

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909230640-233334 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:23:06 -0700
Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2E7DA19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:57:27 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 96476774.2473163696.49557.00-122.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:23:05 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 29 Dec 2007 10:22:59 -0600
\$MessageID: <7166616.1198945379395.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:22:59 AM
From: Elizabeth Hunter <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Elizabeth Hunter <mehunter7@aol.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM999Mt@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001679478; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.016(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.009(107112985523); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:23:06 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:20 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:20 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:23:06 AM-12/29/2007 09:23:08 AM,12/29/2007 09:23:08 AM-12/29/2007 09:23:09 AM
\$Orig: 98D373B8F2D2217C872573C0005A0192
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:23:09 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Hunter
1125 W Willetta St
Phoenix, AZ 85007-1900

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909230607-233332 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:23:06 -0700
Received: from p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D1C3D19E8028 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:57:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 96476774.2654354352.19357.00-006.p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:23:05 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 29 Dec 2007 10:22:59 -0600
\$MessageID: <15028955.1198945379369.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:22:59 AM
From: Kerry Boyle <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Kerry Boyle <kerry.boyle@cox.net>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM999MM@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001665368; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.016(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.009(107112985523); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:23:06 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:21 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:21 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:23:06 AM-12/29/2007 09:23:08 AM,12/29/2007 09:23:08 AM-12/29/2007 09:23:09 AM
\$Orig: 1992870EE511C745872573C0005A016F
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:23:09 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kerry Boyle
1355 E Clark Dr
Gilbert, AZ 85297-6879

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909230604-233331 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:23:06 -0700
Received: from p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C92BF19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:57:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 96476774.2643557296.79105.00-160.p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:23:05 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 29 Dec 2007 10:22:59 -0600
\$MessageID: <30842142.1198945379366.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:22:59 AM
From: Aaron Kirtz <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Aaron Kirtz <kirtz@yahoo.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM999ME@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0003389489; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.032(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.009(107112985645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:23:06 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:21 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:21 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:23:06 AM-12/29/2007 09:23:07 AM,12/29/2007 09:23:07 AM-12/29/2007 09:23:08 AM
\$Orig: ACD69F6992D18F85872573C0005A016C
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:23:08 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Aaron Kirtz
1143 30th Rd
Astoria, NY 11102-4032

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122909230393-233319 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:23:03 -0700
Received: from p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id AB50B19E8147 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:57:24 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 76476774.2612394928.19357.00-006.p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:23:03 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 10:22:59 -0600
\$MessageID: <31609241.1198945379016.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:22:59 AM
From: Jen Hogan <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Jen Hogan <jen.hogan@gmail.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM999y4@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.009(107112985523); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:23:03 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:21 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:21 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:23:03 AM-12/29/2007 09:23:05 AM,12/29/2007 09:23:05 AM-12/29/2007 09:23:06 AM
\$Orig: 78586AE2AE1C4631872573C0005A0099
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:23:06 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Miss Jen Hogan
1100 Leavenworth St Apt 4
San Francisco, CA 94109-4083

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122909230240-233312 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:23:02 -0700
Received: from p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 2D4CF19E8147 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:57:23 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 56476774.2445179824.19006.00-006.p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:23:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 10:22:58 -0600
\$MessageID: <7826955.1198945378532.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:22:58 AM
From: Edward Paul Hawley <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Edward Paul Hawley <phawley5@cox.net>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM999en@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001958183; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.019(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.009(107112985645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:23:02 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:22 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:22 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:23:02 AM-12/29/2007 09:23:04 AM,12/29/2007 09:23:04 AM-12/29/2007 09:23:04 AM
\$Orig: 1FD84BE263A8787C872573C0005A0000
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:23:04 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Edward Paul Hawley
4302 E Bellevue St Apt C
Tucson, AZ 85712-4638

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909230109-233305 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:23:01 -0700
Received: from p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D35B519E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:57:21 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 46476774.2580925360.19166.00-006.p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:23:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 29 Dec 2007 10:22:58 -0600
\$MessageID: <28946107.1198945378074.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:22:58 AM
From: Elan Jagger <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Elan Jagger <elanjagger@msn.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: HONOR THE PROMISES OF THE ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT!!
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM999994@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.009(107112985523); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:23:01 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:22 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:22 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:23:01 AM-12/29/2007 09:23:03 AM,12/29/2007 09:23:03 AM-12/29/2007 09:23:03 AM
\$Orig: 12DD0A9E6A44BD5A872573C00059FF7D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:23:03 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Dr. Elan Jagger
21 Little Horse Ln
Sedona, AZ 86336-7122

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122909230168-233308 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:23:01 -0700
Received: from p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 7082519E8147 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:57:22 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 56476774.2434689968.19006.00-006.p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:23:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 10:22:58 -0600
\$MessageID: <9232601.1198945378335.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:22:58 AM
From: Richard Doyle <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Richard Doyle <doyler2d2@aol.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nM9994M@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0002045240; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.019(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.009(107112985645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [66.45.103.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:23:01 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:22 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:22 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:23:01 AM-12/29/2007 09:23:03 AM,12/29/2007 09:23:03 AM-12/29/2007 09:23:03 AM
\$Orig: 6ABCC5EBC872676B872573C00059FFB8
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:23:03 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery

efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Doyle
PO Box 1151
Santa Teresa, NM 88008-1151

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909230010-233300 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:23:00 -0700
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D97AC19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:57:20 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 36476774.3454307248.310022.00-017.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:22:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 29 Dec 2007 10:22:57 -0600
\$MessageID: <27478440.1198945377479.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:22:57 AM
From: Margaret Briggs <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Margaret Briggs <mag_@msn.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nyttttMQ@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001610698; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.015(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.009(107112985651); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:23:00 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:23 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:23 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:23:00 AM-12/29/2007 09:23:02 AM,12/29/2007 09:23:02 AM-12/29/2007 09:23:02 AM
\$Orig: 44D0FFD5252838BC872573C00059FF1A
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:23:02 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margaret Briggs
13068 Davis Creek Rd
Mc Calla, AL 35111-1604

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122909223918-233292 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:22:39 -0700
Received: from p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id EB19019E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:56:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id e4476774.3328748464.79543.00-121.p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <atomictoadranch@netzero.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:22:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:18:10 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:22:38 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229162238.17229.33071.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: atomictoadranch@netzero.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0047931983; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.044(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985651); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <atomictoadranch@netzero.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:22:39 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:23 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:23 PM
SMTPOriginator: atomictoadranch@netzero.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:22:39 AM-12/29/2007 09:22:41 AM,12/29/2007 09:22:41 AM-12/29/2007 09:22:41 AM
\$Orig: 1BC1901180D1708F872573C00059F6F0
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:22:41 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kevin Emmerich
P.O. Box 70
Beatty, NV 89003

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909215957-233289 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:21:59 -0700
Received: from p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 5580519E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:56:20 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id d1476774.3591064496.32168.00-271.p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mandm2872@earthlink.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:21:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:17:10 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:21:38 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229162138.17229.33067.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: mandm2872@earthlink.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.091(10711292119); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <mandm2872@earthlink.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:21:59 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:23 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:23 PM
SMTPOriginator: mandm2872@earthlink.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:21:59 AM-12/29/2007 09:22:01 AM,12/29/2007 09:22:01 AM-12/29/2007 09:22:02 AM
\$Orig: 1AFAC3D2D324D240872573C00059E777
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:22:02 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Therese Ryan
37310 36th St. E
Palmdale, CA 93550

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122909205787-233284 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:20:57 -0700
Received: from p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 98F5C19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:55:18 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [128.123.34.53] (EHLO ccserver2.nmsu.edu) by p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 9e376774.2527501232.86376.00-002.p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <daynad@nmsu.edu>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:20:57 -0700 (MST)
Received: from ccserver2.nmsu.edu (localhost.localdomain [127.0.0.1]) by localhost (Postfix) with SMTP id DC02DDF6E0 for <R2FWE_AL@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:19:48 -0700 (MST)
Received: from webmail.nmsu.edu (webmail.nmsu.edu [128.123.34.81]) by ccserver2.nmsu.edu (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 64DA8DF6DA for <R2FWE_AL@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:19:48 -0700 (MST)
Received: from 71.222.229.95 (SquirrelMail authenticated user daynad) by webmail.nmsu.edu with HTTP; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:20:56 -0700 (MST)
\$MessageID: <61715.71.222.229.95.1198945256.squirrel@webmail.nmsu.edu>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:20:56 AM
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
From: daynad@nmsu.edu
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
User_Agent: SquirrelMail/1.4.8-4.0.1.e14
MIME_Version: 1.0
X_PMX_Version: 5.4.1.325704, Antispam-Engine: 2.6.0.325393, Antispam-Data: 2007.12.29.80246
X_PerlMx_Spam: Gauge=IIIIIII, Probability=7%, Report='NO_REAL_NAME 0, __CT 0, __CTE 0, __CT_TEXT_PLAIN 0, __HAS_MSGID 0, __MIME_TEXT_ONLY 0, __MIME_VERSION 0, __SANE_MSGID 0, __USER_AGENT 0'
X_Spam: [F=0.0009881521; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.089(107112984737); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <daynad@nmsu.edu>
X_SOURCE_IP: [128.123.34.53]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:20:57 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:24 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:24 PM
SMTPOriginator: daynad@nmsu.edu
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:20:57 AM-12/29/2007 09:20:59 AM,12/29/2007 09:20:59 AM-12/29/2007 09:21:00 AM
\$Orig: 1B25AD853283BF7F872573C00059CF5B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:21:00 AM

From all I have heard and seen it looks as though the mexican gray wolf population has not been given a fair chance. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves. I believe that the time has come when

free public lands are so few that we have to decide if these lands are wild or for the benefit of a few groups of humans. I want my public lands

wild and i want them to be full of the wild animals that have lived there.

Perhaps the time has also come for us to take a look at our grazing practices in this area.

Please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the

reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, and as a very last resort perform only live removals to help us keep the genetic diversity that so many have worked for. Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.

Thank you,

Dayna Dominguez
Graduate Assistant
Dept of Fishery and Wildlife Sciences
New Mexico State University
PO Box 30003 Dept 4901
Las Cruces, NM 88003-8003

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909204121-233276 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:20:41 -0700
Received: from p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id EC7B219E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:55:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 8d376774.2641058736.75734.00-125.p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mwaltasti@msn.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:20:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:16:10 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:20:38 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229162038.17229.33065.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: mwaltasti@msn.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985533); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <mwaltasti@msn.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:20:41 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:24 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:24 PM
SMTPOriginator: mwaltasti@msn.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:20:41 AM-12/29/2007 09:20:43 AM,12/29/2007 09:20:43 AM-12/29/2007 09:20:44 AM
\$Orig: B72C3821F7F5B2CA872573C00059C8DB
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:20:44 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Marilyn A. Waltasti
770 W. Flagstick Drive
Oro Valley, AZ 85755

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909204020-233275 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:20:40 -0700
Received: from p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id E7D9D19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:55:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 7d376774.2662038448.75734.00-125.p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rgonz29452@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:20:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:16:10 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:20:38 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229162038.17229.33063.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: rgonz29452@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985533); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <rgonz29452@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:20:40 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:25 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:25 PM
SMTPOriginator: rgonz29452@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:20:40 AM-12/29/2007 09:20:42 AM,12/29/2007 09:20:42 AM-12/29/2007 09:20:43 AM
\$Orig: 8CB4F413EC5900D7872573C00059C874
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:20:43 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rob Gonzalez
8840 Lake Park Circle N.
Davie, FL 33328

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909203929-233274 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:20:39 -0700
Received: from p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0C04219E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:54:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 6d376774.2641058736.75734.00-125.p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <louichie@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:20:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:16:10 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:20:38 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229162038.17229.33061.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: louichie@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985533); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <louichie@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:20:39 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:26 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:26 PM
SMTPOriginator: louichie@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:20:39 AM-12/29/2007 09:20:41 AM,12/29/2007 09:20:41 AM-12/29/2007 09:20:42 AM
\$Orig: 92E09E28D24FFD94872573C00059C81B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:20:42 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
jeremy lewis
2143 w village dr
phx, AZ 85023

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909194009-233258 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:19:40 -0700
Received: from p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C998119E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:54:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id b9376774.2683018160.21192.00-009.p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <news@diano.cnc.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:19:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:15:10 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:19:38 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229161938.17229.33059.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: news@diano.cnc.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985326); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <news@diano.cnc.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:19:40 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:26 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:26 PM
SMTPOriginator: news@diano.cnc.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:19:40 AM-12/29/2007 09:19:41 AM,12/29/2007 09:19:42 AM-12/29/2007 09:19:43 AM
\$Orig: ECC57D1EF468C6C2872573C00059B0F9
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:19:43 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
DIANE OHANIAN
P O BOX 26098
SAN DIEGO, CA 92196

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909193920-233257 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:19:39 -0700
Received: from p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id E4D0319E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:53:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id a9376774.2395900848.21192.00-009.p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rdbest@frontiernet.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:19:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:15:10 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:19:38 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229161938.17229.33057.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: rdbest@frontiernet.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985326); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <rdbest@frontiernet.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:19:39 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:26 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:26 PM
SMTPOriginator: rdbest@frontiernet.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:19:39 AM-12/29/2007 09:19:40 AM,12/29/2007 09:19:41 AM-12/29/2007 09:19:42 AM
\$Orig: BC7DDC3764EBB176872573C00059B0A0
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:19:42 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Irene Best
1411 Bragg St.
Lima, NY 14485

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909183918-233251 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:18:39 -0700
Received: from p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id DE7D019E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:52:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id e5376774.2644913072.11302.00-155.p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <muirmariner1@juno.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:18:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:14:10 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:18:38 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229161838.17229.33055.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: muirmariner1@juno.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985533); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <muirmariner1@juno.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:18:39 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:27 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:27 PM
SMTPOriginator: muirmariner1@juno.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:18:39 AM-12/29/2007 09:18:40 AM,12/29/2007 09:18:41 AM-12/29/2007 09:18:42 AM
\$Orig: 78BE1CEE45770645872573C00059992E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:18:42 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Muir Mariner
9420 E Golf Links PMB 357
Tucson, AZ 85730

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909173418-233242 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:17:34 -0700
Received: from p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id DAC2219E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:51:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id d1376774.2601597872.66135.00-078.p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <patton@olympus.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:17:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:13:05 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:17:33 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229161733.17229.32949.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: patton@olympus.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0036849646; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.034(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <patton@olympus.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:17:34 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:27 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:27 PM
SMTPOriginator: patton@olympus.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:17:34 AM-12/29/2007 09:17:34 AM,12/29/2007 09:17:35 AM-12/29/2007 09:17:35 AM
\$Orig: 2624B4DE656CBBFD872573C000597FCA
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:17:35 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Patricia Tomlin
353 Ridge View Drive
Sequim, WA 98382

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909153506-233232 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:15:35 -0700
Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B708B19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:49:55 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 6a276774.2412587952.131644.00-161.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lcshadix@fuse.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:15:34 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:11:05 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:15:33 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229161533.17229.32947.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: lcshadix@fuse.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985326); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <lcshadix@fuse.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:15:35 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:27 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:27 PM
SMTPOriginator: lcshadix@fuse.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:15:35 AM-12/29/2007 09:15:35 AM,12/29/2007 09:15:36 AM-12/29/2007 09:15:36 AM
\$Orig: E9DFAFB501CEC1C3872573C000595142
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:15:36 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lois Shadix
2928 Saddleback Dr
Cincinnati, OH 45244

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909153421-233231 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:15:34 -0700
Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id DECFB19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:49:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 5a276774.2653854640.131644.00-161.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kkrause@nycap.rr.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:15:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:11:05 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:15:33 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229161533.17229.32945.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: kkrause@nycap.rr.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985326); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <kkrause@nycap.rr.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:15:34 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:28 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:28 PM
SMTPOriginator: kkrause@nycap.rr.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:15:34 AM-12/29/2007 09:15:34 AM,12/29/2007 09:15:35 AM-12/29/2007 09:15:35 AM
\$Orig: D65A76B584B277D8872573C0005950EF
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:15:35 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
karen krause
49 austain ave
albany, NY 12205

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122909143430-233226 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:14:34 -0700
Received: from p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id F12BC19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:48:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 96276774.3484347312.172631.00-058.p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ashley@net-link.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:14:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:10:05 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:14:33 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229161433.17229.32943.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: ashley@net-link.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985651); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ashley@net-link.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:14:34 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:28 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:28 PM
SMTPOriginator: ashley@net-link.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:14:34 AM-12/29/2007 09:14:34 AM,12/29/2007 09:14:35 AM-12/29/2007 09:14:35 AM
\$Orig: 8FBB6DC5178A529A872573C000593986
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:14:35 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ashley Yonker
1109 Manor
Kalamazoo, MI 49006

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909133324-233220 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:13:33 -0700
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id DF57C19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:47:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id c2276774.3254754224.53188.00-102.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <strunkdave@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:13:32 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:09:03 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:13:31 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229161331.17229.32865.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: strunkdave@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.091(10711292119); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <strunkdave@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:13:33 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:28 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:28 PM
SMTPOriginator: strunkdave@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:13:33 AM-12/29/2007 09:13:33 AM,12/29/2007 09:13:34 AM-12/29/2007 09:13:34 AM
\$Orig: 7F8044A0A0471245872573C0005921AC
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:13:34 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dave Strunk
22 Morgan st.
Phoenixville, PA 19460

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909133238-233219 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:13:32 -0700
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1019B19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:47:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id b2276774.3475041200.53188.00-102.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ridgecrest@aznexus.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:13:31 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:09:03 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:13:31 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229161331.17229.32863.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: ridgecrest@aznexus.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.091(10711292119); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ridgecrest@aznexus.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:13:32 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:29 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:29 PM
SMTPOriginator: ridgecrest@aznexus.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:13:32 AM-12/29/2007 09:13:32 AM,12/29/2007 09:13:33 AM-12/29/2007 09:13:33 AM
\$Orig: 5A2359482B74CE9A872573C000592156
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:13:33 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Paul Crimmins
10996 S. Hwy 191
Safford, AZ 85546-9725

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122909123149-233205 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:12:31 -0700
Received: from p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 2496319E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:46:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id ee176774.2517986224.15647.00-106.p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <barry@flatbushfoodcoop.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:12:30 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:08:01 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:12:29 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229161229.17229.32847.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: barry@flatbushfoodcoop.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <barry@flatbushfoodcoop.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:12:31 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:29 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:29 PM
SMTPOriginator: barry@flatbushfoodcoop.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:12:31 AM-12/29/2007 09:12:32 AM,12/29/2007 09:12:33 AM-12/29/2007 09:12:34 AM
\$Orig: 603733007F7E5057872573C00059098D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:12:34 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Barry Smith
796 East 19th Street
Brooklyn, NY 11230

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909123198-233206 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:12:31 -0700
Received: from p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9C26F19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:46:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id fe176774.3568266160.167726.00-071.p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jrhodge@comcast.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:12:31 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:08:01 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:12:29 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229161229.17229.32849.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jrhodge@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985651); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jrhodge@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:12:31 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:29 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:29 PM
SMTPOriginator: jrhodge@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:12:31 AM-12/29/2007 09:12:32 AM,12/29/2007 09:12:33 AM-12/29/2007 09:12:34 AM
\$Orig: FC5533C8BC9168E9872573C0005909BE
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:12:34 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Renee Hodge
2139 Barbara St.
Savannah, GA 31406

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909123113-233204 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:12:31 -0700
Received: from p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C271B19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:46:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id ee176774.3410918320.167726.00-071.p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <janconley@centurytel.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:12:30 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:08:01 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:12:29 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229161229.17229.32845.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: janconley@centurytel.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985651); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <janconley@centurytel.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:12:31 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:30 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:30 PM
SMTPOriginator: janconley@centurytel.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:12:31 AM-12/29/2007 09:12:31 AM,12/29/2007 09:12:32 AM-12/29/2007 09:12:33 AM
\$Orig: 1A8BEC2A9F4BE90872573C000590969
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:12:33 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
jan conley
7177 . E. Lake Blvd
Lake Nebagamon, WI 54849

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122909123030-233203 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:12:30 -0700
Received: from p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id EC67B19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:46:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id del76774.3652185008.167726.00-071.p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <nikki61344@sbcglobal.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:12:29 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:08:01 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:12:29 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229161229.17229.32843.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: nikki61344@sbcglobal.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985651); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <nikki61344@sbcglobal.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:12:30 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:30 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:30 PM
SMTPOriginator: nikki61344@sbcglobal.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:12:30 AM-12/29/2007 09:12:30 AM,12/29/2007 09:12:31 AM-12/29/2007 09:12:32 AM
\$Orig: D62E28B0FEE69EE7872573C000590916
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:12:32 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nikki Somplasky
19524 Meadow Lake Rd
Cleveland, TX 77328

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909093042-233177 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:09:30 -0700
Received: from p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0EB1A19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:43:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 93176774.2494925744.66750.00-081.p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <klmeps@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:09:29 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:05:00 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:09:28 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229160928.17229.32757.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: klmeps@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985642); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <klmeps@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:09:30 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:30 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:30 PM
SMTPOriginator: klmeps@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:09:30 AM-12/29/2007 09:09:31 AM,12/29/2007 09:09:32 AM-12/29/2007 09:09:32 AM
\$Orig: 7E89D2646CA43DF9872573C00058C2D2
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:09:32 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Karen Mott
25 Fenwick Street
Greenlawn, NY 11740

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909093105-233178 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:09:31 -0700
Received: from p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id A760819E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:43:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id a3176774.3442387888.160239.00-078.p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <itchie222@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:09:30 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:05:00 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:09:28 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229160928.17229.32759.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: itchie222@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985651); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <itchie222@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:09:31 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:31 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:31 PM
SMTPOriginator: itchie222@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:09:31 AM-12/29/2007 09:09:31 AM,12/29/2007 09:09:32 AM-12/29/2007 09:09:32 AM
\$Orig: 82CDA1712D76A602872573C00058C311
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:09:32 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Richard Rasmussen
12520 Manor Dr.
Homer Glen, IL 60491

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909093022-233176 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:09:30 -0700
Received: from p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D218519E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:43:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 93176774.3085732784.160239.00-078.p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <eileen.hennessy@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:09:29 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:05:00 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:09:28 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229160928.17229.32755.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: eileen.hennessy@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985651); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <eileen.hennessy@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:09:30 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:31 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:31 PM
SMTPOriginator: eileen.hennessy@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:09:30 AM-12/29/2007 09:09:31 AM,12/29/2007 09:09:32 AM-12/29/2007 09:09:32 AM
\$Orig: 3261B1A9FFD718A2872573C00058C2BE
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:09:32 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Eileen Hennessy
63 Cleveland St.
Melrose, MA 02176-3719

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909092939-233175 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:09:29 -0700
Received: from p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 06E2E19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:43:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 83176774.3295529904.160239.00-078.p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <judith@arts4change.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:09:28 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:05:00 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:09:28 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229160928.17229.32753.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: judith@arts4change.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122916); R=0.092(107112985651); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <judith@arts4change.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:09:29 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:31 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:31 PM
SMTPOriginator: judith@arts4change.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:09:29 AM-12/29/2007 09:09:30 AM,12/29/2007 09:09:31 AM-12/29/2007 09:09:31 AM
\$Orig: 5C9AA90DC5F21C52872573C00058C26B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:09:31 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Judith Essex
1706 Whaley Ave
San Diego, CA 92104

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909072723-233164 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:07:27 -0700
Received: from p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D1A7E19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:41:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id eb076774.2524933040.10567.00-052.p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dianejalbert@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:07:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:02:57 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:07:25 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229160725.17229.32739.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: dianejalbert@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.092(10711298569); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <dianejalbert@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:07:27 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:32 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:32 PM
SMTPOriginator: dianejalbert@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:07:27 AM-12/29/2007 09:07:28 AM,12/29/2007 09:07:29 AM-12/29/2007 09:07:30 AM
\$Orig: E71735289E3E7CA6872573C0005892B5
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:07:30 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
diane jalbert
271 connecticut
atlanta, GA 30307

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909072619-233162 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:07:26 -0700
Received: from p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C5E6419E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:41:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id db076774.2697231280.55018.00-145.p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <geraldinebaron@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:07:25 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:02:57 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:07:25 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229160725.17229.32735.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: geraldinebaron@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.092(107112985523); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <geraldinebaron@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:07:26 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:32 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:32 PM
SMTPOriginator: geraldinebaron@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:07:26 AM-12/29/2007 09:07:27 AM,12/29/2007 09:07:28 AM-12/29/2007 09:07:29 AM
\$Orig: 38A6EAF6A3EBF883872573C00058924C
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:07:29 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
geraldine baron
666 west end ave.
nyc, NY 10025

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909072703-233163 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:07:27 -0700
Received: from p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9D2AF19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:41:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id eb076774.2697231280.55018.00-145.p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ascottlynn@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:07:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:02:57 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:07:25 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229160725.17229.32737.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: ascottlynn@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.092(107112985523); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ascottlynn@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:07:27 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:32 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:32 PM
SMTPOriginator: ascottlynn@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:07:27 AM-12/29/2007 09:07:27 AM,12/29/2007 09:07:28 AM-12/29/2007 09:07:29 AM
\$Orig: 59840B9674116B9E872573C00058929F
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:07:29 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Andy Lynn
3671 Colonial Trail
Bush Sucks Cack
Douglasville, GA 30135-1108

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909062808-233151 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:06:28 -0700
Received: from p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id A943819E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:40:48 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 38076774.2592885680.26179.00-001.p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dave_g59@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:06:27 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:01:57 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:06:25 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229160625.17229.32733.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: dave_g59@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.092(107112985645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <dave_g59@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:06:28 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:33 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:33 PM
SMTPOriginator: dave_g59@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:06:28 AM-12/29/2007 09:06:29 AM,12/29/2007 09:06:30 AM-12/29/2007 09:06:31 AM
\$Orig: CB62515FD8A70B84872573C000587B99
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:06:31 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
David Goodlin
34 Challenger Court
34 Challenger Court
Walkersville, MD 21793-8127

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122909062727-233149 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:06:27 -0700
Received: from p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id D3E4719E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:40:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 28076774.2687294384.26179.00-001.p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mandk@cox.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:06:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:01:57 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:06:25 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229160625.17229.32731.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: mandk@cox.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.092(107112985645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <mandk@cox.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:06:27 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:33 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:33 PM
SMTPOriginator: mandk@cox.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:06:27 AM-12/29/2007 09:06:28 AM,12/29/2007 09:06:29 AM-12/29/2007 09:06:30 AM
\$Orig: 0682CF423E613D1B872573C000587B47
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:06:30 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kenn Schultz
6161 E. 4th St
Tucson, AZ 85711-1613

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909062728-233150 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:06:27 -0700
Received: from p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D98AE19E8028 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:40:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 28076774.2497924016.52731.00-125.p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <tschnurr@tweisel.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:06:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:01:57 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:06:25 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229160625.17229.32729.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: tschnurr@tweisel.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0026270942; B=0.500(0); S=0.025(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.092(107112985523); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <tschnurr@tweisel.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:06:27 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:33 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:33 PM
SMTPOriginator: tschnurr@tweisel.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:06:27 AM-12/29/2007 09:06:28 AM,12/29/2007 09:06:29 AM-12/29/2007 09:06:30 AM
\$Orig: 369D8203B4847F70872573C000587B48
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:06:30 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Tom Schnurr
205 Fernwood Drive
Moraga, CA 94104

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909062627-233148 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:06:26 -0700
Received: from p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D2CFA19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:40:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 18076774.2466454448.52731.00-125.p01c11m047.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <akremek@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:06:25 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 08:01:57 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:06:25 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229160625.17229.32727.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: akremek@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.092(107112985523); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <akremek@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:06:26 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:34 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:34 PM
SMTPOriginator: akremek@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:06:26 AM-12/29/2007 09:06:27 AM,12/29/2007 09:06:28 AM-12/29/2007 09:06:29 AM
\$Orig: D57254C01649C8F4872573C000587AE3
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:06:29 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Anne Kominowski
60 South Ohio Street
Martinsville, IN 46151

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909022505-233114 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:02:25 -0700
Received: from p01c11m017.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9496B19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:36:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m017.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 09f66774.2548489136.80136.00-130.p01c11m017.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mtncontractor@sbcglobal.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:02:24 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:57:55 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:02:23 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229160223.17229.32649.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: mtncontractor@sbcglobal.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.092(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <mtncontractor@sbcglobal.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:02:25 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:34 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:34 PM
SMTPOriginator: mtncontractor@sbcglobal.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:02:25 AM-12/29/2007 09:02:26 AM,12/29/2007 09:02:27 AM-12/29/2007 09:02:27 AM
\$Orig: 2729E012E0CB9860872573C000581CAB
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:02:27 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
trevor perry
13878 Hansel Ave
Truckee, CA 96161

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122909022422-233113 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:02:24 -0700
Received: from p01c11m017.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id BD8B819E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:36:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m017.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id f8f66774.2548489136.80136.00-130.p01c11m017.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bear_haven@comcast.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:02:23 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:57:55 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:02:23 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229160223.17229.32647.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: bear_haven@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.092(107112985644); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <bear_haven@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:02:24 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:34 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:34 PM
SMTPOriginator: bear_haven@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:02:24 AM-12/29/2007 09:02:25 AM,12/29/2007 09:02:26 AM-12/29/2007 09:02:26 AM
\$Orig: 92B85D561D6DB4F9872573C000581C56
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:02:26 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elise Poston
239 Estate Dr
Morgantown, WV 26508-5949

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909002100-233097 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:00:21 -0700
Received: from p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 828BA19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:34:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 41f66774.2673253296.45172.00-113.p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bascobel@bellsouth.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:00:20 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:55:51 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:00:19 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229160019.17229.32547.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: bascobel@bellsouth.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.092(107112985642); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <bascobel@bellsouth.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:00:21 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:34 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:34 PM
SMTPOriginator: bascobel@bellsouth.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:00:21 AM-12/29/2007 09:00:22 AM,12/29/2007 09:00:23 AM-12/29/2007 09:00:23 AM
\$Orig: C9DBF50166F39C17872573C00057EC34
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:00:23 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Charles Belmont
1221 SW Shoreline Dr.Apt.1122
alm City, FL 34990

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909002128-233098 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:00:21 -0700
Received: from p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C6A3819E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:34:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 41f66774.2414558128.11843.00-058.p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <wolfem@genco.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:00:20 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:55:51 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:00:19 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229160019.17229.32549.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: wolfem@genco.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.092(107112985645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <wolfem@genco.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:00:21 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:35 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:35 PM
SMTPOriginator: wolfem@genco.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:00:21 AM-12/29/2007 09:00:22 AM,12/29/2007 09:00:23 AM-12/29/2007 09:00:23 AM
\$Orig: 3A222E456BEE170B872573C00057EC50
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:00:23 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mark and Nancy Wolfe
222 Maxwell Street
Ingram, PA 15205-2031

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122909002018-233096 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:00:20 -0700
Received: from p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id AD78119E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:34:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 31f66774.2704722864.45172.00-113.p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jsk32870@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 09:00:19 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:55:51 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 09:00:19 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229160019.17229.32545.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: JSK32870@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.092(107112985642); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jsk32870@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 09:00:20 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:36 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:36 PM
SMTPOriginator: jsk32870@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 09:00:20 AM-12/29/2007 09:00:21 AM,12/29/2007 09:00:22 AM-12/29/2007 09:00:22 AM
\$Orig: E5EFE0542DC9E720872573C00057EBE3
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 09:00:22 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
John Kaminski
1517 Wellington Place
Aberdeen, NJ 07747

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908561783-233075 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:56:17 -0700
Received: from p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4DA2D19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:30:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 12e66774.2431847344.98082.00-059.p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mariaki57@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:56:17 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:51:47 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:56:15 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229155615.17229.32503.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: MariaKI57@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.091(10711292553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <mariaki57@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:56:17 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:36 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:36 PM
SMTPOriginator: mariaki57@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:56:17 AM-12/29/2007 08:56:18 AM,12/29/2007 08:56:19 AM-12/29/2007 08:56:19 AM
\$Orig: D46B3EEF9E595DE7872573C000578D37
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:56:19 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Maria DiFiore
2418 W. Eastwood
Chicago, IL 60625

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908561803-233076 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:56:18 -0700
Received: from p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7D84619E8028 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:30:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 12e66774.2515024816.102486.00-107.p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <greenslate@gmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:56:17 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:51:47 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:56:15 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229155615.17229.32505.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: greenslate@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.091(10711292117); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <greenslate@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:56:18 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:36 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:36 PM
SMTPOriginator: greenslate@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:56:18 AM-12/29/2007 08:56:18 AM,12/29/2007 08:56:19 AM-12/29/2007 08:56:19 AM
\$Orig: 592348CEFE9A8DCC872573C000578D4B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:56:19 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Christopher Greenslate
780 Clark Ave.
Encinitas, CA 92024

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908561702-233073 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:56:17 -0700
Received: from p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 79D9019E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:30:37 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 02e66774.2473806768.98082.00-059.p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <feemail@cox.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:56:16 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:51:47 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:56:15 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229155615.17229.32499.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: feemail@cox.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.091(10711292553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <feemail@cox.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:56:17 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:37 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:37 PM
SMTPOriginator: feemail@cox.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:56:17 AM-12/29/2007 08:56:17 AM,12/29/2007 08:56:17 AM-12/29/2007 08:56:18 AM
\$Orig: 73EA677F5B5ECCB7872573C000578CE6
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:56:18 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Fiona Urquhart
165 E D St
Encinitas, CA 92024

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122908561720-233074 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:56:17 -0700
Received: from p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id A8D7C19E8028 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:30:37 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 02e66774.2515024816.102486.00-107.p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <vestigial_hippo@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:56:16 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:51:47 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:56:15 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229155615.17229.32501.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: vestigial_hippo@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.091(10711292117); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <vestigial_hippo@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:56:17 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:37 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:37 PM
SMTPOriginator: vestigial_hippo@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:56:17 AM-12/29/2007 08:56:17 AM,12/29/2007 08:56:18 AM-12/29/2007 08:56:18 AM
\$Orig: 59C217C1B14F77CB872573C000578CF8
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:56:18 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Keir Morse
PO Box 385
Lindstrom, MN 55045

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122908561619-233072 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:56:16 -0700
Received: from p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id A643819E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:30:36 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id fle66774.2652134320.98082.00-059.p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <hitomi_office@yahoo.co.jp>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:56:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:51:47 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:56:15 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229155615.17229.32497.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: hitomi_office@yahoo.co.jp
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.091(10711292553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <hitomi_office@yahoo.co.jp>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:56:16 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:37 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:37 PM
SMTPOriginator: hitomi_office@yahoo.co.jp
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:56:16 AM-12/29/2007 08:56:16 AM,12/29/2007 08:56:16 AM-12/29/2007 08:56:17 AM
\$Orig: BBA6309E8F604EB5872573C000578C93
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:56:17 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Hitomi Tamura
1-25-7 Flora I-102
Zoshigaya, Toshima-ku
Tokyo 1710032

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908551619-233064 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:55:16 -0700
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id A26B119E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:29:36 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 3ed66774.2644921264.59808.00-062.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ljnevins@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:55:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:50:47 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:55:15 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229155515.17229.32495.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: ljnevins@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.091(10711292540); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ljnevins@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:55:16 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:37 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:37 PM
SMTPOriginator: ljnevins@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:55:16 AM-12/29/2007 08:55:16 AM,12/29/2007 08:55:16 AM-12/29/2007 08:55:17 AM
\$Orig: B2164BA3266DCCE1872573C000577524
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:55:17 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Laura Lester
2706 Stuart Street
Burns, TN 37029

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908541741-233053 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:54:17 -0700
Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D558419E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:28:37 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 8ad66774.2674760624.35988.00-031.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <greengirl06@earthlink.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:54:16 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:49:47 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:54:15 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229155415.17229.32493.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: greengirl06@earthlink.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.091(10711292540); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <greengirl06@earthlink.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:54:17 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:38 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:38 PM
SMTPOriginator: greengirl06@earthlink.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:54:17 AM-12/29/2007 08:54:18 AM,12/29/2007 08:54:18 AM-12/29/2007 08:54:19 AM
\$Orig: 5DBA416FD22DD72C872573C000575E2D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:54:19 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Courtney Denning
6980 N Alexander Drive
Piqua, OH 45356

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908541658-233052 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:54:16 -0700
Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 08C5819E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:28:36 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 7ad66774.2601331632.35988.00-031.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rdlegault@bigfoot.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:54:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:49:47 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:54:15 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229155415.17229.32491.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: rdlegault@bigfoot.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0012832179; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.012(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.091(10711292540); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <rdlegault@bigfoot.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:54:16 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:38 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:38 PM
SMTPOriginator: rdlegault@bigfoot.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:54:16 AM-12/29/2007 08:54:17 AM,12/29/2007 08:54:18 AM-12/29/2007 08:54:18 AM
\$Orig: 02543E57F4B9A46A872573C000575DDA
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:54:18 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
ray legault
11825 s.e. 221
kent, WA 98031

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122908530977-233046 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:53:09 -0700
Received: from p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 351A419E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:27:30 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 56d66774.2666204080.48704.00-147.p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:53:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:53:05 -0600
\$MessageID: <20618607.1198943585863.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:53:05 AM
From: Barbara Horner <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Barbara Horner <bhorner@olp.net>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nyttty9@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001954175; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.018(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.009(10711292117); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:53:09 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:39 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:39 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:53:09 AM-12/29/2007 08:53:10 AM,12/29/2007 08:53:11 AM-12/29/2007 08:53:11 AM
\$Orig: 5C702B718318D649872573C0005743C1
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:53:11 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Horner
2125 N 260 Rd
Mounds, OK 74047-6053

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908530719-233034 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:53:07 -0700
Received: from p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9BDB319E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:27:27 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 26d66774.2612784048.34847.00-059.p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:53:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 29 Dec 2007 09:53:02 -0600
\$MessageID: <23102257.1198943582828.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:53:02 AM
From: Elizabeth Windish <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Elizabeth Windish <teachpeace27@hotmail.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nyttt4n@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001709262; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.016(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.009(10711292117); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:53:07 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:39 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:39 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:53:07 AM-12/29/2007 08:53:08 AM,12/29/2007 08:53:08 AM-12/29/2007 08:53:09 AM
\$Orig: 0B44F2C90B29CB7D872573C0005742BF
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:53:09 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Windish
381 S 13th Dr
Show Low, AZ 85901-5709

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122908530655-233031 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:53:06 -0700
Received: from p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id DB2E219E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:27:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 16d66774.2644253616.34847.00-059.p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:53:05 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:53:02 -0600
\$MessageID: <20106142.1198943582532.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:53:02 AM
From: William Murdoch <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: William Murdoch <murdochofthetitanic@yahoo.co>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nyttt9K@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.009(10711292117); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [66.45.103.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:53:06 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:39 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:39 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:53:06 AM-12/29/2007 08:53:07 AM,12/29/2007 08:53:07 AM-12/29/2007 08:53:08 AM
\$Orig: 40A613F81CA87F86872573C00057427F
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:53:08 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery

efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Murdoch
362 S Rosenberger Ave Apt L
Evansville, IN 47712-6620

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908530677-233033 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:53:06 -0700
Received: from p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 32F7D19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:27:27 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 26d66774.2633554864.191707.00-160.p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:53:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 29 Dec 2007 09:53:02 -0600
\$MessageID: <24605021.1198943582818.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:53:02 AM
From: "Thomas L. Bragg" <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: "Thomas L. Bragg" <bltlimo@hotmail.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nyttt4K@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0003323820; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.031(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.009(10711292553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:53:06 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:40 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:40 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:53:06 AM-12/29/2007 08:53:07 AM,12/29/2007 08:53:07 AM-12/29/2007 08:53:08 AM
\$Orig: FF2DF1017D58E9D9872573C000574295
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:53:08 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas L. Bragg
18206 Smoke House Ct
Germantown, MD 20874-2427

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122908530553-233027 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:53:05 -0700
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id EC9BD19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:27:25 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 06d66774.2652527536.26098.00-022.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:53:04 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:53:02 -0600
\$MessageID: <5347990.1198943582265.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:53:02 AM
From: Tomisue Barela <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Tomisue Barela <azkanga@msn.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nyttQtM@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.009(10711292540); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:53:05 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:40 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:40 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:53:05 AM-12/29/2007 08:53:06 AM,12/29/2007 08:53:06 AM-12/29/2007 08:53:07 AM
\$Orig: C75C53F1D35F21B8872573C000574219
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:53:07 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Tomisue Barela
7201 E Camino Valle Verde
Tucson, AZ 85715-3433

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122908530435-233023 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:53:04 -0700
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id C1C9219E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:27:24 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f5d66774.2474195888.26051.00-072.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:53:03 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:53:01 -0600
\$MessageID: <14042529.1198943581869.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:53:01 AM
From: Ronald Ratliff <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Ronald Ratliff <rnratliff@msn.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nyttQQy@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001206804; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.011(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.009(10711292540); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:53:04 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:40 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:40 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:53:04 AM-12/29/2007 08:53:05 AM,12/29/2007 08:53:05 AM-12/29/2007 08:53:06 AM
\$Orig: 00A848FFDDE948F5872573C0005741A3
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:53:06 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ronald Ratliff
1408 Chaco Ave
Grants, NM 87020-2303

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122908530335-233018 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:53:03 -0700
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id C3AAF19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:27:23 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e5d66774.2474195888.26098.00-022.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:53:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:53:01 -0600
\$MessageID: <31424522.1198943581510.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:53:01 AM
From: nicholas musica <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: nicholas musica <soopershrink@aol.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nyttQEE@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001140756; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.011(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.009(10711292540); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:53:03 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:41 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:41 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:53:03 AM-12/29/2007 08:53:04 AM,12/29/2007 08:53:04 AM-12/29/2007 08:53:05 AM
\$Orig: 466613ECBE352715872573C000574140
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:53:05 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Dr. Nicholas Musica
4 Shorebrook Cir
Neptune, NJ 07753-3534

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122908530210-233013 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:53:02 -0700
Received: from p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 8367919E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:27:22 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id d5d66774.2631293872.28275.00-032.p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:53:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:53:00 -0600
\$MessageID: <33428817.1198943580650.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:53:00 AM
From: Renae Bowman <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Renae Bowman <rrbowman@tds.net>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nyttQME@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001647896; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.016(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.009(10711292546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [66.45.103.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:53:02 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:41 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:41 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:53:02 AM-12/29/2007 08:53:04 AM,12/29/2007 08:53:04 AM-12/29/2007 08:53:05 AM
\$Orig: 99D9C2B8E6EC5085872573C0005740C2
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:53:05 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery

efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Renae Bowman
6563 College Hill Rd
Clinton, NY 13323-4901

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908525833-233005 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:52:58 -0700
Received: from p01c11m082.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B64D119E8028 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:27:18 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m082.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 95d66774.3476171696.200659.00-005.p01c11m082.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:52:57 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 29 Dec 2007 09:52:54 -0600
\$MessageID: <171350.1198943574726.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:52:54 AM
From: Susan Pemberton <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Susan Pemberton <suzeq98@yahoo.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nyttQyn@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0002747874; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.026(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.009(107112921037); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:52:58 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:41 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:41 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:52:58 AM-12/29/2007 08:52:59 AM,12/29/2007 08:52:59 AM-12/29/2007 08:53:00 AM
\$Orig: 77C5C1DE42C61947872573C000573F49
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:53:00 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Pemberton
11784 S Cassidy Dr
Yuma, AZ 85367-5963

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908521005-232992 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:52:10 -0700
Received: from p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 75EB019E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:26:30 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 92d66774.2683026352.2930.00-085.p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ehotters@hotmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:52:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:47:40 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:52:08 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229155208.17229.32395.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: ehotters@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.092(107112984737); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ehotters@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:52:10 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:42 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:42 PM
SMTPOriginator: ehotters@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:52:10 AM-12/29/2007 08:52:11 AM,12/29/2007 08:52:11 AM-12/29/2007 08:52:12 AM
\$Orig: 93CFFD444F2AF546872573C000572C6D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:52:12 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ericka Otterson
3545 Colfax Avenue S
Apt. B
Minneapolis, MN 55408

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908520921-232991 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:52:09 -0700
Received: from p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9E09F19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:26:29 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 82d66774.2431269808.2930.00-085.p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <skosek@comcast.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:52:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:47:40 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:52:08 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229155208.17229.32393.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: skosek@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.092(107112984737); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <skosek@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:52:09 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:42 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:42 PM
SMTPOriginator: skosek@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:52:09 AM-12/29/2007 08:52:10 AM,12/29/2007 08:52:10 AM-12/29/2007 08:52:11 AM
\$Orig: 6D8E896E0D357518872573C000572C19
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:52:11 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Shirley Kosek
9231 N KANAWHA ST
Tucson, AZ 85742-9682

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908511036-232984 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:51:10 -0700
Received: from p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id BF9A619E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:25:30 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id dec66774.2653879216.114646.00-032.p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jaynamonroe@hotmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:51:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:46:40 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:51:08 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229155108.17229.32391.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: jaynamonroe@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0012955961; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.012(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.091(10711292118); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jaynamonroe@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:51:10 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:42 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:42 PM
SMTPOriginator: jaynamonroe@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:51:10 AM-12/29/2007 08:51:11 AM,12/29/2007 08:51:11 AM-12/29/2007 08:51:12 AM
\$Orig: 9506D4134EDC03A7872573C00057151C
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:51:12 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jayna Monroe
7137 Pineberry Rd.
Dallas, TX 75249

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122908510953-232983 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:51:09 -0700
Received: from p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id E89EA19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:25:29 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id cec66774.2507021232.114646.00-032.p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <pdpnet@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:51:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:46:40 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:51:08 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229155108.17229.32389.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: pdpnet@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.091(10711292118); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <pdpnet@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:51:09 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:43 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:43 PM
SMTPOriginator: pdpnet@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:51:09 AM-12/29/2007 08:51:10 AM,12/29/2007 08:51:10 AM-12/29/2007 08:51:11 AM
\$Orig: 13F2CE38EACFFB0C872573C0005714C9
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:51:11 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Paul Netusil
9 Lachmund Court
Old Tappan, NJ 07675-7237

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908501163-232978 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:50:11 -0700
Received: from p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0BFF519E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:24:31 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 2bc66774.2588609456.3719.00-122.p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dtswitalski@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:50:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:45:40 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:50:08 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229155008.17229.32387.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: dtswitalski@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.091(10711292118); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <dtswitalski@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:50:11 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:43 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:43 PM
SMTPOriginator: dtswitalski@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:50:11 AM-12/29/2007 08:50:12 AM,12/29/2007 08:50:12 AM-12/29/2007 08:50:13 AM
\$Orig: 8DDE90FF1BB53BF6872573C00056FE2B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:50:13 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Diane Switalski
11712 Parkview Lane
Seminole, FL 33772

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908501068-232977 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:50:10 -0700
Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1750F19E8028 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:24:31 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 2bc66774.2686708656.30216.00-016.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jchulse@verizon.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:50:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:45:40 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:50:08 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229155008.17229.32385.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jchulse@verizon.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010326917; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.092(107112984737); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jchulse@verizon.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:50:10 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:43 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:43 PM
SMTPOriginator: jchulse@verizon.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:50:10 AM-12/29/2007 08:50:11 AM,12/29/2007 08:50:11 AM-12/29/2007 08:50:12 AM
\$Orig: 959F444CF91F2958872573C00056FDCC
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:50:12 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Joan Hulse
110 Winchester Lane
Locust Grove, VA 22508

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908501058-232976 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:50:10 -0700
Received: from p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id F31B619E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:24:30 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 1bc66774.2620079024.3719.00-122.p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <hesantafe@tds.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:50:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:45:40 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:50:08 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229155008.17229.32383.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: hesantafe@tds.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.091(10711292118); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <hesantafe@tds.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:50:10 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:44 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:44 PM
SMTPOriginator: hesantafe@tds.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:50:10 AM-12/29/2007 08:50:11 AM,12/29/2007 08:50:11 AM-12/29/2007 08:50:12 AM
\$Orig: E5B03F5D439D2D48872573C00056FDC2
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:50:12 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Patricia Blevins
12 Small Road
Phillips, ME 04966

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908500969-232975 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:50:09 -0700
Received: from p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0E0B319E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:24:29 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 0bc66774.2399792048.3719.00-122.p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <trg@nycap.rr.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:50:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:45:40 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:50:08 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229155008.17229.32381.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: trg@nycap.rr.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122913); R=0.091(10711292118); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <trg@nycap.rr.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:50:09 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:44 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:44 PM
SMTPOriginator: trg@nycap.rr.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:50:09 AM-12/29/2007 08:50:10 AM,12/29/2007 08:50:10 AM-12/29/2007 08:50:11 AM
\$Orig: 66D472A9A74111E1872573C00056FD6B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:50:11 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Thomas Grimes
447 Gifford Rd
Schenectady, NY 12304

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908490918-232963 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:49:09 -0700
Received: from p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 90B6C19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:23:29 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 47c66774.2433702832.588.00-084.p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rochelle0000@hotmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:49:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:44:39 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:49:07 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229154907.17229.32373.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: rochelle0000@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122912); R=0.091(10711292546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <rochelle0000@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:49:09 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:44 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:44 PM
SMTPOriginator: rochelle0000@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:49:09 AM-12/29/2007 08:49:10 AM,12/29/2007 08:49:10 AM-12/29/2007 08:49:11 AM
\$Orig: 5AE2B4D49647B19A872573C00056E5C6
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:49:11 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rochelle Druckenmiller
164 N 74th St 2060
Mesa, AZ 85207

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122908490817-232962 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:49:08 -0700
Received: from p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 8BBB719E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:23:28 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 37c66774.2580560816.588.00-084.p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bassnbatz@mchsi.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:49:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:44:39 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:49:07 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229154907.17229.32371.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: bassnbatz@mchsi.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122912); R=0.091(10711292546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <bassnbatz@mchsi.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:49:08 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:45 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:45 PM
SMTPOriginator: bassnbatz@mchsi.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:49:08 AM-12/29/2007 08:49:09 AM,12/29/2007 08:49:09 AM-12/29/2007 08:49:10 AM
\$Orig: 8FD048E1BE0AC0F9872573C00056E561
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:49:10 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Tina Beedle
6324 Blueberry Street
Milton, FL 32570

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908470222-232935 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:47:02 -0700
Received: from p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 91CE919E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:21:22 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 5fb66774.2560113584.27290.00-079.p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <picchetti707@hotmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:47:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:42:33 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:47:01 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229154701.17229.32277.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: picchetti707@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122912); R=0.091(10711292858); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <picchetti707@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:47:02 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:45 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:45 PM
SMTPOriginator: picchetti707@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:47:02 AM-12/29/2007 08:47:03 AM,12/29/2007 08:47:03 AM-12/29/2007 08:47:04 AM
\$Orig: 4B4B16A44B991453872573C00056B42E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:47:04 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Gloria Picchetti
553 W Oakdale
Chicago, IL 60657

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908460303-232928 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:46:03 -0700
Received: from p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 6406519E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:20:23 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id abb66774.3223714736.207244.00-122.p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mbsgrs@gwi.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:46:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:41:33 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:46:01 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229154601.17229.32273.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: mbsgrs@gwi.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0039080603; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.037(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122912); R=0.091(107112921037); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <mbsgrs@gwi.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:46:03 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:45 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:45 PM
SMTPOriginator: mbsgrs@gwi.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:46:03 AM-12/29/2007 08:46:04 AM,12/29/2007 08:46:04 AM-12/29/2007 08:46:05 AM
\$Orig: 48FE8FBA1887F466872573C000569D0F
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:46:05 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Gordon Smith
21 Bodwell Street
Brunswick, ME 04011

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908460322-232929 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:46:03 -0700
Received: from p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9125D19E8028 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:20:23 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id abb66774.3349593008.207282.00-126.p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jmjkla@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:46:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:41:33 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:46:01 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229154601.17229.32275.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jmjkla@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122912); R=0.091(107112921037); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jmjkla@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:46:03 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:46 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:46 PM
SMTPOriginator: jmjkla@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:46:03 AM-12/29/2007 08:46:04 AM,12/29/2007 08:46:04 AM-12/29/2007 08:46:05 AM
\$Orig: 280EF16C6095852E872573C000569D22
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:46:05 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Joann Koch
134 Olenick Rd.
Lebanon, CT 06249

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908460220-232927 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:46:02 -0700
Received: from p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8BD9E19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:20:22 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 9bb66774.3328613296.207244.00-122.p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cathacker@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:46:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:41:33 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:46:01 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229154601.17229.32271.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: cathacker@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122912); R=0.091(107112921037); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <cathacker@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:46:02 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:46 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:46 PM
SMTPOriginator: cathacker@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:46:02 AM-12/29/2007 08:46:03 AM,12/29/2007 08:46:03 AM-12/29/2007 08:46:04 AM
\$Orig: 7A20743E861F7B94872573C000569CBC
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:46:04 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Thacker
43 Conant Valley Road
Pound Ridge, NY 10576

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908440329-232914 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:44:03 -0700
Received: from p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9D75419E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:18:23 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 24b66774.3538193328.135248.00-023.p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <charles@shutterburn.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:44:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:39:32 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:44:00 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229154400.17229.32185.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: charles@shutterburn.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122912); R=0.091(10711292119); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <charles@shutterburn.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:44:03 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:46 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:46 PM
SMTPOriginator: charles@shutterburn.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:44:03 AM-12/29/2007 08:44:04 AM,12/29/2007 08:44:04 AM-12/29/2007 08:44:05 AM
\$Orig: 37F0950B60CB6711872573C000566E49
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:44:05 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Charles Titterington
5083 Biscayne Rd.
Kissimmee, FL 34746

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908440336-232915 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:44:03 -0700
Received: from p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id AEBFF19E8028 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:18:23 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 24b66774.2572827568.26121.00-130.p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rrbowman@tds.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:44:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:39:32 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:44:00 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229154400.17229.32189.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: rrbowman@tds.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122912); R=0.091(10711292546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <rrbowman@tds.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:44:03 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:47 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:47 PM
SMTPOriginator: rrbowman@tds.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:44:03 AM-12/29/2007 08:44:04 AM,12/29/2007 08:44:04 AM-12/29/2007 08:44:05 AM
\$Orig: B9B85707C6BD95D7872573C000566E51
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:44:05 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rena Bowman
6563 College Hill Rd
Clinton, NY 13323

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908440254-232913 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:44:02 -0700
Received: from p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id DAF1919E8028 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:18:22 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 14b66774.2509888432.26121.00-130.p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <grbabob@comcast.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:44:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:39:32 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:44:00 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229154400.17229.32183.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: grbabob@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122912); R=0.091(10711292546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <grbabob@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:44:02 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:47 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:47 PM
SMTPOriginator: grbabob@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:44:02 AM-12/29/2007 08:44:03 AM,12/29/2007 08:44:03 AM-12/29/2007 08:44:04 AM
\$Orig: 935D510A16504DD3872573C000566DFE
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:44:04 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Bob Steininger
100 South Westridge Place
Phoenixville, PA 19460

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908440237-232912 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:44:02 -0700
Received: from p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B061319E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:18:22 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 14b66774.3202517936.135248.00-023.p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dr craigj@comcast.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:44:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:39:32 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:44:00 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229154400.17229.32181.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: dr craigj@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122912); R=0.091(10711292119); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <dr craigj@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:44:02 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:47 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:47 PM
SMTPOriginator: dr craigj@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:44:02 AM-12/29/2007 08:44:03 AM,12/29/2007 08:44:03 AM-12/29/2007 08:44:04 AM
\$Orig: ACCDFD39D016DC9B872573C000566DED
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:44:04 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Craig Jensen
6803 164th Place S.W.
Edmonds, WA 98026

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122908440153-232911 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:44:01 -0700
Received: from p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id D6AE419E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:18:21 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 04b66774.3139578800.135248.00-023.p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lil_monkeyqueen@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:44:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:39:32 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:44:00 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229154400.17229.32179.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: lil_monkeyqueen@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0059223814; B=0.500(0); S=0.055(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122912); R=0.091(10711292119); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <lil_monkeyqueen@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:44:01 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:48 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:48 PM
SMTPOriginator: lil_monkeyqueen@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:44:01 AM-12/29/2007 08:44:02 AM,12/29/2007 08:44:02 AM-12/29/2007 08:44:03 AM
\$Orig: 387C628822709FF6872573C000566D99
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:44:03 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nubaha Sayeed
44-05 Macnish St
Elmhurst, NY 11373
Elmhurst, NY 11373

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908425703-232904 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:42:57 -0700
Received: from p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 5823719E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:17:17 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 00b66774.3160394672.46567.00-085.p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sturdevants2003@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:42:56 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:38:27 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:42:55 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229154255.17229.32165.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: sturdevants2003@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122912); R=0.091(10711292119); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <sturdevants2003@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:42:57 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:48 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:48 PM
SMTPOriginator: sturdevants2003@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:42:57 AM-12/29/2007 08:42:58 AM,12/29/2007 08:42:58 AM-12/29/2007 08:42:59 AM
\$Orig: 361F13E448096182872573C000565467
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:42:59 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
William Sturdevant
248-1 Shore Road
Old Lyme, CT 06371

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908425620-232903 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:42:56 -0700
Received: from p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 827F919E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:17:16 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id ffa66774.3401661360.46567.00-085.p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mepettis@juno.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:42:55 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:38:27 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:42:55 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229154255.17229.32163.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: mepettis@juno.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122912); R=0.091(10711292119); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <mepettis@juno.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:42:56 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:48 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:48 PM
SMTPOriginator: mepettis@juno.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:42:56 AM-12/29/2007 08:42:57 AM,12/29/2007 08:42:57 AM-12/29/2007 08:42:58 AM
\$Orig: 1D60FE3A760956F4872573C000565414
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:42:58 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely,
Mary Pettis
311 Carolina Meadows Villa
Chapel Hill, NC 27517-8523

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908415714-232899 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:41:57 -0700
Received: from p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7441F19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:16:17 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 4ca66774.2473507760.185614.00-048.p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bettyew2000@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:41:56 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:37:27 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:41:55 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229154155.17229.32161.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: bettyew2000@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122912); R=0.091(10711292118); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <bettyew2000@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:41:57 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:49 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:49 PM
SMTPOriginator: bettyew2000@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:41:57 AM-12/29/2007 08:41:58 AM,12/29/2007 08:41:58 AM-12/29/2007 08:41:59 AM
\$Orig: 714AB837B07DCA81872573C000563D02
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:41:59 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Bettye Whipp
965 Charleston
Bridge City, TX 77611

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122908415628-232898 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:41:56 -0700
Received: from p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 92B0319E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:16:16 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 3ca66774.2567916464.185614.00-048.p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dlippman2000@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:41:55 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:37:27 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:41:55 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229154155.17229.32159.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: dlippman2000@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122912); R=0.091(10711292118); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <dlippman2000@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:41:56 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:49 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:49 PM
SMTPOriginator: dlippman2000@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:41:56 AM-12/29/2007 08:41:57 AM,12/29/2007 08:41:57 AM-12/29/2007 08:41:58 AM
\$Orig: 70E86D1B1678E668872573C000563CAC
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:41:58 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Daniel Lippman
296 Division Street
Great Barrington, MA 01230-1119

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908385554-232877 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:38:55 -0700
Received: from p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id CBD2A19E8028 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:13:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id e0a66774.2642283440.95524.00-116.p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sunshine648@juno.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:38:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:34:26 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:38:54 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229153854.17229.32153.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: sunshine648@juno.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122912); R=0.091(10711292117); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <sunshine648@juno.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:38:55 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:49 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:49 PM
SMTPOriginator: sunshine648@juno.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:38:55 AM-12/29/2007 08:38:57 AM,12/29/2007 08:38:57 AM-12/29/2007 08:38:58 AM
\$Orig: C0A85FA09EDAAAC0872573C00055F612
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:38:58 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ruthann Roka
648 Circlewood Drive
Venice, FL 34293

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122908354916-232852 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:35:49 -0700
Received: from p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 6A1AB19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:10:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 45966774.2567916464.171615.00-067.p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <j_auris@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:35:48 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:31:20 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:35:48 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229153548.17229.32061.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: j_auris@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0017560420; B=0.500(0); S=0.017(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122911); R=0.091(10711292118); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <j_auris@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:35:49 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:50 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:50 PM
SMTPOriginator: j_auris@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:35:49 AM-12/29/2007 08:35:50 AM,12/29/2007 08:35:50 AM-12/29/2007 08:35:51 AM
\$Orig: 3DBB450715D818F7872573C00055AD44
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:35:51 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Another note. We should do everything to protect our nature in America. Take some of the aid to other countries and take care of our own borders (nature) that we humans are part of.

Sincerely, Jean Auris 2703 S Pebble Brook Dr.
Homosassa, FL 34448

Sincerely,
Jean Auris
2703 S Pebble Brook Dr.
Homosassa, FL 34448

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908335090-232837 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:33:50 -0700
Received: from p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2445519E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:08:11 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id ed866774.2641480624.8053.00-060.p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <hushiepie@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:33:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:29:20 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:33:48 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229153348.17229.32057.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: hushiepie@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122911); R=0.091(10711292540); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <hushiepie@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:33:50 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:50 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:50 PM
SMTPOriginator: hushiepie@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:33:50 AM-12/29/2007 08:33:52 AM,12/29/2007 08:33:52 AM-12/29/2007 08:33:53 AM
\$Orig: 56CA68688CCFCE94872573C000557F13
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:33:53 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Sherman-Jones
E3740 26th Road
Limestone, MI 49816

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908335007-232835 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:33:50 -0700
Received: from p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4D5AA19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:08:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id dd866774.2641480624.8053.00-060.p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gj.koudijs@quicknet.nl>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:33:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:29:20 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:33:48 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229153348.17229.32053.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: gj.koudijs@quicknet.nl
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Genetic rescue
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122911); R=0.091(10711292540); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <gj.koudijs@quicknet.nl>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:33:50 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:50 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:50 PM
SMTPOriginator: gj.koudijs@quicknet.nl
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:33:50 AM-12/29/2007 08:33:51 AM,12/29/2007 08:33:51 AM-12/29/2007 08:33:52 AM
\$Orig: 0CE4D8152088DDB5872573C000557EBF
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:33:52 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kalinke ten Hulzen
Havenweg 3
Wieringerwerf 1771 RW

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908335041-232836 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:33:50 -0700
Received: from p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9FE8819E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:08:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id dd866774.2582084528.35694.00-154.p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dadbstuff@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:33:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:29:20 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:33:48 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229153348.17229.32055.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: dadbstuff@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122911); R=0.091(10711292858); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <dadbstuff@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:33:50 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:51 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:51 PM
SMTPOriginator: dadbstuff@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:33:50 AM-12/29/2007 08:33:51 AM,12/29/2007 08:33:51 AM-12/29/2007 08:33:52 AM
\$Orig: 851FF9BC8B72C660872573C000557EE3
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:33:52 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
DARWIN BEARLEY
PO BOX 22228
Akron, OH 44302

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908334926-232834 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:33:49 -0700
Received: from p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 791A219E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:08:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id cd866774.2452663216.8053.00-060.p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jray@odu.edu>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:33:48 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:29:20 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:33:48 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229153348.17229.32051.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jray@odu.edu
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122911); R=0.091(10711292540); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jray@odu.edu>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:33:49 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:51 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:51 PM
SMTPOriginator: jray@odu.edu
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:33:49 AM-12/29/2007 08:33:50 AM,12/29/2007 08:33:50 AM-12/29/2007 08:33:51 AM
\$Orig: F721065E8588BD04872573C000557E6E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:33:51 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Julie Ray
1326 Monterey Ave
Apt. 2
Norfolk, VA 23508

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908324190-232825 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:32:41 -0700
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1F28D19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:07:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 99866774.3515878320.234021.00-043.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kej_48195@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:32:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:28:11 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:32:39 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229153239.17229.31883.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: kej_48195@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122912); R=0.091(10711292119); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <kej_48195@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:32:41 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:51 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:51 PM
SMTPOriginator: kej_48195@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:32:41 AM-12/29/2007 08:32:43 AM,12/29/2007 08:32:43 AM-12/29/2007 08:32:44 AM
\$Orig: 41EE734DEC5C4F4C872573C00055641E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:32:44 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kim Johnson
468 Kings Hwy
Wyandotte, MI 48192

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122908324126-232824 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:32:41 -0700
Received: from p01c11m011.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 7759319E8028 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:07:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m011.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 89866774.2592578480.21869.00-037.p01c11m011.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <matthewdowning@netscape.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:32:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:28:11 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:32:39 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229153239.17229.31881.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: matthewdowning@netscape.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122911); R=0.091(10711292546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <matthewdowning@netscape.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:32:41 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:52 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:52 PM
SMTPOriginator: matthewdowning@netscape.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:32:41 AM-12/29/2007 08:32:42 AM,12/29/2007 08:32:42 AM-12/29/2007 08:32:43 AM
\$Orig: 23AF5CC979F37B2A872573C0005563DE
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:32:43 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Matthew Downing
1765 peachtree st.
Atlanta, GA 30309

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908324107-232823 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:32:41 -0700
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4797D19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:07:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 89866774.3117263792.234021.00-043.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <yordol@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:32:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:28:11 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:32:39 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229153239.17229.31879.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: yordol@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122912); R=0.091(10711292119); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <yordol@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:32:41 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:52 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:52 PM
SMTPOriginator: yordol@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:32:41 AM-12/29/2007 08:32:42 AM,12/29/2007 08:32:42 AM-12/29/2007 08:32:43 AM
\$Orig: E5D67933ABEA59C5872573C0005563CB
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:32:43 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
laura anderson
6213 Mountain Shadow Lane
West Cajon Valley, CA 92371

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908324022-232822 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:32:40 -0700
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 6F1E419E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:07:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 79866774.3316571056.234021.00-043.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ahhaz@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:32:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:28:11 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:32:39 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229153239.17229.31877.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: ahhaz@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122912); R=0.091(10711292119); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ahhaz@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:32:40 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:52 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:52 PM
SMTPOriginator: ahhaz@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:32:40 AM-12/29/2007 08:32:41 AM,12/29/2007 08:32:41 AM-12/29/2007 08:32:42 AM
\$Orig: 6AF7319D1A5CE939872573C000556376
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:32:42 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ashley Hand
P.O. Box 3707
Carefree, AZ 85377

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908284082-232799 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:28:40 -0700
Received: from p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 017A019E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:03:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 8a766774.2611616688.64652.00-072.p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <suzeq98@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:28:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:24:10 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:28:38 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229152838.17229.31867.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: suzeq98@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122911); R=0.091(10711292546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <suzeq98@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:28:40 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:53 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:53 PM
SMTPOriginator: suzeq98@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:28:40 AM-12/29/2007 08:28:42 AM,12/29/2007 08:28:42 AM-12/29/2007 08:28:43 AM
\$Orig: 1E0D9E7FDCFBA659872573C0005505F2
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:28:43 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Susan Pemberton
11784 S Cassidy Dr
Yuma, AZ 85367

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908284021-232798 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:28:40 -0700
Received: from p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 5E8B019E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:03:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 7a766774.2517375920.112589.00-042.p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <warblrwatchr@aol.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:28:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:24:10 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:28:38 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229152838.17229.31865.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: warblrwatchr@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122911); R=0.091(10711292540); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <warblrwatchr@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:28:40 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:53 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:53 PM
SMTPOriginator: warblrwatchr@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:28:40 AM-12/29/2007 08:28:41 AM,12/29/2007 08:28:41 AM-12/29/2007 08:28:42 AM
\$Orig: 8A4ABD6B68109A1A872573C0005505B5
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:28:42 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lawrence Toush
132 W Main
PO Box 368
Marcellus, MI 49067

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908283999-232797 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:28:39 -0700
Received: from p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2A37419E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:03:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 7a766774.2685045680.64652.00-072.p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <desert_oddity@hotmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:28:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:24:10 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:28:38 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229152838.17229.31863.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: desert_oddity@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122911); R=0.091(10711292546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <desert_oddity@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:28:39 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:53 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:53 PM
SMTPOriginator: desert_oddity@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:28:39 AM-12/29/2007 08:28:41 AM,12/29/2007 08:28:41 AM-12/29/2007 08:28:42 AM
\$Orig: AAF22523B244789C872573C00055059F
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:28:42 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Shannon Dyke
6101 Imperata St NE
Apt 1726
Albuquerque, NM 87111

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122908283916-232796 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:28:39 -0700
Received: from p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 5312B19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:02:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 6a766774.2622106544.64652.00-072.p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gefiredricksen@sbcglobal.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:28:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:24:10 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:28:38 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229152838.17229.31861.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: gefiredricksen@sbcglobal.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122911); R=0.091(10711292546); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <gefiredricksen@sbcglobal.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:28:39 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:54 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:54 PM
SMTPOriginator: gefiredricksen@sbcglobal.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:28:39 AM-12/29/2007 08:28:40 AM,12/29/2007 08:28:40 AM-12/29/2007 08:28:41 AM
\$Orig: B73FDF9DCDA2E2B0872573C00055054D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:28:41 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Glenn Fredricksen
1317 Sebastian Way
Sacramento, CA 95864

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908263433-232777 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:26:34 -0700
Received: from p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 794B519E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:00:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 92766774.3182336944.138302.00-142.p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <caloak@hotmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:26:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:22:04 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:26:32 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229152632.17229.31801.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: caloak@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122911); R=0.091(107112921037); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <caloak@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:26:34 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:54 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:54 PM
SMTPOriginator: caloak@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:26:34 AM-12/29/2007 08:26:36 AM,12/29/2007 08:26:36 AM-12/29/2007 08:26:37 AM
\$Orig: 9083FEAB4CC911D1872573C00054D489
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:26:37 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Oakley Howell
17888 Sutton Road
Redding, CA 96003

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908263411-232776 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:26:34 -0700
Received: from p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 43B1519E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:00:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 92766774.2550066096.75919.00-111.p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <blythe.lowry@gmail.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:26:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:22:04 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:26:32 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229152632.17229.31799.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: blythe.lowry@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122911); R=0.091(10711292858); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <blythe.lowry@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:26:34 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:55 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:55 PM
SMTPOriginator: blythe.lowry@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:26:34 AM-12/29/2007 08:26:35 AM,12/29/2007 08:26:35 AM-12/29/2007 08:26:36 AM
\$Orig: 86E1767E965A806B872573C00054D473
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:26:36 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Blythe Lowry
104 Brookfield Terrace
Ardmore, PA 19003

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908263325-232775 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:26:33 -0700
Received: from p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 6734A19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:00:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 82766774.2665462704.75919.00-111.p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ceg_wallace@yahoo.co.uk>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:26:32 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:22:04 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:26:32 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229152632.17229.31797.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: ceg_wallace@yahoo.co.uk
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122911); R=0.091(10711292858); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ceg_wallace@yahoo.co.uk>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:26:33 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:55 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:55 PM
SMTPOriginator: ceg_wallace@yahoo.co.uk
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:26:33 AM-12/29/2007 08:26:35 AM,12/29/2007 08:26:35 AM-12/29/2007 08:26:36 AM
\$Orig: F490CD7B46C703AB872573C00054D41D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:26:36 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Wallace
2747 Brandywine St NW
Washington, DC 20008

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908253505-232770 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:25:35 -0700
Received: from p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 30DB219E8028 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 07:59:55 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id ee666774.3265293232.6324.00-085.p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <joeyb1925@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:25:34 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:21:04 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:25:32 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229152532.17229.31785.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: joeyb1925@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122911); R=0.091(10711292119); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <joeyb1925@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:25:35 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:55 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:55 PM
SMTPOriginator: joeyb1925@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:25:35 AM-12/29/2007 08:25:36 AM,12/29/2007 08:25:36 AM-12/29/2007 08:25:37 AM
\$Orig: 41212897B7BC1F44872573C00054BD61
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:25:37 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Joseph Buchbinder
16031 Londelius Ave.
North Hills, CA 91343

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908253421-232768 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:25:34 -0700
Received: from p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 597D319E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 07:59:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id de666774.3149904816.6324.00-085.p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bookfindus@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:25:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:21:04 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:25:32 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229152532.17229.31781.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: bookfindus@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122911); R=0.091(10711292119); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <bookfindus@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:25:34 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:56 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:56 PM
SMTPOriginator: bookfindus@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:25:34 AM-12/29/2007 08:25:36 AM,12/29/2007 08:25:36 AM-12/29/2007 08:25:37 AM
\$Orig: 369EFB22533E757B872573C00054BD0E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:25:37 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
RYAN LUCAS
5316 PEBBLE BEACH AVE
SARASOTA, FL 34234

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908253494-232769 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:25:34 -0700
Received: from p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 177AE19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 07:59:55 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id ee666774.3485383600.290437.00-053.p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cbd@htfarm.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:25:34 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:21:04 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:25:32 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229152532.17229.31783.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: cbd@htfarm.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122911); R=0.091(107112921037); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <cbd@htfarm.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:25:34 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:56 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:56 PM
SMTPOriginator: cbd@htfarm.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:25:34 AM-12/29/2007 08:25:36 AM,12/29/2007 08:25:36 AM-12/29/2007 08:25:37 AM
\$Orig: 79A23C69B6C501A4872573C00054BD56
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:25:37 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
J. Holley Taylor
5745 SW 75th St., #362
Gainesville, FL 32608

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908253317-232766 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:25:33 -0700
Received: from p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 52B0F19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 07:59:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id ce666774.3076279216.290437.00-053.p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <c.watenpool@juno.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:25:32 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:21:04 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:25:32 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229152532.17229.31777.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: c.watenpool@juno.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122911); R=0.091(107112921037); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <c.watenpool@juno.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:25:33 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:56 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:56 PM
SMTPOriginator: c.watenpool@juno.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:25:33 AM-12/29/2007 08:25:35 AM,12/29/2007 08:25:35 AM-12/29/2007 08:25:35 AM
\$Orig: D775E981B3A76D51872573C00054BCA7
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:25:35 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Chris Watenpool
21571 Tovar Road
Harlingen, TX 78550

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908253402-232767 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:25:34 -0700
Received: from p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2966319E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 07:59:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id de666774.3265096624.290437.00-053.p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kdonofrio22@yahoo.com>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:25:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 29 Dec 2007 07:21:04 -0800
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:25:32 AM
\$MessageID: <20071229152532.17229.31779.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: kdonofrio22@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010220249; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122911); R=0.091(107112921037); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <kdonofrio22@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:25:34 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:57 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:57 PM
SMTPOriginator: kdonofrio22@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:25:34 AM-12/29/2007 08:25:35 AM,12/29/2007 08:25:35 AM-12/29/2007 08:25:35 AM
\$Orig: 2144AB3DDDAFEA10872573C00054BCFA
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:25:35 AM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
karen donofrio
252 s 44th st
philadelphia, PA 19104

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908225988-232747 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:22:59 -0700
Received: from p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id F336319E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 07:57:19 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 35666774.2659044272.93840.00-084.p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:22:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 29 Dec 2007 09:22:53 -0600
\$MessageID: <12154394.1198941773571.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:22:53 AM
From: Terry Sario <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Terry Sario <tsario@juno.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nyttQK9@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001462068; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.014(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122911); R=0.009(10711292116); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:22:59 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:57 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:57 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:22:59 AM-12/29/2007 08:23:01 AM,12/29/2007 08:23:01 AM-12/29/2007 08:23:01 AM
\$Orig: C0175FD1BAB7D92E872573C0005480C4
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:23:01 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Terry Sario
4666 N 19th Ave
Phoenix, AZ 85015-4152

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908225926-232745 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:22:59 -0700
Received: from p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 5E8D719E8143 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 07:57:19 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 25666774.2614901680.207034.00-023.p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:22:58 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 29 Dec 2007 09:22:53 -0600
\$MessageID: <12660888.1198941773361.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:22:53 AM
From: "G.L. Sanchez-Levine" <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: "G.L. Sanchez-Levine" <sanchezlevine394@aol.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nyttQee@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122911); R=0.009(10711292117); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:22:59 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:57 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:57 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:22:59 AM-12/29/2007 08:23:01 AM,12/29/2007 08:23:01 AM-12/29/2007 08:23:01 AM
\$Orig: 6835956FE11FFF19872573C000548087
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:23:01 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. G.L. Sanchez-Levine
244 Carlos Rd NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113-1402

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122908225810-232738 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:22:58 -0700
Received: from p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 36ED619E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 07:57:18 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 15666774.2508053424.72301.00-059.p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:22:57 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 29 Dec 2007 09:22:53 -0600
\$MessageID: <6221852.1198941773081.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:22:53 AM
From: Brenda Beattie <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Brenda Beattie <bsbeattie@yahoo.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nyttQ9E@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001525339; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.014(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122911); R=0.009(10711292116); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:22:58 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:58 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:58 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:22:58 AM-12/29/2007 08:23:00 AM,12/29/2007 08:23:00 AM-12/29/2007 08:23:00 AM
\$Orig: 561F14D79997A977872573C000548012
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:23:00 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Brenda Beattie
221 N Melendres St
Las Cruces, NM 88005-2505

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122908225624-232728 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:22:56 -0700
Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 57E4619E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 07:57:16 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f4666774.3379649456.51653.00-121.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:22:55 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:22:52 -0600
\$MessageID: <26095174.1198941772644.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:22:52 AM
From: Heather Reichert <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Heather Reichert <my_lame_screenname@yahoo.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping- Please Help
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nyttEQK@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001886790; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.018(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122911); R=0.009(10711292119); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:22:56 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:58 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:58 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:22:56 AM-12/29/2007 08:22:58 AM,12/29/2007 08:22:58 AM-12/29/2007 08:22:58 AM
\$Orig: B6EEEC2ADBB50E68872573C000547F58
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:22:58 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for seriously considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Miss Heather Reichert
17218 Greenwood Dr
Round Hill, VA 20141-4423

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122908225547-232725 ; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:22:55 -0700
Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 93D1C19E8023 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Sat, 29 Dec 2007 07:57:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e4666774.3243281328.51653.00-121.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Sat, 29 Dec 2007 08:22:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 29 Dec 2007 09:22:52 -0600
\$MessageID: <18740070.1198941772496.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/29/2007 08:22:52 AM
From: Thomas Farmer <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Thomas Farmer <thorifar@yahoo.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nyttEEy@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001861163; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.018(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122911); R=0.009(10711292119); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/29/2007 08:22:55 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:35:58 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:35:58 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/29/2007 08:22:55 AM-12/29/2007 08:22:57 AM,12/29/2007 08:22:57 AM-12/29/2007 08:22:57 AM
\$Orig: 93E161CAC7CB0741872573C000547F0D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/29/2007 08:22:57 AM

Dec 29, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Farmer
1255 S Camino Arriba
Tucson, AZ 85713-1304