

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818163832-225733 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:16:38 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id C93CF19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:50:48 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 5ff95774.3675978672.15632.00-100.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ahel@comcast.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:16:37 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:12:09 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:16:36 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011636.17229.13599.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: ahel@comcast.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <ahel@comcast.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:16:38 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:45 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:45 PM  
SMTPOriginator: ahel@comcast.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:16:38 PM-12/28/2007 06:16:40 PM,12/28/2007 06:16:40 PM-12/28/2007 06:16:40 PM  
\$Orig: EAFE1067D19819D1872573C000070438  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:16:40 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from

their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Hannah Eiseman  
37 Frost Drive  
Durham, NH 03824

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818163894-225734 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:16:38 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 6DD1C19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:50:49 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 6ff95774.166566832.3357.00-076.p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cmaustin@jps.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:16:38 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:12:09 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:16:36 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011636.17229.13601.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: cmaustin@jps.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <cmaustin@jps.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:16:38 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:45 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:45 PM  
SMTPOriginator: cmaustin@jps.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:16:38 PM-12/28/2007 06:16:40 PM,12/28/2007 06:16:40 PM-12/28/2007 06:16:40 PM  
\$Orig: 303873A29491CC0C872573C000070476  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:16:40 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Carl Austin  
POBox 536  
Garden Valley, CA 95633

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818163726-225731 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:16:37 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id B758019E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:50:47 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 4ff95774.2716412848.3357.00-076.p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <desseraeshepston@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:16:36 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:12:09 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:16:36 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011636.17229.13595.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: desseraeshepston@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <desseraeshepston@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:16:37 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:46 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:46 PM  
SMTPOriginator: desseraeshepston@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:16:37 PM-12/28/2007 06:16:39 PM,12/28/2007 06:16:39 PM-12/28/2007 06:16:39 PM  
\$Orig: 7A31F9A377C25A65872573C0000703CE  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:16:39 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Desserae Shepston  
127 Nance St.  
San Marcos, TX 78666

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818163807-225732 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:16:38 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8D54D19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:50:48 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 5ff95774.2674453424.3357.00-076.p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dragondw@sonic.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:16:37 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:12:09 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:16:36 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011636.17229.13597.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: dragondw@sonic.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0060168877; B=0.500(0); S=0.056(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <dragondw@sonic.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:16:38 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:46 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:46 PM  
SMTPOriginator: dragondw@sonic.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:16:38 PM-12/28/2007 06:16:39 PM,12/28/2007 06:16:39 PM-12/28/2007 06:16:39 PM  
\$Orig: C708E66FB47345D1872573C00007041F  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:16:39 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

Fish and Wildlife keeps doing this bizarre dance with wolves. One group carefully re-introduces a breeding pair, monitors the health and growth of a small wolf pack, and returns them to their native habitat where they were wiped out, Yellowstone, ie, and another Southern State which is, like the Mexican grays, a distinct breed. The re-introductions work and then someone gets the idea to start killing these natural predators, and here we go again.

Here's the thing. Wolves die from loss of habitat; loss of natural prey & droughts. Foremost in their demise, the true Top Predator everywhere: Man.

Let's show that we have finally evolved beyond the centuries old myths of wolves as a man-eating, slavering, bloodlusting beast when, in fact, that pretty much describes us. We're the only species who kills just for the hell of it. We kill because some other species/people are standing on the land we want - not for food, not for immediate defense of life - sometimes just out of unsupported fear. Wolves have traditionally suffered from such mythology.

Where did we ever get the audacity/arrogance to decide who and what "others" get to live? It's time to grow up and show an enlightened attitude born of educated animal behavioral and scientific facts.

Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

A Conservation Alternative analysis in the draft environmental impact statement that upgrades the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status is needed.

Do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in other respects infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is essential to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf

Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Please do the right thing and help the full recovery of the beautiful Mexican Gray Wolf.

Sincerely,  
Diane Whitmire  
"400 W. Third St., D-142"  
Santa Rosa, CA 95401

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818153938-225717 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:15:39 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id D55A919E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:49:49 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id abf95774.3130506160.14263.00-010.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <philipheimlich@comcast.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:15:38 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:11:09 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:15:36 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011536.17229.13591.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: philipheimlich@comcast.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <philipheimlich@comcast.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:15:39 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:47 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:47 PM  
SMTPOriginator: philipheimlich@comcast.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:15:39 PM-12/28/2007 06:15:41 PM,12/28/2007 06:15:41 PM-12/28/2007 06:15:41 PM  
\$Orig: 4E6E160A1A76CA12872573C00006ED32  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:15:41 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Sandra and Philip Heimlich  
110 Cascades Ct.  
Blue Bell, PA 19422

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818153918-225715 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:15:39 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id A37F819E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:49:49 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id abf95774.2506058672.139115.00-042.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bdeleone@neo.rr.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:15:38 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:11:09 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:15:36 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011536.17229.13587.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: bdeleone@neo.rr.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <bdeleone@neo.rr.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:15:39 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:47 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:47 PM  
SMTPOriginator: bdeleone@neo.rr.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:15:39 PM-12/28/2007 06:15:41 PM,12/28/2007 06:15:41 PM-12/28/2007 06:15:41 PM  
\$Orig: 746EBEE61C1096CD872573C00006ED1E  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:15:41 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Barb DeLeone  
518 Wring Dr.  
Tallmadge, OH 44278

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818153926-225716 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:15:39 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B78C919E800E for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:49:49 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id abf95774.2631936944.95067.00-102.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bbforest@monitor.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:15:38 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:11:09 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:15:36 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011536.17229.13589.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: bbforest@monitor.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <bbforest@monitor.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:15:39 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:47 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:47 PM  
SMTPOriginator: bbforest@monitor.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:15:39 PM-12/28/2007 06:15:41 PM,12/28/2007 06:15:41 PM-12/28/2007 06:15:41 PM  
\$Orig: B130F05C782365DF872573C00006ED26  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:15:41 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Baer  
6195 Anderson Rd  
Forestville, CA 95436

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818153835-225714 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:15:38 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id CE8F219E800E for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:49:48 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 9bf95774.2568997808.95067.00-102.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dindamcp4@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:15:37 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:11:09 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:15:36 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011536.17229.13585.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: dindamcp4@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <dindamcp4@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:15:38 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:48 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:48 PM  
SMTPOriginator: dindamcp4@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:15:38 PM-12/28/2007 06:15:40 PM,12/28/2007 06:15:40 PM-12/28/2007 06:15:40 PM  
\$Orig: EB693FC4ADEDFF56872573C00006ECCB  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:15:40 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
DINDA EVANS  
POB 178695  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92177

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818153832-225713 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:15:38 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C78EB19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:49:48 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 9bf95774.2422139824.139115.00-042.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <susan@susanthorpe.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:15:37 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:11:09 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:15:36 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011536.17229.13583.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: susan@susanthorpe.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <susan@susanthorpe.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:15:38 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:48 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:48 PM  
SMTPOriginator: susan@susanthorpe.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:15:38 PM-12/28/2007 06:15:40 PM,12/28/2007 06:15:40 PM-12/28/2007 06:15:40 PM  
\$Orig: 500C71D45EFD47B4872573C00006ECC9  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:15:40 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

I just found out that only 3% of the world's biomass is "wildlife" and the rest is humans and their domestic animals! This is appalling. We are losing Nature and our precious wild animals at an alarming rate and this must stop before our children have NOTHING!

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must

comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Susan Thorpe  
429 E. Lester St.  
Tucson, AZ 85705



Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818153732-225712 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:15:37 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id C53BE19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:49:47 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 8bf95774.2610957232.139115.00-042.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kennelgirlbev@earthlink.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:15:36 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:11:09 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:15:36 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011536.17229.13581.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: kennelgirlbev@earthlink.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <kennelgirlbev@earthlink.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:15:37 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:48 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:48 PM  
SMTPOriginator: kennelgirlbev@earthlink.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:15:37 PM-12/28/2007 06:15:39 PM,12/28/2007 06:15:39 PM-12/28/2007 06:15:39 PM  
\$Orig: CE42939ACE735A1F872573C00006EC64  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:15:39 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Beverly Davis  
203 N. Cleveland Ave. Apt. 79  
Long Beach, MS 39560

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818152707-225711 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:15:27 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 6D41A19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:49:37 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [155.31.204.38] (EHLO rzg1.erau.edu) by p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) over TLS secured channel with ESMTTP id eaf95774.2558614448.222225.00-075.p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <carmo192@erau.edu>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:15:26 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from dbexfe01.erau.edu (EHLO mail.erau.edu) ([155.31.206.45]) by rzg1.erau.edu (MOS 3.8.4-GA FastPath queued) with ESMTTP id ADC56696; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:13:56 -0500 (EST)  
Received: from DBEXVS01.erau.edu ([155.31.204.41]) by mail.erau.edu with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.1830); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 20:15:25 -0500  
X\_MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft Exchange V6.5  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:15:24 PM  
\$MessageID: <3582E8B979CC764B906E758BE91B2F485BB97E@DBEXVS01.erau.edu>  
X\_MS\_Has\_Attach:  
X\_MS\_TNEF\_Correlator:  
Thread\_Topic: Mexican Gray Wolf  
thread\_index: AchJopWiKWW2Mqm+RL6tQbhcuRnpvw==  
From: "Jose A. Carmona" <carmo192@erau.edu>  
SendTo: <R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov>  
X\_OriginalArrivalTime: 29 Dec 2007 01:15:25.0441 (UTC)  
FILETIME=[4A98FF10:01C849B8]  
X\_Processed\_By: Rebuild v2.0-0  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0149253746; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.600(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X\_Mail\_From: <carmo192@erau.edu>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:15:27 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:49 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:49 PM  
SMTPOriginator: carmo192@erau.edu  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:15:27 PM-12/28/2007 06:15:28 PM,12/28/2007 06:15:28 PM-12/28/2007 06:15:28 PM  
\$Orig: 4E7FDFDBE3F4C096872573C00006E864  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:15:28 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator

U.S.Fish and Wildlife Service

NM

Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping

Dear Dr. Millsap and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in

which no wolf packs have established territories.

2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.

3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.

4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

José A. Carmona  
Coordinator  
Embry-Riddle Language Institute  
Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University  
(386) 226-6099 office  
(386) 226-6165 fax

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818143613-225703 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:14:36 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9471819E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:48:46 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id b7f95774.2653191088.29628.00-003.p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ein\_tpb\_vampire@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:14:35 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:10:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:14:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011433.17229.13539.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: ein\_tpb\_vampire@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <ein\_tpb\_vampire@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:14:36 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:49 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:49 PM  
SMTPOriginator: ein\_tpb\_vampire@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:14:36 PM-12/28/2007 06:14:38 PM,12/28/2007 06:14:39 PM-12/28/2007 06:14:39 PM  
\$Orig: 11CAEA16D6804191872573C00006D47D  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:14:39 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Marc Henley  
4238 Eagle Nest  
San Antonio, TX 78233

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818143551-225702 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:14:35 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id F10D319E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:48:45 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id a7f95774.2642426800.1274.00-028.p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <poetess@unionplus.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:14:34 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:10:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:14:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011433.17229.13537.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: poetess@unionplus.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <poetess@unionplus.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:14:35 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:50 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:50 PM  
SMTPOriginator: poetess@unionplus.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:14:35 PM-12/28/2007 06:14:37 PM,12/28/2007 06:14:38 PM-12/28/2007 06:14:38 PM  
\$Orig: 0FEFBAE83711DA75872573C00006D43F  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:14:38 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
elaine blodgett  
1323 old hwy 20  
mcdade, TX 78650

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818143527-225701 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:14:35 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B751B19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:48:45 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id a7f95774.2590251952.29628.00-003.p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ldills@earthlink.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:14:34 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:10:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:14:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011433.17229.13535.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: ldills@earthlink.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <ldills@earthlink.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:14:35 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:50 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:50 PM  
SMTPOriginator: ldills@earthlink.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:14:35 PM-12/28/2007 06:14:38 PM,12/28/2007 06:14:38 PM-12/28/2007 06:14:38 PM  
\$Orig: 2A32C5183D5ABCC0872573C00006D427  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:14:38 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Linda Dills  
6251 E. 33rd Street  
Tucson, AZ 85711

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818143441-225700 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:14:34 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id DA45219E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:48:44 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 97f95774.2527312816.29628.00-003.p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jessie@sbck.org>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:14:33 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:10:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:14:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011433.17229.13533.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: jessie@sbck.org  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <jessie@sbck.org>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:14:34 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:50 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:50 PM  
SMTPOriginator: jessie@sbck.org  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:14:34 PM-12/28/2007 06:14:36 PM,12/28/2007 06:14:36 PM-12/28/2007 06:14:36 PM  
\$Orig: DB94DA68819D8415872573C00006D3D1  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:14:36 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jessica Altstatt  
102 Orange Ave  
Goleta, CA 93117

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818123157-225687 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:31 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 06A8119E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:46:41 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id efe95774.2562067376.114165.00-040.p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <astraussmd@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:30 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:07:59 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:26 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011226.17229.13425.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: astraussmd@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <astraussmd@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:12:31 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:51 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:51 PM  
SMTPOriginator: astraussmd@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:12:31 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:32 PM,12/28/2007 06:12:34 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:34 PM  
\$Orig: BE6D761F56C291D4872573C00006A3D5  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:34 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Art Strauss  
8 Blanchard  
Irvine, CA 92603-3455

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818123160-225688 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:31 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0F8E319E817C for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:46:42 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id efe95774.2569903024.114020.00-082.p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <grandmacharlottes@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:30 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:07:59 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:26 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011226.17229.13427.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: grandmacharlottes@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <grandmacharlottes@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:12:31 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:51 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:51 PM  
SMTPOriginator: grandmacharlottes@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:12:31 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:34 PM,12/28/2007 06:12:34 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:34 PM  
\$Orig: C99CB5474D862AC9872573C00006A3D8  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:34 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Charlotte Stahl  
1167 NW Wallula Ave  
Gresham, OR 97030

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818123108-225686 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:31 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 82F4119E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:46:41 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id efe95774.2610879408.100564.00-014.p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <raquelzap1988@msn.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:30 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:07:59 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:26 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011226.17229.13423.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: raquelzap1988@msn.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <raquelzap1988@msn.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:12:31 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:51 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:51 PM  
SMTPOriginator: raquelzap1988@msn.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:12:31 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:34 PM,12/28/2007 06:12:34 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:34 PM  
\$Orig: 90681F98BE5640DA872573C00006A3A4  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:34 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Raquel Zaputil  
1032 W 5th St.  
Waterloo, IA 50702

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818123075-225684 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:30 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 33ADC19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:46:41 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id efe95774.2677455792.114165.00-040.p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <psycho.babble.86@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:30 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:07:59 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:26 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011226.17229.13419.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: psycho.babble.86@gmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <psycho.babble.86@gmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:12:30 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:52 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:52 PM  
SMTPOriginator: psycho.babble.86@gmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:12:30 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:31 PM,12/28/2007 06:12:31 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:32 PM  
\$Orig: 4058AF0CD18EB61A872573C00006A383  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:32 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Nicole B  
3460 Meadow Lark Lane  
Zeeland, MI 49464

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818123078-225685 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:30 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3B6DD19E800E for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:46:41 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id efe95774.2559413168.114020.00-082.p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <adak2003@msn.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:30 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:07:59 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:26 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011226.17229.13421.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: adak2003@msn.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <adak2003@msn.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:12:30 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:52 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:52 PM  
SMTPOriginator: adak2003@msn.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:12:30 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:32 PM,12/28/2007 06:12:32 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:32 PM  
\$Orig: 3EFD73D211B87101872573C00006A386  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:32 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Gary Wright  
78231 Snauer Lane  
Cottage Grove, OR 97424

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818123025-225683 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:30 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id ADF6519E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:46:40 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id dfe95774.2579409840.100564.00-014.p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jjforbes@bellsouth.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:29 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:07:59 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:26 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011226.17229.13417.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: jjforbes@bellsouth.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <jjforbes@bellsouth.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:12:30 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:52 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:52 PM  
SMTPOriginator: jjforbes@bellsouth.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:12:30 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:32 PM,12/28/2007 06:12:32 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:32 PM  
\$Orig: FCEE9B477E3FFBC2872573C00006A351  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:32 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Joe Forbes  
1611 16th Ave. So.  
Birmingham, AL 35205

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818122916-225678 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:29 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 97E7E19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:46:39 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id cfe95774.2569903024.114020.00-082.p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lemerson@jps.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:28 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:07:59 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:26 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011226.17229.13409.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: lemerson@jps.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <lemerson@jps.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:12:29 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:53 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:53 PM  
SMTPOriginator: lemerson@jps.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:12:29 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:30 PM,12/28/2007 06:12:30 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:31 PM  
\$Orig: CA9C5F6CAA3D7B3E872573C00006A2E4  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:31 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Linda %pa\_first\_name% Larry Emerson  
238 Arcturis Cir.  
Bishop, CA 93514-7053

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818122996-225681 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:29 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 6592119E800E for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:46:40 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id dfe95774.2433534896.114020.00-082.p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <charlierush@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:29 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:07:59 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:26 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011226.17229.13415.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: charlierush@hotmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <charlierush@hotmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:12:29 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:53 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:53 PM  
SMTPOriginator: charlierush@hotmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:12:29 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:31 PM,12/28/2007 06:12:31 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:31 PM  
\$Orig: 1216C5A19E92C38D872573C00006A334  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:31 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Charlene Rush  
100 Anderson St. #541  
Pittsburgh, PA 15212-5842

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818122943-225679 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:29 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id D892619E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:46:39 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id cfe95774.2422062000.100564.00-014.p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jbart@mcn.org>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:28 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:07:59 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:26 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011226.17229.13411.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: jbart@mcn.org  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0056182777; B=0.500(0); S=0.053(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <jbart@mcn.org>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:12:29 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:54 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:54 PM  
SMTPOriginator: jbart@mcn.org  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:12:29 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:31 PM,12/28/2007 06:12:31 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:31 PM  
\$Orig: B695C3968FBD423A872573C00006A2FF  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:31 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Joselyn Bartlett  
44002 Fern Creek Rd. #49  
Caspar, CA 95420

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818122993-225680 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:29 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 5FFBF19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:46:40 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id dfe95774.2645986224.114165.00-040.p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <earendil@lassiquendi.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:29 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:07:59 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:26 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011226.17229.13413.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: earendil@lassiquendi.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <earendil@lassiquendi.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:12:29 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:54 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:54 PM  
SMTPOriginator: earendil@lassiquendi.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:12:29 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:31 PM,12/28/2007 06:12:31 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:31 PM  
\$Orig: E57572B27B5473C7872573C00006A332  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:31 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Earendil Spindelilus  
255 Burnham Rd D-21  
Oak View, CA 93022

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818122889-225677 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:28 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 5672A19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:46:39 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id cfe95774.2656476080.114165.00-040.p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <loraleel3@verizon.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:28 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:07:59 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:26 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011226.17229.13407.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: loraleel3@verizon.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <loraleel3@verizon.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:12:28 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:54 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:54 PM  
SMTPOriginator: loraleel3@verizon.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:12:28 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:30 PM,12/28/2007 06:12:30 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:30 PM  
\$Orig: 80ADA1E93C6378D3872573C00006A2C9  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:30 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Loralee Clark  
3616 Nelms Lane  
Williamsburg, VA 23185

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818122813-225675 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:28 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8E05519E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:46:38 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id bfe95774.2656476080.114165.00-040.p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <aquahab@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:27 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:07:59 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:26 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011226.17229.13403.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: aquahab@hotmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <aquahab@hotmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:12:28 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:55 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:55 PM  
SMTPOriginator: aquahab@hotmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:12:28 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:29 PM,12/28/2007 06:12:29 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:30 PM  
\$Orig: 70B020FCEFDEA252872573C00006A27D  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:30 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Meyer Jordan  
403 W. Michigan Ave.  
Pensacola, FL 32505

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818122833-225676 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:28 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id C218119E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:46:38 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id bfe95774.2548923312.114020.00-082.p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dougalley@att.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:27 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:07:59 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:26 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011226.17229.13405.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: dougalley@att.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <dougalley@att.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:12:28 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:55 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:55 PM  
SMTPOriginator: dougalley@att.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:12:28 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:30 PM,12/28/2007 06:12:30 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:30 PM  
\$Orig: EFA16593E3A32798872573C00006A291  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:30 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Doug Alley  
PO Box 368  
Converse, IN 46919

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818122732-225674 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:27 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id BB4DF19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:46:37 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id afe95774.2677455792.114165.00-040.p01c11m061.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <psmyeolus@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:12:26 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:07:59 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:26 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229011226.17229.13401.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: psmyeolus@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <psmyeolus@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:12:27 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:55 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:55 PM  
SMTPOriginator: psmyeolus@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:12:27 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:28 PM,12/28/2007 06:12:28 PM-12/28/2007 06:12:29 PM  
\$Orig: 04C8BFED27781342872573C00006A22C  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:12:29 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Phillip Mitchell  
27 W. Roma Dr.  
Oro Valley, AZ 85737

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818082514-225636 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:08:25 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8883519E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:42:35 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 80e95774.2567867312.5610.00-001.p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kamstar@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:08:24 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:03:56 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:08:23 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010823.17229.13287.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: kamstar@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <kamstar@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:08:25 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:56 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:56 PM  
SMTPOriginator: kamstar@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:08:25 PM-12/28/2007 06:08:38 PM,12/28/2007 06:08:39 PM-12/28/2007 06:08:40 PM  
\$Orig: 1B826F78455CA83A872573C000064394  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:08:40 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Kim Merville  
540 Hammond Street  
Pittsburgh, PA 15204

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818082608-225638 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:08:26 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7A1F419E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:42:36 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 90e95774.2410519472.5610.00-001.p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lucy@porchlight.ca>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:08:25 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:03:56 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:08:23 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010823.17229.13291.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: lucy@porchlight.ca  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <lucy@porchlight.ca>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:08:26 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:56 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:56 PM  
SMTPOriginator: lucy@porchlight.ca  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:08:26 PM-12/28/2007 06:08:39 PM,12/28/2007 06:08:39 PM-12/28/2007 06:08:40 PM  
\$Orig: A48C9F78D48AFC42872573C0000643F2  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:08:40 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

IT IS ABSOLUTELY ESSENTAIL to develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that

would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration. THIS IS JUST ONE MORE ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY MEASURE TO SAVE OUR EARTH: OUR EXISTENCE DEPENDS ON THE NORMAL BALANCE OF NATURE BEING RESTORED.

Sincerely,  
leslie bald  
147 Victoria Ave. S  
Listowel, ON N4W 1Z9

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818082532-225637 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:08:25 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id B0D3D19E800E for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:42:35 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 80e95774.2666122160.54232.00-058.p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jamesviney@comcast.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:08:24 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:03:56 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:08:23 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010823.17229.13289.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: jamesviney@comcast.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <jamesviney@comcast.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:08:25 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:56 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:56 PM  
SMTPOriginator: jamesviney@comcast.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:08:25 PM-12/28/2007 06:08:38 PM,12/28/2007 06:08:38 PM-12/28/2007 06:08:39 PM  
\$Orig: 26F7FA1BB7526A0A872573C0000643A4  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:08:39 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
James viney  
900 Donner Way  
# 201  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818082428-225635 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:08:24 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id ABD4819E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:42:34 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 70e95774.2431499184.5610.00-001.p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jabamur48@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:08:23 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:03:56 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:08:23 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010823.17229.13285.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: jabamur48@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <jabamur48@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:08:24 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:57 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:57 PM  
SMTPOriginator: jabamur48@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:08:24 PM-12/28/2007 06:08:25 PM,12/28/2007 06:08:25 PM-12/28/2007 06:08:26 PM  
\$Orig: F76456295597B068872573C00006433E  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:08:26 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Janne Bagley-Murray  
4749 Trumbull S.E.  
Albuquerque, NM 87108

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818062408-225611 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:06:24 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 6524119E800E for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:40:34 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f8d95774.2517453744.105181.00-019.p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <shankiah@msn.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:06:23 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:01:54 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:06:21 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010621.17229.13255.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: shankiah@msn.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <shankiah@msn.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:06:24 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:57 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:57 PM  
SMTPOriginator: shankiah@msn.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:06:24 PM-12/28/2007 06:06:46 PM,12/28/2007 06:06:47 PM-12/28/2007 06:06:48 PM  
\$Orig: A6D096F26E8D93FC872573C000061448  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:06:48 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

First of all, thank you for the opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves. The Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
James Gilland  
1600 N Wilmot Rd. Unit 130  
Tucson, AZ 85712-4415

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818062420-225612 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:06:24 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 91FDC19E817C for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:40:34 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f8d95774.2539125680.166669.00-001.p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <thenervel3@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:06:23 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:01:54 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:06:21 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010621.17229.13257.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: thenervel3@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <thenervel3@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:06:24 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:58 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:58 PM  
SMTPOriginator: thenervel3@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:06:24 PM-12/28/2007 06:06:47 PM,12/28/2007 06:06:47 PM-12/28/2007 06:06:48 PM  
\$Orig: F9944B06AB4B30CD872573C000061454  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:06:48 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Andrew Gottscho  
4990 Lapis Lane  
Pleasanton, CA 94566

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818062514-225613 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:06:25 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 8AAEA19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:40:33 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e8d95774.2611862448.105181.00-019.p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <helsimon@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:06:22 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:01:54 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:06:21 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010621.17229.13251.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: helsimon@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <helsimon@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:06:25 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:58 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:58 PM  
SMTPOriginator: helsimon@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:06:25 PM-12/28/2007 06:06:47 PM,12/28/2007 06:06:47 PM-12/28/2007 06:06:48 PM  
\$Orig: FAE3E6EEFD6CDCCF872573C0000614C6  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:06:48 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

Fish and Wildlife Service management of the Mexican Gray Wolf population, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

Therefore, I feel that the wolves need to be listed as a fully protected endangered species instead of their current "experimental non-essential" status. Wolves are essential to the

healthy balance in this ecosystem.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

An Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Heather Payne  
1300 Mason Farm Road  
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818062343-225610 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:06:23 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id BB0B319E800E for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:40:33 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id e8d95774.2560105392.166669.00-001.p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <barblovesanimals@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:06:22 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:01:54 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:06:21 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010621.17229.13253.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: barblovesanimals@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <barblovesanimals@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:06:23 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:59 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:59 PM  
SMTPOriginator: barblovesanimals@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:06:23 PM-12/28/2007 06:06:46 PM,12/28/2007 06:06:46 PM-12/28/2007 06:06:47 PM  
\$Orig: 5375D470E753C6FF872573C000061407  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:06:47 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Barb Varellas  
862 Avenida Bernardo  
San Dimas, CA 91773

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818062236-225609 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:06:22 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id B706419E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:40:32 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id d8d95774.2465004464.105181.00-019.p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <luddite@whidbey.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:06:21 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:01:53 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:06:21 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010621.17229.13249.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: luddite@whidbey.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: COMMENT RE: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <luddite@whidbey.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:06:22 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:59 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:59 PM  
SMTPOriginator: luddite@whidbey.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:06:22 PM-12/28/2007 06:06:46 PM,12/28/2007 06:06:46 PM-12/28/2007 06:06:46 PM  
\$Orig: C47D9AFFAC2A8BEC872573C00006139C  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:06:46 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

Thanks for reading this.

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
kirk francis  
4750 goldsmith road  
langley, WA 98260

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818052217-225600 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:05:22 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8592719E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:39:32 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 15d95774.2496580528.204241.00-058.p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <propahbostonian@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:05:21 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 17:00:53 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:05:21 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010521.17229.13247.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: propahbostonian@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <propahbostonian@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:05:22 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:55:59 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:55:59 PM  
SMTPOriginator: propahbostonian@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:05:22 PM-12/28/2007 06:05:31 PM,12/28/2007 06:05:31 PM-12/28/2007 06:05:32 PM  
\$Orig: 09F11404F7A7E24A872573C00005FC19  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:05:32 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Nickles  
"1625 East Maple Ave., # 8"  
El Segundo, CA 90245

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818042231-225588 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:04:22 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id A5B1419E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:38:32 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 51d95774.2474511280.88955.00-036.p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mmitsuda@earthlink.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:04:21 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:59:53 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:04:20 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010420.17229.13225.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: mmitsuda@earthlink.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <mmitsuda@earthlink.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:04:22 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:00 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:00 PM  
SMTPOriginator: mmitsuda@earthlink.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:04:22 PM-12/28/2007 06:04:40 PM,12/28/2007 06:04:40 PM-12/28/2007 06:04:40 PM  
\$Orig: 4134F038616B80B9872573C00005E4B9  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:04:40 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Michael Mitsuda  
33210 Lake Oneida Street  
Fremont, CA 94555

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818042290-225589 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:04:22 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 429AF19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:38:33 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 61d95774.2508639152.47346.00-063.p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jyoung5867@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:04:22 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:59:53 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:04:20 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010420.17229.13227.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: jyoung5867@AOL.COM  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0044120154; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.042(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <jyoung5867@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:04:22 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:00 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:00 PM  
SMTPOriginator: jyoung5867@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:04:22 PM-12/28/2007 06:04:40 PM,12/28/2007 06:04:40 PM-12/28/2007 06:04:40 PM  
\$Orig: 8878F8013D4A0432872573C00005E4F2  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:04:40 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Iris Young  
215 sagebrush road  
stockbridge, GA 30281

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818042322-225590 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:04:23 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8ED8B19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:38:33 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 61d95774.2443041712.88955.00-036.p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jackroz414@sbcglobal.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:04:22 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:59:53 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:04:20 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010420.17229.13229.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: jackroz414@sbcglobal.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <jackroz414@sbcglobal.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:04:23 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:01 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:01 PM  
SMTPOriginator: jackroz414@sbcglobal.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:04:23 PM-12/28/2007 06:04:40 PM,12/28/2007 06:04:40 PM-12/28/2007 06:04:40 PM  
\$Orig: E3CAE8E63E01FA87872573C00005E512  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:04:40 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jacob Goldberg  
2648 West Morse Avenue  
Chicago, IL 60645-4517

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818042125-225586 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:04:21 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 965F919E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:38:31 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 41d95774.2686966704.47346.00-063.p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rosebud\_rosebud@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:04:20 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:59:52 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:04:20 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010420.17229.13221.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: rosebud\_rosebud@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <rosebud\_rosebud@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:04:21 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:01 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:01 PM  
SMTPOriginator: rosebud\_rosebud@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:04:21 PM-12/28/2007 06:04:39 PM,12/28/2007 06:04:39 PM-12/28/2007 06:04:40 PM  
\$Orig: EB7A6DC4EEF341CE872573C00005E44D  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:04:40 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Kimberly Peterson  
127 Railroad Ave 17  
Cloverdale, CA 95425

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818042208-225587 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:04:22 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 6C27019E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:38:32 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 51d95774.2508639152.47346.00-063.p01c11m063.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <disquared@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:04:21 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:59:53 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:04:20 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010420.17229.13223.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: disquared@hotmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <disquared@hotmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:04:22 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:02 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:02 PM  
SMTPOriginator: disquared@hotmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:04:22 PM-12/28/2007 06:04:39 PM,12/28/2007 06:04:40 PM-12/28/2007 06:04:40 PM  
\$Orig: DAE25E295145FDF6872573C00005E4A0  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:04:40 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Diane Brannan  
5800 Harper Dr NE  
Apt. #1029  
Albuquerque, NM 87109-3552

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818032142-225579 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:03:21 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id BD15919E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:37:31 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 8dc95774.3266657200.178632.00-050.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <tapsevarg@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:03:20 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:58:53 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:03:20 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010320.17229.13219.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: tapsevarg@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <tapsevarg@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:03:21 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:02 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:02 PM  
SMTPOriginator: tapsevarg@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:03:21 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:36 PM,12/28/2007 06:03:36 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:36 PM  
\$Orig: 5A7D45F1FB76C2FD872573C00005CCEE  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:03:36 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Patrick Graves  
2107 N. Coldspring Rd.  
Arlington Heights, IL 60004

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818032122-225578 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:03:21 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8B27219E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:37:31 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 8dc95774.3296865200.67334.00-098.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <andrewireland@mac.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:03:20 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:58:53 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:03:20 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010320.17229.13217.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: andrewireland@mac.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <andrewireland@mac.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:03:21 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:03 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:03 PM  
SMTPOriginator: andrewireland@mac.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:03:21 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:22 PM,12/28/2007 06:03:22 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:23 PM  
\$Orig: 0859F46BEF42F2A2872573C00005CCDA  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:03:23 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Andrew Ireland  
7525 Hampden Lane  
Bethesda, MD 20814

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818031720-225576 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:03:17 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8899619E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:37:27 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 4dc95774.3454213040.67334.00-098.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ruberobin@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:03:16 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:58:47 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:03:15 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010315.17229.13131.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: ruberobin@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <ruberobin@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:03:17 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:03 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:03 PM  
SMTPOriginator: ruberobin@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:03:17 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:20 PM,12/28/2007 06:03:22 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:22 PM  
\$Orig: DB3731C2371AC24B872573C00005CB48  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:03:22 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Robin Mayerat  
2949 Cloverbank Rd.  
Hamburg, NY 14075

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818031629-225575 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:03:16 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9FEA119E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:37:26 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 3dc95774.3359804336.67334.00-098.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <eandlshattuck@csinet.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:03:15 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:58:47 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:03:15 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010315.17229.13129.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: eandlshattuck@csinet.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <eandlshattuck@csinet.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:03:16 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:04 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:04 PM  
SMTPOriginator: eandlshattuck@csinet.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:03:16 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:19 PM,12/28/2007 06:03:20 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:21 PM  
\$Orig: F3EB6911268AF872872573C00005CAED  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:03:21 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Everett Shattuck  
7311 E. 200 South  
Mill Creek, IN 46365

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818021808-225558 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:02:18 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 6602A19E800E for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:36:28 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 99c95774.2433088432.202529.00-075.p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mkloomis@austin.rr.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:02:17 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:57:47 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:02:14 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010214.17229.13115.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: mkloomis@austin.rr.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <mkloomis@austin.rr.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:02:18 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:04 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:04 PM  
SMTPOriginator: mkloomis@austin.rr.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:02:18 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:19 PM,12/28/2007 06:03:19 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:20 PM  
\$Orig: CBF9BDC4F5015A51872573C00005B430  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:03:20 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Mina Loomis  
4412 Sinclair Ave  
Austin, TX 78756

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818021833-225559 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:02:18 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id A474119E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:36:28 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 99c95774.3245087664.59868.00-095.p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <murdock\_ls@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:02:17 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:57:47 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:02:14 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010214.17229.13117.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: murdock\_ls@hotmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <murdock\_ls@hotmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:02:18 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:05 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:05 PM  
SMTPOriginator: murdock\_ls@hotmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:02:18 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:19 PM,12/28/2007 06:03:19 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:20 PM  
\$Orig: 0A646F1FB2061A12872573C00005B449  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:03:20 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Lauren Murdock  
3940 Via Lucero  
Santa Barbara, CA 93110

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818021873-225560 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:02:18 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 145AC19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:36:29 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id a9c95774.2536479664.23691.00-051.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jseider@stny.rr.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:02:18 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:57:47 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:02:15 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010215.17229.13119.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: jseider@stny.rr.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <jseider@stny.rr.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:02:18 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:05 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:05 PM  
SMTPOriginator: jseider@stny.rr.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:02:18 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:19 PM,12/28/2007 06:03:19 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:20 PM  
\$Orig: 6B475D2D3D3AC74D872573C00005B471  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:03:20 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
John Seider  
21 Grand Street  
Oneonta, NY 13820

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818021791-225557 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:02:17 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3B2E119E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:36:28 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 99c95774.2546969520.23691.00-051.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dgermanking@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:02:17 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:57:47 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:02:14 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010214.17229.13113.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: dgermanking@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <dgermanking@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:02:17 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:05 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:05 PM  
SMTPOriginator: dgermanking@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:02:17 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:18 PM,12/28/2007 06:03:19 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:20 PM  
\$Orig: 3C3D244CE9FB9FE8872573C00005B41F  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:03:20 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Dean Cobb  
5837 Morgan Place #99  
Stockton, CA 95219-4620

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818021744-225556 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:02:17 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id BF98319E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:36:27 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 89c95774.3140189104.59868.00-095.p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <hgross29@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:02:16 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:57:47 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:02:14 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010214.17229.13111.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: hgross29@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <hgross29@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:02:17 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:06 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:06 PM  
SMTPOriginator: hgross29@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:02:17 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:18 PM,12/28/2007 06:03:18 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:19 PM  
\$Orig: 8D1A4B6208CE6916872573C00005B3F0  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:03:19 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Heather Gross  
942 S. Meyer Av.  
Tucson, AZ 85701

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818021534-225551 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:02:15 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id A78B619E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:36:25 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 69c95774.2651868080.23691.00-051.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <denise@platinum-universe.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:02:14 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:57:46 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:02:14 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010214.17229.13101.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: denise@platinum-universe.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0052372918; B=0.500(0); S=0.049(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <denise@platinum-universe.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:02:15 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:06 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:06 PM  
SMTPOriginator: denise@platinum-universe.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:02:15 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:18 PM,12/28/2007 06:03:18 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:19 PM  
\$Orig: 8ACF7F53A1D14A7E872573C00005B320  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:03:19 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Denise Kastner  
4715 Yender Ave  
Lisle, IL 60532

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818021622-225552 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:02:16 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 86C7819E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:36:26 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 79c95774.2641378224.23691.00-051.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <chunnjanet@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:02:15 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:57:47 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:02:14 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010214.17229.13103.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: chunnjanet@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <chunnjanet@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:02:16 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:07 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:07 PM  
SMTPOriginator: chunnjanet@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:02:16 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:18 PM,12/28/2007 06:03:18 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:19 PM  
\$Orig: 8138756B06C86F75872573C00005B376  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:03:19 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Janet Chunn  
1108 E. Mariposa St.  
Altadena, CA 91001

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818021636-225553 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:02:16 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id ABE5319E800E for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:36:26 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 79c95774.2537986992.202529.00-075.p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <islepax@optonline.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:02:15 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:57:47 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:02:14 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010214.17229.13105.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: islepax@optonline.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <islepax@optonline.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:02:16 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:07 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:07 PM  
SMTPOriginator: islepax@optonline.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:02:16 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:18 PM,12/28/2007 06:03:18 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:19 PM  
\$Orig: A46359998B0B3A42872573C00005B384  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:03:19 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Denise Ciresa  
70 Smithtown Road  
Budd Lake, NJ 07828

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818021706-225554 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:02:17 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 61F1B19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:36:27 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 89c95774.2410601392.23691.00-051.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <robert\_strebeck@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:02:16 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:57:47 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:02:14 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010214.17229.13107.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: ROBERT\_STREBECK@YAHOO.COM  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <robert\_strebeck@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:02:17 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:08 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:08 PM  
SMTPOriginator: robert\_strebeck@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:02:17 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:18 PM,12/28/2007 06:03:18 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:19 PM  
\$Orig: EF8CF5EB8DF1446A872573C00005B3CA  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:03:19 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
ROBERT STREBECK  
509 ARANSAS  
EULESS, TX 76039

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818021717-225555 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:02:17 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7DD0019E800E for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:36:27 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 89c95774.2674355120.202529.00-075.p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jkgrayk@silcom.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:02:16 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:57:47 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:02:14 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010214.17229.13109.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: jKRAYK@SILCOM.COM  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144614); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <jkgrayk@silcom.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:02:17 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:08 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:08 PM  
SMTPOriginator: jkgrayk@silcom.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:02:17 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:18 PM,12/28/2007 06:03:18 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:19 PM  
\$Orig: 39974D0DBBFDE681872573C00005B3D5  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:03:19 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves. Fish and Wildlife Service management has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding by its heavy reliance on predator control (i.e., targeting wolves).

Any solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

As a suggestion, a Conservation Alternative which upgrades the

legal status of wolves needs to be developed as part of the draft environmental impact statement. This upgrade of status for the Mexican wolf would be from "experimental non-essential" to that of a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area -- trying to geographically restrain wolves is not reasonable.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock. This has been effective in the Rockies as well as in the Yellowstone area.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
JUDITH HOGAN  
556 SCENIC DRIVE  
SANTA BARBARA, CA 93103

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122818001425-225533 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:00:14 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 8972919E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:34:24 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id d1c95774.2411170736.133384.00-005.p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cattylibrarian@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:00:13 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:55:45 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:00:13 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010013.17229.13089.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: cattylibrarian@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <cattylibrarian@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:00:14 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:08 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:08 PM  
SMTPOriginator: cattylibrarian@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:00:14 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:17 PM,12/28/2007 06:03:17 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:18 PM  
\$Orig: D04F89357880A537872573C0000583D1  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:03:18 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Joel Rane  
3747 Evans Street  
Apartment 4  
Los Angeles, CA 90027

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122818001511-225534 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:00:15 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 6A4EE19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:34:25 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e1c95774.2505579440.133384.00-005.p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <oseabiscuito@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 18:00:14 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:55:45 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 06:00:13 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229010013.17229.13091.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: oseabiscuito@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010545719; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <oseabiscuito@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 06:00:15 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:09 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:09 PM  
SMTPOriginator: oseabiscuito@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 06:00:15 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:18 PM,12/28/2007 06:03:18 PM-12/28/2007 06:03:18 PM  
\$Orig: E8FB02D50DB367F7872573C000058427  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 06:03:18 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Tristen Petecca  
10 May Street  
Bay Shore, NY 11706

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817591523-225523 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:59:15 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8546919E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:33:25 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 2eb95774.3170986928.61354.00-104.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <luvchaplin@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:59:14 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:54:45 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:59:13 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005913.17229.13087.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: luvchaplin@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <luvchaplin@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:59:15 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:09 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:09 PM  
SMTPOriginator: luvchaplin@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:59:15 PM-12/28/2007 05:59:16 PM,12/28/2007 05:59:16 PM-12/28/2007 05:59:17 PM  
\$Orig: 20B0F91AB89B730B872573C000056CC3  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:59:17 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Rebecca Ryan  
7651 Aprilwood Court  
Orlando, FL 32819

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817591434-225522 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:59:14 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9EA3E19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:33:24 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 1eb95774.3118537648.61354.00-104.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <fcone@mindspring.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:59:13 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:54:45 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:59:13 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005913.17229.13085.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: fcone@mindspring.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <fcone@mindspring.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:59:14 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:09 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:09 PM  
SMTPOriginator: fcone@mindspring.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:59:14 PM-12/28/2007 05:59:15 PM,12/28/2007 05:59:15 PM-12/28/2007 05:59:16 PM  
\$Orig: AAF43B302F88D2A5872573C000056C6A  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:59:16 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries; but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment and your sincere consideration of my comments. I expect to learn that you have included a Conservation Alternative as described above. We did not inherit this Earth from our parents, we are borrowing it from our children!

Sincerely,  
Frances Cone  
183 Bobcat Drive  
Pawleys Island, SC 29585-7526

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817581456-225501 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:58:14 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D09AA19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:32:24 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 5ab95774.3623967664.48450.00-096.p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <papierb4@comcast.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:58:13 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:53:44 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:58:11 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005811.17229.13081.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: papierb4@comcast.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <papierb4@comcast.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:58:14 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:10 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:10 PM  
SMTPOriginator: papierb4@comcast.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:58:14 PM-12/28/2007 05:58:16 PM,12/28/2007 05:58:16 PM-12/28/2007 05:58:16 PM  
\$Orig: BC38E0CC5FFFFFFAAAF872573C000055510  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:58:16 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
bruce papier  
po box 28073  
santa Fe,, NM 87592

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817581406-225499 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:58:14 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 56E1519E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:32:24 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 5ab95774.3549883312.171046.00-013.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jwo@svpal.org>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:58:13 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:53:44 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:58:11 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005811.17229.13077.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: jwo@svpal.org  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <jwo@svpal.org>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:58:14 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:10 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:10 PM  
SMTPOriginator: jwo@svpal.org  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:58:14 PM-12/28/2007 05:58:15 PM,12/28/2007 05:58:15 PM-12/28/2007 05:58:16 PM  
\$Orig: F262D1B3B7841107872573C0000554DE  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:58:16 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jean Olmsted  
240 West Charleston Road  
Palo Alto, CA 94306

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817581420-225500 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:58:14 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7D73119E800E for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:32:24 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 5ab95774.2716982192.5144.00-050.p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mead815@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:58:13 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:53:44 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:58:11 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005811.17229.13079.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: mead815@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <mead815@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:58:14 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:10 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:10 PM  
SMTPOriginator: mead815@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:58:14 PM-12/28/2007 05:58:15 PM,12/28/2007 05:58:15 PM-12/28/2007 05:58:16 PM  
\$Orig: 853DE6E53DF4FE0B872573C0000554EC  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:58:16 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Stephen Mead  
108 Pinehurst Ave.  
Albany, NY 12203

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817581367-225498 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:58:13 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id EDE9E19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:32:23 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 4ab95774.3592498096.48450.00-096.p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <reidbarclay@shaw.ca>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:58:12 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:53:44 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:58:11 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005811.17229.13075.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: reidbarclay@shaw.ca  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <reidbarclay@shaw.ca>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:58:13 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:11 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:11 PM  
SMTPOriginator: reidbarclay@shaw.ca  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:58:13 PM-12/28/2007 05:58:15 PM,12/28/2007 05:58:15 PM-12/28/2007 05:58:16 PM  
\$Orig: 7FA9839F62D74894872573C0000554B7  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:58:16 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Reid Barclay  
214-505 56 Ave. SW.  
Calgary, AB T2V 0G6

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817581278-225496 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:58:12 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 152CC19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:32:23 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 4ab95774.3602987952.48450.00-096.p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cybersadhu@msn.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:58:12 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:53:44 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:58:11 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005811.17229.13071.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: cybersadhu@msn.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <cybersadhu@msn.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:58:12 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:11 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:11 PM  
SMTPOriginator: cybersadhu@msn.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:58:12 PM-12/28/2007 05:58:14 PM,12/28/2007 05:58:14 PM-12/28/2007 05:58:15 PM  
\$Orig: 4B8A9B5EB7B83077872573C00005545E  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:58:15 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Ganapathy Durgadas  
"261 New Scotland Avenue, Apt.2"  
Albany, NY 12208-3143

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817581338-225497 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:58:13 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id A76A619E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:32:23 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 4ab95774.2442144688.5144.00-050.p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <unicorn170@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:58:12 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:53:44 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:58:11 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005811.17229.13073.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: unicorn170@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <unicorn170@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:58:13 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:12 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:12 PM  
SMTPOriginator: unicorn170@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:58:13 PM-12/28/2007 05:58:14 PM,12/28/2007 05:58:14 PM-12/28/2007 05:58:15 PM  
\$Orig: 399AA2715C9DFC52872573C00005549B  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:58:15 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Ann Cawley  
1709 Pacific  
St. Joseph, MO 64503

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817581256-225495 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:58:12 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D1DBF19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:32:22 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 3ab95774.2515573680.5144.00-050.p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cuppemj2@juniata.edu>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:58:11 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:53:44 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:58:11 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005811.17229.13069.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: cuppemj2@juniata.edu  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <cuppemj2@juniata.edu>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:58:12 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:12 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:12 PM  
SMTPOriginator: cuppemj2@juniata.edu  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:58:12 PM-12/28/2007 05:58:14 PM,12/28/2007 05:58:14 PM-12/28/2007 05:58:15 PM  
\$Orig: AD32A1A02421AA80872573C000055448  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:58:15 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Mark Cuppett  
1226 East Craig Ave.  
Apt. #25  
Port Angeles, WA 98362

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817551158-225462 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:11 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id CD47619E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:29:21 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id eea95774.2413444016.89438.00-020.p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <elliespaghetti@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:10 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:50:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005505.17229.12887.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: elliespaghetti@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <elliespaghetti@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:12 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:12 PM  
SMTPOriginator: elliespaghetti@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:12 PM,12/28/2007 05:55:12 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:13 PM  
\$Orig: 7434311F9A762665872573C000050D96  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:13 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Ellie Barker  
9706 Forest Hills Drive  
Tampa, FL 33612

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817551095-225459 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:10 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3631919E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:29:21 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id eea95774.2463976368.123815.00-008.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gtoll2@charter.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:10 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:50:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005505.17229.12883.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: gtoll2@charter.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <gtoll2@charter.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:55:10 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:13 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:13 PM  
SMTPOriginator: gtoll2@charter.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:55:10 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM,12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:12 PM  
\$Orig: 0C6232037D74E7E7872573C000050D59  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:12 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
George Tolleson  
26 Chateau Pl.  
Asheville, NC 28805

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817551183-225463 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:11 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1967019E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:29:22 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id fea95774.2705243056.123815.00-008.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lshapiro@wam.umd.edu>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:11 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:50:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005505.17229.12889.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: lshapiro@wam.umd.edu  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <lshapiro@wam.umd.edu>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:13 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:13 PM  
SMTPOriginator: lshapiro@wam.umd.edu  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:12 PM,12/28/2007 05:55:12 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:12 PM  
\$Orig: 079AA376A9BA9258872573C000050DB0  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:12 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
LEO SHAPIRO  
6907 Rhode Island Avenue  
College Park, MD 20740

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817551070-225458 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:10 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id EC07019E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:29:20 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id eea95774.2581281712.89438.00-020.p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sugebb@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:10 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:50:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005505.17229.12881.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: sugebb@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <sugebb@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:55:10 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:13 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:13 PM  
SMTPOriginator: sugebb@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:55:10 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM,12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:12 PM  
\$Orig: 17E011663A686CB7872573C000050D3E  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:12 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Kristen Cruz  
621 N Woods Ave  
Fullerton, CA 92832

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817551014-225456 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:10 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 620B119E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:29:20 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id dea95774.2463976368.123815.00-008.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <richardcooper57@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:09 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:50:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005505.17229.12877.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: richardcooper57@hotmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <richardcooper57@hotmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:55:10 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:14 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:14 PM  
SMTPOriginator: richardcooper57@hotmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:55:10 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM,12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM  
\$Orig: C9F1E282A633FA48872573C000050D06  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Richard Cooper  
5631 Castle Dr  
Oakland, CA 94611

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817550931-225453 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:09 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8C48519E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:29:19 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id cea95774.2579364784.123815.00-008.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <pegatha43@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:08 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:50:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005505.17229.12871.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: Pegatha43@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <pegatha43@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:55:09 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:14 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:14 PM  
SMTPOriginator: pegatha43@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:55:09 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM,12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM  
\$Orig: 05F99701EBAD2213872573C000050CB3  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Pegg C  
140 W.Gogebic St.  
Ironwood, MI 49938

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817551115-225460 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:11 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 6563D19E817C for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:29:21 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id eea95774.2400680880.126009.00-004.p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dztrau@greenchopsticks.org>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:10 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:50:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005505.17229.12885.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: dztrau@greenchopsticks.org  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010445641; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <dztrau@greenchopsticks.org>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:14 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:14 PM  
SMTPOriginator: dztrau@greenchopsticks.org  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM,12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM  
\$Orig: 9656F6425DAACE5E872573C000050D6B  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
D A Strauch  
2721-C Anuenue  
Honolulu, HI 96822

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817551023-225457 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:10 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 787DE19E800E for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:29:20 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id dea95774.2400680880.126009.00-004.p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <shawnspics@therivernet.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:09 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:50:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005505.17229.12879.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: shawnspics@therivernet.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <shawnspics@therivernet.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:55:10 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:15 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:15 PM  
SMTPOriginator: shawnspics@therivernet.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:55:10 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:10 PM,12/28/2007 05:55:10 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM  
\$Orig: 04ECCEB3C6B16C7F872573C000050D0F  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
shawn kelly  
248 laverne  
long beach, CA 90803

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817550936-225454 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:09 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 90EE419E800E for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:29:19 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id cea95774.2463620016.126009.00-004.p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <d.ogo@sbcglobal.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:08 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:50:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005505.17229.12873.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: d.ogo@sbcglobal.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <d.ogo@sbcglobal.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:55:09 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:15 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:15 PM  
SMTPOriginator: d.ogo@sbcglobal.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:55:09 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:10 PM,12/28/2007 05:55:10 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM  
\$Orig: 707365E5D344AB99872573C000050CB8  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
David Ogonowski  
5555 Lake Murray Blvd., Apt. 38  
La Mesa, CA 91942

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817550986-225455 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:09 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1CC3319E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:29:20 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id dea95774.2402954160.89438.00-020.p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <pegartista@earthlink.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:09 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:50:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005505.17229.12875.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: pegartista@earthlink.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <pegartista@earthlink.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:55:09 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:15 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:15 PM  
SMTPOriginator: pegartista@earthlink.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:55:09 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:10 PM,12/28/2007 05:55:10 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM  
\$Orig: 4FF37B229B1A16E5872573C000050CEA  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:11 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Marguerite Winkel  
2012 W 3rd  
SPOKANE, WA 99201-5465

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122817550847-225449 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:08 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id B34AB19E800E for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:29:18 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id bea95774.2600344496.123815.00-008.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <marsgarb@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:07 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:50:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005505.17229.12867.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: MARSGARB@AOL.COM  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <marsgarb@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:55:08 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:16 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:16 PM  
SMTPOriginator: marsgarb@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:55:08 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:09 PM,12/28/2007 05:55:09 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:10 PM  
\$Orig: 21FA9530D6E64AE3872573C000050C5F  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:10 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Gina Ellinger  
1234 Windy Place  
Springfield, MI 49015-1345

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817550901-225452 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:09 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4395119E800E for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:29:19 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id cea95774.2423933872.89438.00-020.p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <k0aws1@msn.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:08 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:50:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005505.17229.12869.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: k0aws1@msn.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <k0aws1@msn.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:55:09 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:16 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:16 PM  
SMTPOriginator: k0aws1@msn.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:55:09 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:09 PM,12/28/2007 05:55:09 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:10 PM  
\$Orig: 95EF70FC5DEB71A9872573C000050C95  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:10 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Percy Muetz  
14 Aspen .Dr  
Bailey, CO 80421

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817550850-225450 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:08 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id BCEDB19E817C for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:29:18 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id bea95774.2652437424.126009.00-004.p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <hdonat@ekit.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:07 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:50:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005505.17229.12865.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: hdonat@ekit.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <hdonat@ekit.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:55:08 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:16 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:16 PM  
SMTPOriginator: hdonat@ekit.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:55:08 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:09 PM,12/28/2007 05:55:09 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:10 PM  
\$Orig: A9F026ABF1EE91E4872573C000050C62  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:10 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Heidi Donat  
PO Box 24548  
Denver, CO 80224-0548

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817550734-225446 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:07 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 87A0919E800E for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:29:17 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id aea95774.2696670128.89438.00-020.p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ahlight@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:06 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:50:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005505.17229.12859.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: ahlight@gmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <ahlight@gmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:55:07 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:17 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:17 PM  
SMTPOriginator: ahlight@gmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:55:07 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:08 PM,12/28/2007 05:55:08 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:09 PM  
\$Orig: 28E640EC79A433F0872573C000050BEE  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:09 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Adrienne Ross  
19 Cerro Blanco  
Lamy, NM 87540

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122817550743-225447 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:07 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id ABC5D19E817C for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:29:17 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id aea95774.2652437424.126009.00-004.p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jen.fleischer@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:06 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:50:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005505.17229.12861.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: jen.fleischer@gmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <jen.fleischer@gmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:55:07 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:17 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:17 PM  
SMTPOriginator: jen.fleischer@gmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:55:07 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:08 PM,12/28/2007 05:55:08 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:09 PM  
\$Orig: F5905F8525E1630E872573C000050BF7  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:09 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Fleischer  
2400 U street  
Sacramento, CA 95818

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122817550878-225451 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:08 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id A519419E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:29:16 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 9ea95774.2476383152.89438.00-020.p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <leslie\_cassidy@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:05 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:50:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005505.17229.12857.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: leslie\_cassidy@hotmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <leslie\_cassidy@hotmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:55:08 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:17 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:17 PM  
SMTPOriginator: leslie\_cassidy@hotmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:55:08 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:09 PM,12/28/2007 05:55:09 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:09 PM  
\$Orig: 9BECC307B316AF06872573C000050C92  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:09 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Leslie Cassidy  
534 East 83rd Street  
Apartment 2b  
New York, NY 10028

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817550815-225448 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:08 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 676F319E800E for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:29:18 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id bea95774.2581281712.89438.00-020.p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jeribou@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:55:07 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 16:50:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071229005505.17229.12863.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: jeribou@hotmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <jeribou@hotmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:55:08 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:18 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:18 PM  
SMTPOriginator: jeribou@hotmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:55:08 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:08 PM,12/28/2007 05:55:08 PM-12/28/2007 05:55:08 PM  
\$Orig: 0B33E34B75213A1B872573C000050C3F  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:55:08 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jerry Golden  
307 S. Sherman St.  
Clinton, IL 61727

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817530815-225426 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:53:08 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 627B719E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:27:18 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 37a95774.3180084144.39998.00-088.p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:53:07 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 28 Dec 2007 18:53:04 -0600  
\$MessageID: <369642.1198889584030.JavaMail.www@app30>  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:53:04 PM  
From: Pat Marshall <defenders@mail.defenders.org>  
ReplyTo: Pat Marshall <marshall734@comcast.net>  
SendTo: r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
Subject: Saving our American heritage for our kids  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife  
X\_Convio\_Version: 5.3.22  
X\_Gateway: poolcons  
XData: 1010,9@nytEn99@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c  
X\_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0001986544; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.019(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.009(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X\_Mail\_From: <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:53:08 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:18 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:18 PM  
SMTPOriginator: email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:53:08 PM-12/28/2007 05:53:09 PM,12/28/2007 05:53:09 PM-12/28/2007 05:53:10 PM  
\$Orig: 05932043299193AE872573C00004DD5F  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:53:10 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

Can you work to ensure the continued recovery of the Mexican wolf?

Please allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

also, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

thank you

Sincerely,

Mrs. Pat Marshall  
44430 Robson Rd  
Belleville, MI 48111-1341

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122817530633-225419 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:53:06 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 89C9C19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:27:16 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 17a95774.2685983664.148295.00-081.p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:53:05 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 18:53:03 -0600  
\$MessageID: <14862447.1198889583601.JavaMail.www@app30>  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:53:03 PM  
From: Deborah Reed <defenders@mail.defenders.org>  
ReplyTo: Deborah Reed <snoangel77@gmail.com>  
SendTo: r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife  
X\_Convio\_Version: 5.3.22  
X\_Gateway: poolcons  
XData: 1010,9@nytEKte@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c  
X\_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0001253301; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.012(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.009(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X\_Mail\_From: <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:53:06 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:18 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:18 PM  
SMTPOriginator: email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:53:06 PM-12/28/2007 05:53:07 PM,12/28/2007 05:53:07 PM-12/28/2007 05:53:07 PM  
\$Orig: 63DF7AC0CA085918872573C00004DCA9  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:53:07 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Reed  
PO Box 295  
Green Valley, AZ 85622-0295

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122817530508-225413 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:53:05 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 4FD0619E800E for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:27:15 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 07a95774.2652916656.108219.00-085.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:53:04 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 18:53:02 -0600  
\$MessageID: <7725941.1198889582413.JavaMail.www@app30>  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:53:02 PM  
From: Linda Bescript <defenders@mail.defenders.org>  
ReplyTo: Linda Bescript <maxrules@cox.net>  
SendTo: r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife  
X\_Convio\_Version: 5.3.22  
X\_Gateway: poolcons  
XData: 1010,9@nytEKEY@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c  
X\_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.009(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X\_Mail\_From: <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:53:05 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:19 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:19 PM  
SMTPOriginator: email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:53:05 PM-12/28/2007 05:53:05 PM,12/28/2007 05:53:05 PM-12/28/2007 05:53:06 PM  
\$Orig: 2045721103BBF840872573C00004DC2C  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:53:06 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Bescript  
8882 E Maxwell Dr  
Tucson, AZ 85747-5187

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817530306-225403 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:53:03 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 468EF19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:27:13 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e6a95774.2452560816.10221.00-075.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:53:02 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 28 Dec 2007 18:53:01 -0600  
\$MessageID: <5739293.1198889581300.JavaMail.www@app30>  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:53:01 PM  
From: Jane Hatch <defenders@mail.defenders.org>  
ReplyTo: Jane Hatch <dianasherbals@hotmail.com>  
SendTo: r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf Scoping  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife  
X\_Convio\_Version: 5.3.22  
X\_Gateway: poolcons  
XData: 1010,9@nytEKMe@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c  
X\_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0001304104; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.012(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.009(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X\_Mail\_From: <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:53:03 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:19 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:19 PM  
SMTPOriginator: email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:53:03 PM-12/28/2007 05:53:04 PM,12/28/2007 05:53:04 PM-12/28/2007 05:53:05 PM  
\$Orig: 569F55D26427A8A4872573C00004DB62  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:53:05 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

I am writing in hopes you can provide a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60

wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world. There are millions of acres of land in the Southwest where wolves could live, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow new excuses to kill them. Additionally, please require that any authorized removals take into account wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

Thank you for your time and consideration on this important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jane Hatch  
28 Alondra Rd  
Santa Fe, NM 87508-8316

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122817530114-225395 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:53:01 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m024.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 5D8D619E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:27:11 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m024.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id c6a95774.2402540464.57899.00-036.p01c11m024.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:53:00 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 18:52:59 -0600  
\$MessageID: <25447447.1198889579644.JavaMail.www@app30>  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:52:59 PM  
From: Suzi Herlehy <defenders@mail.defenders.org>  
ReplyTo: Suzi Herlehy <ripgirl92@hotmail.com>  
SendTo: r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife  
X\_Convio\_Version: 5.3.22  
X\_Gateway: poolcons  
XData: 1010,9@nytEKne@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c  
X\_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0001181726; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.011(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.009(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X\_Mail\_From: <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:53:01 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:19 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:19 PM  
SMTPOriginator: email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:53:01 PM-12/28/2007 05:53:02 PM,12/28/2007 05:53:02 PM-12/28/2007 05:53:02 PM  
\$Orig: A70D98C89C20854F872573C00004DAA2  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:53:02 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Suzi Herlehy  
2427 E Fountain St  
Mesa, AZ 85213-5306

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122817525825-225384 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:52:58 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 779C419E800E for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:27:08 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 96a95774.2549013424.11594.00-038.p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:52:57 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 18:52:55 -0600  
\$MessageID: <18806398.1198889575864.JavaMail.www@app30>  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:52:55 PM  
From: Gregory Silva <defenders@mail.defenders.org>  
ReplyTo: Gregory Silva <greg.silva@igt.com>  
SendTo: r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife  
X\_Convio\_Version: 5.3.22  
X\_Gateway: poolcons  
XData: 1010,9@nytEK4t@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c  
X\_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0001331878; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.013(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.009(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X\_Mail\_From: <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:52:58 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:20 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:20 PM  
SMTPOriginator: email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:52:58 PM-12/28/2007 05:52:59 PM,12/28/2007 05:52:59 PM-12/28/2007 05:52:59 PM  
\$Orig: 8A45527D23A41EB2872573C00004D981  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:52:59 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gregory Silva  
1603 Taos Lane  
1603 Taos Ln  
Reno, NV 89511-6656

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122817525679-225378 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:52:56 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 0914019E800E for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:27:06 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 86a95774.2695875504.11594.00-038.p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:52:56 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 18:52:54 -0600  
\$MessageID: <7236095.1198889574797.JavaMail.www@app30>  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:52:54 PM  
From: Manny Santos <defenders@mail.defenders.org>  
ReplyTo: Manny Santos <phoenixmantoo@msn.com>  
SendTo: r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife  
X\_Convio\_Version: 5.3.22  
X\_Gateway: poolcons  
XData: 1010,9@nyteK9E@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c  
X\_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.009(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X\_Mail\_From: <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [66.45.103.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:52:56 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:20 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:20 PM  
SMTPOriginator: email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:52:56 PM-12/28/2007 05:52:57 PM,12/28/2007 05:52:57 PM-12/28/2007 05:52:58 PM  
\$Orig: 13C9563379118668872573C00004D8EF  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:52:58 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery

efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Manny Santos  
10310 W 44th Ave Apt 3B  
Wheat Ridge, CO 80033-2733

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817525634-225376 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:52:56 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 921DD19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:27:06 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 76a95774.3288292272.40730.00-039.p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:52:55 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 28 Dec 2007 18:52:54 -0600  
\$MessageID: <1054933.1198889574655.JavaMail.www@app30>  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:52:54 PM  
From: Sarah Pollard <defenders@mail.defenders.org>  
ReplyTo: Sarah Pollard <earthywomyn@gmail.com>  
SendTo: r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife  
X\_Convio\_Version: 5.3.22  
X\_Gateway: poolcons  
XData: 1010,9@nytEK9e@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c  
X\_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0002097737; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.020(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.009(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X\_Mail\_From: <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:52:56 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:20 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:20 PM  
SMTPOriginator: email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:52:56 PM-12/28/2007 05:52:57 PM,12/28/2007 05:52:57 PM-12/28/2007 05:52:57 PM  
\$Orig: D10752C98AB34E2D872573C00004D8C4  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:52:57 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sarah Pollard  
1416 W La Jolla Dr  
Tempe, AZ 85282-4464

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122817523773-225352 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:52:37 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id EF1B719E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:26:47 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 55a95774.2422877104.127662.00-049.p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:52:37 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 18:52:36 -0600  
\$MessageID: <6200882.1198889556901.JavaMail.www@app30>  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:52:36 PM  
From: Charles Young <defenders@mail.defenders.org>  
ReplyTo: Charles Young <cyoung48@cox.net>  
SendTo: r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife  
X\_Convio\_Version: 5.3.22  
X\_Gateway: poolcons  
XData: 1010,9@nytEent@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c  
X\_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.009(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X\_Mail\_From: <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:52:37 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:21 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:21 PM  
SMTPOriginator: email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:52:37 PM-12/28/2007 05:52:39 PM,12/28/2007 05:52:39 PM-12/28/2007 05:52:39 PM  
\$Orig: 335C2102648D394B872573C00004D17D  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:52:39 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Young  
110 E Greenway Pkwy Apt 1069  
# 1069  
Phoenix, AZ 85022-2533

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122817523406-225351 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:52:34 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 49B0019E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:26:44 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] (EHLO mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net) by p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 15a95774.2674633648.127662.00-049.p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:52:33 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 18:52:33 -0600  
\$MessageID: <16287027.1198889553087.JavaMail.www@app30>  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:52:33 PM  
From: John Mayfield <defenders@mail.defenders.org>  
ReplyTo: John Mayfield <phoenixrescuer17@yahoo.com>  
SendTo: r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
Subject: Saving the Mexican Gray Wolf  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife  
X\_Convio\_Version: 5.3.22  
X\_Gateway: poolcons  
XData: 1010,9@nytEenM@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c  
X\_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0001035858; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.009(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [66.45.103.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:52:34 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:21 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:21 PM  
SMTPOriginator: email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:52:34 PM-12/28/2007 05:52:35 PM,12/28/2007 05:52:35 PM-12/28/2007 05:52:35 PM  
\$Orig: 5B03C209258B46C0872573C00004D00E  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:52:35 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery

efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Mayfield  
3150 E Beardsley Rd Apt 1054  
Phoenix, AZ 85050-3563

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817522931-225349 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:52:29 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 85C7D19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:26:39 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id c4a95774.2421091248.15703.00-089.p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:52:28 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 28 Dec 2007 18:52:28 -0600  
\$MessageID: <14484172.1198889548493.JavaMail.www@app30>  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:52:28 PM  
From: Ivy Ciolli <defenders@mail.defenders.org>  
ReplyTo: Ivy Ciolli <ivyreed22@yahoo.com>  
SendTo: r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife  
X\_Convio\_Version: 5.3.22  
X\_Gateway: poolcons  
XData: 1010,9@nytEen9@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c  
X\_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0002129548; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.020(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.009(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X\_Mail\_From: <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:52:29 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:21 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:21 PM  
SMTPOriginator: email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:52:29 PM-12/28/2007 05:52:30 PM,12/28/2007 05:52:30 PM-12/28/2007 05:52:30 PM  
\$Orig: B8DD774AC25F7D59872573C00004CE33  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:52:30 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ivy Ciolli  
6602 N Praying Monk Rd  
Paradise Valley, AZ 85253-4037

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817522782-225348 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:52:27 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1236119E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:26:38 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id b4a95774.2683337648.15703.00-089.p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:52:27 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.1.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 28 Dec 2007 18:52:27 -0600  
\$MessageID: <27738638.1198889547006.JavaMail.www@app30>  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:52:27 PM  
From: Terri Dunn <defenders@mail.defenders.org>  
ReplyTo: Terri Dunn <blazingcolors@gmail.com>  
SendTo: r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife  
X\_Convio\_Version: 5.3.22  
X\_Gateway: poolcons  
XData: 1010,9@nytEeKQ@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c  
X\_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0001718007; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.016(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.009(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X\_Mail\_From: <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:52:27 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:22 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:22 PM  
SMTPOriginator: email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:52:27 PM-12/28/2007 05:52:29 PM,12/28/2007 05:52:29 PM-12/28/2007 05:52:29 PM  
\$Orig: E989D49D961A6C4A872573C00004CDA0  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:52:29 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

We the voters of New Mexico care deeply about our resources.

Sincerely,

Ms. Terri Dunn  
PO Box 7342  
Ruidoso, NM 88355-7342

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122817521665-225342 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:52:16 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D9FDE19E800E for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:26:26 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 04a95774.2571561904.82027.00-081.p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:52:16 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTMP; 28 Dec 2007 18:52:15 -0600  
\$MessageID: <18356844.1198889535838.JavaMail.www@app30>  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:52:15 PM  
From: William Erlenbach <defenders@mail.defenders.org>  
ReplyTo: William Erlenbach <bornfree33@cox.net>  
SendTo: r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife  
X\_Convio\_Version: 5.3.22  
X\_Gateway: poolcons  
XData: 1010,9@nytEeeM@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c  
X\_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0001410986; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.013(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.009(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X\_Mail\_From: <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:52:16 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:22 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:22 PM  
SMTPOriginator: email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:52:16 PM-12/28/2007 05:52:18 PM,12/28/2007 05:52:18 PM-12/28/2007 05:52:18 PM  
\$Orig: B6442FC671740338872573C00004C941  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:52:18 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Erlenbach  
PO Box 8118  
Surprise, AZ 85374-0118

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122817521359-225339 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:52:13 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id C7FFF19E8008 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:26:23 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [66.45.103.70] by p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id c3a95774.2529602480.82027.00-081.p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 17:52:12 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO localhost) ([10.0.2.62]) by mta-poolcons.cluster2.convio.net with ESMTTP; 28 Dec 2007 18:52:12 -0600  
\$MessageID: <1219806.1198889532664.JavaMail.www@app30>  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 05:52:12 PM  
From: Evey Jones <defenders@mail.defenders.org>  
ReplyTo: Evey Jones <eveyj@hubwest.com>  
SendTo: r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
Organization: Defenders of Wildlife  
X\_Convio\_Version: 5.3.22  
X\_Gateway: poolcons  
XData: 1010,9@nytEeeK@4neQ@wa8S5w5LBc1dSx1c  
X\_ConvioDeliveryGroup: poolb  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0001287874; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.012(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122839); R=0.009(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X\_Mail\_From: <email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 05:52:13 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 02:56:22 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 02:56:22 PM  
SMTPOriginator: email\_bounce\_handler@bounce.convio.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 05:52:13 PM-12/28/2007 05:52:15 PM,12/28/2007 05:52:15 PM-12/28/2007 05:52:15 PM  
\$Orig: 86162D01034A931A872573C00004C80F  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 05:52:15 PM

Dec 28, 2007

Brian Millsap

Dear Millsap,

Please pay attention to this plea.....

On behalf of my entire family, which now spans three generations, I beseech you to allow the wild life of New Mexico to live in open

freedom. Let us educate each other rather than kill to solve issues that might divide us.

As a supporter of scientifically sound wildlife management who understands the value wolves can bring to ecosystems, I am writing to urge you to take a more balanced approach to Mexican wolf recovery efforts in the Southwest.

After ten years of reintroduction efforts, there are fewer than 60 wolves in the wild lands of the Southwest, more than 40 short of the reintroduction goal of establishing 102 wolves in the wild by 2006.

Mexican wolves are one of the most endangered animals in the world and play an important role in restoring balance to Southwest forests. But despite these facts, the Service hasn't made much progress in restoring them.

There are millions of acres of public land in the Southwest where wolves could thrive, but Mexican wolves continue to be confined to a much smaller, politically defined recovery area. The rules as they stand do not live up to the promise of the Endangered Species Act.

As one of 900,000 members and supporters of Defenders of Wildlife, I am asking you to make the following changes in the reintroduction rule.

First, "uplist" the Mexican wolf to Experimental Essential status. This will preserve the management flexibility of the rule, but require that other agencies consult about impacts on wolves.

Second, allow the wolves to disperse beyond the recovery area, and to be released where biologists say is best.

Third, don't limit wolf numbers, or allow any new reasons to kill them and ensure that any authorized removals take into account individual wolves' genetic value, the size and health of the population, the number of breeding pairs, and whether progress toward recovery is being made.

I am confident that if you make all of these changes, the Mexican gray wolf recovery program will get back on track and these wolves will have a real chance at recovery in the wild lands of the Southwest.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on this incredibly important matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Evey Jones  
15 Dos Hermanitos  
Placitas, NM 87043-8679