985523); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <scoran@coranvisual.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:12:47 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:53 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:53 PM
SMTPOriginator: scoran@coranvisual.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:12:47 AM-12/29/2007 10:12:48 AM,12/29/2007 10:12:48 AM-12/29/2007 10:12:48 AM
\$Orig: 8319BAA9F1105CE9872573C0005E8DF8
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:12:48 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sky Coran
212 First Street North Loft #501
Minneapolis, MN 55401

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910124740-233837 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:12:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B3C9319E80B1 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:47:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id e0086774.2434993072.10354.00-133.p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <yosemitepup@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:12:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:08:16 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:12:44 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229171244.17229.34303.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: yosemitepup@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985642); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <yosemitepup@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:12:47 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:53 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:53 PM
SMTPOriginator: yosemitepup@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:12:47 AM-12/29/2007 10:12:48 AM,12/29/2007 10:12:48 AM-12/29/2007 10:12:48 AM
\$Orig: 7AC94D4D0BA0DDD2872573C0005E8E04
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:12:48 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
LeeAnn Lopez
20460 Elkwood Street
Winnetka, CA 91306

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910124700-233835 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:12:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4EE3319E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:47:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e0086774.2609257392.26301.00-084.p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <babak_z333@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:12:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:08:16 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:12:44 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229171244.17229.34299.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: babak_z333@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <babak_z333@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:12:47 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:53 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:53 PM
SMTPOriginator: babak_z333@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:12:47 AM-12/29/2007 10:12:48 AM,12/29/2007 10:12:48 AM-12/29/2007 10:12:48 AM
\$Orig: 129903B9853679CE872573C0005E8DDC
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:12:48 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
babak zahedi
4472 coventry row ct
charlotte, NC 28270

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910124617-233833 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:12:46 -0700
Received: from p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7A02819E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:47:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id d0086774.2399460272.26301.00-084.p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <runswcam@msn.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:12:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:08:16 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:12:44 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229171244.17229.34295.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: runswcam@msn.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <runswcam@msn.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:12:46 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:54 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:54 PM
SMTPOriginator: runswcam@msn.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:12:46 AM-12/29/2007 10:12:47 AM,12/29/2007 10:12:47 AM-12/29/2007 10:12:47 AM
\$Orig: 60993432B2CDE4E6872573C0005E8D89
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:12:47 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
chuck whitfield
1904 e. redfield rd.
tempe, AZ 85283

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910124642-233834 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:12:46 -0700
Received: from p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id A994E19E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:47:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id d0086774.2579602352.96822.00-012.p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jake_ac@suddenlink.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:12:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:08:16 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:12:44 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229171244.17229.34297.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jake_ac@suddenlink.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985523); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jake_ac@suddenlink.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:12:46 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:54 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:54 PM
SMTPOriginator: jake_ac@suddenlink.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:12:46 AM-12/29/2007 10:12:47 AM,12/29/2007 10:12:47 AM-12/29/2007 10:12:47 AM
\$Orig: D4956EB6A4DD9613872573C0005E8DA4
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:12:47 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
April Coviello
128 Meadowview Dr.
New Bern, NC 28562

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122910124534-233832 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:12:45 -0700
Received: from p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id A2AF419E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:47:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id c0086774.2493868976.26301.00-084.p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jaburlew@burlewenterprises.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:12:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:08:16 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:12:44 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229171244.17229.34293.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: jaburlew@burlewenterprises.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jaburlew@burlewenterprises.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:12:45 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:54 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:54 PM
SMTPOriginator: jaburlew@burlewenterprises.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:12:45 AM-12/29/2007 10:12:46 AM,12/29/2007 10:12:46 AM-12/29/2007 10:12:46 AM
\$Orig: AADB5D1FE2EF795A872573C0005E8D36
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:12:46 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jessica Burlew
6216 373rd Ave.
Burlington, WI 53105

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910084445-233796 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:08:44 -0700
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B341119E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:43:05 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id blf76774.2388532144.89293.00-092.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <msoutor0001@comcast.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:08:43 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:04:13 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:08:41 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229170841.17229.34207.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: msoutor0001@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985533); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <msoutor0001@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:08:44 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:55 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:55 PM
SMTPOriginator: msoutor0001@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:08:44 AM-12/29/2007 10:08:45 AM,12/29/2007 10:08:45 AM-12/29/2007 10:08:46 AM
\$Orig: 366CF56A0CD29390872573C0005E2F1D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:08:46 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mitch Soutor
24 E. Diamond Lake Road #207
Minneapolis, MN 55419

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910084330-233794 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:08:43 -0700
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9025719E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:43:04 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id alf76774.2503920560.89293.00-092.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bwoodart0902@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:08:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:04:13 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:08:41 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229170841.17229.34203.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: bwoodart0902@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985533); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <bwoodart0902@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:08:43 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:55 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:55 PM
SMTPOriginator: bwoodart0902@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:08:43 AM-12/29/2007 10:08:44 AM,12/29/2007 10:08:44 AM-12/29/2007 10:08:45 AM
\$Orig: 638D9AB0D1D2B15F872573C0005E2EAA
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:08:45 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
bobby woodart
1614 primrose
toledo, OH 4362

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122910084343-233795 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:08:43 -0700
Received: from p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id B016A19E80B1 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:43:04 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id alf76774.2631920560.139055.00-163.p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jay@getinfront.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:08:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:04:13 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:08:41 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229170841.17229.34205.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jay@getinfront.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985326); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jay@getinfront.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:08:43 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:55 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:55 PM
SMTPOriginator: jay@getinfront.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:08:43 AM-12/29/2007 10:08:44 AM,12/29/2007 10:08:44 AM-12/29/2007 10:08:45 AM
\$Orig: C04F7E0DC268A8B8872573C0005E2EB7
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:08:45 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jay Thomas
119 E. 31st Pl #A
Tulsa, OK 74105

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910084232-233793 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:08:42 -0700
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 90C2A19E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:43:03 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 91f76774.2629798832.89293.00-092.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <wilroc@juno.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:08:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:04:13 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:08:41 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229170841.17229.34201.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: wilroc@juno.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985533); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <wilroc@juno.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:08:42 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:56 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:56 PM
SMTPOriginator: wilroc@juno.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:08:42 AM-12/29/2007 10:08:43 AM,12/29/2007 10:08:43 AM-12/29/2007 10:08:44 AM
\$Orig: D29CA7A5C410E674872573C0005E2E48
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:08:44 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
WILLIAM CRAFTS
7285 SPRUCE MT. LOOP
RIO RANCHO, NM 87144

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910074502-233774 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:07:45 -0700
Received: from p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4871F19E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:42:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 0ee76774.2619366320.103684.00-080.p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <marinap@pobox.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:07:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:03:10 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:07:38 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229170738.17229.34187.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: marinap@pobox.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(10711298569); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <marinap@pobox.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:07:45 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:56 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:56 PM
SMTPOriginator: marinap@pobox.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:07:45 AM-12/29/2007 10:07:46 AM,12/29/2007 10:07:46 AM-12/29/2007 10:07:47 AM
\$Orig: 7FFE5A333E46CA8C872573C0005E17E6
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:07:47 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Marina Peake
15733 Seabolt Place
Addison, TX 75001

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122910074043-233771 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:07:40 -0700
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id AA9A619E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:42:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id bde76774.3171081136.415574.00-151.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <schuylerlerva06@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:07:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:03:10 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:07:38 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229170738.17229.34189.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: schuylerlerva06@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985651); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <schuylerlerva06@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:07:40 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:56 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:56 PM
SMTPOriginator: schuylerlerva06@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:07:40 AM-12/29/2007 10:07:42 AM,12/29/2007 10:07:42 AM-12/29/2007 10:07:43 AM
\$Orig: C106C47A83D3DBDA872573C0005E161B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:07:43 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Chastity Martin
4421 Flintstone Rd.
Alexandria, VA 22306

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910074127-233772 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:07:41 -0700
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 85DCA19E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:42:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id cde76774.3443817392.415574.00-151.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <puffin7@comcast.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:07:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:03:10 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:07:38 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229170738.17229.34191.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: puffin7@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985651); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <puffin7@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:07:41 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:57 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:57 PM
SMTPOriginator: puffin7@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:07:41 AM-12/29/2007 10:07:42 AM,12/29/2007 10:07:42 AM-12/29/2007 10:07:43 AM
\$Orig: FF58A77FDFA6AD17872573C0005E166F
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:07:43 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Susan Markowitz
P.O. Box 656
Lahaska, PA 18931

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122910073926-233770 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:07:39 -0700
Received: from p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 80BB719E8069 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:42:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id ade76774.2650835888.103684.00-080.p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bkhearn@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:07:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:03:10 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:07:38 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229170738.17229.34185.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: bkhearn@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(10711298569); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <bkhearn@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:07:39 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:57 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:57 PM
SMTPOriginator: bkhearn@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:07:39 AM-12/29/2007 10:07:40 AM,12/29/2007 10:07:40 AM-12/29/2007 10:07:41 AM
\$Orig: BBC70C658B16CFD2872573C0005E15A6
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:07:41 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Bevyn Lowe
59 Painter Ridge Rd
Washington, CT 06793

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122910063927-233758 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:06:39 -0700
Received: from p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 8037319E80B1 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:41:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id e9e76774.2609163184.132381.00-098.p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ruggoddes@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:06:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:02:09 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:06:37 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229170637.17229.34183.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: ruggoddes@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985533); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ruggoddes@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:06:39 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:57 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:57 PM
SMTPOriginator: ruggoddes@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:06:39 AM-12/29/2007 10:06:41 AM,12/29/2007 10:06:41 AM-12/29/2007 10:06:42 AM
\$Orig: DC287FEE23D1B7A4872573C0005DFE37
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:06:42 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Julia Owen
18575 Atlantic Street
Hesperia, CA 92345

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910063904-233757 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:06:39 -0700
Received: from p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4A9D119E80B1 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:41:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e9e76774.2557811632.1517.00-054.p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kaceyruth@hotmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:06:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:02:09 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:06:37 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229170637.17229.34181.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: kaceyruth@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <kaceyruth@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:06:39 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:58 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:58 PM
SMTPOriginator: kaceyruth@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:06:39 AM-12/29/2007 10:06:40 AM,12/29/2007 10:06:40 AM-12/29/2007 10:06:41 AM
\$Orig: 561CF1CCC998FF79872573C0005DFE20
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:06:41 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
KACEY Narracci
5885 W. 37th Place
Wheat Ridge, CO 80212

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122910063823-233756 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:06:38 -0700
Received: from p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 76AC419E80B1 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:40:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id d9e76774.2452913072.1517.00-054.p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <philrockey@hotmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:06:37 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:02:09 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:06:37 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229170637.17229.34179.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: philrockey@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0023237847; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.022(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <philrockey@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:06:38 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:58 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:58 PM
SMTPOriginator: philrockey@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:06:38 AM-12/29/2007 10:06:39 AM,12/29/2007 10:06:39 AM-12/29/2007 10:06:40 AM
\$Orig: 34980A7832917BE1872573C0005DFDCF
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:06:40 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Phil Rockey
547 Del Rio cir
oakdale, CA 95361

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122910043326-233744 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:04:33 -0700
Received: from p01c11m082.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 79F9819E80B1 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:38:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m082.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 02e76774.3558673328.79212.00-066.p01c11m082.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <beauregaardhooligan@peoplepc.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:04:32 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:00:04 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:04:32 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229170432.17229.34171.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: beauregaardhooligan@peoplepc.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011259464; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985651); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <beauregaardhooligan@peoplepc.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:04:33 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:58 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:58 PM
SMTPOriginator: beauregaardhooligan@peoplepc.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:04:33 AM-12/29/2007 10:04:34 AM,12/29/2007 10:04:35 AM-12/29/2007 10:04:36 AM
\$Orig: EA301A0472E543B4872573C0005DCCFE
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:04:36 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Edwin J. Martz
216-B E. Earle St.
Greenville, SC 29609

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122910022940-233727 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:02:29 -0700
Received: from p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 95A6C19E80B1 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:36:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 4ad76774.2497596336.74399.00-020.p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gadfly@ultrasw.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:02:28 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:57:59 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:02:27 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229170227.17229.34077.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: gadfly@ultrasw.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985533); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <gadfly@ultrasw.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:02:29 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:59 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:59 PM
SMTPOriginator: gadfly@ultrasw.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:02:29 AM-12/29/2007 10:02:30 AM,12/29/2007 10:02:31 AM-12/29/2007 10:02:31 AM
\$Orig: 631DD2927F82C086872573C0005D9C9C
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:02:31 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jack Foster
5250 N. Oracle Rd. #76
Tucson, AZ 85704-3782

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122910022846-233726 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:02:28 -0700
Received: from p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id A4C4C19E80B1 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:36:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 3ad76774.2455636912.74399.00-020.p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <oren@cox.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:02:27 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:57:59 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:02:27 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229170227.17229.34075.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: oren@cox.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985533); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <oren@cox.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:02:28 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:34:59 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:34:59 PM
SMTPOriginator: oren@cox.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:02:28 AM-12/29/2007 10:02:29 AM,12/29/2007 10:02:30 AM-12/29/2007 10:02:30 AM
\$Orig: 484D2E3D89B77EF0872573C0005D9C3E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:02:30 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
O.C. Oliveira
484 Bullocks Pt. Ave.
East Providence, RI 02915

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122910012823-233717 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:01:28 -0700
Received: from p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 67BD919E80B1 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:35:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 76d76774.2674326448.353625.00-114.p01c11m056.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gartrax@hotmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 10:01:27 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:56:59 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 10:01:27 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229170127.17229.34073.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: gartrax@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(10711298569); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <gartrax@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 10:01:28 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:00 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:00 PM
SMTPOriginator: gartrax@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 10:01:28 AM-12/29/2007 10:01:29 AM,12/29/2007 10:01:30 AM-12/29/2007 10:01:30 AM
\$Orig: E7E638703C20B3D5872573C0005D84B7
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 10:01:30 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
kim bauer
432e.lingard
lanc., CA 93535

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909582771-233691 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:58:27 -0700
Received: from p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D625519E80B1 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:32:48 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 3bc76774.2578967472.42178.00-077.p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <adam@arwolff.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:58:27 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:53:59 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:58:26 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229165826.17229.33989.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: adam@arwolff.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0013594461; B=0.500(0); S=0.013(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <adam@arwolff.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:58:27 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:00 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:00 PM
SMTPOriginator: adam@arwolff.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:58:27 AM-12/29/2007 09:58:28 AM,12/29/2007 09:58:29 AM-12/29/2007 09:58:29 AM
\$Orig: 4D4E3989AABD61A5872573C0005D3E33
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:58:29 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

As a citizen, a taxpayer, a voter and a Christian, I am dismayed and appalled to learn about the proposed designation of the Mexican gray wolf as "experimental non-essential."

The Fish and Wildlife Service has a sacred duty to protect America's biological diversity and ensure the survival of the natural majesty that God created for her. When corporate livestock interests ask you to upset the ballance of nature by suppressing predators such as the Mexican wolf, they ask you to abandon that duty.

I therefore ask you to develop a Conservation Alternative,

upgrading the legal status of the wolves to fully-protected, endangered status. Please do not include any alternative that would increase the destruction of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations.

The Conservation Alternative should:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration and God bless.

Sincerely,
Adam Wolff
83 Kirkwood Dr
West Seneca, NY 14224

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122909582522-233690 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:58:25 -0700
Received: from p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 5EFDD19E80B1 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:32:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 0bc76774.2683866032.42178.00-077.p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lilyofthefield@tampabay.rr.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:58:24 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:53:56 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:58:24 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229165824.17229.33985.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: lilyofthefield@tampabay.rr.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122921); R=0.092(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <lilyofthefield@tampabay.rr.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:58:25 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:01 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:01 PM
SMTPOriginator: lilyofthefield@tampabay.rr.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:58:25 AM-12/29/2007 09:58:26 AM,12/29/2007 09:58:27 AM-12/29/2007 09:58:27 AM
\$Orig: 866D351B64DF8BE3872573C0005D3D3A
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:58:27 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

I very much appreciate the opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves. Although I am not working in the field of wildlife ecology I am a biological scientist by education and experience. From what I have read it seems that Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. As scientists engaged in this work I know that you realize that the greater the lack of genetic diversity the less likely the longterm survival of the species. I can only assume that you are being pressured by non-scientist administrators

and/or local power holders to allow this to continue.

As you prepare the rule change please do not allow the loss of wolves by any means, whether it is government take or illegal poaching. The population needs to grow and increase genetic variability as much as possible.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nancy Lowell
11717 North Drive

Tampa, FL 33617

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909562318-233661 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:56:23 -0700
Received: from p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 5054619E80B1 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:30:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 63c76774.3138243504.26472.00-080.p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <suzannemls@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:56:22 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:51:54 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:56:22 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229165622.17229.33975.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: suzannemls@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122919); R=0.092(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <suzannemls@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:56:23 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:01 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:01 PM
SMTPOriginator: suzannemls@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:56:23 AM-12/29/2007 09:56:24 AM,12/29/2007 09:56:25 AM-12/29/2007 09:56:25 AM
\$Orig: 9190A81619094660872573C0005D0D8E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:56:25 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
suzanne schaem
71 2nd place
brooklyn, NY 11231

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909552407-233647 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:55:24 -0700
Received: from p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 31D4219E814C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:29:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id bfb76774.2608876464.75074.00-060.p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jolmez@hotmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:55:23 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:50:54 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:55:22 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229165522.17229.33973.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jolmez@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122919); R=0.092(10711298569); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jolmez@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:55:24 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:01 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:01 PM
SMTPOriginator: jolmez@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:55:24 AM-12/29/2007 09:55:24 AM,12/29/2007 09:55:25 AM-12/29/2007 09:55:25 AM
\$Orig: 31180F17EAAE6608872573C0005CF677
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:55:25 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Justine Olmez
50 James St
north attleboro, MA 02760

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122909552321-233646 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:55:23 -0700
Received: from p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 52DBB19E814C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:29:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id afb76774.2577406896.75074.00-060.p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <professorgunn@sbcglobal.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:55:22 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:50:54 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:55:22 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229165522.17229.33971.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: professorgunn@sbcglobal.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122919); R=0.092(10711298569); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <professorgunn@sbcglobal.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:55:23 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:02 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:02 PM
SMTPOriginator: professorgunn@sbcglobal.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:55:23 AM-12/29/2007 09:55:23 AM,12/29/2007 09:55:24 AM-12/29/2007 09:55:24 AM
\$Orig: 3C715092573DFC33872573C0005CF621
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:55:24 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nicholas Gunn
7420 Hollywood Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA 90046

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909532218-233611 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:53:22 -0700
Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4889A19E80B1 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:27:43 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 18b76774.2445441968.177554.00-039.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <aniceday@earthlink.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:53:21 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:48:53 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:53:21 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229165321.17229.33887.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: aniceday@earthlink.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122919); R=0.092(107112984737); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <aniceday@earthlink.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:53:22 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:02 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:02 PM
SMTPOriginator: aniceday@earthlink.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:53:22 AM-12/29/2007 09:53:23 AM,12/29/2007 09:53:23 AM-12/29/2007 09:53:24 AM
\$Orig: 7B0ECB9917AE78A4872573C0005CC6DC
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:53:24 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Paul McAlpine
580 West 215 Street
Apt. 4C
New York, NY 10034

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909532303-233612 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:53:23 -0700
Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1FFE619E80B1 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:27:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 28b76774.2571320240.177554.00-039.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dmedwards_33@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:53:22 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:48:53 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:53:21 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229165321.17229.33889.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: dmedwards_33@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122919); R=0.092(107112984737); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <dmedwards_33@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:53:23 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:02 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:02 PM
SMTPOriginator: dmedwards_33@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:53:23 AM-12/29/2007 09:53:23 AM,12/29/2007 09:53:23 AM-12/29/2007 09:53:24 AM
\$Orig: D4B85BCD5EC91891872573C0005CC72F
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:53:24 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dylan Edwards
936 Rose Arbor Dr
San Marcos, CA 92078

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909530684-233600 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:53:06 -0700
Received: from p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id E3DB619E80B1 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:27:27 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 27b76774.2482973616.117457.00-084.p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:53:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 29 Dec 2007 10:53:04 -0600
\$MessageID: <16649558.1198947184880.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:53:04 AM
From: crissy baker <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: crissy baker <curlygirl33428@yahoo.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM9944y@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001122165; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122919); R=0.009(10711298569); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:53:06 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:03 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:03 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:53:06 AM-12/29/2007 09:53:07 AM,12/29/2007 09:53:08 AM-12/29/2007 09:53:08 AM
\$Orig: 6A8134D18369B446872573C0005CC0DC
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:53:08 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. crissy baker
7308 Westwood Dr
Tamarac, FL 33321-2327

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909530331-233587 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:53:03 -0700
Received: from p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 631C119E80B1 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:27:24 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e6b76774.3455286192.101606.00-023.p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:53:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 29 Dec 2007 10:53:00 -0600
\$MessageID: <26387511.1198947180196.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:53:00 AM
From: Paula Mannell <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Paula Mannell <parkersofthesw@msn.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM999QK@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122919); R=0.009(107112985651); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:53:03 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:03 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:03 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:53:03 AM-12/29/2007 09:53:04 AM,12/29/2007 09:53:04 AM-12/29/2007 09:53:05 AM
\$Orig: 0EA382B542B0D95C872573C0005CBF7B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:53:05 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

We have only to look at Yellowstone National Park to see how the reintroduction of wolves into the ecosystem can help park officials correct many other imbalances which have flourished since the predators' disappearance. Because the wolves predate upon the

ever-increasing elk population in YNP, both the moose and the beaver (beaver completely gone from YNP until the wolf reintro) have made a strong comeback. This is due to the fact that the elk over-browse on the willow - necessary both for the moose and the beaver. The fox

population was extremely low in YNP because their natural competitors, the coyote, were too successful. The wolves have brought the coyote population back into balance as the coyote's "bigger" competitor and the fox are making a comeback as well. The fact that the wolves, in a pack, can bring down a sick or aging bison has increased the health of the bison herd as well as the other ungulate herds in YNP. Park officials admitted that they did not expect some of the population recoveries that occurred following the reintroduction of the wolves and say there is no other possible explanation for these recoveries.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Paula Mannell
1801
Tucson, AZ 85748-9801

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909530385-233588 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:53:03 -0700
Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id EAF6819E80B1 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:27:24 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f6b76774.3275103152.54848.00-157.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:53:03 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 29 Dec 2007 10:53:00 -0600
\$MessageID: <5986383.1198947180198.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:53:00 AM
From: Muir Mariner <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Muir Mariner <muirmariner1@juno.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM999Qe@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001785565; B=0.500(0); S=0.017(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122919); R=0.009(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:53:03 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:03 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:03 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:53:03 AM-12/29/2007 09:53:04 AM,12/29/2007 09:53:04 AM-12/29/2007 09:53:05 AM
\$Orig: E5B81F91EAA41A5A872573C0005CBFB1
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:53:05 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Muir Mariner
9420 E Golf Links Rd PMB 357
Tucson, AZ 85730-1355

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909522107-233571 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:52:21 -0700
Received: from p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2A75A19E80B1 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:26:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 44b76774.2472475568.94356.00-046.p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <tinkouse@msn.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:52:20 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:47:51 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:52:19 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229165219.17229.33877.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: tinkouse@msn.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122919); R=0.092(10711298569); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <tinkouse@msn.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:52:21 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:04 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:04 PM
SMTPOriginator: tinkouse@msn.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:52:21 AM-12/29/2007 09:52:22 AM,12/29/2007 09:52:22 AM-12/29/2007 09:52:23 AM
\$Orig: FEFB31A81931CB74872573C0005CAEFB
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:52:23 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Andrea Ouse
49208 N. 7TH AVE.
New River, AZ 85087

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909522023-233570 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:52:20 -0700
Received: from p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 5191F19E80B1 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:26:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 34b76774.2556394416.94356.00-046.p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <fcollier70@gmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:52:19 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:47:51 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:52:19 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229165219.17229.33875.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: fcollier70@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122919); R=0.092(10711298569); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <fcollier70@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:52:20 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:04 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:04 PM
SMTPOriginator: fcollier70@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:52:20 AM-12/29/2007 09:52:21 AM,12/29/2007 09:52:21 AM-12/29/2007 09:52:22 AM
\$Orig: 01DC44C9C0DA2CB4872573C0005CAEA9
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:52:22 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Fran Collier
926 Lombard St.
San Francisco, CA 94133

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909512110-233562 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:51:21 -0700
Received: from p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 270BB19E814C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:25:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 80b76774.3496020912.9158.00-029.p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <glokwest@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:51:20 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:46:51 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:51:19 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229165119.17229.33867.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: glokwest@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122919); R=0.092(107112993146); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <glokwest@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:51:21 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:04 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:04 PM
SMTPOriginator: glokwest@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:51:21 AM-12/29/2007 09:51:23 AM,12/29/2007 09:51:23 AM-12/29/2007 09:51:24 AM
\$Orig: 0D043B341777D036872573C0005C978E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:51:24 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Gloria Kwast
1097 State St.sp531
Hemet, CA 92543

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122909512131-233564 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:51:21 -0700
Received: from p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 59C1119E814E for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:25:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 80b76774.2644405168.45624.00-075.p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <richardson_pete@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:51:20 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:46:51 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:51:19 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229165119.17229.33869.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: richardson_pete@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122919); R=0.092(107112985642); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <richardson_pete@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:51:21 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:05 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:05 PM
SMTPOriginator: richardson_pete@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:51:21 AM-12/29/2007 09:51:22 AM,12/29/2007 09:51:23 AM-12/29/2007 09:51:24 AM
\$Orig: 357412972C90B60E872573C0005C97A3
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:51:24 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

Thanks for the opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves.

The new rule should comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Pete Richardson
10 White Oak Dr Apt 113
Exeter, NH 03833

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909512214-233566 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:51:22 -0700
Received: from p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2E8DE19E814E for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:25:43 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 90b76774.2654895024.45624.00-075.p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <emicarr@hotmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:51:21 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:46:51 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:51:19 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229165119.17229.33873.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: emicarr@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122919); R=0.092(107112985642); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <emicarr@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:51:22 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:05 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:05 PM
SMTPOriginator: emicarr@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:51:22 AM-12/29/2007 09:51:23 AM,12/29/2007 09:51:23 AM-12/29/2007 09:51:24 AM
\$Orig: 985D2D0501BFD002872573C0005C97F7
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:51:24 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Emily Carr
9511 Applewood Circle
Crestwood, KY 40014

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909512198-233565 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:51:21 -0700
Received: from p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 050F019E814C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:25:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 90b76774.3653368752.9158.00-029.p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <liz_klinzman@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:51:21 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:46:51 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:51:19 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229165119.17229.33871.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: liz_klinzman@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122919); R=0.092(107112993146); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <liz_klinzman@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:51:22 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:05 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:05 PM
SMTPOriginator: liz_klinzman@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:51:22 AM-12/29/2007 09:51:23 AM,12/29/2007 09:51:23 AM-12/29/2007 09:51:24 AM
\$Orig: 76F85512F4C573A3872573C0005C97E8
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:51:24 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
liz klinzman
461 Fresno Ave
Morro Bay, CA 93442

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909512025-233561 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:51:20 -0700
Received: from p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4C29419E814C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:25:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 70b76774.3433081776.9158.00-029.p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <maryeb123@hotmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:51:19 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:46:51 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:51:19 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229165119.17229.33865.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: maryeb123@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122919); R=0.092(107112993146); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <maryeb123@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:51:20 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:06 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:06 PM
SMTPOriginator: maryeb123@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:51:20 AM-12/29/2007 09:51:21 AM,12/29/2007 09:51:21 AM-12/29/2007 09:51:22 AM
\$Orig: 35D151355BF87A25872573C0005C9739
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:51:22 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mary Baechle
60 Timber Terrace
Cary, IL 60013-1555

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909481977-233538 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:48:19 -0700
Received: from p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C750C19E814C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:22:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 35a76774.2537446320.85702.00-119.p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <karadione@swbell.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:48:19 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:43:49 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:48:17 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229164817.17229.33767.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: karadione@swbell.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122918); R=0.092(107112985523); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <karadione@swbell.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:48:19 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:06 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:06 PM
SMTPOriginator: karadione@swbell.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:48:19 AM-12/29/2007 09:48:21 AM,12/29/2007 09:48:21 AM-12/29/2007 09:48:21 AM
\$Orig: 5169ABCCF8ACCB2A872573C0005C50B9
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:48:21 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Glenna Juilfs
5391 state hwy 276 W
Royse City, TX 75189-5739

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909481891-233536 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:48:18 -0700
Received: from p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id ECCFA19E814E for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:22:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 25a76774.2558426032.85702.00-119.p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lildan15@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:48:18 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:43:49 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:48:17 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229164817.17229.33763.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: lildan15@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122918); R=0.092(107112985523); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <lildan15@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:48:18 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:06 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:06 PM
SMTPOriginator: lildan15@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:48:18 AM-12/29/2007 09:48:20 AM,12/29/2007 09:48:20 AM-12/29/2007 09:48:21 AM
\$Orig: 2F49E77C921A105C872573C0005C5065
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:48:21 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
D. Meier
924 West 12th Street
Cedar Falls, IA 50613

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122909481910-233537 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:48:19 -0700
Received: from p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 277E019E814C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:22:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 25a76774.2481699760.87646.00-034.p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dknipp@rocketmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:48:18 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:43:49 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:48:17 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229164817.17229.33765.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: dknipp@rocketmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122919); R=0.092(107112985326); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <dknipp@rocketmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:48:19 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:07 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:07 PM
SMTPOriginator: dknipp@rocketmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:48:19 AM-12/29/2007 09:48:20 AM,12/29/2007 09:48:20 AM-12/29/2007 09:48:20 AM
\$Orig: 04B9CE667D1920CD872573C0005C5076
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:48:20 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Donna Knipp
"60 Seaman Ave., #2E"
New York, NY 10034

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909481809-233535 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:48:18 -0700
Received: from p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 22DBD19E814C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:22:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 15a76774.2453527472.85702.00-119.p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <carrpenter@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:48:17 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:43:49 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:48:17 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229164817.17229.33761.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: carrpenter@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122918); R=0.092(107112985523); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <carrpenter@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:48:18 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:07 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:07 PM
SMTPOriginator: carrpenter@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:48:18 AM-12/29/2007 09:48:19 AM,12/29/2007 09:48:19 AM-12/29/2007 09:48:19 AM
\$Orig: 3D4057354DFCD6AD872573C0005C5011
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:48:19 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Michel Wingard
2915 English Rd
Farmington, NM 87402

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909441294-233500 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:44:12 -0700
Received: from p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id E947C19E814E for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:18:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id c5976774.3108461488.129385.00-007.p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <tnplapoint@msn.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:44:12 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:39:42 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:44:10 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229164410.17229.33629.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: tnplapoint@msn.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122918); R=0.092(107112985651); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <tnplapoint@msn.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:44:12 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:07 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:07 PM
SMTPOriginator: tnplapoint@msn.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:44:12 AM-12/29/2007 09:44:14 AM,12/29/2007 09:44:14 AM-12/29/2007 09:44:14 AM
\$Orig: E1035DC49E7EAB59872573C0005BF04E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:44:14 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

I urge you to develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be

required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Peggy La Point
1900 Highland Park Cir.
Denton, TX 76205-6932

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909441213-233496 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:44:12 -0700
Received: from p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 20BE519E814C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:18:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id b5976774.3632954288.129385.00-007.p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <eibeads@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:44:11 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:39:42 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:44:10 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229164410.17229.33625.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: eibeads@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122918); R=0.092(107112985651); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <eibeads@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:44:12 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:08 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:08 PM
SMTPOriginator: eibeads@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:44:12 AM-12/29/2007 09:44:13 AM,12/29/2007 09:44:13 AM-12/29/2007 09:44:13 AM
\$Orig: 8B76346048421A4A872573C0005BEFFD
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:44:13 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Paula von Weller
89770 Manion Drive
Warrenton, OR 97146

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122909441227-233497 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:44:12 -0700
Received: from p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 446DD19E814E for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:18:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id b5976774.2464508848.32578.00-053.p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jaygirl760@hotmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:44:11 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:39:42 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:44:10 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229164410.17229.33627.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jaygirl760@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122918); R=0.092(107112985645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jaygirl760@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:44:12 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:08 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:08 PM
SMTPOriginator: jaygirl760@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:44:12 AM-12/29/2007 09:44:13 AM,12/29/2007 09:44:13 AM-12/29/2007 09:44:13 AM
\$Orig: B86988AE8E5062DE872573C0005BF00B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:44:13 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jerrille Tarectecan
64 Clark St.
Dumont, NJ 07628

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122909441125-233495 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:44:11 -0700
Received: from p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 3FD9F19E814E for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:18:32 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id a5976774.3192380336.129385.00-007.p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <miweng@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:44:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:39:42 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:44:10 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229164410.17229.33623.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: miweng@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122918); R=0.092(107112985651); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <miweng@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:44:11 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:08 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:08 PM
SMTPOriginator: miweng@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:44:11 AM-12/29/2007 09:44:12 AM,12/29/2007 09:44:12 AM-12/29/2007 09:44:12 AM
\$Orig: 943F38657A4A288C872573C0005BEFA5
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:44:12 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Michael %pa_first_name% Iris Weng
2625 E. Sahuaro Dr.
Phoenix, AZ 85028-2539

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909430766-233488 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:43:07 -0700
Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id A1AC819E814C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:17:28 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id b1976774.3096775600.31583.00-047.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ireland17@charter.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:43:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:38:37 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:43:05 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229164305.17229.33577.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: ireland17@charter.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122918); R=0.092(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ireland17@charter.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:43:07 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:09 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:09 PM
SMTPOriginator: ireland17@charter.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:43:07 AM-12/29/2007 09:43:09 AM,12/29/2007 09:43:09 AM-12/29/2007 09:43:10 AM
\$Orig: 3B7EDE4D6840793B872573C0005BD6CE
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:43:10 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Holly Chisholm
183 Ora
Oxford, MI 48371

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909430789-233489 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:43:07 -0700
Received: from p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id DB5FE19E814C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:17:28 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id b1976774.3537349552.102210.00-078.p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gymkhanafan@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:43:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:38:37 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:43:05 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229164305.17229.33579.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: Gymkhanafan@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122918); R=0.092(107112993146); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <gymkhanafan@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:43:07 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:09 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:09 PM
SMTPOriginator: gymkhanafan@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:43:07 AM-12/29/2007 09:43:09 AM,12/29/2007 09:43:09 AM-12/29/2007 09:43:10 AM
\$Orig: 661C7D62EFAA8960872573C0005BD6E5
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:43:10 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Michele Easel
5556 Rutile St.
Riverside, CA 92509

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909430705-233487 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:43:07 -0700
Received: from p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0CF9319E814C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:17:27 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id a1976774.3380001712.102210.00-078.p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <w-bruun@sbcglobal.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:43:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:38:37 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:43:05 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229164305.17229.33575.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: w-bruun@sbcglobal.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0035161693; B=0.500(0); S=0.033(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122918); R=0.092(107112993146); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <w-bruun@sbcglobal.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:43:07 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:10 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:10 PM
SMTPOriginator: w-bruun@sbcglobal.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:43:07 AM-12/29/2007 09:43:08 AM,12/29/2007 09:43:08 AM-12/29/2007 09:43:09 AM
\$Orig: 79C8F31D82C9B210872573C0005BD691
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:43:09 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Walter Bruun
18 Kenilworth Ave.
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909430685-233486 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:43:06 -0700
Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id CE7F319E814C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:17:27 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id a1976774.3474410416.31583.00-047.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ivytreel23@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:43:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:38:37 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:43:05 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229164305.17229.33573.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: ivytreel23@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122918); R=0.092(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ivytreel23@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:43:06 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:10 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:10 PM
SMTPOriginator: ivytreel23@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:43:06 AM-12/29/2007 09:43:08 AM,12/29/2007 09:43:08 AM-12/29/2007 09:43:09 AM
\$Orig: 8FA427BFA2E7AE3E872573C0005BD67D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:43:09 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
June Snyder
1381 Bosworth Place
Columbus, OH 43229

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909430602-233485 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:43:06 -0700
Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 05BDF19E814C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:17:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 91976774.3233143728.31583.00-047.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lauracarver@satx.rr.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:43:05 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:38:37 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:43:05 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229164305.17229.33571.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: lauracarver@satx.rr.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122918); R=0.092(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <lauracarver@satx.rr.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:43:06 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:11 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:11 PM
SMTPOriginator: lauracarver@satx.rr.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:43:06 AM-12/29/2007 09:43:07 AM,12/29/2007 09:43:07 AM-12/29/2007 09:43:08 AM
\$Orig: 6F810D2F82A5E364872573C0005BD62A
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:43:08 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
laura carver
5114 oldstead dr
san antonio, TX 78228

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909380241-233457 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:38:02 -0700
Received: from p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 563BF19E8028 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:12:23 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 9e776774.3296930736.25419.00-081.p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <norquay@cableone.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:38:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:33:31 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:37:59 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229163759.17229.33533.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: norquay@cableone.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0041771919; B=0.500(0); S=0.039(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <norquay@cableone.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:38:02 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:11 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:11 PM
SMTPOriginator: norquay@cableone.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:38:02 AM-12/29/2007 09:38:04 AM,12/29/2007 09:38:04 AM-12/29/2007 09:38:05 AM
\$Orig: E5621F4853A74713872573C0005B5F91
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:38:05 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Barbara Jacobs
199 n. french dr.
Prescott, AZ 86303

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909380153-233454 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:38:01 -0700
Received: from p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7517A19E8028 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:12:22 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 8e776774.3643095984.25419.00-081.p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jim.earel@gmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:38:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:33:31 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:37:59 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229163759.17229.33529.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jim.earel@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jim.earel@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:38:01 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:12 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:12 PM
SMTPOriginator: jim.earel@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:38:01 AM-12/29/2007 09:38:03 AM,12/29/2007 09:38:03 AM-12/29/2007 09:38:04 AM
\$Orig: 8F1CD83B19F10631872573C0005B5F39
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:38:04 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
James Earel
225 May St.
LeClaire, IA 52753

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909380169-233455 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:38:01 -0700
Received: from p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9A09719E814C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:12:22 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 9e776774.2444123056.24683.00-062.p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <barrybarnhill@cox.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:38:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:33:31 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:37:59 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229163759.17229.33531.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: barrybarnhill@cox.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122917); R=0.092(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <barrybarnhill@cox.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:38:01 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:12 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:12 PM
SMTPOriginator: barrybarnhill@cox.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:38:01 AM-12/29/2007 09:38:03 AM,12/29/2007 09:38:03 AM-12/29/2007 09:38:04 AM
\$Orig: B7F495783125A2D2872573C0005B5F49
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:38:04 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
barry barnhill
107 Montara Dr.
Aliso Viejo, CA 92656

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909380066-233453 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:38:00 -0700
Received: from p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 92D9719E8028 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:12:21 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 7e776774.3370359728.25419.00-081.p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <terrigan@msn.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:37:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:33:31 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:37:59 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229163759.17229.33527.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: terrigan@msn.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <terrigan@msn.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:38:00 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:12 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:12 PM
SMTPOriginator: terrigan@msn.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:38:00 AM-12/29/2007 09:38:02 AM,12/29/2007 09:38:02 AM-12/29/2007 09:38:03 AM
\$Orig: 2C765A97899A2129872573C0005B5EE2
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:38:03 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

It's an urgent responsibility to revive the Mexican gray wolf population. This species is far more endangered than is currently acknowledged.

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the

population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Theresa Galvin
304 Carroll Street
Brooklyn, NY 11231

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909345811-233432 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:34:58 -0700
Received: from p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 060D219E8028 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:09:18 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 13776774.3631246256.10641.00-110.p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <tucsonwildlife@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:34:57 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:30:28 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:34:56 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229163456.17229.33361.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: tucsonwildlife@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112993146); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <tucsonwildlife@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:34:58 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:13 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:13 PM
SMTPOriginator: tucsonwildlife@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:34:58 AM-12/29/2007 09:34:59 AM,12/29/2007 09:34:59 AM-12/29/2007 09:35:00 AM
\$Orig: 174B92E082D4B7D0872573C0005B1795
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:35:00 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

The reintroduction of the wolves (4 packs as of our summer vacation) into Yellowstone National Park is a great example of the many benefits of restoring wolves into our wilderness areas. The wolves predation upon elk (oversized population in YNP) improved willow browse which helped the moose numbers and caused the absent beaver population to return to YNP, a surprise to park officials and rangers! The wolves have also restored the coyote and fox populations in YNP to better numbers (coyotes have decreased and fox have increased). This is all good - why can't we do it in the Southwest?

With carbon impact becoming more and more of an issue with each passing day, the pressures on the beef industry are only going to increase. Should we sacrifice wolf introduction to appease an industry which may or may not exist in 20 years? I know this

argument is very speculative - yet it occurs to me to bring it up.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Paula Mannell and Retired CFO
1801 N Wentworth Road
Tucson, AZ 85749

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909345724-233431 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:34:57 -0700
Received: from p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2565219E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:09:18 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 03776774.3127733168.10641.00-110.p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jan_duran@hotmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:34:56 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:30:28 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:34:56 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229163456.17229.33359.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jan_duran@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112993146); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jan_duran@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:34:57 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:13 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:13 PM
SMTPOriginator: jan_duran@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:34:57 AM-12/29/2007 09:34:58 AM,12/29/2007 09:34:58 AM-12/29/2007 09:34:59 AM
\$Orig: 41251F4B38A36916872573C0005B173D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:34:59 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
jan duran
136 4th st
New York, NY 10012

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909335834-233427 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:33:58 -0700
Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 08EB819E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:08:18 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 5f676774.3400981424.10552.00-057.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <poetrader@hotmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:33:57 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:29:28 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:33:56 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229163356.17229.33357.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: poetrader@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0044701547; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.042(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <poetrader@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:33:58 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:14 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:14 PM
SMTPOriginator: poetrader@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:33:58 AM-12/29/2007 09:33:59 AM,12/29/2007 09:33:59 AM-12/29/2007 09:34:00 AM
\$Orig: A5B64C38800EF677872573C0005B003A
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:34:00 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

As a taxpayer, I would rather you used your office, which I pay for, to assist in the preservation of species rather than in the preservation of hunters' or ranchers' rights. The taxpayer already provides a welfare system via the BLM for ranchers to graze at ridiculously low rates. Hunting is a purely optional passtime. Animals like the Mexican wolf should be the primary responsibility of your bureau. Their maintenance and that of their habitat is more important than short-term goals such as livestock preservation (cows are raised everywhere!) or hunting pleasures. Please do what the majority of the American people want - protect the environment and wild places and denizens, not the special interests.

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Anne Valdez
129 Southwood Oaks Dr
Floresville, TX 78114

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909335725-233426 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:33:57 -0700
Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 251B319E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:08:18 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 4f676774.3180694448.10552.00-057.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <canningcom@harborside.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:33:56 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:29:28 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:33:56 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229163356.17229.33355.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: canningcom@harborside.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <canningcom@harborside.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:33:57 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:14 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:14 PM
SMTPOriginator: canningcom@harborside.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:33:57 AM-12/29/2007 09:33:58 AM,12/29/2007 09:33:58 AM-12/29/2007 09:33:59 AM
\$Orig: 55D58E8B32120D50872573C0005AFFCD
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:33:59 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Stephen Canning
PO Box 370
41700 Chinook Way
Port Orford, OR 97465

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909325506-233411 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:32:55 -0700
Received: from p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id E6C0C19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:07:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 6b676774.3474893744.448345.00-034.p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <greenlionone@comcast.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:32:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:28:23 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:32:51 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229163251.17229.33339.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: greenlionone@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122918); R=0.092(107112985651); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <greenlionone@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:32:55 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:14 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:14 PM
SMTPOriginator: greenlionone@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:32:55 AM-12/29/2007 09:32:56 AM,12/29/2007 09:32:56 AM-12/29/2007 09:32:57 AM
\$Orig: E33D8E43C7C8E643872573C0005AE782
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:32:57 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Peter Wash
5870 Thunder Hill Rd
Columbia, MD 21045

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909325430-233408 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:32:54 -0700
Received: from p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2C2E219E814B for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:07:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 5b676774.2686364592.2771.00-124.p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <fatcatinalittlecoat@hotmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:32:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:28:23 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:32:51 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229163251.17229.33333.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: fatcatinalittlecoat@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122917); R=0.092(107112985642); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <fatcatinalittlecoat@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:32:54 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:15 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:15 PM
SMTPOriginator: fatcatinalittlecoat@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:32:54 AM-12/29/2007 09:32:55 AM,12/29/2007 09:32:55 AM-12/29/2007 09:32:56 AM
\$Orig: 94D3CACAA50A0A0F872573C0005AE736
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:32:56 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Christy White
116 West Highland Dr.
Apt # 32
Ely, NV 89301

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909325417-233407 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:32:54 -0700
Received: from p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1054619E8028 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:07:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 5b676774.3107748784.448345.00-034.p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <finlays57701@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:32:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:28:23 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:32:51 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229163251.17229.33335.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: finlays57701@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122918); R=0.092(107112985651); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <finlays57701@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:32:54 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:15 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:15 PM
SMTPOriginator: finlays57701@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:32:54 AM-12/29/2007 09:32:55 AM,12/29/2007 09:32:55 AM-12/29/2007 09:32:56 AM
\$Orig: 7CFD5D693FE6F88A872573C0005AE72B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:32:56 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Heather Finlayson
165 N. 100 E. apt. #1
Vernal, UT 84078

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909325438-233409 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:32:54 -0700
Received: from p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3ED8919E814C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:07:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 5b676774.2519456688.85510.00-092.p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lorabird@msn.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:32:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:28:23 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:32:51 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229163251.17229.33337.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: lorabird@msn.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122917); R=0.092(107112985645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <lorabird@msn.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:32:54 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:15 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:15 PM
SMTPOriginator: lorabird@msn.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:32:54 AM-12/29/2007 09:32:55 AM,12/29/2007 09:32:55 AM-12/29/2007 09:32:56 AM
\$Orig: 7288E534A00F5DD7872573C0005AE73E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:32:56 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lora Smith
PO Box 307
Bunnell, FL 32110

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122909325333-233406 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:32:53 -0700
Received: from p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 35AA219E814B for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:07:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 4b676774.3139218352.448345.00-034.p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <crazy4violin@verizon.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:32:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:28:23 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:32:51 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229163251.17229.33331.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: crazy4violin@verizon.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122918); R=0.092(107112985651); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <crazy4violin@verizon.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:32:53 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:16 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:16 PM
SMTPOriginator: crazy4violin@verizon.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:32:53 AM-12/29/2007 09:32:54 AM,12/29/2007 09:32:54 AM-12/29/2007 09:32:55 AM
\$Orig: A9BEEEDDE5F69CA1E872573C0005AE6D6
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:32:55 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sarah Atwood
P.O. Box 126
Spofford, NH 03462

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909325317-233405 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:32:53 -0700
Received: from p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1021F19E8028 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:07:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 4b676774.2602445744.2771.00-124.p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <smz61@copper.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:32:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:28:23 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:32:51 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229163251.17229.33329.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: smz61@copper.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122917); R=0.092(107112985642); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <smz61@copper.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:32:53 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:16 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:16 PM
SMTPOriginator: smz61@copper.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:32:53 AM-12/29/2007 09:32:54 AM,12/29/2007 09:32:54 AM-12/29/2007 09:32:55 AM
\$Orig: 84483C820495CE01872573C0005AE6C7
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:32:55 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sue Zimmerman
8983 Deer Creek Rd
Portville, NY 14770

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909325224-233404 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:32:52 -0700
Received: from p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1BEAB19E8028 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:07:13 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 3b676774.2602445744.2771.00-124.p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <godmetal@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:32:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:28:23 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:32:51 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229163251.17229.33327.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: godmetal@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122917); R=0.092(107112985642); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <godmetal@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:32:52 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:16 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:16 PM
SMTPOriginator: godmetal@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:32:52 AM-12/29/2007 09:32:53 AM,12/29/2007 09:32:53 AM-12/29/2007 09:32:54 AM
\$Orig: D50BCB8989E529A3872573C0005AE668
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:32:54 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Doug Shohan
95 Via Maria
Lee, MA 01238

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909285202-233376 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:28:52 -0700
Received: from p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D45CA19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:03:12 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 3c576774.2444176304.29798.00-045.p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <harkey@zianet.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:28:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:24:22 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:28:50 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229162850.17229.33227.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: harkey@zianet.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122917); R=0.092(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <harkey@zianet.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:28:52 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:17 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:17 PM
SMTPOriginator: harkey@zianet.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:28:52 AM-12/29/2007 09:28:53 AM,12/29/2007 09:28:53 AM-12/29/2007 09:28:54 AM
\$Orig: F704CC1EB36EA6C0872573C0005A8892
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:28:54 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
harkey@zianet.com Harkey
3201 Bowman
Las Cruces, NM 88005

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122909285221-233377 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:28:52 -0700
Received: from p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 0CFEB19E8028 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:03:12 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 3c576774.2425047984.76131.00-028.p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lihhi@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:28:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:24:22 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:28:50 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229162850.17229.33229.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: Lihhi@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122917); R=0.092(107112985645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <lihhi@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:28:52 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:17 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:17 PM
SMTPOriginator: lihhi@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:28:52 AM-12/29/2007 09:28:53 AM,12/29/2007 09:28:53 AM-12/29/2007 09:28:54 AM
\$Orig: 926EC85C15834194872573C0005A88A5
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:28:54 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jay Gassman
"1919 Middle Country Road, Suite 201"
Centereach, NY 11720

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909285120-233375 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:28:51 -0700
Received: from p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0995E19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:03:11 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 2c576774.2643483568.29798.00-045.p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <alliembraurer@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:28:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:24:22 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:28:50 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229162850.17229.33225.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: alliembraurer@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122917); R=0.092(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <alliembraurer@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:28:51 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:17 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:17 PM
SMTPOriginator: alliembraurer@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:28:51 AM-12/29/2007 09:28:52 AM,12/29/2007 09:28:52 AM-12/29/2007 09:28:53 AM
\$Orig: 17A90CB623D63365872573C0005A8840
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:28:53 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Allie Baurer
135 Woodpecker Road
Jenkintown, PA 19046

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909274887-233366 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:27:48 -0700
Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id AD46D19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:02:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 48576774.2581810096.118525.00-030.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <vistamichael@gmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:27:48 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:23:18 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:27:46 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229162746.17229.33193.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: vistamichael@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112984737); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <vistamichael@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:27:48 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:18 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:18 PM
SMTPOriginator: vistamichael@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:27:48 AM-12/29/2007 09:27:50 AM,12/29/2007 09:27:50 AM-12/29/2007 09:27:50 AM
\$Orig: 83A54833C8787B74872573C0005A6FE7
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:27:50 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Vista Michael
PO Box 806
Sonoita, AZ 85637

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909274804-233364 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:27:48 -0700
Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D3E6219E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:02:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 38576774.2550340528.118525.00-030.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <carmensb@msn.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:27:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:23:18 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:27:46 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229162746.17229.33189.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: carmensb@msn.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112984737); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <carmensb@msn.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:27:48 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:18 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:18 PM
SMTPOriginator: carmensb@msn.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:27:48 AM-12/29/2007 09:27:49 AM,12/29/2007 09:27:49 AM-12/29/2007 09:27:49 AM
\$Orig: 8B543AD5F3EE5EE5872573C0005A6F94
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:27:49 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Steve Blakely
1439 Windjammer Loop
LUTZ, FL 33559-6734

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909274821-233365 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:27:48 -0700
Received: from p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id OCD3D19E8028 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:02:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 38576774.2645334960.73688.00-107.p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <neezluce@comcast.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:27:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:23:18 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:27:46 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229162746.17229.33191.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: neezluce@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <neezluce@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:27:48 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:18 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:18 PM
SMTPOriginator: neezluce@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:27:48 AM-12/29/2007 09:27:49 AM,12/29/2007 09:27:49 AM-12/29/2007 09:27:49 AM
\$Orig: 5FF4E448527B3946872573C0005A6FA5
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:27:49 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
William Haggerty
313 Brookfield Circle
East Lansing, MI 48823

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122909274720-233363 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:27:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 079DC19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:02:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 28576774.2571320240.118525.00-030.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kbernson@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:27:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:23:18 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:27:46 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229162746.17229.33187.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: kbernson@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112984737); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <kbernson@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:27:47 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:19 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:19 PM
SMTPOriginator: kbernson@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:27:47 AM-12/29/2007 09:27:48 AM,12/29/2007 09:27:48 AM-12/29/2007 09:27:48 AM
\$Orig: 655210607B91D629872573C0005A6F40
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:27:48 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kathryn Bernson
PO Box 422
Narrowsburg, NY 12764

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909254618-233354 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:25:46 -0700
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0041D19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:00:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 90576774.3548715952.316523.00-028.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <desertbunny@frontiernet.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:25:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:21:16 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:25:44 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229162544.17229.33179.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: desertbunny@frontiernet.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985651); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <desertbunny@frontiernet.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:25:46 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:19 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:19 PM
SMTPOriginator: desertbunny@frontiernet.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:25:46 AM-12/29/2007 09:25:47 AM,12/29/2007 09:25:47 AM-12/29/2007 09:25:48 AM
\$Orig: F289ECC920A890C9872573C0005A3FFC
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:25:48 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Patti Ingram
8746 Stockton Hill Rd #86
Kingman, AZ 86409

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909254631-233355 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:25:46 -0700
Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1E64F19E8028 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:00:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 90576774.2403482544.113986.00-146.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <veriditas@gmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:25:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:21:16 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:25:44 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229162544.17229.33181.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: veriditas@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112984737); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <veriditas@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:25:46 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:19 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:19 PM
SMTPOriginator: veriditas@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:25:46 AM-12/29/2007 09:25:47 AM,12/29/2007 09:25:47 AM-12/29/2007 09:25:48 AM
\$Orig: 432BD2165C0B3DD3872573C0005A4008
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:25:48 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Victoria Knight
P.O. Box 1359
4186 Abbey Court
Haines City, FL 33845

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122909254529-233353 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:25:45 -0700
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 17F8F19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:00:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 80576774.3643124656.316523.00-028.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mkdyas@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:25:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:21:16 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:25:44 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229162544.17229.33177.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: mkdyas@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985651); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <mkdyas@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:25:45 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:20 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:20 PM
SMTPOriginator: mkdyas@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:25:45 AM-12/29/2007 09:25:46 AM,12/29/2007 09:25:46 AM-12/29/2007 09:25:47 AM
\$Orig: E9BDDEBCD00D88D2872573C0005A3FA1
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:25:47 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Melissa Dyas
26 East Fourth Street
Bloomsburg, PA 17815

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122909234323-233338 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:23:43 -0700
Received: from p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 0660619E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:58:03 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id e8476774.2672876464.53878.00-117.p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <walljanetm@msn.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:23:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:17:10 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:21:38 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229162138.17229.33069.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: walljanetm@msn.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <walljanetm@msn.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:23:43 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:20 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:20 PM
SMTPOriginator: walljanetm@msn.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:23:43 AM-12/29/2007 09:23:44 AM,12/29/2007 09:23:44 AM-12/29/2007 09:23:45 AM
\$Orig: 45DA15B16DD6CD74872573C0005A0FF4
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:23:45 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Janet Wall
1901 Tamarack St.
Thousand Oaks, CA 91361