

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820385019-227571 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:38:50 -0700
Received: from p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 654D919E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:13:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 941c5774.2571054000.6715.00-033.p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <manglebaby@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:38:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:34:21 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:38:49 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229033849.17229.17845.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: manglebaby@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010160603; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128203541); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <manglebaby@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:38:50 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:14 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:14 PM
SMTPOriginator: manglebaby@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:38:50 PM-12/28/2007 08:38:51 PM,12/28/2007 08:38:51 PM-12/28/2007 08:38:51 PM
\$Orig: 7C5AD0D8AEED60B8872573C0001408FB
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:38:51 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from

their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sophia Vassilakidis
470 Avondale
Houston, TX 77006

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820373160-227559 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:37:31 -0700
Received: from p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C6EA219E8015 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:11:43 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id bf0c5774.2528000944.53616.00-013.p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lmsaliscente@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:37:31 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:33:01 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:37:29 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229033729.17229.17823.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: lmsaliscente@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <lmsaliscente@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:37:31 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:15 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:15 PM
SMTPOriginator: lmsaliscente@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:37:31 PM-12/28/2007 08:37:33 PM,12/28/2007 08:37:33 PM-12/28/2007 08:37:33 PM
\$Orig: D045C2EEA7AFF10B872573C00013EA49
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:37:33 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lika Saliscente
1622 Kearney Ave.
Racine, WI 53405

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820373224-227560 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:37:32 -0700
Received: from p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 6D0E619E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:11:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id bf0c5774.2444995504.16254.00-034.p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <airborne.words@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:37:31 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:33:01 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:37:29 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229033729.17229.17825.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: airborne.words@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144555); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <airborne.words@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:37:32 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:15 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:15 PM
SMTPOriginator: airborne.words@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:37:32 PM-12/28/2007 08:37:33 PM,12/28/2007 08:37:33 PM-12/28/2007 08:37:33 PM
\$Orig: F3D024322B34D084872573C00013EA88
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:37:33 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Hannah F
538 Edward Road
W. Melbourne, FL 32904

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820373145-227558 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:37:31 -0700
Received: from p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id A344119E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:11:43 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id af0c5774.2528914352.16254.00-034.p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <diane_weinstein@msn.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:37:30 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:33:01 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:37:28 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229033728.17229.17821.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: diane_weinstein@msn.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144555); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <diane_weinstein@msn.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:37:31 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:16 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:16 PM
SMTPOriginator: diane_weinstein@msn.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:37:31 PM-12/28/2007 08:37:33 PM,12/28/2007 08:37:33 PM-12/28/2007 08:37:33 PM
\$Orig: DB4C264E3F07E2BA872573C00013EA3B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:37:33 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Diane Weinstein
24116 SE 45th Place
Issaquah, WA 98029

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820373064-227556 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:37:30 -0700
Received: from p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id CF2AE19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:11:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id af0c5774.2591853488.16254.00-034.p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dhj47@comcast.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:37:30 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:33:01 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:37:28 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229033728.17229.17817.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: dhj47@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144555); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <dhj47@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:37:30 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:16 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:16 PM
SMTPOriginator: dhj47@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:37:30 PM-12/28/2007 08:37:32 PM,12/28/2007 08:37:32 PM-12/28/2007 08:37:32 PM
\$Orig: 5133B46725E43DC1872573C00013E9E8
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:37:32 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
david h jones
4007 densmore ave n
seattle, WA 98103

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820373078-227557 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:37:30 -0700
Received: from p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id F337619E8015 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:11:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id af0c5774.2674858928.53616.00-013.p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <chungkat@hawaii.edu>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:37:30 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:33:01 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:37:28 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229033728.17229.17819.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: chungkat@hawaii.edu
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <chungkat@hawaii.edu>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:37:30 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:17 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:17 PM
SMTPOriginator: chungkat@hawaii.edu
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:37:30 PM-12/28/2007 08:37:32 PM,12/28/2007 08:37:32 PM-12/28/2007 08:37:32 PM
\$Orig: 7568A7165FE3BDCF872573C00013E9F6
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:37:32 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
K. Chung
2318 Kipona Place
Honolulu, HI 96816

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820372981-227555 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:37:29 -0700
Received: from p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 06E6B19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:11:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 9f0c5774.2707241904.16254.00-034.p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kalestarfire7@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:37:29 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:33:01 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:37:28 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229033728.17229.17815.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: kalestarfire7@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144555); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <kalestarfire7@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:37:29 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:17 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:17 PM
SMTPOriginator: kalestarfire7@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:37:29 PM-12/28/2007 08:37:31 PM,12/28/2007 08:37:31 PM-12/28/2007 08:37:31 PM
\$Orig: E7F21FB609E4B476872573C00013E997
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:37:31 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carrie Daddow
371 East 570 North
Hyde Park, UT 84318

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820335988-227539 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:33:59 -0700
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0E10619E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:12 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 720c5774.2622458800.3590.00-008.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <genie36936@sbcglobal.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:33:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:29:29 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:33:57 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229033357.17229.17719.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: genie36936@sbcglobal.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <genie36936@sbcglobal.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:33:59 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:18 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:18 PM
SMTPOriginator: genie36936@sbcglobal.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:33:59 PM-12/28/2007 08:34:01 PM,12/28/2007 08:34:01 PM-12/28/2007 08:34:02 PM
\$Orig: B9785A8F5A15A5CA872573C000139795
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:34:02 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Marion Lamb
8638 S Atlanta Pl
Tulsa, OK 74137-3236

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820335927-227537 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:33:59 -0700
Received: from p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 69AFD19E8015 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:11 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 620c5774.2456525744.70139.00-058.p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <claireflewitt@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:33:58 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:29:29 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:33:57 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229033357.17229.17717.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: claireflewitt@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <claireflewitt@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:33:59 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:18 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:18 PM
SMTPOriginator: claireflewitt@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:33:59 PM-12/28/2007 08:34:00 PM,12/28/2007 08:34:00 PM-12/28/2007 08:34:01 PM
\$Orig: 6D06F6C527DA0B45872573C000139757
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:34:01 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

It's about time that some sensible people have a chance to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves.

Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please DO NOT include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Claire Flewitt
975 Soto Drive
San Lorenzo, CA 94580

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820335910-227536 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:33:59 -0700
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4077D19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:11 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 620c5774.2559519664.3590.00-008.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ervinj@jps.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:33:58 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:29:29 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:33:57 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229033357.17229.17715.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: ervinj@jps.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ervinj@jps.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:33:59 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:19 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:19 PM
SMTPOriginator: ervinj@jps.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:33:59 PM-12/28/2007 08:34:00 PM,12/28/2007 08:34:00 PM-12/28/2007 08:34:01 PM
\$Orig: FB332D12BBFFB850872573C000139746
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:34:01 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ervin Jindrich
9 Heuter Lane
Mill Valley, CA 94941-2701

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820335825-227535 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:33:58 -0700
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 6756119E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 520c5774.2391681968.3590.00-008.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <judywsoffler@optonline.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:33:57 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:29:29 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:33:57 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229033357.17229.17713.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: judywsoffler@optonline.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <judywsoffler@optonline.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:33:58 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:19 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:19 PM
SMTPOriginator: judywsoffler@optonline.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:33:58 PM-12/28/2007 08:33:59 PM,12/28/2007 08:33:59 PM-12/28/2007 08:34:00 PM
\$Orig: 5685586D5358FA8A872573C0001396F1
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:34:00 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Judy W. Soffler
8 Termakay Drive
New City, NY 10956-6434

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820325911-227528 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:32:59 -0700
Received: from p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 43DC319E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:07:11 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id aefb5774.2663205808.61247.00-018.p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <linncrusier@frontiernet.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:32:58 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:28:28 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:32:55 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229033255.17229.17709.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: Linncrusier@frontiernet.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <linncrusier@frontiernet.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:32:59 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:20 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:20 PM
SMTPOriginator: linncrusier@frontiernet.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:32:59 PM-12/28/2007 08:33:00 PM,12/28/2007 08:33:00 PM-12/28/2007 08:33:01 PM
\$Orig: E47B212A7BB2BDC8872573C000137FD8
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:33:01 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Steve Linnerooth
16236 308th. St.
Center City, MN 55012-7681

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820325828-227527 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:32:58 -0700
Received: from p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 6BCC519E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:07:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 9efb5774.2379975600.61247.00-018.p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mtrfe2@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:32:57 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:28:28 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:32:55 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229033255.17229.17707.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: mtrfe2@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <mtrfe2@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:32:58 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:21 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:21 PM
SMTPOriginator: mtrfe2@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:32:58 PM-12/28/2007 08:33:00 PM,12/28/2007 08:33:00 PM-12/28/2007 08:33:00 PM
\$Orig: EA5CC399E887BEE7872573C000137F84
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:33:00 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mark Trifeletti
1809 North James St
Rome, NY 13440

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820325750-227526 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:32:57 -0700
Received: from p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id A1B7719E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:07:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 8efb5774.2610756528.61247.00-018.p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rwent52@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:32:56 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:28:28 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:32:55 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229033255.17229.17705.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: rwent52@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <rwent52@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:32:57 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:21 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:21 PM
SMTPOriginator: rwent52@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:32:57 PM-12/28/2007 08:32:59 PM,12/28/2007 08:32:59 PM-12/28/2007 08:32:59 PM
\$Orig: 4A3CF02E63D33980872573C000137F36
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:32:59 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Richard Wentzel
1531 Cedar Hedge Rd.
Edgar, WI 54426

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820325667-227525 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:32:56 -0700
Received: from p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id CC16019E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:07:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 8efb5774.2495364016.61247.00-018.p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ejprice@zianet.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:32:56 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:28:28 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:32:55 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229033255.17229.17703.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: ejprice@zianet.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ejprice@zianet.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:32:56 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:22 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:22 PM
SMTPOriginator: ejprice@zianet.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:32:56 PM-12/28/2007 08:32:58 PM,12/28/2007 08:32:58 PM-12/28/2007 08:32:58 PM
\$Orig: 41EEBC327BAB28BA872573C000137EE5
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:32:58 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elisabeth Price
2809 Carolina NE
Albuquerque, NM 87110

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820311983-227515 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:31:19 -0700
Received: from p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id ED4AB19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:05:31 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 78fb5774.2432605104.174499.00-077.p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <panozzo@juno.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:31:19 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:26:50 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:31:18 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229033118.17229.17685.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: panozzo@juno.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <panozzo@juno.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:31:19 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:22 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:22 PM
SMTPOriginator: panozzo@juno.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:31:19 PM-12/28/2007 08:31:20 PM,12/28/2007 08:31:21 PM-12/28/2007 08:31:21 PM
\$Orig: 7C2A6E2CF3F6589E872573C00013590F
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:31:21 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rick Panozzo
2211 Westlake Rd
Twin Lake, MI 49457-9040

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820312008-227516 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:31:20 -0700
Received: from p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 3759C19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:05:32 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 78fb5774.2403888048.62432.00-072.p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kenhelen@bellsouth.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:31:19 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:26:50 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:31:18 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229033118.17229.17687.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: kenhelen@bellsouth.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <kenhelen@bellsouth.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:31:20 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:23 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:23 PM
SMTPOriginator: kenhelen@bellsouth.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:31:20 PM-12/28/2007 08:31:21 PM,12/28/2007 08:31:21 PM-12/28/2007 08:31:21 PM
\$Orig: 147CBEDDF74A6822872573C000135928
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:31:21 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kenneth S. Warren
105 Evans Lane
Oak Ridge, TN 37830-4128

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820311906-227514 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:31:19 -0700
Received: from p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 3046419E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:05:31 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 68fb5774.2568973232.174499.00-077.p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gcroninsf@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:31:18 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:26:50 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:31:18 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229033118.17229.17683.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: gcroninsf@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <gcroninsf@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:31:19 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:23 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:23 PM
SMTPOriginator: gcroninsf@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:31:19 PM-12/28/2007 08:31:19 PM,12/28/2007 08:31:20 PM-12/28/2007 08:31:20 PM
\$Orig: ABB0F8DEAEB7B0AB872573C0001358C2
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:31:20 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Gary Cronin
2210 Miguel Chavez Rd., Apt 714
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820295205-227498 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:29:52 -0700
Received: from p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2971C19E8015 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:04:04 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id f2fb5774.2641181616.109550.00-083.p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jcwhiteford@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:29:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:25:21 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:29:49 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032949.17229.17681.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jcwhiteford@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jcwhiteford@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:29:52 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:24 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:24 PM
SMTPOriginator: jcwhiteford@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:29:52 PM-12/28/2007 08:29:53 PM,12/28/2007 08:29:53 PM-12/28/2007 08:29:54 PM
\$Orig: F67B45B2C4F13643872573C0001336C5
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:29:54 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
James Whiteford
5039 N Damen Ave APT 2
Chicago, IL 60625

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820295164-227496 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:29:51 -0700
Received: from p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id BA0E519E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:04:03 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f2fb5774.2443033520.8155.00-107.p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jadehobson@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:29:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:25:21 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:29:49 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032949.17229.17677.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jadehobson@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144555); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jadehobson@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:29:51 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:25 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:25 PM
SMTPOriginator: jadehobson@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:29:51 PM-12/28/2007 08:29:53 PM,12/28/2007 08:29:53 PM-12/28/2007 08:29:54 PM
\$Orig: B596CCC26CEE6BD9872573C00013369C
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:29:54 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jade Hobson
18 Spoonwood Rd
Wilton, CT 06897

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820295187-227497 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:29:51 -0700
Received: from p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0010319E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:04:03 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f2fb5774.2433641392.3026.00-027.p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <luerth@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:29:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:25:21 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:29:49 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032949.17229.17679.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: luerth@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <luerth@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:29:51 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:25 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:25 PM
SMTPOriginator: luerth@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:29:51 PM-12/28/2007 08:29:53 PM,12/28/2007 08:29:53 PM-12/28/2007 08:29:53 PM
\$Orig: 7C03776CF296BCAD872573C0001336B3
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:29:53 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
kristin holst
315 Woodcrest Dr.
Springfield, IL 62703

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820295103-227495 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:29:51 -0700
Received: from p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2604819E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:04:03 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id e2fb5774.2433641392.3026.00-027.p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <yatesld@verizon.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:29:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:25:21 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:29:49 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032949.17229.17675.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: yatesld@verizon.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <yatesld@verizon.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:29:51 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:26 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:26 PM
SMTPOriginator: yatesld@verizon.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:29:51 PM-12/28/2007 08:29:52 PM,12/28/2007 08:29:52 PM-12/28/2007 08:29:53 PM
\$Orig: CD71A02596C66524872573C00013365F
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:29:53 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lisa Yates
1716 Scott Street
Lafayette, IN 47904

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820295081-227494 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:29:50 -0700
Received: from p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id E625419E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:04:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e2fb5774.2505972656.8155.00-107.p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mandas4@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:29:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:25:21 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:29:49 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032949.17229.17673.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: mandas4@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144555); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <mandas4@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:29:50 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:26 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:26 PM
SMTPOriginator: mandas4@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:29:50 PM-12/28/2007 08:29:52 PM,12/28/2007 08:29:52 PM-12/28/2007 08:29:52 PM
\$Orig: 17150DBB337A81D5872573C00013364B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:29:52 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kerry Goodrum
101 Pavilion Pkwy.
Fayetteville, GA 30214

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820295000-227493 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:29:50 -0700
Received: from p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1964919E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:04:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id d2fb5774.2516462512.8155.00-107.p01c11m071.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <d_bluhm@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:29:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:25:21 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:29:49 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032949.17229.17671.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: d_bluhm@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144555); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <d_bluhm@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:29:50 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:27 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:27 PM
SMTPOriginator: d_bluhm@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:29:50 PM-12/28/2007 08:29:50 PM,12/28/2007 08:29:51 PM-12/28/2007 08:29:51 PM
\$Orig: C98B418DA35B2458872573C0001335F8
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:29:51 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
David Bluhm
9801 - 52nd St. W.
University Place, WA 98467

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820274750-227484 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:27:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 92DCA19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:01:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 2beb5774.2601429936.42525.00-063.p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kanderson@marine.usf.edu>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:27:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:23:13 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:27:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032740.17229.17547.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: kanderson@marine.usf.edu
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <kanderson@marine.usf.edu>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:27:47 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:27 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:27 PM
SMTPOriginator: kanderson@marine.usf.edu
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:27:47 PM-12/28/2007 08:27:48 PM,12/28/2007 08:27:49 PM-12/28/2007 08:27:50 PM
\$Orig: A334B7A10705B8C1872573C00013061E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:27:50 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
kelley anderson
140 7th Ave S
St. Petersburg, FL 33701

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820274319-227481 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:27:43 -0700
Received: from p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4731B19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:01:55 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id eaeb5774.2528361392.98510.00-030.p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <petradoec@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:27:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:23:13 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:27:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032740.17229.17545.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: petradoec@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <petradoec@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:27:43 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:28 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:28 PM
SMTPOriginator: petradoec@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:27:43 PM-12/28/2007 08:27:45 PM,12/28/2007 08:27:45 PM-12/28/2007 08:27:46 PM
\$Orig: 0C0AE6B877385CB9872573C00013046F
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:27:46 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Maria Angela Grow
330 Forest Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45229

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820274250-227480 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:27:42 -0700
Received: from p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 923EB19E8183 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:01:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id daeb5774.2423102384.42525.00-063.p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ravenkate49@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:27:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:23:13 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:27:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032740.17229.17543.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: ravenkate49@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ravenkate49@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:27:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:28 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:28 PM
SMTPOriginator: ravenkate49@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:27:42 PM-12/28/2007 08:27:43 PM,12/28/2007 08:27:44 PM-12/28/2007 08:27:45 PM
\$Orig: 771A36DE30C71648872573C00013042A
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:27:45 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kate Crowley
82119 Bennett Rd
Willow River, MN 55795

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820274236-227478 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:27:42 -0700
Received: from p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 70FBF19E8015 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:01:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id daeb5774.2591300528.98510.00-030.p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <smorgan1964@earthlink.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:27:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:23:13 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:27:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032740.17229.17541.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: smorgan1964@earthlink.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <smorgan1964@earthlink.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:27:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:29 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:29 PM
SMTPOriginator: smorgan1964@earthlink.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:27:42 PM-12/28/2007 08:27:43 PM,12/28/2007 08:27:44 PM-12/28/2007 08:27:45 PM
\$Orig: 7DF54981FB711420872573C00013041E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:27:45 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Susan Morgan
1681 Peaceful Valley Drive
Maple Falls, WA 98266

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820274149-227477 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:27:41 -0700
Received: from p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 8F85A19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:01:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id caeb5774.2549341104.98510.00-030.p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rsleibld@ctaz.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:27:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:23:13 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:27:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032740.17229.17539.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: rsleibld@ctaz.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0045870385; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.043(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <rsleibld@ctaz.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:27:41 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:29 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:29 PM
SMTPOriginator: rsleibld@ctaz.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:27:41 PM-12/28/2007 08:27:42 PM,12/28/2007 08:27:43 PM-12/28/2007 08:27:44 PM
\$Orig: CED7617620353887872573C0001303C5
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:27:44 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
richard leibold
4696 So Hi Blvd
Golden Valley, AZ 86413

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820253592-227469 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:25:35 -0700
Received: from p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id F33E319E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:59:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id f2eb5774.2580773808.26811.00-020.p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <zvelyc@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:25:35 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:21:05 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:25:33 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032533.17229.17529.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: zvelyc@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <zvelyc@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:25:35 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:30 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:30 PM
SMTPOriginator: zvelyc@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:25:35 PM-12/28/2007 08:25:37 PM,12/28/2007 08:25:38 PM-12/28/2007 08:25:38 PM
\$Orig: A326C5D3320FEB7C872573C00012D2B8
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:25:38 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carina Zevely
5936 SE 17th Loop
Gresham, OR 97080

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820253567-227468 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:25:35 -0700
Received: from p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B603519E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:59:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f2eb5774.2455858096.103799.00-025.p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sinisternix@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:25:35 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:21:05 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:25:33 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032533.17229.17527.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: sinisternix@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144555); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <sinisternix@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:25:35 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:30 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:30 PM
SMTPOriginator: sinisternix@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:25:35 PM-12/28/2007 08:25:36 PM,12/28/2007 08:25:37 PM-12/28/2007 08:25:37 PM
\$Orig: 3BEDED347121C339872573C00012D29F
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:25:37 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jesse Smallwood
222 S. Tryon #6
Woodstock, IL 60098

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820253489-227467 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:25:34 -0700
Received: from p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id EE0FD19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:59:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e2eb5774.2686639024.103799.00-025.p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <butterflygirl333@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:25:34 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:21:05 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:25:33 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032533.17229.17525.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: butterflygirl333@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144555); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <butterflygirl333@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:25:34 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:31 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:31 PM
SMTPOriginator: butterflygirl333@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:25:34 PM-12/28/2007 08:25:36 PM,12/28/2007 08:25:37 PM-12/28/2007 08:25:37 PM
\$Orig: AA9F944C54AA0026872573C00012D253
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:25:37 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lauren Henderson
916 Sunset Drive
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820253413-227466 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:25:34 -0700
Received: from p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3356A19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:59:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id d2eb5774.2550266800.103799.00-025.p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <katiecondy@ghvalley.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:25:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:21:05 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:25:33 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032533.17229.17523.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: katiecondy@ghvalley.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144555); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <katiecondy@ghvalley.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:25:34 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:31 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:31 PM
SMTPOriginator: katiecondy@ghvalley.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:25:34 PM-12/28/2007 08:25:35 PM,12/28/2007 08:25:36 PM-12/28/2007 08:25:36 PM
\$Orig: 8B27C44A89CB0029872573C00012D205
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:25:36 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
katie condriuc
5668 brian ave
pueblo, CO 81004

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820233164-227456 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:23:31 -0700
Received: from p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id A9AE019E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:57:43 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 3bdb5774.3527658416.114178.00-052.p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <benetmercedes@mac.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:23:31 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:18:58 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:23:26 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032326.17229.17427.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: benetmercedes@mac.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <benetmercedes@mac.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:23:31 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:31 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:31 PM
SMTPOriginator: benetmercedes@mac.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:23:31 PM-12/28/2007 08:23:33 PM,12/28/2007 08:23:34 PM-12/28/2007 08:23:34 PM
\$Orig: 1A5D4F91A8ABBA06872573C00012A22C
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:23:34 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mercedes Benet
6550 Ambrosia Lane
Carlsbad, CA 92011

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820233080-227454 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:23:30 -0700
Received: from p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id CEC4219E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:57:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 2bdb5774.3475209136.114178.00-052.p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <chitaral2@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:23:30 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:18:58 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:23:26 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032326.17229.17423.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: chitaral2@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <chitaral2@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:23:30 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:32 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:32 PM
SMTPOriginator: chitaral2@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:23:30 PM-12/28/2007 08:23:32 PM,12/28/2007 08:23:33 PM-12/28/2007 08:23:33 PM
\$Orig: DD5EA1076475C6DB872573C00012A1D8
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:23:33 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sarai-David Martinez-Turrubiarres
3232 W. Eastwood
Chicago, IL 60625

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820233102-227455 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:23:31 -0700
Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 0FF9719E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:57:43 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 2bdb5774.2431384496.16847.00-045.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <randypress@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:23:30 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:18:58 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:23:26 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032326.17229.17425.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: randypress@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <randypress@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:23:31 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:32 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:32 PM
SMTPOriginator: randypress@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:23:31 PM-12/28/2007 08:23:32 PM,12/28/2007 08:23:33 PM-12/28/2007 08:23:33 PM
\$Orig: 8D83A70BC481796B872573C00012A1EE
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:23:33 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Roland Press
1290 7th St.
Hermosa Beach, CA 90254-4946

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820233000-227453 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:23:30 -0700
Received: from p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0E06419E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:57:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 1bdb5774.3380800432.114178.00-052.p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lindaz@pobox.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:23:29 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:18:58 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:23:26 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032326.17229.17421.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: lindaz@pobox.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <lindaz@pobox.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:23:30 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:32 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:32 PM
SMTPOriginator: lindaz@pobox.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:23:30 PM-12/28/2007 08:23:31 PM,12/28/2007 08:23:32 PM-12/28/2007 08:23:32 PM
\$Orig: E1362DFAE998457D872573C00012A188
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:23:32 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government taking and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase the taking of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Linda Zatopek
PO Box 262
Silver City, NM 88062

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820220375-227447 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:22:03 -0700
Received: from p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B82B019E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:56:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id b5db5774.2673470384.78446.00-048.p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <smcgee43@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:22:03 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:17:34 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:22:01 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032201.17229.17417.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: smcgee43@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <smcgee43@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:22:03 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:33 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:33 PM
SMTPOriginator: smcgee43@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:22:03 PM-12/28/2007 08:22:05 PM,12/28/2007 08:22:06 PM-12/28/2007 08:22:06 PM
\$Orig: 4CE08452FB23678E872573C000127FD7
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:22:06 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sandra McGee
664 N. Quentin Rd.
Palatine, IL 60067

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820220293-227446 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:22:02 -0700
Received: from p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id E499019E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:56:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id a5db5774.166566832.78446.00-048.p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sharlane@donjacobsonphoto.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:22:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:17:34 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:22:01 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032201.17229.17415.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: sharlane@donjacobsonphoto.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <sharlane@donjacobsonphoto.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:22:02 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:33 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:33 PM
SMTPOriginator: sharlane@donjacobsonphoto.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:22:02 PM-12/28/2007 08:22:04 PM,12/28/2007 08:22:05 PM-12/28/2007 08:22:05 PM
\$Orig: 158D84BDD4534928872573C000127F85
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:22:05 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sharlane Blaise
941 SE 55th Ave.
Portland, OR 97215

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820215303-227437 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:53 -0700
Received: from p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 0A0F619E8184 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:56:04 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 05db5774.2693929904.20099.00-092.p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 21:21:48 -0600
\$MessageID: <23133949.1198898508378.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:48 PM
From: Christine Dana <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Christine Dana <xbloodynailpolishx@yahoo.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytQKn4@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.009(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:21:53 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:34 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:34 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:21:53 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:54 PM,12/28/2007 08:21:55 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:55 PM
\$Orig: 350408A07C8BAD7E872573C000127BA7
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:55 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Miss Christine Dana
134 S Rush St Apt 4
Prescott, AZ 86303-4409

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820215253-227432 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:52 -0700
Received: from p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 8198F19E8015 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:56:04 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id f4db5774.2602339248.62974.00-098.p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 21:21:48 -0600
\$MessageID: <24366297.1198898508300.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:48 PM
From: Cornelia Warlick <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Cornelia Warlick <lobo@tularosa.net>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytQKKQ@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001488211; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.014(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.009(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [66.45.103.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:21:52 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:34 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:34 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:21:52 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:54 PM,12/28/2007 08:21:55 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:55 PM
\$Orig: 3C0F52685DD5A367872573C000127B75
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:55 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery

efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

I like to know if there isn't enough land which ranchers do not use so the wolves could have space since the ranchers here have to be so afraid that they get attacked by wolves like so many newspapers here write, also I never found an actually article were a human got attacked by a wolf in the southwest.

Since the decision was made to reintroduce the wolves I believe we have a responsibility towards them and give we should give them a chance and not go ahead and start killing them again.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Cornelia Warlick
1 Arabian Way
La Luz, NM 88337-9319

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820215325-227438 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:53 -0700
Received: from p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3F5CD19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:56:05 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 05db5774.2644298672.62974.00-098.p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 28 Dec 2007 21:21:48 -0600
\$MessageID: <11626508.1198898508396.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:48 PM
From: Christine Dana <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Christine Dana <xbloodynailpolishx@yahoo.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytQKnK@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001051790; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.009(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:21:53 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:34 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:34 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:21:53 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:54 PM,12/28/2007 08:21:55 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:55 PM
\$Orig: CBF0843D93154666872573C000127BBE
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:55 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Miss Christine Dana
134 S Rush St Apt 4
Prescott, AZ 86303-4409

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820215287-227434 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:52 -0700
Received: from p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id D6B7219E8015 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:56:04 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 05db5774.3622419376.49106.00-093.p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 21:21:48 -0600
\$MessageID: <1055319.1198898508343.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:48 PM
From: Christine Dana <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Christine Dana <xbloodynailpolishx@yahoo.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytQKKt@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0002472101; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.023(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.009(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:21:52 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:35 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:35 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:21:52 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:54 PM,12/28/2007 08:21:54 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:55 PM
\$Orig: A77918D97C91E603872573C000127B99
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:55 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Miss Christine Dana
134 S Rush St Apt 4
Prescott, AZ 86303-4409

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820215237-227431 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:52 -0700
Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 5BC8C19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:56:04 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id f4db5774.2546772912.14999.00-022.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 21:21:48 -0600
\$MessageID: <10930024.1198898508261.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:48 PM
From: Maureen Chestnut <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Maureen Chestnut <maureenchestnut@cox.net>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytQKKM@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001668140; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.016(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.009(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [66.45.103.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:21:52 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:35 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:35 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:21:52 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:53 PM,12/28/2007 08:21:53 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:54 PM
\$Orig: FA5A2A7C7906C936872573C000127B65
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:54 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery

efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Maureen Chestnut
PO Box 2150
Carefree, AZ 85377-2150

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820215147-227423 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:51 -0700
Received: from p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 74C1819E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:56:03 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e4db5774.3632909232.49106.00-093.p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 21:21:47 -0600
\$MessageID: <32078306.1198898507766.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:47 PM
From: Maria Layaja <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Maria Layaja <punkrockerchick13@hotmail.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytQK4e@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0002323320; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.022(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.009(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:21:51 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:35 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:35 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:21:51 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:52 PM,12/28/2007 08:21:53 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:53 PM
\$Orig: C212968C7487835D872573C000127B0C
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:53 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Maria Layaja
53 N Glebe Rd
Arlington, VA 22203-3703

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820215012-227416 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:50 -0700
Received: from p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 1CCDB19E8185 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:56:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id d4db5774.3317730224.25667.00-040.p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 21:21:47 -0600
\$MessageID: <2086802.1198898507098.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:47 PM
From: Chad Becker <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Chad Becker <darkmetal_89@hotmail.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytQeEy@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001541339; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.015(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.009(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:21:50 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:36 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:36 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:21:50 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:51 PM,12/28/2007 08:21:51 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:52 PM
\$Orig: E41D69CEB42E4D76872573C000127A84
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:52 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chad Becker
11581 Grapevine St
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730-7270

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820215012-227415 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:50 -0700
Received: from p01c11m017.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 1AFDC19E8183 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:56:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m017.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id d4db5774.156076976.26199.00-026.p01c11m017.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 21:21:46 -0600
\$MessageID: <12876003.1198898507063.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:47 PM
From: Dianne Douglas <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Dianne Douglas <ddouglas@mainex1.asu.edu>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytQeEn@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001469961; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.014(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.009(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:21:50 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:36 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:36 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:21:50 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:51 PM,12/28/2007 08:21:52 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:52 PM
\$Orig: B4D8E128F9CD12D3872573C000127A84
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:52 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

The Mexican wolves should be protected and managed humanely.

Sincerely

Dianne Douglas

Every life has a purpose. All animals are individuals and they have feelings and thoughts and they suffer the pain and the joy that we do. They are entitled and they deserve an opportunity to live.

"The greatness of a nation and its moral progress can be judged by the way its animals are treated." Mahatma Gandhi

Sincerely,

Ms. Dianne Douglas

2723 E Valencia Dr
Phoenix, AZ 85042-7082

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820214937-227412 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:49 -0700
Received: from p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 5D66419E8184 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:56:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id c4db5774.3433118640.25667.00-040.p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:48 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 21:21:46 -0600
\$MessageID: <1629751.1198898506942.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:46 PM
From: Darcie Gay <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Darcie Gay <darciegay@hotmail.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytQeMQ@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0003465625; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.033(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.009(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:21:49 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:37 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:37 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:21:49 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:50 PM,12/28/2007 08:21:50 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:51 PM
\$Orig: 336B9A3D60986FCE872573C000127A39
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:51 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Darcie Gay
751 w cholla crest
Continental, AZ 85629

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820214993-227413 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:49 -0700
Received: from p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id E755919E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:56:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id d4db5774.2592893872.56702.00-037.p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 21:21:46 -0600
\$MessageID: <18600527.1198898507026.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:47 PM
From: Chad Becker <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Chad Becker <darkmetal_89@hotmail.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytQeEe@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.009(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:21:49 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:37 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:37 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:21:49 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:50 PM,12/28/2007 08:21:51 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:51 PM
\$Orig: 45FAAE5B9E863724872573C000127A73
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:51 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chad Becker
11581 Grapevine St
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730-7270

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820214704-227400 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 0A40019E8015 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:55:58 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id a4db5774.3400575920.277652.00-006.p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 21:21:41 -0600
\$MessageID: <1299452.1198898501273.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:41 PM
From: Tammy Irwin <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Tammy Irwin <cowgrlup65@hotmail.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytQeKM@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0002323156; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.022(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.009(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:21:47 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:38 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:38 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:21:47 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:47 PM,12/28/2007 08:21:47 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:48 PM
\$Orig: 437082C2DC872FF7872573C000127950
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:48 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter. If we all would concentrate on whats going on in the world and tried to resolve the issues at war and so fourth the wildlife would be able to take care of itself. God puts them here for a reason let them alone.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Tammy Irwin
38427 N 5th St
Phoenix, AZ 85086-6459

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820214562-227395 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:45 -0700
Received: from p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 9949B19E8183 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:55:57 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 94db5774.3180084144.97874.00-003.p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 21:21:40 -0600
\$MessageID: <8946454.1198898501004.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:40 PM
From: Hannah Janiec <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Hannah Janiec <shadowfangwarrior@hotmail.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytQee9@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0003894427; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.037(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.009(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:21:45 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:38 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:38 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:21:45 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:46 PM,12/28/2007 08:21:46 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:47 PM
\$Orig: 9FE3E09ABC1CC14D872573C0001278C2
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:47 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Wolves were created to bring balance to the ecosystem, like many a species. Wolves deserve to live lives of balance, in not only the Southwest, and limits and poaching shouldn't be put on this animal.

Sincerely,

Miss Hannah Janiec
3550 E Sparrow Ave
Flagstaff, AZ 86004-7752

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820214484-227390 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:44 -0700
Received: from p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id CE38A19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:55:56 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 84db5774.3171355568.48985.00-093.p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 28 Dec 2007 21:21:40 -0600
\$MessageID: <3593841.1198898500729.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:40 PM
From: Susan Selbin <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Susan Selbin <sselbin@hotmail.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytQe4K@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0002320376; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.022(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.009(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:21:44 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:39 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:39 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:21:44 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:45 PM,12/28/2007 08:21:45 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:46 PM
\$Orig: 8C590BEC24CEC338872573C000127874
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:46 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Dr. Susan Selbin
600 Alcalde Pl SW Unit 2B
Albuquerque, NM 87104-1055

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820214347-227386 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:43 -0700
Received: from p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 71E4C19E8015 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:55:55 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 64db5774.3620658096.97874.00-003.p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 28 Dec 2007 21:21:40 -0600
\$MessageID: <3941417.1198898500572.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:40 PM
From: Katherine Van Vlaenderen <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Katherine Van Vlaenderen <katherine@copper.net>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Our New Mexico Lobo
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytQe9E@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001682637; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.016(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.009(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:21:43 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:40 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:40 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:21:43 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:44 PM,12/28/2007 08:21:44 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:45 PM
\$Orig: 2D7818E07F5ED955872573C0001277EB
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:45 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest. I am a resident of New Mexico, living on a 240 acre ranch with livestock, but I still believe that there is a way for ranchers and wolves to exist together. I believe in the recovery program. Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Katherine Van Vlaenderen
PO Box 86
San Fidel, NM 87049-0086

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820210403-227372 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:04 -0700
Received: from p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0645319E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:55:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f1db5774.2570873776.12902.00-048.p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <plf310@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:03 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:16:33 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:00 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032100.17229.17413.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: plf310@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <plf310@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:21:04 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:41 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:41 PM
SMTPOriginator: plf310@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:21:04 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:04 PM,12/28/2007 08:21:04 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:05 PM
\$Orig: 0097D268B4259814872573C000126883
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:05 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Phil Friedman
11013 Ophir Dr
L.A., CA 90024

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820210343-227369 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:03 -0700
Received: from p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 682AB19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:55:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id eldb5774.2685012912.11932.00-101.p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <haremailme@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:16:33 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:00 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032100.17229.17409.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: haremailme@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <haremailme@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:21:03 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:41 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:41 PM
SMTPOriginator: haremailme@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:21:03 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:04 PM,12/28/2007 08:21:04 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:05 PM
\$Orig: B182A917F648A6FC872573C000126847
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:05 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
MICHAEL RUBIN
58 Salvatore Drive
Novato, CA 94949-6016

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820210365-227371 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:03 -0700
Received: from p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9CF1F19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:55:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id f1db5774.3452820400.97107.00-017.p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jkohler2@sbcglobal.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:03 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:16:33 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:00 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032100.17229.17411.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jkohler2@sbcglobal.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0030089262; B=0.500(0); S=0.029(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jkohler2@sbcglobal.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:21:03 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:42 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:42 PM
SMTPOriginator: jkohler2@sbcglobal.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:21:03 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:04 PM,12/28/2007 08:21:04 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:05 PM
\$Orig: 45A47404B144B1EA872573C00012685D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:05 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
John Kohler
217 Fairlawn Ave
Daly City, CA 94015-3412

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820210261-227367 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:02 -0700
Received: from p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9411519E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:55:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id eldb5774.2685012912.11932.00-101.p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <tatjana@wordwright.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:16:33 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:00 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032100.17229.17405.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: tatjana@wordwright.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <tatjana@wordwright.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:21:02 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:43 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:43 PM
SMTPOriginator: tatjana@wordwright.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:21:02 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:03 PM,12/28/2007 08:21:03 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:04 PM
\$Orig: 885344B7CAD02671872573C0001267F5
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:04 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Tatjana Walker
264 W. Mariposa
San Antonio, TX 78212

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820210312-227368 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:03 -0700
Received: from p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1E2F919E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:55:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id eldb5774.2497444784.12902.00-048.p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ngreennylen@stanfordalumni.org>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:16:33 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:00 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032100.17229.17407.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: ngreennylen@stanfordalumni.org
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ngreennylen@stanfordalumni.org>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:21:03 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:43 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:43 PM
SMTPOriginator: ngreennylen@stanfordalumni.org
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:21:03 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:03 PM,12/28/2007 08:21:03 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:04 PM
\$Orig: B29E73AD70E06CA5872573C00012682A
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:04 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nell Green Nylén
"204 Nicoll Street, Apt. 1"
New Haven, CT 06511

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820210231-227366 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:02 -0700
Received: from p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4CB8E19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:55:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id d1db5774.2497444784.12902.00-048.p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <willeyg333@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:16:33 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:00 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032100.17229.17403.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: willeyg333@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <willeyg333@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:21:02 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:44 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:44 PM
SMTPOriginator: willeyg333@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:21:02 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:03 PM,12/28/2007 08:21:03 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:04 PM
\$Orig: 871B7C8161735874872573C0001267D9
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:04 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
William Gray
8537 Doubleheader Ranch Rd #6
Morrison, CO 80465-2555

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820210151-227365 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:01 -0700
Received: from p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7C1E319E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:55:13 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id c1db5774.2644302768.12902.00-048.p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <wdapore@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:21:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:16:33 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:00 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229032100.17229.17401.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: wdapore@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <wdapore@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:21:01 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:45 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:45 PM
SMTPOriginator: wdapore@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:21:01 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:02 PM,12/28/2007 08:21:02 PM-12/28/2007 08:21:03 PM
\$Orig: 7C793ECE652AE9FB872573C000126787
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:21:03 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Wendy Dapore
3660 Rancho Sierra Rd
Auburn, CA 95602

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820175630-227338 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:17:56 -0700
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2EC8F19E8015 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:52:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 36cb5774.2569730992.151747.00-001.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <wes_appert@yahoo.fr>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:17:55 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:13:24 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:17:51 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229031751.17229.17309.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: wes_appert@yahoo.fr
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <wes_appert@yahoo.fr>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:17:56 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:45 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:45 PM
SMTPOriginator: wes_appert@yahoo.fr
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:17:56 PM-12/28/2007 08:17:57 PM,12/28/2007 08:17:57 PM-12/28/2007 08:17:58 PM
\$Orig: 1471F4A6AE39F78D872573C000121F2E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:17:58 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

We need, Americans, to lead the way on environmental issues like this one and show how important and urgent all decisions regarding the protection of biodiversity really are!
Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
zoe Westwood
478 Landfair Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820175627-227337 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:17:56 -0700
Received: from p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 25C2219E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:52:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 36cb5774.2581998512.18856.00-018.p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <tdmusic@earthlink.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:17:55 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:13:24 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:17:51 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229031751.17229.17307.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: tdmusic@earthlink.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <tdmusic@earthlink.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:17:56 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:46 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:46 PM
SMTPOriginator: tdmusic@earthlink.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:17:56 PM-12/28/2007 08:17:57 PM,12/28/2007 08:17:57 PM-12/28/2007 08:17:58 PM
\$Orig: BDCD2A7D40A2E4CE872573C000121F2D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:17:58 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
LARRY ARUSH
2001 Pittman
LOS ANGELES, CA 90016

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820175560-227335 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:17:55 -0700
Received: from p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 78CD919E8184 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:52:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 26cb5774.2548939696.89740.00-017.p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <janmk1978@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:17:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:13:24 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:17:51 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229031751.17229.17305.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: janmk1978@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <janmk1978@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:17:55 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:47 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:47 PM
SMTPOriginator: janmk1978@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:17:55 PM-12/28/2007 08:17:56 PM,12/28/2007 08:17:56 PM-12/28/2007 08:17:57 PM
\$Orig: DCF55BA91DA7BB85872573C000121EE8
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:17:57 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Janice Mastin-Kamps
944 Meadow Gateway
Medina, OH 44256

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820175543-227333 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:17:55 -0700
Received: from p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4BD7719E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:52:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 26cb5774.2697386928.18856.00-018.p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <doug@northwoodswild.org>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:17:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:13:24 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:17:51 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229031751.17229.17301.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: doug@northwoodswild.org
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <doug@northwoodswild.org>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:17:55 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:48 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:48 PM
SMTPOriginator: doug@northwoodswild.org
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:17:55 PM-12/28/2007 08:17:56 PM,12/28/2007 08:17:56 PM-12/28/2007 08:17:57 PM
\$Orig: 8A4C4989191ACCD7872573C000121ED7
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:17:57 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Douglas Cornett
P.O. Box 122
Marquette, MI 49855

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820175546-227334 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:17:55 -0700
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 544AE19E8015 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:52:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 26cb5774.2496302000.151747.00-001.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cjrobnnett@att.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:17:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:13:24 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:17:51 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229031751.17229.17303.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: cjrobnnett@att.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <cjrobnnett@att.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:17:55 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:49 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:49 PM
SMTPOriginator: cjrobnnett@att.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:17:55 PM-12/28/2007 08:17:56 PM,12/28/2007 08:17:56 PM-12/28/2007 08:17:57 PM
\$Orig: 98D1357BEF7256DE872573C000121EDA
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:17:57 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Christie Robnett
110 CIRCLE DR.
EAST PEORIA, IL 61611-3727

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820175459-227331 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:17:54 -0700
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 72AA719E8015 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:52:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 16cb5774.2653649840.151747.00-001.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <myzenthing@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:17:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:13:24 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:17:51 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229031751.17229.17297.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: myzenthing@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <myzenthing@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:17:54 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:50 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:50 PM
SMTPOriginator: myzenthing@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:17:54 PM-12/28/2007 08:17:55 PM,12/28/2007 08:17:55 PM-12/28/2007 08:17:56 PM
\$Orig: 8B0444C12C0E0D5B872573C000121E83
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:17:56 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Thomas Winstead
7905 Tulip Circle
Raleigh, NC 27606

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820175451-227330 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:17:54 -0700
Received: from p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 6042419E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:52:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 16cb5774.2466610096.18856.00-018.p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <vclarke@gmavt.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:17:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:13:24 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:17:51 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229031751.17229.17295.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: vclarke@gmavt.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <vclarke@gmavt.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:17:54 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:51 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:51 PM
SMTPOriginator: vclarke@gmavt.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:17:54 PM-12/28/2007 08:17:55 PM,12/28/2007 08:17:55 PM-12/28/2007 08:17:56 PM
\$Orig: 5FDF6A7944C001A5872573C000121E7B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:17:56 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Virginia M. Clarke
636 Hillview Rd.
Richmond, VT 05477

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820175474-227332 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:17:54 -0700
Received: from p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9B5DB19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:52:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 26cb5774.2402081712.89740.00-017.p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <tdbats@sbcglobal.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:17:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:13:24 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:17:51 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229031751.17229.17299.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: tdbats@sbcglobal.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <tdbats@sbcglobal.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:17:54 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:51 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:51 PM
SMTPOriginator: tdbats@sbcglobal.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:17:54 PM-12/28/2007 08:17:55 PM,12/28/2007 08:17:55 PM-12/28/2007 08:17:56 PM
\$Orig: A3175EC6E2B4E14D872573C000121E92
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:17:56 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Tracie Gabrisko
217 Linden Oaks Ln
New Lenox, IL 60451

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820175352-227328 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:17:53 -0700
Received: from p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 66BCD19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:52:05 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 06cb5774.2634447792.18856.00-018.p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <alexkeir01@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:17:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:13:24 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:17:51 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229031751.17229.17291.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: alexkeir01@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <alexkeir01@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:17:53 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:52 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:52 PM
SMTPOriginator: alexkeir01@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:17:53 PM-12/28/2007 08:17:54 PM,12/28/2007 08:17:54 PM-12/28/2007 08:17:55 PM
\$Orig: 0E911CE0FFFD56C7872573C000121E18
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:17:55 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Alex Keir
PO Box 9162
Glendale, CA 91226

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820175374-227329 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:17:53 -0700
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 99EBB19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:52:05 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 16cb5774.2716588976.151747.00-001.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ksohie@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:17:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:13:24 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:17:51 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229031751.17229.17293.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: ksohie@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ksohie@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:17:53 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:53 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:53 PM
SMTPOriginator: ksohie@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:17:53 PM-12/28/2007 08:17:54 PM,12/28/2007 08:17:54 PM-12/28/2007 08:17:55 PM
\$Orig: 22787035529EE9F3872573C000121E2E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:17:55 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Karen Soh
P.O. Box 3092
Jasper, AB T0E 1E0

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820175270-227327 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:17:52 -0700
Received: from p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 8D91919E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:52:04 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 06cb5774.2571508656.18856.00-018.p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ral7780@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:17:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:13:24 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:17:51 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229031751.17229.17289.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: ral7780@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ral7780@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:17:52 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:53 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:53 PM
SMTPOriginator: ral7780@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:17:52 PM-12/28/2007 08:17:53 PM,12/28/2007 08:17:53 PM-12/28/2007 08:17:54 PM
\$Orig: C1B76B0E38917E6C872573C000121DC6
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:17:54 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lynn Wolf
28151 Bobwhite Circle #79
Saugus, CA 91350

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820144873-227307 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:14:48 -0700
Received: from p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8D2DE19E8015 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:49:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 8abb5774.3086953392.16533.00-005.p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <adrianmarks@q.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:14:48 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:10:17 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:14:45 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229031445.17229.17183.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: adrianmarks@q.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <adrianmarks@q.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:14:48 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:54 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:54 PM
SMTPOriginator: adrianmarks@q.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:14:48 PM-12/28/2007 08:14:49 PM,12/28/2007 08:14:49 PM-12/28/2007 08:14:50 PM
\$Orig: E5D49C4CE3CF8B63872573C00011D5E9
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:14:50 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Adrian Marks
8392 N Wind Swept Lane
Tucson, AZ 85743

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820144816-227305 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:14:48 -0700
Received: from p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 020D719E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:48:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 7abb5774.2518424496.5033.00-070.p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jasonjs@hurontel.on.ca>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:14:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:10:17 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:14:45 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229031445.17229.17179.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jasonjs@hurontel.on.ca
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jasonjs@hurontel.on.ca>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:14:48 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:55 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:55 PM
SMTPOriginator: jasonjs@hurontel.on.ca
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:14:48 PM-12/28/2007 08:14:49 PM,12/28/2007 08:14:49 PM-12/28/2007 08:14:50 PM
\$Orig: F2510F1062A914E0872573C00011D5B0
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:14:50 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
James Sanjana
14117 Box
Surfside Beach, SC 29587

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820144855-227306 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:14:48 -0700
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 5FC8719E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:49:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 7abb5774.2504809392.18350.00-073.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <theoldcoyote@bresnan.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:14:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:10:17 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:14:45 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229031445.17229.17181.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: theoldcoyote@bresnan.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <theoldcoyote@bresnan.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:14:48 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:55 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:55 PM
SMTPOriginator: theoldcoyote@bresnan.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:14:48 PM-12/28/2007 08:14:49 PM,12/28/2007 08:14:49 PM-12/28/2007 08:14:50 PM
\$Orig: B7974018E8C8EA7B872573C00011D5D7
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:14:50 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Steve Gross
2403 golden blvd.
Billings, MT 59102

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820144705-227302 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:14:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id DD44A19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:48:58 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 6abb5774.3590466480.16533.00-005.p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kershaw4101@msn.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:14:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:10:17 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:14:44 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229031444.17229.17173.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: kershaw4101@msn.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <kershaw4101@msn.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:14:47 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:56 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:56 PM
SMTPOriginator: kershaw4101@msn.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:14:47 PM-12/28/2007 08:14:48 PM,12/28/2007 08:14:48 PM-12/28/2007 08:14:49 PM
\$Orig: B0D64911AF343613872573C00011D541
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:14:49 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
jeff kershaw
505 myrtle dr
monmouth, OR 97361

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820144770-227303 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:14:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 83F2819E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:48:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 7abb5774.2420890544.18350.00-073.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <brucezatkow@comcast.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:14:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:10:17 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:14:45 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229031445.17229.17175.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: brucezatkow@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <brucezatkow@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:14:47 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:56 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:56 PM
SMTPOriginator: brucezatkow@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:14:47 PM-12/28/2007 08:14:48 PM,12/28/2007 08:14:48 PM-12/28/2007 08:14:49 PM
\$Orig: 107925E8BFA9BA1F872573C00011D582
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:14:49 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Bruce Zatkow
352 Maple Avenue
Lyndhurst, NJ 07071

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820144790-227304 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:14:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B640B19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:48:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 7abb5774.3107933104.16533.00-005.p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kwalker1@ix.netcom.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:14:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:10:17 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:14:45 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229031445.17229.17177.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: kwalker1@ix.netcom.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <kwalker1@ix.netcom.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:14:47 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:57 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:57 PM
SMTPOriginator: kwalker1@ix.netcom.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:14:47 PM-12/28/2007 08:14:48 PM,12/28/2007 08:14:48 PM-12/28/2007 08:14:49 PM
\$Orig: 9BD3E693116CAC61872573C00011D596
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:14:49 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
kelvin walker
2208 Tulip Rd
San Jose, CA 95128

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820144599-227300 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:14:45 -0700
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id CB9CF19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:48:57 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 5abb5774.2515299248.18350.00-073.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jimsummers@austin.rr.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:14:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:10:17 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:14:44 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229031444.17229.17169.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jimsummers@austin.rr.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jimsummers@austin.rr.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:14:45 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:57 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:57 PM
SMTPOriginator: jimsummers@austin.rr.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:14:45 PM-12/28/2007 08:14:47 PM,12/28/2007 08:14:47 PM-12/28/2007 08:14:48 PM
\$Orig: C60A9B9D372BA48F872573C00011D4D7
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:14:48 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jim Summers
8901 Chisholm Lane
Austin, TX 78748-6381

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820144684-227301 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:14:46 -0700
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id AA39019E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:48:58 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 6abb5774.2504809392.18350.00-073.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ellen.rosenshein@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:14:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:10:17 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:14:44 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229031444.17229.17171.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: ellen.rosenshein@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ellen.rosenshein@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:14:46 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:58 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:58 PM
SMTPOriginator: ellen.rosenshein@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:14:46 PM-12/28/2007 08:14:47 PM,12/28/2007 08:14:47 PM-12/28/2007 08:14:48 PM
\$Orig: E6CD3CD1F31B3C16872573C00011D52D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:14:48 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ellen Rosenshein
94 Grand Ave
Johnson City, NY 13790

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820123792-227284 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:12:37 -0700
Received: from p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id A99FE19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:46:49 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 52bb5774.2652490672.66580.00-048.p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <tsong97@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:12:37 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:08:09 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:12:36 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229031236.17229.17157.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: tsong97@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <tsong97@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:12:37 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:59 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:59 PM
SMTPOriginator: tsong97@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:12:37 PM-12/28/2007 08:12:39 PM,12/28/2007 08:12:39 PM-12/28/2007 08:12:40 PM
\$Orig: B291E9E13E62CE69872573C00011A2D0
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:12:40 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Martha Olver
P. O. Box 28
Amherst, MA 01004

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820123896-227287 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:12:38 -0700
Received: from p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B00FE19E8183 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:46:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 62bb5774.2463673264.66580.00-048.p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sbean@prescott.edu>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:12:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:08:09 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:12:36 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229031236.17229.17159.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: sbean@prescott.edu
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <sbean@prescott.edu>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:12:38 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:42:59 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:42:59 PM
SMTPOriginator: sbean@prescott.edu
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:12:38 PM-12/28/2007 08:12:39 PM,12/28/2007 08:12:39 PM-12/28/2007 08:12:40 PM
\$Orig: 8B798C25D63CAB24872573C00011A338
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:12:40 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Suzy Bean
6638 Moly Dr
Falls Church, VA 22046

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820123896-227286 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:12:38 -0700
Received: from p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id AF3FF19E8015 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:46:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 62bb5774.3589802928.160649.00-092.p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <andrewsparrow@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:12:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:08:09 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:12:36 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229031236.17229.17161.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: andrewsparrow@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <andrewsparrow@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:12:38 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:43:00 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:43:00 PM
SMTPOriginator: andrewsparrow@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:12:38 PM-12/28/2007 08:12:39 PM,12/28/2007 08:12:39 PM-12/28/2007 08:12:40 PM
\$Orig: 0DB948EF7982E1FC872573C00011A338
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:12:40 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Andrew Sparrow
44001 Canall Lane
Leaburg, OR 97489

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820091055-227249 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:09:10 -0700
Received: from p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4359E19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:43:22 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 55ab5774.2446187440.9502.00-055.p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <vanperk7@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:09:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:04:41 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:09:08 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229030908.17229.17041.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: vanperk7@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <vanperk7@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:09:10 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:43:00 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:43:00 PM
SMTPOriginator: vanperk7@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:09:10 PM-12/28/2007 08:09:13 PM,12/28/2007 08:09:13 PM-12/28/2007 08:09:13 PM
\$Orig: FA68260A71441D49872573C0001151CF
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:09:13 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Van %pa_first_name% Kathy Perkins
7408A OLD SANTA FE TRL.
Santa Fe, NM 87505-4574

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820091164-227251 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:09:11 -0700
Received: from p01c11m006.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 5D4B419E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:43:23 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m006.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 65ab5774.2582166448.103171.00-064.p01c11m006.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jeff@verderiver.org>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:09:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:04:41 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:09:08 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229030908.17229.17045.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jeff@verderiver.org
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jeff@verderiver.org>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:09:11 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:43:01 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:43:01 PM
SMTPOriginator: jeff@verderiver.org
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:09:11 PM-12/28/2007 08:09:13 PM,12/28/2007 08:09:13 PM-12/28/2007 08:09:13 PM
\$Orig: 2E25F7DE522AFD48872573C00011523C
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:09:13 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jeff Scroggins
440 Larkspur Drive
Cottonwood, AZ 86326

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820091131-227250 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:09:11 -0700
Received: from p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0E1B819E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:43:23 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 65ab5774.2435697584.9502.00-055.p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gatorbite2@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:09:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:04:41 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:09:08 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229030908.17229.17043.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: gatorbite2@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <gatorbite2@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:09:11 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:43:01 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:43:01 PM
SMTPOriginator: gatorbite2@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:09:11 PM-12/28/2007 08:09:13 PM,12/28/2007 08:09:13 PM-12/28/2007 08:09:13 PM
\$Orig: 074E5F0DAC28502A872573C00011521B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:09:13 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jeff Helsel
4695 Helmsbridge Ct.
Grove City, OH 43123

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820090974-227248 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:09:09 -0700
Received: from p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 70A9819E8015 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:43:21 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 55ab5774.2666474416.9502.00-055.p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cdallen1958@bellsouth.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:09:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:04:41 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:09:08 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229030908.17229.17039.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: cdallen1958@bellsouth.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <cdallen1958@bellsouth.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:09:09 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:43:02 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:43:02 PM
SMTPOriginator: cdallen1958@bellsouth.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:09:09 PM-12/28/2007 08:09:10 PM,12/28/2007 08:09:10 PM-12/28/2007 08:09:10 PM
\$Orig: BD336EAEB95C80AF872573C00011517E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:09:10 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
c allen
2254 hickory flat-rapids
franklin, KY 42134

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820080935-227239 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:09 -0700
Received: from p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1182B19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:42:21 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 81ab5774.2457516976.115609.00-016.p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jmthiele@cox.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:03:38 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:08:05 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229030805.17229.17019.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jmthiele@cox.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jmthiele@cox.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:08:09 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:43:02 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:43:02 PM
SMTPOriginator: jmthiele@cox.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:08:09 PM-12/28/2007 08:08:10 PM,12/28/2007 08:08:11 PM-12/28/2007 08:08:12 PM
\$Orig: F21E24508DED856A872573C0001139E7
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:08:12 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Joanne Thiele
201 South Blake Rd.
Norfolk, VA 23505

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820081017-227242 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:10 -0700
Received: from p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D97F219E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:42:21 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 91ab5774.2572905392.115609.00-016.p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kylthraerie@insightbb.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:03:38 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:08:06 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229030806.17229.17025.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: kylthraerie@insightbb.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <kylthraerie@insightbb.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:08:10 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:43:03 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:43:03 PM
SMTPOriginator: kylthraerie@insightbb.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:08:10 PM-12/28/2007 08:08:11 PM,12/28/2007 08:08:11 PM-12/28/2007 08:08:12 PM
\$Orig: DAF272C1BB7FE7E9872573C000113A39
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:08:12 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jim Oxyer
1210 S Brook St #1
Louisville, KY 40203

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820081042-227243 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:10 -0700
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2276419E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:42:22 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 91ab5774.2515299248.9599.00-094.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <phugins@juno.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:03:38 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:08:06 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229030806.17229.17027.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: phugins@juno.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <phugins@juno.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:08:10 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:43:03 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:43:03 PM
SMTPOriginator: phugins@juno.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:08:10 PM-12/28/2007 08:08:11 PM,12/28/2007 08:08:11 PM-12/28/2007 08:08:12 PM
\$Orig: CF4F5DA029119425872573C000113A52
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:08:12 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
phyllis hugins
2530 claremont dr #210
SAN DIEGO, CA 92117

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820080978-227241 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:09 -0700
Received: from p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7D06C19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:42:21 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 91ab5774.3381152688.31310.00-034.p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <thunderrwolf@wildmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:03:38 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:08:06 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229030806.17229.17023.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: thunderrwolf@wildmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0060660525; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.057(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <thunderrwolf@wildmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:08:09 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:43:04 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:43:04 PM
SMTPOriginator: thunderrwolf@wildmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:08:09 PM-12/28/2007 08:08:11 PM,12/28/2007 08:08:11 PM-12/28/2007 08:08:12 PM
\$Orig: A720F10BF03B96C6872573C000113A12
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:08:12 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Thunderr Wolf
2644 Finlaw Ave
Pennsauken, NJ 08110

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820080872-227237 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:08 -0700
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 6CF7B19E8182 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:42:20 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 71ab5774.2662161328.9599.00-094.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <birdgrdnr@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:03:38 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:08:05 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229030805.17229.17015.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: BIRDGRDNR@AOL.COM
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <birdgrdnr@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:08:08 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:43:05 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:43:05 PM
SMTPOriginator: birdgrdnr@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:08:08 PM-12/28/2007 08:08:11 PM,12/28/2007 08:08:11 PM-12/28/2007 08:08:11 PM
\$Orig: 384EB4A7E05425BB872573C0001139AA
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:08:11 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
rose shulman
8917 vagabond rd
charlotte, NC 28227

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820080956-227240 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:09 -0700
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4861019E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:42:21 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 81ab5774.2641181616.9599.00-094.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ctshates@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:03:38 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:08:05 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229030805.17229.17021.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: ctshates@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ctshates@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:08:09 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:43:05 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:43:05 PM
SMTPOriginator: ctshates@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:08:09 PM-12/28/2007 08:08:11 PM,12/28/2007 08:08:11 PM-12/28/2007 08:08:11 PM
\$Orig: 3C292981B24DAD87872573C0001139FE
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:08:11 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Tim Shates
P.O. Box 223
Ojai, CA 93024

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820080894-227238 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:08 -0700
Received: from p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id A2F8A19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:42:20 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 81ab5774.3223804848.31310.00-034.p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <chakkert@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:03:38 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:08:05 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229030805.17229.17017.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: chakkert@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <chakkert@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:08:08 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:43:06 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:43:06 PM
SMTPOriginator: chakkert@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:08:08 PM-12/28/2007 08:08:11 PM,12/28/2007 08:08:11 PM-12/28/2007 08:08:11 PM
\$Orig: 3303B26505980D2C872573C0001139C0
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:08:11 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carola Hakkert
3310 Kimber Court #139
San Jose, CA 95124

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820080771-227233 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:07 -0700
Received: from p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 66F8019E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:42:19 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 61ab5774.2436537264.115609.00-016.p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <chryssie@fyrelizard.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:03:38 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:08:05 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229030805.17229.17009.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: chryssie@fyrelizard.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <chryssie@fyrelizard.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:08:07 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:43:06 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:43:06 PM
SMTPOriginator: chryssie@fyrelizard.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:08:07 PM-12/28/2007 08:08:09 PM,12/28/2007 08:08:09 PM-12/28/2007 08:08:09 PM
\$Orig: 3B7BE8EEFE2C3EDA872573C000113943
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:08:09 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mary Workman
1615 Moreno Ter
Deltona, FL 32725

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820080788-227234 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:07 -0700
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 925E319E8015 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:42:19 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 71ab5774.2473339824.9599.00-094.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <miank26@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:03:38 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:08:05 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229030805.17229.17011.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: Miank26@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <miank26@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:08:07 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:43:07 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:43:07 PM
SMTPOriginator: miank26@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:08:07 PM-12/28/2007 08:08:09 PM,12/28/2007 08:08:09 PM-12/28/2007 08:08:09 PM
\$Orig: 47F765F716C735A9872573C000113954
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:08:09 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kathy Miksys
7 S 065 Suffield Ct.
Westmont, IL 60559

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122820080853-227235 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:08 -0700
Received: from p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3B58519E8015 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:42:20 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 71ab5774.2635844528.115609.00-016.p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <penguinatlaw@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:03:38 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:08:05 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229030805.17229.17013.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: penguinatlaw@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <penguinatlaw@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:08:08 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:43:07 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:43:07 PM
SMTPOriginator: penguinatlaw@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:08:08 PM-12/28/2007 08:08:09 PM,12/28/2007 08:08:09 PM-12/28/2007 08:08:09 PM
\$Orig: A58B206B267F1F34872573C000113997
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:08:09 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Judith Anshin
1560 Sutterville Road
Sacramento, CA 95822-1225

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820080685-227232 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:06 -0700
Received: from p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 891A119E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:42:18 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 61ab5774.2635844528.115609.00-016.p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lindalarueaustin@juno.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:08:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:03:38 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:08:05 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229030805.17229.17007.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: lindalarueaustin@juno.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <lindalarueaustin@juno.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:08:06 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:43:08 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:43:08 PM
SMTPOriginator: lindalarueaustin@juno.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:08:06 PM-12/28/2007 08:08:08 PM,12/28/2007 08:08:08 PM-12/28/2007 08:08:08 PM
\$Orig: F6C389509921FE13872573C0001138ED
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:08:08 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Linda Austin
2109 Jessie Place
Fort Worth, TX 76134-2728

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122820034295-227189 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:03:42 -0700
Received: from p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 9690319E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:37:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id e09b5774.2495978416.11822.00-007.p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <feldkaa@netnet.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:03:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 18:58:08 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 08:02:36 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229030236.17229.16791.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: feldkaa@netnet.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0038926418; B=0.500(0); S=0.037(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122844); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <feldkaa@netnet.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 08:03:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:43:08 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:43:08 PM
SMTPOriginator: feldkaa@netnet.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 08:03:42 PM-12/28/2007 08:03:45 PM,12/28/2007 08:03:45 PM-12/28/2007 08:03:45 PM
\$Orig: FE83DBC3E5758C6F872573C00010D1D7
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 08:03:45 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Angelo Feldkamp
5825 Coors Rd SW
Albuquerque, NM 87121-6700