

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122815164365-221292 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:16:43 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 2EC3719E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:50:52 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id bc575774.2519702448.933.00-030.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rnidess@swbell.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:16:43 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:12:14 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:16:41 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221641.17229.6786.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: rnidess@swbell.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <rnidess@swbell.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:16:43 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:07 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:07 PM  
SMTPOriginator: rnidess@swbell.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:16:43 PM-12/28/2007 03:16:44 PM,12/28/2007 03:16:45 PM-12/28/2007 03:16:45 PM  
\$Orig: E2D1AC4BE6A4B473872573BF007A618F  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:16:45 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from

their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Rael "Nidess, M.D."  
"100 StoneCreek Drive, Apt. 239"  
Marshall, TX 75672

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815164351-221291 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:16:43 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0E27719E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:50:51 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id ac575774.2537294768.22392.00-126.p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <soho2west@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:16:42 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:12:14 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:16:41 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221641.17229.6784.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: soho2west@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <soho2west@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:16:43 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:07 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:07 PM  
SMTPOriginator: soho2west@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:16:43 PM-12/28/2007 03:16:44 PM,12/28/2007 03:16:45 PM-12/28/2007 03:16:45 PM  
\$Orig: A865A06F2B61CAAB872573BF007A617F  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:16:45 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Sheri Archey  
2375 Myrtle Ave NE  
Salem, OR 97301

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815164256-221290 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:16:42 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 16E6819E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:50:51 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 9c575774.2400926640.22392.00-126.p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <quixotic\_1@earthlink.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:16:41 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:12:14 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:16:41 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221641.17229.6782.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: quixotic\_1@earthlink.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <quixotic\_1@earthlink.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:16:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:07 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:07 PM  
SMTPOriginator: quixotic\_1@earthlink.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:16:42 PM-12/28/2007 03:16:43 PM,12/28/2007 03:16:43 PM-12/28/2007 03:16:44 PM  
\$Orig: 9AA1B24E34493742872573BF007A6120  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:16:44 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jon Current  
2323 NW 188th Ave #925  
Hillsboro, OR 97124

\$QuotaOrigFrom: karilopez74@gmail.com  
\$QuotaOrigSendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
From: FW2 ES NewMexico  
SendTo: FW2 ES NewMexico  
\$QuotaOrigSubject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
Subject: Quota Warning Report  
\$QuotaType: 1  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$QuotaOrigMsgSize: 5817  
IntendedRecipient: FW2 ES NewMexico  
RouteServers: CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:19 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:19 PM  
\$QuotaAction: 0  
\$QuotaSizeLimit: 256000  
\$QuotaWarningThreshold: 204800  
\$QuotaCurrentUsage: 200323  
\$QuotaCurrentDbSize: 204800  
\$QuotaMethod: 2  
\$MailAdminText: Your mail file has exceeded the 200 mb warning  
threshold. Please archive and delete messages to ensure continued  
email delivery.  
\$Orig: 95C8FC454EFC4123872573BF007A2925  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:19 PM

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141607-221141 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:16 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9015719E8087 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:24 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 73575774.2518211504.14646.00-109.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mpierersonop@bellsouth.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:15 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6758.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: mpiersonop@bellsouth.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <mpierersonop@bellsouth.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:08 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:08 PM  
SMTPOriginator: mpiersonop@bellsouth.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:18 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:18 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:19 PM  
\$Orig: 5A49511027824108872573BF007A27E7  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:19 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Marilyn Pierson  
P.O. Box 462  
Tutwiler, MS 38963

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141648-221144 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:16 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id F259619E808A for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:24 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 73575774.2434079664.219178.00-046.p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <karilopez74@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:15 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6764.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: karilopez74@gmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <karilopez74@gmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:08 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:08 PM  
SMTPOriginator: karilopez74@gmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:18 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:18 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:19 PM  
\$Orig: 20A97D070AD5C9D9872573BF007A2810  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:19 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Kari Lopez  
2122 Bernhurst Drive  
Knoxville, TN 37918

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141637-221142 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:16 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D630819E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:24 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 73575774.3351378864.142287.00-052.p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <daimoku@charter.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:15 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6762.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: daimoku@charter.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0039267295; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.037(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <daimoku@charter.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:09 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:09 PM  
SMTPOriginator: daimoku@charter.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:18 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:18 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:19 PM  
\$Orig: 9A67C5289CD3F19E872573BF007A2805  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:19 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Alfredo Cierra  
1060 Chestnut Street  
Turlock, CA 95380

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141637-221143 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:16 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D6FD019E8087 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:24 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 73575774.2613074864.79519.00-044.p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <stacia-lynn@comcast.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:15 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6760.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: stacia-lynn@comcast.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <stacia-lynn@comcast.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:09 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:09 PM  
SMTPOriginator: stacia-lynn@comcast.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:18 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:18 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:19 PM  
\$Orig: 19336B2F534011AE872573BF007A2805  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:19 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Stacia Peter  
5805 98th Ave. NW  
Gig Harbor, WA 98335

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141559-221138 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:15 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 139B019E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:24 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 63575774.2434079664.219178.00-046.p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <juanito\_evans@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:14 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6754.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: juanito\_evans@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <juanito\_evans@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:09 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:09 PM  
SMTPOriginator: juanito\_evans@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:17 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:17 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:18 PM  
\$Orig: E7F3E9009CF85A81872573BF007A27B7  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:18 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jonathan Evans  
1404 Ocean Park  
SANTA MONICA, CA 90405

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141602-221140 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:16 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8448B19E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:24 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 73575774.2497039280.24655.00-074.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lcc227@earthlink.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:15 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6756.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: lcc227@earthlink.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <lcc227@earthlink.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:10 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:10 PM  
SMTPOriginator: lcc227@earthlink.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:17 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:17 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:18 PM  
\$Orig: A87FC32787FFB756872573BF007A27E2  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:18 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Loyd Cortez  
150 Amber Valley  
San Antonio, TX 78227

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141509-221132 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:15 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 87A9919E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:23 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 63575774.2538998704.24655.00-074.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cmclendon@midsouth.rr.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:14 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6746.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: CMcLendon@midsouth.rr.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <cmclendon@midsouth.rr.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:10 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:10 PM  
SMTPOriginator: cmclendon@midsouth.rr.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:17 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:17 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:18 PM  
\$Orig: 5CF9A314EEAB865F872573BF007A2785  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:18 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Cynthia McLendon  
5730 Quince Rd. Apt. 5  
Memphis, TN 38119

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141546-221135 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:15 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id E6D8719E8087 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:23 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 63575774.2655034288.79519.00-044.p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <llafave04@verizon.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:14 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6752.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: llafave04@verizon.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <llafave04@verizon.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:10 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:10 PM  
SMTPOriginator: llafave04@verizon.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:17 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:17 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:18 PM  
\$Orig: 3C5CB94B8019035B872573BF007A27AA  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:18 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Linda LaFave  
818 Brompton St.  
Fredericksburg, VA 22401

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141518-221133 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:15 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id AAEE419E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:23 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 63575774.2675559344.14646.00-109.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <casadimarino@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:14 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6748.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: casadimarino@hotmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <casadimarino@hotmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:11 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:11 PM  
SMTPOriginator: casadimarino@hotmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:17 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:17 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:18 PM  
\$Orig: 8AA820D55D5F278E872573BF007A278E  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:18 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Casadi Marino  
510 Pearl Street  
Oregon City, OR 97045

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141546-221136 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:15 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id EC53119E808A for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:23 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 63575774.3592645552.142287.00-052.p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <amybotello@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:14 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6750.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: amybotello@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <amybotello@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:11 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:11 PM  
SMTPOriginator: amybotello@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:17 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:17 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:18 PM  
\$Orig: 147C2E506C0168E2872573BF007A27AA  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:18 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Amy Botello  
295 E. 8th Street  
Ground Floor  
New York, NY 10009

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141457-221129 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:14 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 107A019E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:23 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 53575774.2571115440.79519.00-044.p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <emclean@woh.rr.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:13 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6742.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: emclean@woh.rr.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <emclean@woh.rr.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:11 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:11 PM  
SMTPOriginator: emclean@woh.rr.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:17 PM  
\$Orig: 6C6B58C0C9790DF2872573BF007A2751  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:17 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth McLean  
1009 Pembury Place  
Troy, OH 45373

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141432-221127 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:14 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C412F19E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:22 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 53575774.2686049200.14646.00-109.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <toddlerh@pacbell.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:13 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6738.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: toddlerh@pacbell.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <toddlerh@pacbell.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:12 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:12 PM  
SMTPOriginator: toddlerh@pacbell.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:17 PM  
\$Orig: 29D18C8918BD739F872573BF007A2738  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:17 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
TODD HOWARD  
387 MIRAMAR AVE.  
LONG BEACH, CA 90814

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141470-221131 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:14 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 335E019E8087 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:23 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 63575774.2591427504.219178.00-046.p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <fiveborzoi@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:14 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6744.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: Fiveborzoi@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <fiveborzoi@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:12 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:12 PM  
SMTPOriginator: fiveborzoi@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:17 PM  
\$Orig: 7B922D28EED0C4AB872573BF007A275F  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:17 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Levine  
1163 Pleasant Hill Circle  
Lafayette,, CA 94549

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141456-221128 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:14 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 10B1C19E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:23 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 53575774.3194031024.142287.00-052.p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <slewis@oldworlddaviaries.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:13 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6740.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: slewis@oldworlddaviaries.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <slewis@oldworlddaviaries.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:13 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:13 PM  
SMTPOriginator: slewis@oldworlddaviaries.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:17 PM  
\$Orig: 4468500592D32328872573BF007A2750  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:17 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Scott Lewis  
3509 Carla Drive  
Austin, TX 78754

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141337-221120 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:13 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D704819E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:21 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 43575774.2654579632.14646.00-109.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <maxmankin@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:12 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6728.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: maxmankin@gmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <maxmankin@gmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:13 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:13 PM  
SMTPOriginator: maxmankin@gmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM  
\$Orig: D54AB2F80CA4221B872573BF007A26D9  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
max mankin  
6 Drybridge Road  
Medway, MA 02053

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141370-221123 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:13 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 33D2F19E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:22 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 53575774.2676014000.79519.00-044.p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <funnx2@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:13 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6732.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: funnx2@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <funnx2@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:13 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:13 PM  
SMTPOriginator: funnx2@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM  
\$Orig: 8C9D1BF3307B85B0872573BF007A26FB  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Scott Nunn  
40 Walnut way  
Sedona, AZ 86351

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141357-221122 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:13 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1410119E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:22 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 43575774.3634604976.142287.00-052.p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <deborahmeckler@comcast.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:12 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6730.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: deborahmeckler@comcast.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <deborahmeckler@comcast.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:14 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:14 PM  
SMTPOriginator: deborahmeckler@comcast.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM  
\$Orig: A03F652B6E6C907C872573BF007A26ED  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Deborah Meckler  
3948 Stein Court  
South San Francisco, CA 94080

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141399-221125 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:13 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7C45A19E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:22 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 53575774.2717326256.24655.00-074.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jreining@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:13 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6736.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: jreining@gmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <jreining@gmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:14 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:14 PM  
SMTPOriginator: jreining@gmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM  
\$Orig: D78059F7ABFBBA9E872573BF007A2717  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
JA REINING  
6550 LONGWALK DR  
OAKLAND, CA 94611

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141306-221119 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:13 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8446819E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:21 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 43575774.2518018992.24655.00-074.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <tcsargent@comcast.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:12 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6724.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: tcsargent@comcast.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <tcsargent@comcast.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:14 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:14 PM  
SMTPOriginator: tcsargent@comcast.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM  
\$Orig: 5E178DF95D997F22872573BF007A26BA  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Todd Sargent  
4035 Se Reedway  
Portland, OR 97202

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141382-221124 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:13 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 509AC19E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:22 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 53575774.2549468080.219178.00-046.p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <loey11@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:13 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6734.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: loey11@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <loey11@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:15 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:15 PM  
SMTPOriginator: loey11@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM  
\$Orig: F97782767F223502872573BF007A2706  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:16 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Lois White  
486 Tunnel Creek Road  
Grants Pass, OR 97526-9748

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141248-221115 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:12 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id F322819E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:20 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 33575774.2581150640.14646.00-109.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bruce.fowler@uah.edu>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:11 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6718.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: Bruce.Fowler@uah.edu  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <bruce.fowler@uah.edu>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:12 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:15 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:15 PM  
SMTPOriginator: bruce.fowler@uah.edu  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:12 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM  
\$Orig: 23C88A725EEAF563872573BF007A2680  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Bruce Fowler  
P. O. Box 220  
Arab, AL 35016

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141295-221118 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:12 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 70C5319E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:21 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 43575774.2591427504.219178.00-046.p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mwentley@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:12 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6726.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: mwentley@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <mwentley@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:12 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:15 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:15 PM  
SMTPOriginator: mwentley@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:12 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM  
\$Orig: 77044082119D53E0872573BF007A26AF  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Mark Wentley  
2030 N. Lincoln Ave.  
Unit H  
Chicago, IL 60614

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141271-221116 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:12 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3582019E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:21 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 43575774.3540196272.142287.00-052.p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <hstein@hvc.rr.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:12 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6720.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: hstein@hvc.rr.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <hstein@hvc.rr.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:12 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:16 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:16 PM  
SMTPOriginator: hstein@hvc.rr.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:12 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM  
\$Orig: 5F1BF40774500CD0872573BF007A2697  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Herbert Stein  
144 Barnes Road  
Washingtonville, NY 10992-1932

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141206-221113 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:12 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8BCBC19E8087 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:20 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 33575774.2476039088.219178.00-046.p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <icbnro6641@sbcglobal.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:11 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6716.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: icbnro6641@sbcglobal.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <icbnro6641@sbcglobal.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:12 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:16 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:16 PM  
SMTPOriginator: icbnro6641@sbcglobal.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:12 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM  
\$Orig: 862B932C4875B6FA872573BF007A2656  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Robert Whippo  
5445 Sheridan Road  
5445 Sheridan Road  
Chicago, IL 60640

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141282-221117 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:12 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 5182D19E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:21 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 43575774.2676014000.79519.00-044.p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <carmonal661@bellsouth.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:12 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6722.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: carmonal661@bellsouth.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <carmonal661@bellsouth.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:12 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:17 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:17 PM  
SMTPOriginator: carmonal661@bellsouth.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:12 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM  
\$Orig: ECBACD10649990F8872573BF007A26A2  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:15 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jose Carmona  
2120 Old Daytona Rd.  
Port Orange, FL 32128

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141157-221110 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:11 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 127B219E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:20 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 23575774.3603135408.142287.00-052.p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kparson@twccconstruction.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:10 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6710.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: kparson@twccconstruction.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <kparson@twccconstruction.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:17 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:17 PM  
SMTPOriginator: kparson@twccconstruction.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM  
\$Orig: C706152DE6834875872573BF007A2625  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Kathy Parson  
5431 Mesa Verde Ct  
Las Vegas, NV 89142

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141196-221111 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:11 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7314E19E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:20 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 33575774.2592095152.79519.00-044.p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <evista@verizon.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:11 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6712.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: evista@verizon.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <evista@verizon.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:17 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:17 PM  
SMTPOriginator: evista@verizon.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM  
\$Orig: 97BFFEBFFFF6CC36872573BF007A264C  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Nina Mortellito  
401 East 88th Street  
Apt 7 E  
New York, NY 10128-6636

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141112-221105 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:11 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 994E519E808A for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:19 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 23575774.2486549424.24655.00-074.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <pmokolumne@swiftwireless.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:10 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6702.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: pmokolumne@swiftwireless.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <pmokolumne@swiftwireless.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:18 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:18 PM  
SMTPOriginator: pmokolumne@swiftwireless.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM  
\$Orig: 25337474ACBE1E08872573BF007A25F8  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
P. Glidden  
780 S. Cedar Ridge Ct  
Cornville, AZ 86325

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141154-221108 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:11 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0A9F719E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:19 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 23575774.2455272368.14646.00-109.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <tvcl5ma@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:10 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6708.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: tvcl5ma@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <tvcl5ma@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:18 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:18 PM  
SMTPOriginator: tvcl5ma@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM  
\$Orig: CCF9303CD5244256872573BF007A2622  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Stephen Donnelly  
6 PINEBROOK DRIVE  
EASTHAMPTON, MA 01027

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141201-221112 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:12 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8152619E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:20 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 33575774.2434100144.24655.00-074.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jsaeks@rochester.rr.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:11 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6714.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: Jsaeks@rochester.rr.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <jsaeks@rochester.rr.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:12 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:18 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:18 PM  
SMTPOriginator: jsaeks@rochester.rr.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:12 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM  
\$Orig: 3B806B0A3F94383E872573BF007A2651  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Joel saeks  
612 Packwood Rd  
Waterloo, NY 13165

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122815141115-221106 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:11 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id A0A7819E8087 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:19 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 23575774.2633386928.219178.00-046.p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dorinda04@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:10 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6706.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: dorinda04@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <dorinda04@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:19 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:19 PM  
SMTPOriginator: dorinda04@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM  
\$Orig: CA11C273304D4F05872573BF007A25FD  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Dorinda Scott  
1809 Treadwell Street  
Austin, TX 78704

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141102-221104 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:11 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 82D7719E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:19 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 23575774.2665524144.79519.00-044.p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <edwinstella@msn.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:10 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6704.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: EdwinStella@msn.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <edwinstella@msn.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:19 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:19 PM  
SMTPOriginator: edwinstella@msn.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM  
\$Orig: B11865DB3C3F6B03872573BF007A25EE  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:14 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Stella Drost  
7810 SVL Box  
Victorville, CA 92395

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141012-221099 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:10 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9AFEB19E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:18 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 13575774.2717973424.79519.00-044.p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sbwiesel@qwest.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:09 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6696.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: sbwiesel@qwest.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <sbwiesel@qwest.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:10 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:19 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:19 PM  
SMTPOriginator: sbwiesel@qwest.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:10 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:12 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:12 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM  
\$Orig: 4D3E275BB89B71FE872573BF007A2594  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Steven Wiese  
2543 Nicollet Avenue  
Minneapolis, MN 55404

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141065-221101 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:10 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2468419E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:19 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 23575774.2602130352.14646.00-109.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <christopher.e.olin@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:10 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6700.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: christopher.e.olin@gmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <christopher.e.olin@gmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:10 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:20 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:20 PM  
SMTPOriginator: christopher.e.olin@gmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:10 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:12 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:12 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM  
\$Orig: 93C72DE8945259EE872573BF007A25C9  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Olin  
121 Campus Dr.  
#1411B  
Stanford, CA 94305

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141006-221098 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:10 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8D0B219E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:18 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 13575774.2675366832.24655.00-074.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <anbrooks@austin.rr.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:09 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221405.17229.6694.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: anbrooks@austin.rr.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <anbrooks@austin.rr.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:10 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:20 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:20 PM  
SMTPOriginator: anbrooks@austin.rr.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:10 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:12 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:12 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM  
\$Orig: 55C6718B26C2CCD2872573BF007A258E  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Allen Brooks  
204 Westhaven  
Austin, TX 78746 4443

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815141067-221102 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:10 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 27F8D19E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:19 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 13575774.3173051312.142287.00-052.p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lindysw634@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:09 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:39 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221406.17229.6698.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: lindysw634@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0011957853; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.011(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <lindysw634@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:10 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:20 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:20 PM  
SMTPOriginator: lindysw634@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:10 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:12 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:12 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM  
\$Orig: A1ED1530F8DEB74E872573BF007A25CB  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:13 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
L.S. Wanner  
1186 N 1500 East Rd  
Milford, IL 60953

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815140976-221097 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:09 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 40AE419E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:18 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 13575774.2486741936.14646.00-109.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <drivingsimulator@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:09 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221405.17229.6692.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: drivingsimulator@hotmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <drivingsimulator@hotmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:09 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:21 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:21 PM  
SMTPOriginator: drivingsimulator@hotmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:09 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:10 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM  
\$Orig: 85071A7DE08D842D872573BF007A2570  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
erica roberts  
4600 Lamont Street  
#4-329  
San Diego, CA 92109

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122815140915-221094 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:09 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id A2B0119E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:17 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id 03575774.2717973424.79519.00-044.p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <vince@san.rr.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:08 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221405.17229.6686.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: vince@san.rr.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <vince@san.rr.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:09 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:21 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:21 PM  
SMTPOriginator: vince@san.rr.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:09 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM  
\$Orig: 7F504519202F411A872573BF007A2533  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Vince Scheidt  
6218 Syracuse Lane  
San Diego, CA 92122

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815140973-221096 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:09 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3B12719E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:18 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 03575774.3414318000.142287.00-052.p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <phughes978@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:08 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221405.17229.6690.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: phughes978@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <phughes978@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:09 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:21 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:21 PM  
SMTPOriginator: phughes978@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:09 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM  
\$Orig: 7513F65E1A5BCA1E872573BF007A256D  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
philip hughes  
152 cable ave  
salisbury, MA 01952

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815140887-221092 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:08 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 5C1D019E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:17 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 03575774.2623110064.14646.00-109.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <donshawcats@mac.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:08 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221405.17229.6688.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: donshawcats@mac.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <donshawcats@mac.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:08 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:21 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:21 PM  
SMTPOriginator: donshawcats@mac.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:08 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:10 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:10 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM  
\$Orig: E4DEAF5B3DDFA4AA872573BF007A2517  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:11 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Donald Shaw  
200 Lynch Avenue  
Syracuse, NY 13207-2129

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815140867-221091 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:08 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2CBBD19E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:17 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mxl\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 03575774.3361868720.142287.00-052.p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gardengirl45101@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:08 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221405.17229.6684.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: gardengirl45101@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <gardengirl45101@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:08 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:22 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:22 PM  
SMTPOriginator: gardengirl45101@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:08 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:09 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:10 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:10 PM  
\$Orig: 3090FE0F6EFB9184872573BF007A2503  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:10 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Deborah Catherine Stitt  
540 Mountain St.  
Aberdeen, OH 45101-9761

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815140796-221089 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:07 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 723BF19E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:16 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f2575774.2455272368.14646.00-109.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gershep@netsync.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:07 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221405.17229.6680.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: gershep@netsync.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <gershep@netsync.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:07 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:22 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:22 PM  
SMTPOriginator: gershep@netsync.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:07 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:10 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:10 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:10 PM  
\$Orig: D0C57F6CA4CAD741872573BF007A24BE  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:10 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Arlene Drewniak  
Fredonia-Stockton Rd  
Fredonia, NY 14063

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815140777-221087 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:07 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 441B719E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:16 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id f2575774.3634604976.142287.00-052.p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sharpup1@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:07 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221405.17229.6682.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: Sharpup1@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <sharpup1@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:07 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:22 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:22 PM  
SMTPOriginator: sharpup1@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:07 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:08 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:09 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:09 PM  
\$Orig: D68C84E34C3735D7872573BF007A24A9  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:09 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Sharon Ritacco  
7584 SW 102 Loop  
Ocala, FL 34476

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122815140681-221085 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:06 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 4EBE019E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:48:15 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id e2575774.2612620208.14646.00-109.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <catherine@airvalues.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:14:06 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:09:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:05 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221405.17229.6678.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: catherine@airvalues.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <catherine@airvalues.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:23 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:23 PM  
SMTPOriginator: catherine@airvalues.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:14:06 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:07 PM,12/28/2007 03:14:08 PM-12/28/2007 03:14:08 PM  
\$Orig: AACAA8E8B93B3A635872573BF007A2449  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:14:08 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Catherine Tayler-Houle  
11212 Harbor Rd  
Frisco, TX 75035

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815104332-220706 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:43 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C094619E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:51 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 26475774.2476706736.71942.00-093.p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jenny@kamnitzer.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:42 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6586.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: jenny@kamnitzer.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <jenny@kamnitzer.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:23 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:23 PM  
SMTPOriginator: jenny@kamnitzer.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:44 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:45 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:45 PM  
\$Orig: E3CD4DEBC4AB2383872573BF0079D4CE  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:45 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jenny Hill  
106 N. West St.  
Westerville, OH 43081

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815104329-220705 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:43 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B8C8E19E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:51 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 26475774.2633407408.16882.00-051.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <pen@maxmo.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:42 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6584.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: pen@maxmo.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <pen@maxmo.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:23 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:23 PM  
SMTPOriginator: pen@maxmo.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:44 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:45 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:45 PM  
\$Orig: CA74100EF1F15E03872573BF0079D4C9  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:45 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Penny Perkins  
P.O.Box 594  
Corrales, NM 87048

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815104375-220708 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:43 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3017919E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:52 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 36475774.3129244592.160585.00-150.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <tdunn98226@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:43 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6588.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: TDUnn98226@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <tdunn98226@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:24 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:24 PM  
SMTPOriginator: tdunn98226@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:44 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:45 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:45 PM  
\$Orig: E2C313777E4DE4E2872573BF0079D4F7  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:45 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
tracie mcNabb  
9908 new Carlisle pike  
new Carlisle, OH 45344

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815104232-220700 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:42 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C0A2419E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:50 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 16475774.2476706736.71942.00-093.p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <eenderson@cox.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:41 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6576.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: eenderson@cox.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <eenderson@cox.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:24 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:24 PM  
SMTPOriginator: eenderson@cox.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:44 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:44 PM  
\$Orig: 29BECCCB05591B8872573BF0079D468  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:44 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
ERIK ENDERSON  
994 S. Garfield Ave  
Vail, AZ 85641

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815104281-220701 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:42 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 407DF19E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:51 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 26475774.3580308400.160585.00-150.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jbaetz@nycap.rr.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:42 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6578.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: jbaetz@nycap.rr.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0011569949; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.011(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <jbaetz@nycap.rr.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:25 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:25 PM  
SMTPOriginator: jbaetz@nycap.rr.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:44 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:44 PM  
\$Orig: 89BF4778FA60881D872573BF0079D49A  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:44 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jacquelyn Baetz  
225 Schoolhouse Rd.  
Albany, NY 12203

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815104229-220699 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:42 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id BA7B219E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:50 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 16475774.2434100144.16882.00-051.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kvaughn3@verizon.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:41 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6574.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: kvaughn3@verizon.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <kvaughn3@verizon.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:25 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:25 PM  
SMTPOriginator: kvaughn3@verizon.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:44 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:44 PM  
\$Orig: D74261CAE534DB2F872573BF0079D465  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:44 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
keith vaughn  
116 Oakmont Rd.  
Clementon, NJ 08021

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815104284-220702 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:42 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4D73319E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:51 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 26475774.2591017904.153417.00-114.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <brooker.james\_h@tucson.med.va.gov>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:42 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6580.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: brooker.james\_h@tucson.med.va.gov  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <brooker.james\_h@tucson.med.va.gov>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:26 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:26 PM  
SMTPOriginator: brooker.james\_h@tucson.med.va.gov  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:44 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:44 PM  
\$Orig: D98459E4D413B377872573BF0079D49E  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:44 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jim Brooker  
1208 E. Smoot Dr.  
Tucson, AZ 85719

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815104189-220696 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:41 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 5707719E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:50 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 16475774.2611997616.153417.00-114.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <wgrant@bgnet.bgsu.edu>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:41 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6570.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: wgrant@bgnet.bgsu.edu  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <wgrant@bgnet.bgsu.edu>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:26 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:26 PM  
SMTPOriginator: wgrant@bgnet.bgsu.edu  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:44 PM  
\$Orig: 8B4BDD4244ABB5A3872573BF0079D43D  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:44 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
WILLIAM GRANT  
357 Martello Drive  
GRAND JUNCTION, CO 81503

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815104203-220697 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:42 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7B07819E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:50 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 16475774.2539817904.40757.00-086.p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <fredb786@msn.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:41 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6572.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: fredb786@msn.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144555); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <fredb786@msn.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:26 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:26 PM  
SMTPOriginator: fredb786@msn.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:44 PM  
\$Orig: 272A67927FE7AF76872573BF0079D44B  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:44 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Fred Bichl  
514 Justice Drive  
Yakima, WA 98901

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815104293-220703 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:42 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 6247D19E8087 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:51 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 26475774.2560797616.40757.00-086.p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jskanderson@earthlink.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:42 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6582.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: jskanderson@earthlink.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144555); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <jskanderson@earthlink.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:27 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:27 PM  
SMTPOriginator: jskanderson@earthlink.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:44 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:44 PM  
\$Orig: 351C09C1BFFA3096872573BF0079D4A5  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:44 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Judith Anderson  
3521 Gundry Ave  
Long Beach, CA 90807

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815104114-220691 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:41 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9531419E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:49 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 06475774.2403449776.40757.00-086.p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <toobie0535@sbcglobal.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:40 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6562.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: toobie0535@sbcglobal.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144555); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <toobie0535@sbcglobal.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:27 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:27 PM  
SMTPOriginator: toobie0535@sbcglobal.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM  
\$Orig: 63E93AC116CC0AA0872573BF0079D3F2  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
MICHAEL TOOBERT  
212 MALLARD DR  
GRASS VALLEY, CA 95945-5745

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815104182-220695 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:41 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4A12119E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:50 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 16475774.3664227248.160585.00-150.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <acruz@optonline.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:41 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6568.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From:acruz@optonline.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <acruz@optonline.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:28 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:28 PM  
SMTPOriginator:acruz@optonline.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM  
\$Orig: C40D4270155F6431872573BF0079D438  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Ana Cruz  
321 Rockaway Pkwy.  
Valley Stream, NY 11580-3447

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815104139-220693 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:41 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id CFC9319E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:49 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 06475774.2686503856.71942.00-093.p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jenndaloo@hotmai.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:40 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6566.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: jenndaloo@hotmai.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <jenndaloo@hotmai.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:28 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:28 PM  
SMTPOriginator: jenndaloo@hotmai.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM  
\$Orig: 5F2C88838D88D12B872573BF0079D40B  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Butler  
2204 Loblolly Court  
Wilmington, NC 28411

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815104046-220687 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:40 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id E02DB19E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:48 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f5475774.2686503856.71942.00-093.p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <morganadead@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:39 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6556.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: morganadead@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <morganadead@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:40 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:29 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:29 PM  
SMTPOriginator: morganadead@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:40 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM  
\$Orig: D3C49C770E211316872573BF0079D3AE  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Susan Duffy  
325 Grand Street  
Hoboken, NJ 07030

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815104136-220692 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:41 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C980719E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:49 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 06475774.2434100144.16882.00-051.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rhinopias@comcast.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:40 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6564.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: rhinopias@comcast.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <rhinopias@comcast.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:29 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:29 PM  
SMTPOriginator: rhinopias@comcast.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM  
\$Orig: 9A3B67CA5B51CAC2872573BF0079D409  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Ronald H. Silver, C.E.P.  
1829 Sea Oats Drive  
Atlantic Beach, FL 32233

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815104042-220686 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:40 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D704119E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:48 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f5475774.2507529136.16882.00-051.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jancool@coloradolawyers.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:39 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6552.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: jancool@coloradolawyers.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <jancool@coloradolawyers.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:40 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:30 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:30 PM  
SMTPOriginator: jancool@coloradolawyers.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:40 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM  
\$Orig: CA5F189248A4C9B8872573BF0079D3AA  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jan Cool  
903 West Pikes Peak Avneue  
Colorado Springs, CO 80905

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815104025-220684 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:40 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id ACA9719E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:48 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f5475774.2592267184.40757.00-086.p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cathy.mcgowan@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:39 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6554.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: cathy.mcgowan@gmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144555); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <cathy.mcgowan@gmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:40 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:30 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:30 PM  
SMTPOriginator: cathy.mcgowan@gmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:40 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM  
\$Orig: 2D2F48CA43F5FBC4872573BF0079D399  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Cathy McGowan  
245 View St  
Mountain View, CA 94041

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815104098-220689 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:40 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 6E9C019E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:49 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 06475774.2402200496.153417.00-114.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <marshfieldphoto@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:40 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6560.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: MarshfieldPhoto@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <marshfieldphoto@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:30 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:30 PM  
SMTPOriginator: marshfieldphoto@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM  
\$Orig: 070165A16E439BCD872573BF0079D3E4  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
EILEEN FONFERKO  
33 GALLEON DRIVE  
NORTH FORT MYERS, FL 33917

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815104093-220688 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:40 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 619BE19E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:49 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 06475774.3601288112.160585.00-150.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <springhead@qnet.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:40 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6558.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: springhead@qnet.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <springhead@qnet.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:40 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:31 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:31 PM  
SMTPOriginator: springhead@qnet.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:40 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM  
\$Orig: BA0C8271E0F25281872573BF0079D3DD  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:43 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
jamie green  
22816 Market St. Apt 230  
Newhall, CA 91321

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815103825-220670 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:38 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id AED3119E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:46 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id d5475774.2549058480.153417.00-114.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ssilverman86@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:37 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6528.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: SSilverman86@hotmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <ssilverman86@hotmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:38 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:31 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:31 PM  
SMTPOriginator: ssilverman86@hotmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:38 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM  
\$Orig: 92CC8FCD6DADF9AA872573BF0079D2D1  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Seth Silverman  
60 East End Ave., #8b  
New York, NY 10028

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815103926-220678 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:39 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B20D419E8087 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:47 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e5475774.2497039280.16882.00-051.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <joeswierkosz@sbcglobal.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:38 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6542.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: joeswierkosz@sbcglobal.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <joeswierkosz@sbcglobal.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:39 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:32 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:32 PM  
SMTPOriginator: joeswierkosz@sbcglobal.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:39 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM  
\$Orig: E23D38232DA38150872573BF0079D336  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be

analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
joe swierkosz  
633 n maple ave  
palatine, IL 60067

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815103831-220671 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:38 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id BCBF419E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:46 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id d5475774.2580958128.16882.00-051.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sawwhetowl@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:37 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6530.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: sawwhetowl@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <sawwhetowl@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:38 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:32 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:32 PM  
SMTPOriginator: sawwhetowl@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:38 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM  
\$Orig: 63F5EC02FF1F0B23872573BF0079D2D7  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Hank Bourscheidt  
11570 Chase Way  
Westminster, CO 80020

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815103845-220673 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:38 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id DEB4919E8087 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:46 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id d5475774.2424429488.40757.00-086.p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sjmiller@dakotacom.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:37 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6532.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: sjmiller@dakotacom.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144555); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <sjmiller@dakotacom.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:38 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:32 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:32 PM  
SMTPOriginator: sjmiller@dakotacom.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:38 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM  
\$Orig: 9BF82AB73E45B6FA872573BF0079D2E5  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Susan Miller  
3801 N Swn  
Tucson, AZ 85718

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815104006-220683 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:40 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8113419E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:48 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f5475774.2507099056.153417.00-114.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <floridapotter@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:39 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6550.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: floridapotter@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <floridapotter@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:40 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:33 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:33 PM  
SMTPOriginator: floridapotter@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:40 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM  
\$Orig: 4B2A67019F2E6BD2872573BF0079D386  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

PLEASE STOP SUPPRESSION OF THE WOLF POPULATION!

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
merrill Kramer  
2281 lake arbor blvd  
Clearwater, FL 33763

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815103992-220682 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:39 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 5F46319E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:48 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f5475774.3118754736.160585.00-150.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <heymiles@att.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:39 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6548.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: heymiles@att.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <heymiles@att.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:39 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:33 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:33 PM  
SMTPOriginator: heymiles@att.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:39 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM  
\$Orig: 08663B2982805C1F872573BF0079D378  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jim Miles  
511 e. San Ysidro Blvd C221  
San Ysidro, CA 92173

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815103712-220664 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:37 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 91AA919E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:45 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id c5475774.3202673584.160585.00-150.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mtp51053@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:36 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6518.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: mtp51053@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <mtp51053@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:37 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:34 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:34 PM  
SMTPOriginator: mtp51053@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:37 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM  
\$Orig: 45258DDA0E0D66C2872573BF0079D262  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Marie Perkins  
250 S. Maple Avenue  
Apt. 7  
Oak Park, IL 60302

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122815103748-220667 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:37 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id E7A5919E8087 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:45 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id c5475774.2707655600.40757.00-086.p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <algramstedt@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:36 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6524.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: algramstedt@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144555); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <algramstedt@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:37 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:34 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:34 PM  
SMTPOriginator: algramstedt@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:37 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM  
\$Orig: 7BB45D8A3F71BE28872573BF0079D284  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The heavy reliance on predator control targeting wolves by the Fish & Wildlife Service has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully

protected endangered status.

Do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Alfred Gramstedt  
108 1/2 E 5 St  
Northfield, MN 55057

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122815103862-220674 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:38 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 157B819E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:47 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id d5475774.2655034288.71942.00-093.p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gregaspec@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:37 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6534.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: gregaspec@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <gregaspec@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:38 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:34 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:34 PM  
SMTPOriginator: gregaspec@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:38 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM  
\$Orig: 7902722643B49185872573BF0079D2F6  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Gregory Clifton  
3317 48th avenue  
Moline, IL 61265

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815103914-220677 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:39 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9494119E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:47 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e5475774.2580528048.153417.00-114.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rjennich@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:38 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6538.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: rjennich@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <rjennich@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:39 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:35 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:35 PM  
SMTPOriginator: rjennich@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:39 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM  
\$Orig: 21263FB4D6C30A8B872573BF0079D32A  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Ralph Jenniches  
5388 Vista Fortuna  
Cypress, CA 90630

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815103901-220675 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:39 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7654E19E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:47 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e5475774.3307572144.160585.00-150.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <daystarshining4@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:38 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6536.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: daystarshining4@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <daystarshining4@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:39 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:35 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:35 PM  
SMTPOriginator: daystarshining4@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:39 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM  
\$Orig: F5C4CD0E8220FA0A872573BF0079D31D  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Marilyn Hood  
93 Fred Lane  
Urbana, IL 61802-2289

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815103734-220665 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:37 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C476F19E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:45 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id c5475774.2634910640.153417.00-114.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cattleya@comcast.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:36 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6520.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: cattleya@comcast.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <cattleya@comcast.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:37 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:36 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:36 PM  
SMTPOriginator: cattleya@comcast.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:37 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM  
\$Orig: B6FAC2A4F5ADA365872573BF0079D276  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Margaret Silver  
1829 Sea Oats Drive  
Atlantic Beach, FL 32233

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815103736-220666 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:37 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id CB76019E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:45 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id c5475774.2465569712.16882.00-051.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <k\_schwag@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:36 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6522.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: k\_schwag@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <k\_schwag@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:37 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:36 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:36 PM  
SMTPOriginator: k\_schwag@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:37 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM  
\$Orig: CC0B18BE13B1C3A5872573BF0079D278  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Kathy Schwager  
26 Somerset St.  
Centereach, NY 11720

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815103809-220669 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:38 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 87BB519E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:46 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id d5475774.3517369264.160585.00-150.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sholcomb@workhealth.org>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:37 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6526.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: sholcomb@workhealth.org  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <sholcomb@workhealth.org>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:38 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:37 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:37 PM  
SMTPOriginator: sholcomb@workhealth.org  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:38 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM  
\$Orig: 4AAA265E5EE8F5CA872573BF0079D2C3  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Susan Holcomb  
826 2nd Street  
Apt. 206  
Santa Monica, CA 90403

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815103954-220680 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:39 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 02BAF19E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:47 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e5475774.2476706736.71942.00-093.p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <herpcon@cox.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:38 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6546.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: herpcon@cox.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <herpcon@cox.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:39 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:37 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:37 PM  
SMTPOriginator: herpcon@cox.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:39 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM  
\$Orig: 34577D3534850CC1872573BF0079D352  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
MICHAEL WELKER  
3105 Eads Place  
El Paso, TX 79935

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815103934-220679 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:39 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C571919E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:47 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e5475774.2455899056.40757.00-086.p01c11m075.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <marthaw200@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:38 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6544.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: marthaw200@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144555); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <marthaw200@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:39 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:37 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:37 PM  
SMTPOriginator: marthaw200@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:39 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:41 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM  
\$Orig: 31D7076D9BEB68F0872573BF0079D33E  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:42 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Martha Williams  
PO Box 13691  
Roanoke, VA 24036

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815103621-220659 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:36 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id A50D519E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:44 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id b5475774.3464919984.160585.00-150.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rrpewitt@att.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:35 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:05 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:32 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221032.17229.6512.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: rrpewitt@att.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <rrpewitt@att.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:36 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:38 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:38 PM  
SMTPOriginator: rrpewitt@att.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:36 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:37 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:38 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:39 PM  
\$Orig: 18509C91F1FE8DBF872573BF0079D205  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:39 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
randy pewitt  
101lakecrest dr.  
waxahachie, TX 75165

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122815103640-220660 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:36 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id D362119E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:44 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id b5475774.2423180208.153417.00-114.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <meisslerr@bellsouth.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:35 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:06 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:33 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221033.17229.6516.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: meisslerr@bellsouth.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <meisslerr@bellsouth.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:36 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:38 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:38 PM  
SMTPOriginator: meisslerr@bellsouth.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:36 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:37 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:38 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:39 PM  
\$Orig: 3E2742D5DA197CCE872573BF0079D218  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:39 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Robert Meissler  
331 Tequesta DR  
Unit222  
Tequesta, FL 33469-3041

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815103643-220662 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:36 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id DBC4719E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:44 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id b5475774.2518018992.16882.00-051.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gdoman4603@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:35 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:05 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:32 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221032.17229.6514.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: gdoman4603@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican gray wolf NEPA scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0056028454; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.053(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <gdoman4603@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:36 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:39 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:39 PM  
SMTPOriginator: gdoman4603@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:36 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:37 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:38 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:38 PM  
\$Orig: F64BAE140A6B4464872573BF0079D21B  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:38 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico, particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions, just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock, and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Geoffrey Doman  
13900 Cohasset Street  
Van Nuys, CA 91405-2501

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815103532-220657 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:35 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id BDA5B19E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:43 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id a5475774.2664876976.16882.00-051.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <charlottemasarik@cox.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:34 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:05 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:32 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221032.17229.6510.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: charlottemasarik@cox.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <charlottemasarik@cox.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:35 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:39 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:39 PM  
SMTPOriginator: charlottemasarik@cox.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:35 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:36 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:37 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:37 PM  
\$Orig: 78063D0E080231D8872573BF0079D1AC  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:37 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Charlotte Masarik  
761 Oak St.  
Laguna Beach, CA 92651

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122815103529-220656 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:35 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id B557719E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:43 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id a5475774.3276102576.160585.00-150.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cyncraig@svcable.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:34 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:05 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:32 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221032.17229.6508.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: cyncraig@svcable.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0053535793; B=0.500(0); S=0.050(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <cyncraig@svcable.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:35 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:40 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:40 PM  
SMTPOriginator: cyncraig@svcable.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:35 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:36 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:37 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:37 PM  
\$Orig: D052F639E9BDFD8B872573BF0079D1A9  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:37 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Cynthia Hoel  
PO Box 668  
Bondville, VT 05340

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815103428-220652 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:34 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id A28E019E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:44:42 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTMP id 95475774.2507529136.16882.00-051.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <tonalamatl@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:10:33 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:06:05 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:32 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228221032.17229.6506.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: Tonalamatl@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <tonalamatl@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:10:34 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:40 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:40 PM  
SMTPOriginator: tonalamatl@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:10:34 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:35 PM,12/28/2007 03:10:35 PM-12/28/2007 03:10:36 PM  
\$Orig: 365D213E3DCE435A872573BF0079D144  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:10:36 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Meri Chokrevski  
14830 11th Avenue  
Whitestone`, NY 11357

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815081542-220584 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:15 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D376B19E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:42:23 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id ec375774.3603282864.8458.00-062.p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <triska@umd.edu>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:14 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:03:42 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:09 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228220809.17229.6388.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: triska@umd.edu  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <triska@umd.edu>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:08:15 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:40 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:40 PM  
SMTPOriginator: triska@umd.edu  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:08:15 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:17 PM,12/28/2007 03:08:17 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:18 PM  
\$Orig: 38CA44C9F02AC288872573BF00799B06  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:18 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Triska F. Hoover  
604 East Oregon Ave  
Phoenix, AZ 85012

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815081445-220581 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:14 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id DA8EB19E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:42:22 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id dc375774.3173198768.8458.00-062.p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <princyj@tampabay.rr.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:13 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:03:42 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:09 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228220809.17229.6382.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: princyj@tampabay.rr.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0032669569; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.031(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <princyj@tampabay.rr.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:08:14 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:41 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:41 PM  
SMTPOriginator: princyj@tampabay.rr.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:08:14 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:16 PM,12/28/2007 03:08:16 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:17 PM  
\$Orig: 36546E14B85C4901872573BF00799AA5  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:17 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
jill cresko  
2774 country way  
clearwater, FL 33763

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815081575-220585 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:15 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2DC4019E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:42:24 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mxl\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id fc375774.3215682480.44798.00-063.p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <redwolf91@mindspring.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:15 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:03:42 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:09 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228220809.17229.6390.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: redwolf91@mindspring.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0037560109; B=0.500(0); S=0.036(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <redwolf91@mindspring.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:08:15 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:41 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:41 PM  
SMTPOriginator: redwolf91@mindspring.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:08:15 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:17 PM,12/28/2007 03:08:17 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:17 PM  
\$Orig: 2F7427BA548EBC66872573BF00799B27  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:17 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Noreen Wheller  
125 Avalon Circle  
Smithtown, NY 11787

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815081586-220586 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:15 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4A2DD19E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:42:24 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id fc375774.3476134832.142610.00-156.p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <edwrdrkr@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:15 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:03:42 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:09 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228220809.17229.6392.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: EdwrdrKr@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <edwrdrkr@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:08:15 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:42 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:42 PM  
SMTPOriginator: edwrdrkr@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:08:15 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:17 PM,12/28/2007 03:08:17 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:17 PM  
\$Orig: DE9D7602D60A51E8872573BF00799B32  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:17 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Edward %pa\_first\_name% Miriam Kirsten  
2720 W Coyote Moonrise Dr  
Oro Valley, AZ 85755-8309

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815081356-220578 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:13 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id F172619E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:42:21 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id cc375774.3162708912.8458.00-062.p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kesala@msn.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:12 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:03:42 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:09 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228220809.17229.6374.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: kesala@msn.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <kesala@msn.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:08:13 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:42 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:42 PM  
SMTPOriginator: kesala@msn.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:08:13 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:15 PM,12/28/2007 03:08:15 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:16 PM  
\$Orig: 7277A2942050E05A872573BF00799A4C  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:16 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Karen Stankye  
2030 Kingston Rd  
White Lake, MI 48386

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815081484-220582 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:14 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4700319E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:42:23 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id ec375774.3058334640.44798.00-063.p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <stormcrow60@xmission.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:14 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:03:42 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:09 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228220809.17229.6384.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: stormcrow60@xmission.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <stormcrow60@xmission.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:08:14 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:42 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:42 PM  
SMTPOriginator: stormcrow60@xmission.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:08:14 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:16 PM,12/28/2007 03:08:16 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:16 PM  
\$Orig: 87B8084C8230F899872573BF00799ACE  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:16 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jon Hager  
11760 So. 1300 W.  
Riverton, UT 84065

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815081500-220583 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:15 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 6B42719E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:42:23 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id ec375774.3539073968.142610.00-156.p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <megbeeler@earthlink.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:14 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:03:42 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:09 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228220809.17229.6386.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: megbeeler@earthlink.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <megbeeler@earthlink.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:08:15 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:43 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:43 PM  
SMTPOriginator: megbeeler@earthlink.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:08:15 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:16 PM,12/28/2007 03:08:16 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:16 PM  
\$Orig: 55B6ADBD243D8D47872573BF00799ADD  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:16 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
meg beeler  
16100 Sobre Vista Court  
sonoma, CA 95476

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815081407-220580 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:14 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7F50A19E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:42:22 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id dc375774.3622992816.142610.00-156.p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <farzeneh@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:13 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:03:42 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:09 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228220809.17229.6380.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: farzeneh@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <farzeneh@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:08:14 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:43 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:43 PM  
SMTPOriginator: farzeneh@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:08:14 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:15 PM,12/28/2007 03:08:15 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:15 PM  
\$Orig: 7DAF1EFC94649052872573BF00799A7F  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:15 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Laila Aussie  
2030 E. Broadway Rd. #2059  
Tempe, AZ 85282

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815081318-220577 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:13 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 98ABC19E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:42:21 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id cc375774.3067030448.142610.00-156.p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mokai@mokaimusic.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:12 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:03:42 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:09 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228220809.17229.6368.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: mokai@mokaimusic.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0052294063; B=0.500(0); S=0.049(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <mokai@mokaimusic.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:08:13 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:44 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:44 PM  
SMTPOriginator: mokai@mokaimusic.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:08:13 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:14 PM,12/28/2007 03:08:14 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:15 PM  
\$Orig: 4770034F62ECC3C0872573BF00799A26  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:15 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves. Reliance on predator control targeting the wolves by Fish and Wildlife Service management, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The Endangered Species Act should not allow loss of wolves - from government take and illegal poaching.

A Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement must be developed to upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves to a fully protected endangered status.

I do not support any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations.

I ask that the Conservation Alternative include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from becoming habituating to preying on stock.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Marc DePolo  
829 Hayes St.  
San Francisco, CA 94117

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815081264-220575 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:12 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 11CE319E8034 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:42:21 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id bc375774.3414465456.8458.00-062.p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <traviseckhart@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:11 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:03:42 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:09 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228220809.17229.6366.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: traviseckhart@gmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <traviseckhart@gmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:08:12 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:44 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:44 PM  
SMTPOriginator: traviseckhart@gmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:08:12 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:14 PM,12/28/2007 03:08:14 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:15 PM  
\$Orig: D308FE3688025D6D872573BF007999F0  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:15 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Charles Eckhart  
1422 Paar Drive  
Prescott, AZ 86305

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815081393-220579 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:13 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 5CFC319E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:42:22 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id dc375774.3278621616.44798.00-063.p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <thwd1@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:13 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:03:42 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:09 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228220809.17229.6376.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: thwd1@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0041590450; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.039(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <thwd1@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:08:13 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:44 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:44 PM  
SMTPOriginator: thwd1@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:08:13 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:15 PM,12/28/2007 03:08:15 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:15 PM  
\$Orig: 5E1304EBE007C750872573BF00799A71  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:15 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Toni Howard  
12841 SE 175th Ct.  
Renton, WA 98058

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTTP id 2007122815081304-220576 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:13 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 753F519E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:42:21 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTTP id cc375774.3414989744.44798.00-063.p01c11m086.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lrodoff@earthlink.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:12 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:03:42 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:09 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228220809.17229.6372.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: lrodoff@earthlink.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <lrodoff@earthlink.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:08:13 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:45 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:45 PM  
SMTPOriginator: lrodoff@earthlink.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:08:13 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:14 PM,12/28/2007 03:08:14 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:14 PM  
\$Orig: 260B8C5F2BD60CBC872573BF00799A18  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:14 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
lennie rodoff  
9145 sw 96th court road  
ocala, FL 34481

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTMP id 2007122815081170-220572 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:11 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 21FB219E8024 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:42:20 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id bc375774.3309566896.8458.00-062.p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dianevig@comcast.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 15:08:11 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 14:03:42 -0800  
PostedDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:09 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071228220809.17229.6362.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: dianevig@comcast.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <dianevig@comcast.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 03:08:11 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:07:45 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:07:45 PM  
SMTPOriginator: dianevig@comcast.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 03:08:11 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:13 PM,12/28/2007 03:08:13 PM-12/28/2007 03:08:14 PM  
\$Orig: B08787503AF03387872573BF00799992  
Categories:  
\$Revisions:  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 03:08:14 PM

Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
NM

Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue.

To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would

upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status.

Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan.

The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions:

- 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories.
- 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement.
- 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control.
- 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Diane Vigilante  
907 River Road  
Fair Haven, NJ 07704