

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122819024698-226463 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:02:46 -0700
Received: from p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id F334619E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:36:57 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 6caa5774.2497133488.61049.00-054.p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lmarshal@columbus.rr.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:02:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:58:17 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 07:02:44 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229020244.17229.14965.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: lmarshal@columbus.rr.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122843); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <lmarshal@columbus.rr.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 07:02:46 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:28 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:28 PM
SMTPOriginator: lmarshal@columbus.rr.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 07:02:46 PM-12/28/2007 07:02:47 PM,12/28/2007 07:02:47 PM-12/28/2007 07:02:48 PM
\$Orig: 271C091A7B1ABA95872573C0000B3DBA
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 07:02:48 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

I am an Educator at the Columbus Zoo and Aquarium. I have seen our Mexican wolf families bear their young and raise their young as a "family". I have seen the yearling siblings teaching the pups how to go after and fight for the meaty bones. The siblings literally "pretend" to fight for them just to train the young. They are an amazing animal that should be considered "endangered"...there are probably only about 400 in the world, and 3/4 of those are in captivity. The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the

conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Linda Marshall
3680 Dublin Rd.
Columbus, OH 43221

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122819024728-226464 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:02:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 48BD019E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:36:58 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 6caa5774.3097557936.144376.00-020.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <otherfish@comcast.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:02:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:58:17 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 07:02:44 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229020244.17229.14967.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: otherfish@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122843); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <otherfish@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 07:02:47 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:28 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:28 PM
SMTPOriginator: otherfish@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 07:02:47 PM-12/28/2007 07:02:47 PM,12/28/2007 07:02:47 PM-12/28/2007 07:02:48 PM
\$Orig: 3BAA472B9AB08BCB872573C0000B3DD8
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 07:02:48 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
JOHN FORDICE
1828 FIFTH STREET
BERKELEY, CA 94710

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122819024614-226461 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:02:46 -0700
Received: from p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2750319E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:36:57 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 5caa5774.2465663920.61049.00-054.p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <evelyn_brakopp@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:02:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:58:16 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 07:02:44 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229020244.17229.14961.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: evelyn_brakopp@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122843); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <evelyn_brakopp@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 07:02:46 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:28 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:28 PM
SMTPOriginator: evelyn_brakopp@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 07:02:46 PM-12/28/2007 07:02:46 PM,12/28/2007 07:02:46 PM-12/28/2007 07:02:47 PM
\$Orig: F3CC7D42CC2067CF872573C0000B3D68
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 07:02:47 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Evelyn Brakopp
667 Ilikai Street
Kailua, HI 96734

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122819024638-226462 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:02:46 -0700
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 5E56719E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:36:57 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 5caa5774.3590581168.144376.00-020.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <betzy@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:02:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:58:16 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 07:02:44 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229020244.17229.14963.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: betzy@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122843); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <betzy@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 07:02:46 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:29 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:29 PM
SMTPOriginator: betzy@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 07:02:46 PM-12/28/2007 07:02:46 PM,12/28/2007 07:02:46 PM-12/28/2007 07:02:47 PM
\$Orig: 29E8AC083A7B442D872573C0000B3D7E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 07:02:47 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

You all must read Aldo Leopold's book which has a chapter toward the end on how proud he was to kill a wolf to protect game so that HE could hunt them -- and how ashamed he was later when he realized how important predators are to the preservation and health of their prey. Conservation is important! Of predator as well as prey

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ruth Busch
6077 County Road 290
Lafayette, AL 36862

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122819024531-226460 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:02:45 -0700
Received: from p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4E5B219E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:36:56 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 4caa5774.2591542192.61049.00-054.p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mary@maryis.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:02:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:58:16 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 07:02:44 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229020244.17229.14959.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: mary@maryis.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122843); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <mary@maryis.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 07:02:45 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:29 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:29 PM
SMTPOriginator: mary@maryis.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 07:02:45 PM-12/28/2007 07:02:45 PM,12/28/2007 07:02:45 PM-12/28/2007 07:02:46 PM
\$Orig: 63A5CCF50370DCE4872573C0000B3D15
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 07:02:46 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
MARY I. STEELE
309 Beeson St SE
ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87123

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122819014748-226452 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:01:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 7628B19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:35:58 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id a8aa5774.3506662320.143149.00-069.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <suzlarson@comcast.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:01:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:57:17 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 07:01:44 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229020144.17229.14953.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: suzlarson@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122843); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <suzlarson@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 07:01:47 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:29 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:29 PM
SMTPOriginator: suzlarson@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 07:01:47 PM-12/28/2007 07:01:48 PM,12/28/2007 07:01:48 PM-12/28/2007 07:01:49 PM
\$Orig: C399E86E373897EE872573C0000B267C
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 07:01:49 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
suzanne larson
1238 lake st
salt lake city, UT 84105

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122819014791-226453 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:01:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id E08F719E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:35:58 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id b8aa5774.2570791856.177017.00-009.p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <wildcatleigh@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:01:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:57:17 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 07:01:44 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229020144.17229.14955.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: wildcatleigh@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122843); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <wildcatleigh@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 07:01:47 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:30 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:30 PM
SMTPOriginator: wildcatleigh@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 07:01:47 PM-12/28/2007 07:01:48 PM,12/28/2007 07:01:48 PM-12/28/2007 07:01:49 PM
\$Orig: 23F0331E334D8954872573C0000B26A7
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 07:01:49 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
linda leigh
1605 bermuda dunes
boulder city, NV 89005

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122819014813-226454 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:01:48 -0700
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 207C119E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:35:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id b8aa5774.2664139696.54230.00-001.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <zfatcobra@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:01:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:57:17 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 07:01:44 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229020144.17229.14957.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: zfatcobra@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122843); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <zfatcobra@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 07:01:48 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:30 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:30 PM
SMTPOriginator: zfatcobra@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 07:01:48 PM-12/28/2007 07:01:48 PM,12/28/2007 07:01:48 PM-12/28/2007 07:01:49 PM
\$Orig: 02E5671312F677A2872573C0000B26BD
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 07:01:49 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ken Wright
350 Sonstelie Road
Kalispell, MT 59901

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122819014727-226451 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:01:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 40A4A19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:35:58 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id a8aa5774.2685119408.54230.00-001.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <redroad111@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:01:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:57:17 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 07:01:44 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229020144.17229.14951.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: redroad111@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0014312682; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.014(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122843); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <redroad111@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 07:01:47 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:30 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:30 PM
SMTPOriginator: redroad111@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 07:01:47 PM-12/28/2007 07:01:47 PM,12/28/2007 07:01:47 PM-12/28/2007 07:01:48 PM
\$Orig: D0A1F750D5E51063872573C0000B2667
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 07:01:48 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

I am pleased to have an opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves.

Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its disproportionate reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Brensinger
6239 Schochary Rd.
New Tripoli, PA 18066

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122819014703-226450 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:01:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0A14F19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:35:57 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id a8aa5774.2518342576.177017.00-009.p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bobalebop@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:01:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:57:17 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 07:01:44 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229020144.17229.14949.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: bobalebop@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122843); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <bobalebop@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 07:01:47 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:31 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:31 PM
SMTPOriginator: bobalebop@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 07:01:47 PM-12/28/2007 07:01:47 PM,12/28/2007 07:01:47 PM-12/28/2007 07:01:48 PM
\$Orig: 23AE47F30A312AC8872573C0000B264F
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 07:01:48 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dianne Reinert
2530 Kerry Ln
5
Appleton, WI 54915

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122819014639-226449 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:01:46 -0700
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 617B719E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:35:57 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 98aa5774.2422873008.54230.00-001.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <anarudolph@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:01:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:57:17 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 07:01:44 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229020144.17229.14947.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: anarudolph@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122843); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <anarudolph@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 07:01:46 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:31 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:31 PM
SMTPOriginator: anarudolph@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 07:01:46 PM-12/28/2007 07:01:46 PM,12/28/2007 07:01:46 PM-12/28/2007 07:01:46 PM
\$Orig: 9D6CDEC6ADCE21DF872573C0000B260F
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 07:01:46 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ana Rudolph
351 Mariposa Street
Brisbane, CA 94005-1538

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122819014617-226448 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:01:46 -0700
Received: from p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2CD0119E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:35:57 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 98aa5774.2686180272.177017.00-009.p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <maletendre@comcast.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:01:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:57:16 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 07:01:44 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229020144.17229.14945.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: maletendre@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122843); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <maletendre@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 07:01:46 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:31 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:31 PM
SMTPOriginator: maletendre@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 07:01:46 PM-12/28/2007 07:01:46 PM,12/28/2007 07:01:46 PM-12/28/2007 07:01:46 PM
\$Orig: FA9093DC6A2B147F872573C0000B25FB
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 07:01:46 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Michael Letendre
92 Cass St # 1
Portsmouth, NH 03801

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122819014533-226447 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:01:45 -0700
Received: from p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 5144419E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:35:56 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 88aa5774.2392464304.177017.00-009.p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jersey_girl1254@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 19:01:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:57:16 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 07:01:44 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229020144.17229.14943.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jersey_girl1254@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122843); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jersey_girl1254@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 07:01:45 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:32 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:32 PM
SMTPOriginator: jersey_girl1254@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 07:01:45 PM-12/28/2007 07:01:45 PM,12/28/2007 07:01:45 PM-12/28/2007 07:01:45 PM
\$Orig: E7B23548AA4E5E4C872573C0000B25A6
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 07:01:45 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Catherine silvers
po box 161
bennett, CO 80102

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818584598-226424 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:58:45 -0700
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id E970019E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:32:56 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 5d9a5774.2559241136.50391.00-082.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sue-dave-priest@juno.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:58:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:54:15 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:58:43 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015843.17229.14859.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: sue-dave-priest@juno.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <sue-dave-priest@juno.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:58:45 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:32 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:32 PM
SMTPOriginator: sue-dave-priest@juno.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:58:45 PM-12/28/2007 06:58:46 PM,12/28/2007 06:58:46 PM-12/28/2007 06:58:47 PM
\$Orig: 8D5CF054150BEF1C872573C0000ADF96
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:58:47 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dave %pa_first_name% Sue Priest
205 West Rd
N. Chittenden, VT 05763

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818584584-226423 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:58:45 -0700
Received: from p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C5E2A19E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:32:56 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 5d9a5774.3590597552.4910.00-017.p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <russweisz@baymoon.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:58:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:54:15 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:58:43 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015843.17229.14857.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: russweisz@baymoon.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122843); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <russweisz@baymoon.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:58:45 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:33 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:33 PM
SMTPOriginator: russweisz@baymoon.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:58:45 PM-12/28/2007 06:58:46 PM,12/28/2007 06:58:46 PM-12/28/2007 06:58:47 PM
\$Orig: A3BDC431F4F1831A872573C0000ADF88
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:58:47 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Russell Weisz
319 Laguna St.
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818584486-226421 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:58:44 -0700
Received: from p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id CD35419E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:32:55 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 4d9a5774.3317861296.4910.00-017.p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <petek@accesscom.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:58:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:54:15 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:58:43 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015843.17229.14855.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: petek@accesscom.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122843); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <petek@accesscom.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:58:44 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:33 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:33 PM
SMTPOriginator: petek@accesscom.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:58:44 PM-12/28/2007 06:58:45 PM,12/28/2007 06:58:45 PM-12/28/2007 06:58:46 PM
\$Orig: 939124D8160611F3872573C0000ADF28
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:58:46 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Peter Klosterman
779 Kingston Ave. Apt. 14
Piedmont, CA 94611-4442

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818574355-226406 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:57:43 -0700
Received: from p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7C8B819E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:31:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 699a5774.2625379248.23510.00-012.p01c11m072.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jfiesta59@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:57:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:53:15 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:57:42 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015742.17229.14849.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: jfiesta59@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144555); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jfiesta59@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:57:43 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:33 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:33 PM
SMTPOriginator: jfiesta59@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:57:43 PM-12/28/2007 06:57:44 PM,12/28/2007 06:57:44 PM-12/28/2007 06:57:45 PM
\$Orig: 349D7FDE1D6F7BA0872573C0000AC733
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:57:45 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
J. Huston
60th St.
Oakland, CA 94609

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818574236-226405 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:57:42 -0700
Received: from p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 4CFE819E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:31:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 599a5774.2536995760.26371.00-053.p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dfrench2@tampabay.rr.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:57:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:53:12 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:57:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015740.17229.14839.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: Dfrench2@Tampabay.rr.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <dfrench2@tampabay.rr.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:57:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:34 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:34 PM
SMTPOriginator: dfrench2@tampabay.rr.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:57:42 PM-12/28/2007 06:57:43 PM,12/28/2007 06:57:43 PM-12/28/2007 06:57:44 PM
\$Orig: 8D19276F44BB3BBA872573C0000AC6BC
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:57:44 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Daniel French
7126 A Barclay Ave.
Brooksville, FL 34609

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818574211-226404 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:57:42 -0700
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1238419E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:31:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 599a5774.2487757744.9158.00-017.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rmfowler@southtexascollege.edu>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:57:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:53:12 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:57:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015740.17229.14837.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: rmfowler@southtexascollege.edu
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <rmfowler@southtexascollege.edu>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:57:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:34 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:34 PM
SMTPOriginator: rmfowler@southtexascollege.edu
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:57:42 PM-12/28/2007 06:57:43 PM,12/28/2007 06:57:43 PM-12/28/2007 06:57:44 PM
\$Orig: A341526C93929A3A872573C0000AC6A5
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:57:44 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Robert Fowler
704 S. Georgia Ave
Weslaco, TX 78596

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818574125-226403 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:57:41 -0700
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3318219E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:31:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 499a5774.2424818608.9158.00-017.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sjacoby@neo.rr.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:57:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:53:12 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:57:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015740.17229.14835.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: sjacoby@neo.rr.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <sjacoby@neo.rr.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:57:41 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:34 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:34 PM
SMTPOriginator: sjacoby@neo.rr.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:57:41 PM-12/28/2007 06:57:42 PM,12/28/2007 06:57:42 PM-12/28/2007 06:57:43 PM
\$Orig: 37C882D53BF3C8DC872573C0000AC64D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:57:43 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Susan Jacoby
2915 17th. St. NW
Canton, OH 44708

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818554313-226391 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:55:43 -0700
Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0BF8419E817E for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:29:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e19a5774.2549185456.180017.00-087.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jenny@somethingwilde.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:55:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:51:13 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:55:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015540.17229.14829.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: jenny@somethingwilde.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jenny@somethingwilde.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:55:43 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:35 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:35 PM
SMTPOriginator: jenny@somethingwilde.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:55:43 PM-12/28/2007 06:55:44 PM,12/28/2007 06:55:44 PM-12/28/2007 06:55:44 PM
\$Orig: 798E0560C5D6CCB4872573C0000A9829
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:55:44 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Wilde
1152 Regent Street, Apt. F
Alameda, CA 94501

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818554333-226392 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:55:43 -0700
Received: from p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3F11319E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:29:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e19a5774.2641243056.32395.00-081.p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <liongirl4@embarqmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:55:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:51:13 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:55:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015540.17229.14831.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: liongirl4@embarqmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <liongirl4@embarqmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:55:43 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:35 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:35 PM
SMTPOriginator: liongirl4@embarqmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:55:43 PM-12/28/2007 06:55:44 PM,12/28/2007 06:55:44 PM-12/28/2007 06:55:44 PM
\$Orig: 7B51CC0D771591DD872573C0000A983D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:55:44 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ashley Alvarez
1680 Anorada Blvd
Kissimmee, FL 34744

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818554213-226386 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:55:42 -0700
Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0FEFA19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:29:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id d19a5774.2549185456.180017.00-087.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sbarton2@xmission.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:55:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:51:12 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:55:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015540.17229.14825.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: sbarton2@xmission.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <sbarton2@xmission.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:55:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:35 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:35 PM
SMTPOriginator: sbarton2@xmission.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:55:42 PM-12/28/2007 06:55:43 PM,12/28/2007 06:55:43 PM-12/28/2007 06:55:43 PM
\$Orig: E1496636896A2BBB872573C0000A97C5
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:55:43 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sabreena Barton
2219 No. Edgemere Street
Phoenix, AZ 85006

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818554233-226387 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:55:42 -0700
Received: from p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3F07319E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:29:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id d19a5774.2578303920.32395.00-081.p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bmclendon@tds.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:55:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:51:12 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:55:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015540.17229.14827.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: bmclendon@tds.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <bmclendon@tds.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:55:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:36 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:36 PM
SMTPOriginator: bmclendon@tds.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:55:42 PM-12/28/2007 06:55:43 PM,12/28/2007 06:55:43 PM-12/28/2007 06:55:43 PM
\$Orig: EEE2ECC28DD5CE98872573C0000A97D9
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:55:43 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Barbara McLendon
5970 Gray Fox Tail
Blairsville, GA 30512

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818554127-226385 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:55:41 -0700
Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3229519E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:29:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id c19a5774.2706533296.180017.00-087.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jpachuta@ix.netcom.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:55:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:51:12 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:55:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015540.17229.14823.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jpachuta@ix.netcom.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jpachuta@ix.netcom.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:55:41 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:36 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:36 PM
SMTPOriginator: jpachuta@ix.netcom.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:55:41 PM-12/28/2007 06:55:42 PM,12/28/2007 06:55:42 PM-12/28/2007 06:55:42 PM
\$Orig: 2CD06F5F342C53C5872573C0000A976F
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:55:42 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
John M. Pachuta
8213 East Sells Drive
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818544303-226376 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:54:43 -0700
Received: from p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id EA5E319E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:28:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 2e8a5774.2464127920.8987.00-048.p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kimmiland@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:54:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:50:13 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:54:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015440.17229.14821.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: kimmiland@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <kimmiland@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:54:43 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:36 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:36 PM
SMTPOriginator: kimmiland@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:54:43 PM-12/28/2007 06:54:44 PM,12/28/2007 06:54:44 PM-12/28/2007 06:54:44 PM
\$Orig: E4404D7E1665EDA7872573C0000A80AF
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:54:44 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kimberly Seger
11373 US Route 422
Kittanning, PA 16201

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818544238-226375 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:54:42 -0700
Received: from p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4A18B19E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:28:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 1e8a5774.2506886064.21073.00-005.p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <david.wappler@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:54:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:50:12 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:54:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015440.17229.14819.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: david.wappler@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <david.wappler@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:54:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:37 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:37 PM
SMTPOriginator: david.wappler@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:54:42 PM-12/28/2007 06:54:43 PM,12/28/2007 06:54:43 PM-12/28/2007 06:54:43 PM
\$Orig: 70813E4A330AFFB1872573C0000A806E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:54:43 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
David Wappler
1809 Azalea Drive
West Point, GA 31833

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818544222-226374 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:54:42 -0700
Received: from p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1AF7E19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:28:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 1e8a5774.2610985904.8987.00-048.p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <azarby@msn.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:54:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:50:12 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:54:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015440.17229.14817.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: azarby@msn.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <azarby@msn.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:54:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:37 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:37 PM
SMTPOriginator: azarby@msn.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:54:42 PM-12/28/2007 06:54:43 PM,12/28/2007 06:54:43 PM-12/28/2007 06:54:43 PM
\$Orig: CD5648A0DC38205C872573C0000A805E
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:54:43 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Roy Brown
1812 N. Bridalwreath St.
Tempe, AZ 85281

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818544136-226373 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:54:41 -0700
Received: from p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 45B3319E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:28:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 0e8a5774.2652949424.8987.00-048.p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <colleen@kanab.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:54:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:50:12 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:54:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015440.17229.14815.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: colleen@kanab.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <colleen@kanab.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:54:41 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:37 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:37 PM
SMTPOriginator: colleen@kanab.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:54:41 PM-12/28/2007 06:54:42 PM,12/28/2007 06:54:42 PM-12/28/2007 06:54:42 PM
\$Orig: 0C214B3D41395C40872573C0000A8008
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:54:42 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Colleen McMullen
6074 E. Antelope Trail
Kanab, UT 84741

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818534289-226359 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:53:42 -0700
Received: from p01c11m011.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C37C119E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:27:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m011.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 6a8a5774.2527685552.123654.00-008.p01c11m011.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <llchappel@cox.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:53:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:49:12 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:53:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015340.17229.14809.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: llchappel@cox.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <llchappel@cox.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:53:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:38 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:38 PM
SMTPOriginator: llchappel@cox.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:53:42 PM-12/28/2007 06:53:44 PM,12/28/2007 06:53:44 PM-12/28/2007 06:53:45 PM
\$Orig: C17771AF1868D700872573C0000A6931
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:53:45 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Linda and Woodie Chappel
2315 E Blacklidge Dr
Tucson, AZ 85719

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818534313-226360 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:53:43 -0700
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0AE3319E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:27:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 6a8a5774.2590710704.44253.00-041.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <hawk_raven2000@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:53:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:49:13 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:53:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015340.17229.14811.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: hawk_raven2000@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <hawk_raven2000@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:53:43 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:38 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:38 PM
SMTPOriginator: hawk_raven2000@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:53:43 PM-12/28/2007 06:53:44 PM,12/28/2007 06:53:44 PM-12/28/2007 06:53:45 PM
\$Orig: 9FC31C64F57DDC5F872573C0000A6949
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:53:45 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Natasha Douglass
P.O. Box 3001
Sedona, AZ 86340

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818534352-226361 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:53:43 -0700
Received: from p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 6998319E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:27:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 6a8a5774.2593393584.44558.00-039.p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <karenbell11@peoplepc.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:53:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:49:13 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:53:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015340.17229.14813.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: karenbell11@peoplepc.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <karenbell11@peoplepc.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:53:43 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:39 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:39 PM
SMTPOriginator: karenbell11@peoplepc.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:53:43 PM-12/28/2007 06:53:44 PM,12/28/2007 06:53:44 PM-12/28/2007 06:53:45 PM
\$Orig: A7AECBD7FEAD91CA872573C0000A6970
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:53:45 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Karen Bernhardt
11912 El Solindo Ave NE
Albuquerque, NM 87111

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818534207-226357 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:53:42 -0700
Received: from p01c11m011.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id EF27D19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:27:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m011.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 5a8a5774.2496215984.123654.00-008.p01c11m011.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <waywardmuse@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:53:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:49:12 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:53:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015340.17229.14805.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: waywardmuse@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <waywardmuse@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:53:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:39 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:39 PM
SMTPOriginator: waywardmuse@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:53:42 PM-12/28/2007 06:53:43 PM,12/28/2007 06:53:43 PM-12/28/2007 06:53:44 PM
\$Orig: FCB7586F12E06785872573C0000A68DF
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:53:44 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Judy Kennedy
PO Box 1211
Maricopa, AZ 85239

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818534228-226358 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:53:42 -0700
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 302A019E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:27:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 5a8a5774.2632670128.44253.00-041.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <emb66@bcn.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:53:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:49:12 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:53:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015340.17229.14807.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: emb66@bcn.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0047518329; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.045(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <emb66@bcn.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:53:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:40 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:40 PM
SMTPOriginator: emb66@bcn.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:53:42 PM-12/28/2007 06:53:43 PM,12/28/2007 06:53:43 PM-12/28/2007 06:53:44 PM
\$Orig: 9ADACAC12B53C418872573C0000A68F4
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:53:44 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Judith Embry
51 Blackstone Road
Florida, MA 01247

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818534125-226356 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:53:41 -0700
Received: from p01c11m011.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 26FB219E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:27:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m011.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 4a8a5774.2590624688.123654.00-008.p01c11m011.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jekramer@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:53:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:49:12 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:53:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015340.17229.14803.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jekramer@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jekramer@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:53:41 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:40 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:40 PM
SMTPOriginator: jekramer@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:53:41 PM-12/28/2007 06:53:42 PM,12/28/2007 06:53:42 PM-12/28/2007 06:53:42 PM
\$Orig: 04355C3B1D04456E872573C0000A688D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:53:42 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
julie kramer
1288 church
san francisco, CA 94114

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818523681-226345 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:36 -0700
Received: from p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id AEEC119E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:26:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 468a5774.2432150448.66330.00-043.p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <adepoalo@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:36 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:48:06 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:33 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015233.17229.14707.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: adepoalo@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <adepoalo@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:52:36 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:40 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:40 PM
SMTPOriginator: adepoalo@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:52:36 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:38 PM,12/28/2007 06:52:38 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:39 PM
\$Orig: 16ED215B9EAE7879872573C0000A4F61
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:39 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Anne DePoalo
60 1st Avenue
Manasquan, NJ 08736

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818523623-226342 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:36 -0700
Received: from p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 20D3D19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:26:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 368a5774.2630753200.4475.00-028.p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <vinvanmo@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:35 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:48:06 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:33 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015233.17229.14703.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: vinvanmo@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <vinvanmo@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:52:36 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:41 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:41 PM
SMTPOriginator: vinvanmo@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:52:36 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:37 PM,12/28/2007 06:52:37 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:38 PM
\$Orig: 3504F8A09F68E502872573C0000A4F27
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:38 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Victoria Holzendorf
199 E Avenue
Lake Oswego, OR 97034

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818523645-226343 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:36 -0700
Received: from p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 55E4A19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:26:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 368a5774.3642092464.131062.00-022.p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <purplezebra24@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:35 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:48:06 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:33 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015233.17229.14705.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: purplezebra24@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <purplezebra24@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:52:36 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:41 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:41 PM
SMTPOriginator: purplezebra24@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:52:36 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:37 PM,12/28/2007 06:52:37 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:38 PM
\$Orig: 6AFB2FD977010400872573C0000A4F3D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:38 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rachel Stoughton
476 williams
Deadwood, SD 57732

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818523597-226341 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:35 -0700
Received: from p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D352819E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:26:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 368a5774.2652437424.66330.00-043.p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cherylesteele@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:35 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:48:06 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:33 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015233.17229.14701.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: cherylesteele@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <cherylesteele@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:52:35 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:41 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:41 PM
SMTPOriginator: cherylesteele@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:52:35 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:37 PM,12/28/2007 06:52:37 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:38 PM
\$Orig: C4A5AD45CCD2009A872573C0000A4F0D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:38 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Cheryle Steele
11737A Colima Rd.
Whittier, CA 90604

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818523539-226339 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:35 -0700
Received: from p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 49D7119E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:26:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 268a5774.2473405360.4475.00-028.p01c11m022.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kfwrn@spiritone.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:34 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:48:06 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:33 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015233.17229.14699.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: kfwrn@spiritone.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <kfwrn@spiritone.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:52:35 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:42 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:42 PM
SMTPOriginator: kfwrn@spiritone.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:52:35 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:36 PM,12/28/2007 06:52:36 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:37 PM
\$Orig: A6535FAF56AEFC65872573C0000A4ED4
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:37 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Keith Woodard
6932 SW Ivy Lane
Portland, OR 97225

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818523512-226338 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:35 -0700
Received: from p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 05CE019E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:26:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 268a5774.2379701168.66330.00-043.p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <slrponsford@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:34 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:48:05 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:33 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015233.17229.14697.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: slrponsford@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <slrponsford@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:52:35 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:42 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:42 PM
SMTPOriginator: slrponsford@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:52:35 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:36 PM,12/28/2007 06:52:36 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:37 PM
\$Orig: AB7CF4065D13DC7B872573C0000A4EB8
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:37 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

It seems that, once again, the Mexican gray wolves are endangered. People who thinks livestock is more important than America's wildlife want to wipe it out. Once again, killing is not the answer.

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources,

including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sharon Ponsford
12111 Henno Rd.
Glen Ellen, CA 95442-9488

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818523428-226337 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:34 -0700
Received: from p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 2D29919E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:26:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 168a5774.2526559152.66330.00-043.p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <hotfusionman@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:48:05 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:33 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015233.17229.14695.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: hotfusionman@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <hotfusionman@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:52:34 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:42 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:42 PM
SMTPOriginator: hotfusionman@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:52:34 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:35 PM,12/28/2007 06:52:35 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:36 PM
\$Orig: 8AAA88B36970E4FD872573C0000A4E64
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:36 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Albert Davidson Chou
4161 Leon Drive
Clayton, CA 94517

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818520787-226330 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:07 -0700
Received: from p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id BDD5E19E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:26:18 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 748a5774.3296082864.45470.00-012.p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:52:04 -0600
\$MessageID: <18857269.1198893124592.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:04 PM
From: Linda Thornberg <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Linda Thornberg <bgood2animals@hotmail.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytEQnn@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0003341839; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.032(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.009(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:52:07 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:43 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:43 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:52:07 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:09 PM,12/28/2007 06:52:09 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:10 PM
\$Orig: CE62EA63B5882126872573C0000A4415
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:10 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Linda Thornberg
79 Salem Rd
Prospect, CT 06712-1518

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818520753-226328 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:07 -0700
Received: from p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 6799919E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:26:18 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 648a5774.3317500848.44228.00-044.p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 28 Dec 2007 19:52:04 -0600
\$MessageID: <6307728.1198893124555.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:04 PM
From: Patty Navarrete <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Patty Navarrete <j-pnavarrete@cybermesa.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytEQne@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0002047603; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.019(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.009(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:52:07 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:43 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:43 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:52:07 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:08 PM,12/28/2007 06:52:08 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:09 PM
\$Orig: 455D61245EE1DC21872573C0000A43F1
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:09 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Patty Navarrete
PO Box 2251
Taos, NM 87571-2251

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818520375-226303 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:03 -0700
Received: from p01c11m006.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 9F4A119E817D for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:26:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m006.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 348a5774.2624125872.3443.00-012.p01c11m006.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:03 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:51:58 -0600
\$MessageID: <21976582.1198893118189.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:58 PM
From: Christina Britton <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Christina Britton <septfaith@cox.net>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytEEt9@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.009(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:52:03 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:43 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:43 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:52:03 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:05 PM,12/28/2007 06:52:05 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:06 PM
\$Orig: EA1B4F042315E467872573C0000A4277
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:06 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christina Britton
625 N Van Buren Ave Apt 218
Tucson, AZ 85711-2436

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818520284-226296 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:02 -0700
Received: from p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id B36DB19E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:26:13 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 248a5774.2593278896.27833.00-013.p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:51:57 -0600
\$MessageID: <14437702.1198893117909.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:57 PM
From: Gwen Montgomery <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Gwen Montgomery <gpollardabq@peoplepc.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytEEEE@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0009316978; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.084(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.009(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:52:02 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:44 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:44 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:52:02 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:04 PM,12/28/2007 06:52:04 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:05 PM
\$Orig: 044BA917316FC412872573C0000A421C
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:05 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

Here in New Mexico, it is sacreligious, infuriating & scarey to think that the lobos could become extinct. Each & every New

Mexican in the private and business sector is a UNM Lobo supporter! As

a vegetarian for over 35 years, it angers me to think that other wildlife species who should have equal rights protections on this planet have to be jeopardized by ranchers & cattlemen who think only of themselves, the animals they raise to slaughter inhumanely & turn into profits. EVERY TIME ANOTHER BEAUTIFUL, INTELLIGENT SPECIES BECOMES EXTINCT DUE TO MANKIND'S EGO, GREED & STUPIDITY, WE BECOME ONE STEP CLOSER TO EXTINCTION OURSELVES. I & THOUSANDS OF OTHERS OBJECT.

THIS HAS GOT TO STOP NOW!

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gwen Montgomery
11600 Academy Rd NE
Albuquerque, NM 87111-7552

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818520356-226301 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:03 -0700
Received: from p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 71AD319E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:26:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 248a5774.2645728176.27833.00-013.p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 28 Dec 2007 19:51:58 -0600
\$MessageID: <19255210.1198893118100.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:58 PM
From: KC Benson <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: KC Benson <kcbenson@laplaza.org>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytEEQy@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.009(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:52:03 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:44 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:44 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:52:03 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:04 PM,12/28/2007 06:52:04 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:05 PM
\$Orig: 05CDC5E6AD83638D872573C0000A4264
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:05 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. KC Benson
PO Box 2071
Taos, NM 87571-2071

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818520164-226287 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:01 -0700
Received: from p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 8134019E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:26:12 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 048a5774.2654481328.19361.00-068.p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:51:57 -0600
\$MessageID: <5955855.1198893117564.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:57 PM
From: Kelly Hageman <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Kelly Hageman <khage7@yahoo.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nyteEM9@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.009(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [66.45.103.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:52:01 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:44 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:44 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:52:01 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:03 PM,12/28/2007 06:52:03 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:04 PM
\$Orig: 817BD0E1DDDFC8A9872573C0000A41A4
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:04 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery

efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kelly Hageman
524 S Allred Dr
Tempe, AZ 85281-3157

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818520179-226289 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:01 -0700
Received: from p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id A976619E817D for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:26:12 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 148a5774.2697735088.4579.00-051.p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:01 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 28 Dec 2007 19:51:57 -0600
\$MessageID: <17288293.1198893117667.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:57 PM
From: Jayne Lee <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Jayne Lee <jayne@humannature.ws>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytEEMn@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001378454; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.013(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.009(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:52:01 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:45 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:45 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:52:01 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:03 PM,12/28/2007 06:52:03 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:04 PM
\$Orig: F7FBC01149859F36872573C0000A41B3
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:04 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jayne Lee
1124 E Linda Vista Dr
Flagstaff, AZ 86004-1607

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818520076-226282 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:00 -0700
Received: from p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 9B76419E817E for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:26:11 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 048a5774.2435931056.27833.00-013.p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:51:57 -0600
\$MessageID: <2177647.1198893117317.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:57 PM
From: Kathryn King <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Kathryn King <eyeofthetree@msn.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: WHERE HAS YOUR SOUL GONE?
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytEEnM@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.009(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [66.45.103.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:52:00 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:45 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:45 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:52:00 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:02 PM,12/28/2007 06:52:02 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:03 PM
\$Orig: F0856554C1E31A20872573C0000A414C
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:03 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery

efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathryn King
4880 N Sabino Canyon Rd Apt 18285
Tucson, AZ 85750-7012

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818520075-226280 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:00 -0700
Received: from p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 908C119E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:26:11 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f38a5774.3590237104.44079.00-079.p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:51:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:51:57 -0600
\$MessageID: <2713815.1198893117286.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:57 PM
From: Eddie Mcminn <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Eddie Mcminn <smoot@cableone.net>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytEEnn@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0018321985; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.153(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.009(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:52:00 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:46 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:46 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:52:00 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:02 PM,12/28/2007 06:52:02 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:03 PM
\$Orig: DAA2F4CDB7567A98872573C0000A414B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:03 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eddie McMinn
4910 Hidden Acres
Texarkana, TX 75503-2990

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818520107-226283 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:01 -0700
Received: from p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id EB24D19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:26:11 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 048a5774.2655775664.4579.00-051.p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:52:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:51:57 -0600
\$MessageID: <8735365.1198893117407.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:57 PM
From: Meenakshi Roy <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Meenakshi Roy <meenakshi@mbi.ucla.edu>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf reintroduction
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytEEy4@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.009(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:52:01 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:46 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:46 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:52:01 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:02 PM,12/28/2007 06:52:02 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:03 PM
\$Orig: 789A0BA796CFDFE4872573C0000A416B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:03 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Dr. Meenakshi Roy
1 63rd Ave Apt B2
Playa Del Rey, CA 90293-6504

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818515990-226276 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:51:59 -0700
Received: from p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C519F19E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:26:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f38a5774.3149663152.44079.00-079.p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:51:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 28 Dec 2007 19:51:57 -0600
\$MessageID: <12599529.1198893116989.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:56 PM
From: Donna Poisl <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Donna Poisl <jcmdp@earthlink.net>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Please Help Save the Mexican Gray Wolf
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytEEKK@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.009(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:51:59 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:46 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:46 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:51:59 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:01 PM,12/28/2007 06:52:01 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:02 PM
\$Orig: 1174910308EC9366872573C0000A40F6
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:02 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Donna Poisl
9225 E Tanque Verde Rd Apt 48101
Tucson, AZ 85749-7601

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818515900-226271 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:51:59 -0700
Received: from p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id D882219E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:26:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e38a5774.2622192560.15022.00-068.p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:51:58 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:51:56 -0600
\$MessageID: <6082738.1198893116871.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:56 PM
From: Gigi Kast <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Gigi Kast <irie@cybermesa.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytEEeE@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0004841369; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.045(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.009(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:51:59 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:49 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:49 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:51:59 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:00 PM,12/28/2007 06:52:00 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:01 PM
\$Orig: 02C76E139DE6DCA0872573C0000A409C
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:01 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gigi Kast
PO Box 404
Velarde, NM 87582-0404

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818515912-226272 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:51:59 -0700
Received: from p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 0332019E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:26:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e38a5774.3422399408.44079.00-079.p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:51:58 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:51:56 -0600
\$MessageID: <3626371.1198893116898.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:56 PM
From: Beverly Janowitz-Price <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Beverly Janowitz-Price <bevjano@msn.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytEEeQ@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0002479665; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.023(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.009(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:51:59 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:50 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:50 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:51:59 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:00 PM,12/28/2007 06:52:00 PM-12/28/2007 06:52:01 PM
\$Orig: 94FE2BA11E304C87872573C0000A40A8
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:52:01 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beverly Janowitz-Price
769 N Sunset Rd
Apache Junction, AZ 85219-7829

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818515509-226263 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:51:55 -0700
Received: from p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id F007A19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:26:05 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id a38a5774.2475334576.15022.00-068.p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:51:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 28 Dec 2007 19:51:53 -0600
\$MessageID: <28251298.1198893113361.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:53 PM
From: Joyce & Joe Kasting <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Joyce & Joe Kasting <joe_joyce@cox.net>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: The importance of wolves
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytEE4e@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.009(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:51:55 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:50 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:50 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:51:55 PM-12/28/2007 06:51:56 PM,12/28/2007 06:51:56 PM-12/28/2007 06:51:57 PM
\$Orig: ECF96F20C7BE19D6872573C0000A3F15
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:57 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Please do not give in to the irrational fears put forth by big ranchers. Wolves play a vital role in the ecosystem of the Southwest. Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Joyce & Joe Kasting
4824 E Kathleen Rd
Scottsdale, AZ 85254-1631

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818515432-226262 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:51:54 -0700
Received: from p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 377F819E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:26:05 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 938a5774.2412395440.15022.00-068.p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:51:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:51:53 -0600
\$MessageID: <33554116.1198893113350.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:53 PM
From: Patricia Stern <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Patricia Stern <ptystern@juno.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytEE9y@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.009(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [66.45.103.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:51:54 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:50 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:50 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:51:54 PM-12/28/2007 06:51:55 PM,12/28/2007 06:51:55 PM-12/28/2007 06:51:56 PM
\$Orig: C9617EC1BD382512872573C0000A3EC8
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:56 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery

efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Stern
3740 W Ironwood Hill Dr
Tucson, AZ 85745-9621

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818514739-226254 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:51:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 45BD119E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:25:58 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 238a5774.2677197744.27572.00-046.p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:51:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:51:46 -0600
\$MessageID: <31908325.1198893106441.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:46 PM
From: Jesse Archambault <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Jesse Archambault <archie401@gmail.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytEMty@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001190841; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.011(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.009(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [66.45.103.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:51:47 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:51 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:51 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:51:47 PM-12/28/2007 06:51:49 PM,12/28/2007 06:51:49 PM-12/28/2007 06:51:50 PM
\$Orig: 569C819E8BAB532D872573C0000A3C13
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:50 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery

efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jesse Archambault
425 Willow Dr
Durango, CO 81301-7568

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818514089-226248 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:51:40 -0700
Received: from p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id BE84419E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:25:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id c28a5774.2488495024.41834.00-066.p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:51:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:51:38 -0600
\$MessageID: <15501921.1198893098488.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:38 PM
From: William Buell <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: William Buell <bill.buell@infomagic.net>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Wolves are more important than cattle
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytEMQK@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0003765529; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.035(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.009(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:51:40 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:51 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:51 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:51:40 PM-12/28/2007 06:51:42 PM,12/28/2007 06:51:42 PM-12/28/2007 06:51:43 PM
\$Orig: DECF985FBE9EBFF2872573C0000A3989
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:43 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

To me, wolves are more important than cattle. Wolves are an integral element of the natural ecosystem. Cattle are destructive intruders.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Buell
990 N Thorpe Rd Apt 6
Flagstaff, AZ 86001-1571

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818513939-226244 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:51:39 -0700
Received: from p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 44CC119E817D for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:25:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id a28a5774.2432482224.27220.00-055.p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:51:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:51:38 -0600
\$MessageID: <31200207.1198893098391.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:38 PM
From: Kim Garcia <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Kim Garcia <king0123@yahoo.com>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytEMEE@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001034667; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.009(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [66.45.103.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:51:39 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:52 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:52 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:51:39 PM-12/28/2007 06:51:41 PM,12/28/2007 06:51:41 PM-12/28/2007 06:51:42 PM
\$Orig: D7D9472E217E6082872573C0000A38F3
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:42 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery

efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kim Garcia
7761 E 33rd St
Tucson, AZ 85710-6060

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818513920-226242 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:51:39 -0700
Received: from p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 14E4B19E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:25:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id a28a5774.2498984880.41834.00-066.p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:51:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:51:38 -0600
\$MessageID: <6641186.1198893098244.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:38 PM
From: dolores marchese <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: dolores marchese <gratefuldee@cox.net>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytEMEy@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0002034610; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.019(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.009(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:51:39 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:52 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:52 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:51:39 PM-12/28/2007 06:51:40 PM,12/28/2007 06:51:40 PM-12/28/2007 06:51:41 PM
\$Orig: C11A169A7CCC9473872573C0000A38E0
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:41 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dolores Marchese
260 S Clubhouse Ln
Sierra Vista, AZ 85635-8221

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818513750-226241 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:51:37 -0700
Received: from p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id D713319E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:25:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 728a5774.2624863152.41834.00-066.p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:51:35 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 19:51:35 -0600
\$MessageID: <17444556.1198893095643.JavaMail.www@app30>
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:35 PM
From: Therese Jimanowicz <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
ReplyTo: Therese Jimanowicz <tjconnex@wbhsi.net>
SendTo: r2fwe_al@fws.gov
Subject: Mexican gray wolf reintroduction to the Southwest is a priority!
MIME_Version: 1.0
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife
X_Convio_Version: 5.3.22
X_Gateway: poolcons
XData: 1010,9@nytEMMt@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c
X_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb
X_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.009(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:51:37 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:52 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:52 PM
SMTPOriginator: email_bounce_handler@bounce.convio.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:51:37 PM-12/28/2007 06:51:39 PM,12/28/2007 06:51:39 PM-12/28/2007 06:51:40 PM
\$Orig: D82039C964826229872573C0000A3836
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:51:40 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery

efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them. Priorities have to be changed in order to save these animals from extinction and that has to be done immediately.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act. We must live up to our commitments and not ignore them in favor of greed.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Therese Jimanowicz
5140 N. Stevenson Drive
Rimrock, AZ 86335

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818503531-226234 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:50:35 -0700
Received: from p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 2D03219E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:24:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id ae7a5774.2537647024.138208.00-027.p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dianaspencer@bellsouth.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:50:34 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:46:05 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:50:33 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015033.17229.14661.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: dianaspencer@bellsouth.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <dianaspencer@bellsouth.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:50:35 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:53 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:53 PM
SMTPOriginator: dianaspencer@bellsouth.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:50:35 PM-12/28/2007 06:50:37 PM,12/28/2007 06:50:37 PM-12/28/2007 06:50:38 PM
\$Orig: D2015E0081D07282872573C0000A1FEB
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:50:38 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Diana Spencer
933 Jamaica Avenue
Sebastian, FL 32958

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818503512-226233 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:50:35 -0700
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id F382419E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:24:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id ae7a5774.3517152176.129158.00-060.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <defeemendik1@alltel.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:50:34 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:46:05 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:50:33 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015033.17229.14659.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: defeemendik1@alltel.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <defeemendik1@alltel.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:50:35 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:53 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:53 PM
SMTPOriginator: defeemendik1@alltel.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:50:35 PM-12/28/2007 06:50:36 PM,12/28/2007 06:50:36 PM-12/28/2007 06:50:37 PM
\$Orig: 3F12DDB616E13DC2872573C0000A1FD8
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:50:37 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Natalie DeFee Mendik
1005 Trail Side Ct.
Jeannette, PA 15644-4739

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818503429-226232 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:50:34 -0700
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 2907919E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:24:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 9e7a5774.3296865200.129158.00-060.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rm46@humboldt.edu>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:50:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:46:05 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:50:33 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229015033.17229.14657.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: rm46@humboldt.edu
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0095258112; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.087(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <rm46@humboldt.edu>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:50:34 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:53 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:53 PM
SMTPOriginator: rm46@humboldt.edu
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:50:34 PM-12/28/2007 06:50:36 PM,12/28/2007 06:50:36 PM-12/28/2007 06:50:37 PM
\$Orig: E81045C7E5D60548872573C0000A1F85
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:50:37 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rosada Martin
PO Box 118
Garberville, CA 95542

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818483423-226219 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:48:34 -0700
Received: from p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1575319E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:22:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 177a5774.2551925680.13315.00-068.p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <myylwsub@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:48:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:44:05 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:48:33 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014833.17229.14615.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: myylwsub@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <myylwsub@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:48:34 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:54 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:54 PM
SMTPOriginator: myylwsub@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:48:34 PM-12/28/2007 06:48:36 PM,12/28/2007 06:48:36 PM-12/28/2007 06:48:36 PM
\$Orig: A2F6E612FA9BE321872573C00009F09F
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:48:36 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jennie Reinish
4558-F oak Glen Drive
Santa Barbara, CA 93110

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818472914-226212 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:47:29 -0700
Received: from p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id F123719E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:21:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 037a5774.2653428656.63447.00-047.p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <wvcello@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:47:28 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:42:58 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:47:26 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014726.17229.14555.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: wvcello@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <wvcello@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:47:29 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:54 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:54 PM
SMTPOriginator: wvcello@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:47:29 PM-12/28/2007 06:47:31 PM,12/28/2007 06:47:31 PM-12/28/2007 06:47:31 PM
\$Orig: 42B7D3346CBE0A7D872573C00009D732
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:47:31 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dorothy Eddis
P. O. Box 88
Augusta, WV 26704

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818472918-226213 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:47:29 -0700
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0763C19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:21:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 037a5774.2718735280.23222.00-015.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <billsarovec@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:47:28 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:42:58 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:47:26 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014726.17229.14553.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: billsarovec@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <billsarovec@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:47:29 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:54 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:54 PM
SMTPOriginator: billsarovec@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:47:29 PM-12/28/2007 06:47:31 PM,12/28/2007 06:47:31 PM-12/28/2007 06:47:31 PM
\$Orig: 2CE84FF6F4BE3138872573C00009D736
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:47:31 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
William Sarovec
14 Clarendon Rd
Lake Ronkonkoma, NY 11779

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818472829-226210 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:47:28 -0700
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2462B19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:21:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f27a5774.2466978736.23222.00-015.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ehowes@coedu.usf.edu>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:47:27 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:42:58 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:47:26 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014726.17229.14549.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: ehowes@coedu.usf.edu
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ehowes@coedu.usf.edu>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:47:28 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:54 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:54 PM
SMTPOriginator: ehowes@coedu.usf.edu
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:47:28 PM-12/28/2007 06:47:30 PM,12/28/2007 06:47:30 PM-12/28/2007 06:47:30 PM
\$Orig: 02CD070FF150B894872573C00009D6DF
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:47:30 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elaine Howes
3705 Perdew Dr.
Land O' Lakes, FL 33647

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818472831-226211 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:47:28 -0700
Received: from p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 25FEA19E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:21:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id f27a5774.2642938800.63447.00-047.p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dorislapierre@sbcglobal.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:47:27 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:42:58 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:47:26 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014726.17229.14551.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: dorislapierre@sbcglobal.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <dorislapierre@sbcglobal.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:47:28 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:55 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:55 PM
SMTPOriginator: dorislapierre@sbcglobal.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:47:28 PM-12/28/2007 06:47:30 PM,12/28/2007 06:47:30 PM-12/28/2007 06:47:30 PM
\$Orig: 92821B0B0B781A81872573C00009D6E0
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:47:30 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Doris Lapierre
2315 2nd St
Plainfield, IN 46168

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818472729-226209 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:47:27 -0700
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 219EF19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:21:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id e27a5774.2435509168.23222.00-015.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <richlroth@mac.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:47:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:42:58 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:47:26 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014726.17229.14547.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: richlroth@mac.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <richlroth@mac.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:47:27 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:55 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:55 PM
SMTPOriginator: richlroth@mac.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:47:27 PM-12/28/2007 06:47:29 PM,12/28/2007 06:47:29 PM-12/28/2007 06:47:29 PM
\$Orig: 0B3ADEADB9242C2A872573C00009D679
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:47:29 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Richard Rothstein
11600 SW 96th Terrace
Miami, FL 33176

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818462728-226198 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:46:27 -0700
Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 19EE719E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:20:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 2f6a5774.2652916656.134031.00-043.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <marty@faceuptopeace.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:46:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:41:58 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:46:26 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014626.17229.14545.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: marty@faceuptopeace.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <marty@faceuptopeace.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:46:27 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:55 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:55 PM
SMTPOriginator: marty@faceuptopeace.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:46:27 PM-12/28/2007 06:46:29 PM,12/28/2007 06:46:30 PM-12/28/2007 06:46:30 PM
\$Orig: 04C6CBB69014F419872573C00009BF08
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:46:30 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Marty Landa
200 Glendon Street
Henderson, NV 89074

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818452973-226191 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:45:29 -0700
Received: from p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8830819E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:19:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 9b6a5774.2666122160.100989.00-027.p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <c_mackrell@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:45:29 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:40:59 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:45:26 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014526.17229.14543.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: c_mackrell@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <c_mackrell@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:45:29 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:56 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:56 PM
SMTPOriginator: c_mackrell@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:45:29 PM-12/28/2007 06:45:30 PM,12/28/2007 06:45:30 PM-12/28/2007 06:45:30 PM
\$Orig: C8BCFF39C7A444B9872573C00009A88D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:45:30 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Chris Mackrell
4835 E Anaheim St
211
Long Beach, CA 90804

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818452890-226189 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:45:28 -0700
Received: from p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B12A519E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:19:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 8b6a5774.2508774320.100989.00-027.p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <juli3@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:45:28 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:40:59 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:45:26 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014526.17229.14539.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: Juli3@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <juli3@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:45:28 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:56 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:56 PM
SMTPOriginator: juli3@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:45:28 PM-12/28/2007 06:45:30 PM,12/28/2007 06:45:30 PM-12/28/2007 06:45:30 PM
\$Orig: 06D407178B8CBB7D872573C00009A83A
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:45:30 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Juli Kring
12400 Brookglade Cr 42
Houston, TX 77099

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818452907-226190 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:45:29 -0700
Received: from p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id DCB2F19E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:19:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 8b6a5774.3109006256.6382.00-022.p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sitswithwolves@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:45:28 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:40:59 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:45:26 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014526.17229.14541.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: sitswithwolves@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <sitswithwolves@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:45:29 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:56 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:56 PM
SMTPOriginator: sitswithwolves@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:45:29 PM-12/28/2007 06:45:30 PM,12/28/2007 06:45:30 PM-12/28/2007 06:45:30 PM
\$Orig: 997671958B0256F2872573C00009A84B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:45:30 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sharon Hinkle
1912 Raismore Rd.
Rockford, IL 61108

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818452829-226188 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:45:28 -0700
Received: from p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1BB9719E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:19:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 7b6a5774.3067046832.6382.00-022.p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <hallclev@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:45:27 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:40:58 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:45:26 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014526.17229.14537.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: hallclev@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <hallclev@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:45:28 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:57 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:57 PM
SMTPOriginator: hallclev@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:45:28 PM-12/28/2007 06:45:29 PM,12/28/2007 06:45:29 PM-12/28/2007 06:45:29 PM
\$Orig: DD47182DA554386E872573C00009A7FD
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:45:29 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Robert hecker
12704 Grimsby Ave
Cleveland, OH 44135

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818452809-226187 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:45:28 -0700
Received: from p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id DEF7219E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:19:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 7b6a5774.2435345328.100989.00-027.p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <d.wiens@sbcglobal.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:45:27 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:40:58 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:45:26 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014526.17229.14535.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: d.wiens@sbcglobal.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <d.wiens@sbcglobal.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:45:28 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:57 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:57 PM
SMTPOriginator: d.wiens@sbcglobal.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:45:28 PM-12/28/2007 06:45:29 PM,12/28/2007 06:45:29 PM-12/28/2007 06:45:29 PM
\$Orig: 7432A4074BE09D59872573C00009A7E9
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:45:29 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Devon H. Wiens
261-D Spruce St
Arroyo Grande, CA 93420

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818452731-226186 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:45:27 -0700
Received: from p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 1E5F819E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:19:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 6b6a5774.2403875760.100989.00-027.p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <elizabeth.watts@verizon.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:45:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:40:58 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:45:26 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014526.17229.14533.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: elizabeth.watts@verizon.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <elizabeth.watts@verizon.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:45:27 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:57 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:57 PM
SMTPOriginator: elizabeth.watts@verizon.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:45:27 PM-12/28/2007 06:45:28 PM,12/28/2007 06:45:28 PM-12/28/2007 06:45:28 PM
\$Orig: 7D14D69356843ECA872573C00009A79B
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:45:28 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Watts
25 Edna Court
Baldwin, NY 11510

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818432798-226172 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:43:27 -0700
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id BBCC119E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:17:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f36a5774.2432629680.174307.00-064.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <hilandlass@webtv.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:43:27 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:38:58 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:43:25 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014325.17229.14449.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: hilandlass@webtv.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <hilandlass@webtv.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:43:27 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:57 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:57 PM
SMTPOriginator: hilandlass@webtv.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:43:27 PM-12/28/2007 06:43:30 PM,12/28/2007 06:43:30 PM-12/28/2007 06:43:30 PM
\$Orig: 080685B69A839443872573C0000978FE
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:43:30 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jayn Morgan
"9361 Misery Point Loop, N.W."
Seabeck, WA 98380-9779

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818432810-226173 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:43:28 -0700
Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id DD6B619E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:17:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f36a5774.3591084976.45939.00-071.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <librophile@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:43:27 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:38:58 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:43:25 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014325.17229.14451.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: librophile@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <librophile@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:43:28 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:58 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:58 PM
SMTPOriginator: librophile@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:43:28 PM-12/28/2007 06:43:29 PM,12/28/2007 06:43:30 PM-12/28/2007 06:43:30 PM
\$Orig: 3D82EE8E57D0423D872573C00009790A
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:43:30 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Steven Book-Lover
2801 Cleveland Street
Hollywood, FL 33020

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818432731-226170 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:43:27 -0700
Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 18EC319E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:17:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id e36a5774.3381287856.45939.00-071.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kolsen08@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:43:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:38:57 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:43:25 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014325.17229.14447.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: KOLSEN08@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <kolsen08@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:43:27 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:58 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:58 PM
SMTPOriginator: kolsen08@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:43:27 PM-12/28/2007 06:43:28 PM,12/28/2007 06:43:28 PM-12/28/2007 06:43:28 PM
\$Orig: 711B5976EF145E20872573C0000978BB
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:43:28 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Shawn Olsen
9025 Waverly Dr SW
Lakewood, WA 98499

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818432712-226169 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:43:27 -0700
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id E1A3719E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:17:37 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e36a5774.2579487664.174307.00-064.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sygreens@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:43:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:38:57 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:43:25 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014325.17229.14445.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: sygreens@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <sygreens@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:43:27 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:58 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:58 PM
SMTPOriginator: sygreens@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:43:27 PM-12/28/2007 06:43:28 PM,12/28/2007 06:43:28 PM-12/28/2007 06:43:28 PM
\$Orig: 3085B1264F8686D2872573C0000978AA
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:43:28 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
susan green
3424 marina dr.
santa barbara, CA 93110

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818432628-226168 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:43:26 -0700
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 142F919E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:17:37 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id d36a5774.2453609392.174307.00-064.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <miss.roxanne84@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:43:25 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:38:57 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:43:25 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014325.17229.14443.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: miss.roxanne84@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <miss.roxanne84@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:43:26 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:59 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:59 PM
SMTPOriginator: miss.roxanne84@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:43:26 PM-12/28/2007 06:43:27 PM,12/28/2007 06:43:27 PM-12/28/2007 06:43:27 PM
\$Orig: EABED99A480314C4872573C000097854
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:43:27 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
roxanne garcia
1402 Creekside Dr #4
Walnut Creek, CA 94596

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818422354-226160 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:23 -0700
Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 542FE19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:16:34 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id ef5a5774.2506058672.129214.00-002.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <icefire@theoregonshore.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:22 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:37:51 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:18 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014218.17229.14425.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: icefire@theoregonshore.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <icefire@theoregonshore.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:42:23 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:59 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:59 PM
SMTPOriginator: icefire@theoregonshore.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:42:23 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:24 PM,12/28/2007 06:42:24 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:25 PM
\$Orig: EDDBD6DE0EA80298872573C000095FD4
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:25 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Suzanne Kindland
P.O. Box 654
Cannon Beach, OR 97110

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818422319-226159 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:23 -0700
Received: from p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id E893D19E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:16:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id ef5a5774.2695388080.57411.00-002.p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <metaldiva@sbcglobal.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:22 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:37:51 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:18 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014218.17229.14423.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: metaldiva@sbcglobal.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <metaldiva@sbcglobal.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:42:23 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:54:59 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:54:59 PM
SMTPOriginator: metaldiva@sbcglobal.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:42:23 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:24 PM,12/28/2007 06:42:24 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:25 PM
\$Orig: 0722425C54492331872573C000095FAF
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:25 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Koeller
26 Bellewood Avenue
Waterbury, CT 06705-2301

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818422384-226161 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:23 -0700
Received: from p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 99D6519E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:16:34 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id ff5a5774.3547839408.33600.00-034.p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jljonesk@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:23 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:37:51 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:18 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014218.17229.14427.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jljonesk@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jljonesk@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:42:23 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:00 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:00 PM
SMTPOriginator: jljonesk@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:42:23 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:25 PM,12/28/2007 06:42:25 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:25 PM
\$Orig: 631A91118F448411872573C000095FF0
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:25 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

Please do everything you can do to save the Mexican Gray wolf. To accomplish this vital rescue, the opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your thoughtful consideration.

Sincerely,
J. Lisa Jones
933 E. SENECA
Tucson, AZ 85719

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818422244-226157 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:22 -0700
Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3635719E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:16:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id df5a5774.2652916656.129214.00-002.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <scc317@earthlink.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:21 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:37:51 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:18 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014218.17229.14419.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: scc317@earthlink.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <scc317@earthlink.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:42:22 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:00 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:00 PM
SMTPOriginator: scc317@earthlink.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:42:22 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:23 PM,12/28/2007 06:42:23 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:24 PM
\$Orig: 66A1E87F1CD2252A872573C000095F64
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:24 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
S. Chapek
845 Euclid Ave #4
SF, CA 94118-2520

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818422301-226158 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:23 -0700
Received: from p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B5D4119E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:16:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id ef5a5774.3526859696.33600.00-034.p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <whiteowl1@comcast.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:22 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:37:51 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:18 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014218.17229.14421.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: whiteowl1@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <whiteowl1@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:42:23 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:00 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:00 PM
SMTPOriginator: whiteowl1@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:42:23 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:24 PM,12/28/2007 06:42:24 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:24 PM
\$Orig: EDDAAE6D54385DBF872573C000095F9D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:24 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Faith
290A Washington St.
Cambridge, MA 02139-3506

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818422208-226154 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:22 -0700
Received: from p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id CF09F19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:16:32 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id df5a5774.3421961136.33600.00-034.p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <john790@comcast.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:21 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:37:51 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:18 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014218.17229.14415.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: john790@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <john790@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:42:22 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:01 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:01 PM
SMTPOriginator: john790@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:42:22 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:23 PM,12/28/2007 06:42:23 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:24 PM
\$Orig: 3D79AA70860D0C33872573C000095F40
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:24 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
John Tyler
P.O. Box 335
Half Moon Bay, CA 94019

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818422148-226153 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:21 -0700
Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4289819E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:16:32 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id cf5a5774.2579487664.129214.00-002.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <miklaflwr@earthlink.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:20 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:37:51 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:18 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014218.17229.14413.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: miklaflwr@earthlink.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0040463237; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.800(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <miklaflwr@earthlink.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:42:21 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:01 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:01 PM
SMTPOriginator: miklaflwr@earthlink.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:42:21 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:22 PM,12/28/2007 06:42:22 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:23 PM
\$Orig: 87F237DADC1FD17B872573C000095F04
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:23 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Michelle Hedgecock
74-181 Fairwar Dr.
Palm Desert, CA 92260

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818422117-226151 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:21 -0700
Received: from p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id E84B819E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:16:31 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id cf5a5774.3086285744.33600.00-034.p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jbwecare@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:20 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:37:51 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:18 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014218.17229.14409.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jbwecare@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0161481558; B=0.500(0); S=0.140(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jbwecare@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:42:21 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:01 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:01 PM
SMTPOriginator: jbwecare@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:42:21 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:22 PM,12/28/2007 06:42:22 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:23 PM
\$Orig: 43161DC2F7A51D39872573C000095EE5
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:23 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Julia and Charles Bashaw
9267 S Redbird Rd
Safford, AZ 85546

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818422229-226156 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:22 -0700
Received: from p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 13C4819E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:16:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id df5a5774.2632448944.57411.00-002.p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <transhuman@earthlink.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:21 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:37:51 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:18 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014218.17229.14417.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: transhuman@earthlink.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <transhuman@earthlink.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:42:22 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:02 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:02 PM
SMTPOriginator: transhuman@earthlink.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:42:22 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:23 PM,12/28/2007 06:42:23 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:23 PM
\$Orig: 16F2B76B599A2DFA872573C000095F55
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:23 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Gordon Wood
3807 S. McClellan Street
Seattle, WA 98144

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818422045-226150 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:20 -0700
Received: from p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 38A3019E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:16:31 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id bf5a5774.2464611248.57411.00-002.p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <stamatiosv@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:19 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:37:51 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:18 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014218.17229.14407.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: stamatiosv@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <stamatiosv@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:42:20 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:02 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:02 PM
SMTPOriginator: stamatiosv@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:42:20 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:21 PM,12/28/2007 06:42:21 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:22 PM
\$Orig: C7CDFEA73429D444872573C000095E9D
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:22 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Stamatios Varias
321 Attig Road
Selinsgrove, PA 17870-7504

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818422142-226152 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:21 -0700
Received: from p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3109A19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:16:32 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id cf5a5774.2632448944.57411.00-002.p01c11m054.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gloriaje@classicnet.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:20 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:37:51 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:18 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014218.17229.14411.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: gloriaje@classicnet.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <gloriaje@classicnet.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:42:21 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:02 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:02 PM
SMTPOriginator: gloriaje@classicnet.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:42:21 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:22 PM,12/28/2007 06:42:22 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:22 PM
\$Orig: BAAFA116E6D5FD48872573C000095EFE
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:22 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Gloria Morrison
1709 West Jackson Blv.
Pecos, TX 79772

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818422028-226149 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:20 -0700
Received: from p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0EEFE19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:16:31 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id bf5a5774.3673717680.33600.00-034.p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mmar@earthlink.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:19 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:37:50 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:18 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014218.17229.14405.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: mmar@earthlink.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <mmar@earthlink.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:42:20 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:03 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:03 PM
SMTPOriginator: mmar@earthlink.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:42:20 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:21 PM,12/28/2007 06:42:21 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:21 PM
\$Orig: DCF1D6B6C6C62B42872573C000095E8C
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:21 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Michael Marangio
618 Lexington Ave. #2
El Cerrito, CA 94530

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818421939-226147 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:19 -0700
Received: from p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 2A62019E8081 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:16:30 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id af5a5774.3579308976.33600.00-034.p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <inor@earthlink.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:42:18 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:37:50 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:18 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229014218.17229.14403.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: inor@earthlink.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0023164571; B=0.500(0); S=0.022(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <inor@earthlink.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:42:19 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:03 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:03 PM
SMTPOriginator: inor@earthlink.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:42:19 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:20 PM,12/28/2007 06:42:20 PM-12/28/2007 06:42:21 PM
\$Orig: C04F3BFA65968EF8872573C000095E33
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:42:21 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Roni Zierikzee
845 Euclid Ave. Apt 4
San Francisco, CA 94118-2520

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818392004-226124 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:39:20 -0700
Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C4FFE19E800E for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:13:30 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 745a5774.2433797040.160336.00-072.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <schmalzp@digital.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:39:19 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:34:49 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:39:17 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229013917.17229.14319.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: schmalzp@digital.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0011441211; B=0.500(0); S=0.011(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <schmalzp@digital.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:39:20 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:03 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:03 PM
SMTPOriginator: schmalzp@digital.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:39:20 PM-12/28/2007 06:39:21 PM,12/28/2007 06:39:21 PM-12/28/2007 06:39:21 PM
\$Orig: 5B6C834FD2935FE1872573C000091826
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:39:21 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Paul Schmalzer
6109 Gallop Court
Titusville, FL 32780-7444

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818391917-226122 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:39:19 -0700
Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id E04EE19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:13:29 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 645a5774.2402327472.160336.00-072.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <motherofpearl@jimmyburgoff.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:39:18 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:34:49 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:39:17 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229013917.17229.14315.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: motherofpearl@jimmyburgoff.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <motherofpearl@jimmyburgoff.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:39:19 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:04 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:04 PM
SMTPOriginator: motherofpearl@jimmyburgoff.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:39:19 PM-12/28/2007 06:39:20 PM,12/28/2007 06:39:20 PM-12/28/2007 06:39:21 PM
\$Orig: DD6E8FF034CFE05B872573C0000917CD
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:39:21 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sarah Matthews
144 Harkness Road
Pelham, MA 01002

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818391928-226123 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:39:19 -0700
Received: from p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 0A6B519E800E for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:13:29 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 645a5774.2568919984.135377.00-023.p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <davis179@cox.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:39:18 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:34:49 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:39:17 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229013917.17229.14317.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: davis179@cox.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <davis179@cox.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:39:19 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:04 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:04 PM
SMTPOriginator: davis179@cox.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:39:19 PM-12/28/2007 06:39:20 PM,12/28/2007 06:39:20 PM-12/28/2007 06:39:21 PM
\$Orig: 2FF50A733BBE4E2F872573C0000917D9
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:39:21 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
George Davis
3700 Stewart Ave. #179
Las Vegas, NV 89110-3118

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818391828-226121 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:39:18 -0700
Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 07EAE19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:13:28 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 545a5774.2475756464.160336.00-072.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <clever.coyote@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:39:17 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:34:49 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:39:17 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229013917.17229.14313.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: clever.coyote@gmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122842); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <clever.coyote@gmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:39:18 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:04 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:04 PM
SMTPOriginator: clever.coyote@gmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:39:18 PM-12/28/2007 06:39:19 PM,12/28/2007 06:39:19 PM-12/28/2007 06:39:20 PM
\$Orig: 01C2F2902E3B818B872573C000091774
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:39:20 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sarah Dawson
PO Box 406
Millville, UT 84326

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818381608-226111 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:38:16 -0700
Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C5EEB19E8008 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 705a5774.3255409584.39696.00-008.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <abbey_b1@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:38:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:33:46 -0800
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:38:14 PM
\$MessageID: <20071229013814.17229.14299.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: abbey_b1@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping
X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122841); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <abbey_b1@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:38:16 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:05 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:05 PM
SMTPOriginator: abbey_b1@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:38:16 PM-12/28/2007 06:38:17 PM,12/28/2007 06:38:17 PM-12/28/2007 06:38:18 PM
\$Orig: 1B3DC93822E6F36F872573C00008FF28
Categories:
\$Revisions:
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:38:18 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Abbey Boeckman
11087 Goodwin Rd.
Benton, AR 72019