

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814583544-220293 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:58:35 -0700 Received: from p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id BC58D19E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:32:43 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id a8175774.2609875888.41991.00-015.p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <txgrandpa6@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:58:34 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:54:05 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:58:32 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215832.17229.5982.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: txgrandpa6@gmail.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <txgrandpa6@gmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:58:35 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:20 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:20 PM SMTPOriginator: txgrandpa6@gmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:58:35 PM-12/28/2007 02:58:36 PM,12/28/2007 02:58:37 PM-12/28/2007 02:58:37 PM \$Orig: C510A03C635A12FC872573BF0078B878 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:58:37 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Armand Fontaine 4 Brandy Lane Wichita Falls, TX 76306-2101

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814583505-220292 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:58:35 -0700 Received: from p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 5FC7119E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:32:43 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id a8175774.2678000560.39134.00-039.p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <weebooma@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:58:34 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:54:05 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:58:32 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215832.17229.5980.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: Weebooma@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <weebooma@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:58:35 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:20 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:20 PM SMTPOriginator: weebooma@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:58:35 PM-12/28/2007 02:58:35 PM,12/28/2007 02:58:36 PM-12/28/2007 02:58:36 PM \$Orig: 1F8575F039F281A7872573BF0078B851 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:58:36 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Janice Paik 15 Overlook Drive Newtown, CT 06470

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814583461-220291 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:58:34 -0700 Received: from p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id D96A519E808A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:32:42 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 98175774.2389588912.41991.00-015.p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <yake@comcast.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:58:33 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:54:05 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:58:32

PM \$MessageID:

<20071228215832.17229.5978.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: yake@comcast.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <yake@comcast.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:58:34 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:20 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:20 PM SMTPOriginator: yake@comcast.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:58:34 PM-12/28/2007 02:58:35 PM,12/28/2007 02:58:35 PM-12/28/2007 02:58:36 PM \$Orig: B5CC6EA80F7EF9EE872573BF0078B827 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:58:36 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which

no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, William Yake 4032 Green Cove St. NW Olympia, WA 98502

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814583422-220289 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:58:34 -0700 Received: from p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 88A7519E808A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:32:42 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 98175774.2531142576.39134.00-039.p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <efazzini@nyc.rr.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:58:33 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:54:05 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:58:32 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215832.17229.5976.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: efazzini@nyc.rr.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <efazzini@nyc.rr.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:58:34 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:21 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:21 PM SMTPOriginator: efazzini@nyc.rr.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:58:34 PM-12/28/2007 02:58:35 PM,12/28/2007 02:58:35 PM-12/28/2007 02:58:36 PM \$Orig: 8755FFE778E93C90872573BF0078B7FE Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:58:36 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Eileen Fazzini 213-08 73rd Ave. Flushing, NY 11364

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814583331-220288 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:58:33 -0700 Received: from p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id A038419E8087 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:32:41 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 88175774.2678000560.39134.00-039.p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lmschiffers@dhccare.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:58:32 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:54:05 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:58:32 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215832.17229.5974.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: lmschiffers@dhccare.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122831); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <lmschiffers@dhccare.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:58:33 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:21 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:21 PM SMTPOriginator: lmschiffers@dhccare.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:58:33 PM-12/28/2007 02:58:34 PM,12/28/2007 02:58:34 PM-12/28/2007 02:58:35 PM \$Orig: A8CF3A24B8554A69872573BF0078B7A3 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:58:35 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which

no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Lara Schifferns 115 Sansome St 400 San Francisco, CA 94109

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814573325-220263 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:57:33 -0700 Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 8CAD619E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:31:41 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id c4175774.2645756848.72027.00-101.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kadams@tricityins.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:57:32 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:53:01 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:57:29 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215729.17229.5958.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: kadams@tricityins.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <kadams@tricityins.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:57:33 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:22 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:22 PM SMTPOriginator: kadams@tricityins.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:57:33 PM-12/28/2007 02:57:34 PM,12/28/2007 02:57:35 PM-12/28/2007 02:57:35 PM \$Orig: D076FEAC945C1EDF872573BF0078A02D Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:57:35 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Kristeen Adams 1005 Irving Street - Apt 6 San Francisco, CA 94122-2242

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814573346-220264 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:57:33 -0700 Received: from p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id BEB6F19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:31:41 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id c4175774.2445265840.8704.00-074.p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <alessanderbotti@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:57:32 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:53:03 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:57:30 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215730.17229.5970.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: alessanderbotti@gmail.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0016238548; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.015(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <alessanderbotti@gmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:57:33 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:22 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:22 PM SMTPOriginator: alessanderbotti@gmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:57:33 PM-12/28/2007 02:57:34 PM,12/28/2007 02:57:34 PM-12/28/2007 02:57:35 PM \$Orig: 56D63E66EEDB4945872573BF0078A042 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:57:35 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which

no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Alessandro Botti Benevides Rua Teotônio Vilella,147, Fradinhos Vitória, E.S. 29042590

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814573283-220262 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:57:32 -0700 Received: from p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 258F119E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:31:41 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id c4175774.2531142576.36661.00-015.p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <margaret_adam@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:57:32 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:53:01 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:57:28 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215728.17229.5956.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: margaret_adam@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <margaret_adam@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:57:32 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:22 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:22 PM SMTPOriginator: margaret_adam@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:57:32 PM-12/28/2007 02:57:34 PM,12/28/2007 02:57:35 PM-12/28/2007 02:57:35 PM \$Orig: 14E20BD36CC9187A872573BF0078A003 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:57:35 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Margaret Adam 972 Acacia Corona, CA 92879

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814573197-220259 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:57:31 -0700 Received: from p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 47DD519E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:31:40 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id b4175774.2457713584.36661.00-015.p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gfunk_@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:57:31 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:53:01 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:57:28

PM \$MessageID:

<20071228215728.17229.5950.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: gfunk_@hotmail.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144552); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <gfunk_@hotmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:57:31 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:23 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:23 PM SMTPOriginator: gfunk_@hotmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:57:31 PM-12/28/2007 02:57:33 PM,12/28/2007 02:57:34 PM-12/28/2007 02:57:34 PM \$Orig: 5D79A827FB181304872573BF00789FAD Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:57:34 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which

no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Jesse Schubert 1514 Bellevue Ave #402 Seattle, WA 98122

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814573241-220260 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:57:32 -0700 Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id B4F6A19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:31:40 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id b4175774.2708695984.72027.00-101.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gfalberg2000@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:57:31 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:53:01 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:57:28 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215728.17229.5952.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: gfalberg2000@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <gfalberg2000@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:57:32 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:23 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:23 PM SMTPOriginator: gfalberg2000@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:57:32 PM-12/28/2007 02:57:33 PM,12/28/2007 02:57:34 PM-12/28/2007 02:57:34 PM \$Orig: 0F92D5D5FFE56312872573BF00789FD9 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:57:34 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Gregory Falberg 19807 pacifica cir Huntington Beach, CA 92648-3021

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814573177-220258 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:57:31 -0700 Received: from p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 1698E19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:31:40 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id b4175774.2623593392.8704.00-074.p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <glojennings@comcast.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:57:31 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:53:01 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:57:28 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215728.17229.5948.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: glojennings@comcast.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <glojennings@comcast.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:57:31 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:23 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:23 PM SMTPOriginator: glojennings@comcast.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:57:31 PM-12/28/2007 02:57:33 PM,12/28/2007 02:57:33 PM-12/28/2007 02:57:34 PM \$Orig: 9F44A63DC9A1024B872573BF00789F99 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:57:34 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, gloria jennings po box 593 campbell, CA 95009

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814573261-220261 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:57:32 -0700 Received: from p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id E77BD19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:31:40 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id b4175774.2634083248.8704.00-074.p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <violentjaysa@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:57:31 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:53:01 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:57:28 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215728.17229.5954.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: violentjaysa@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <violentjaysa@yahoo.com> X-SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:57:32 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:24 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:24 PM SMTPOriginator: violentjaysa@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:57:32 PM-12/28/2007 02:57:33 PM,12/28/2007 02:57:34 PM-12/28/2007 02:57:34 PM \$Orig: 6FB6BFC9652CA5EB872573BF00789FEF Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:57:34 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Jaysa Nesbitt 4181 Market St. NE Apt. F Salem, OR 97301

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814573089-220256 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:57:30 -0700 Received: from p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 341EF19E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:31:39 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id a4175774.2518694832.8704.00-074.p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <marymac@mac.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:57:30 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:53:01 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:57:28 PM \$MessageID:

<20071228215728.17229.5944.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: marymac@mac.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <marymac@mac.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:57:30 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:24 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:24 PM SMTPOriginator: marymac@mac.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:57:30 PM-12/28/2007 02:57:32 PM,12/28/2007 02:57:33 PM-12/28/2007 02:57:33 PM \$Orig: 52CE9D1B3B5C471E872573BF00789F41 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:57:33 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which

no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Mary Halligan 16610 White Star Drive Houston, TX 77062

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814573156-220257 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:57:31 -0700 Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id D825C19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:31:39 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id a4175774.2446449584.72027.00-101.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rindlaub@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:57:30 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:53:01 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:57:28 PM \$MessageID:

<20071228215728.17229.5946.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: rindlaub@gmail.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <rindlaub@gmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:57:31 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:25 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:25 PM SMTPOriginator: rindlaub@gmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:57:31 PM-12/28/2007 02:57:32 PM,12/28/2007 02:57:33 PM-12/28/2007 02:57:33 PM \$Orig: B3602F55A8FDB553872573BF00789F84 Categories:

\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:57:33 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, David Rindlaub 408 S. Venice Blvd. Apt. 209 Venice, CA 90291

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814573071-220255 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:57:30 -0700 Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 050AD19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:31:38 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id a4175774.2540858288.72027.00-101.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cassian@pacbell.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:57:30 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:53:01 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:57:28 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215728.17229.5942.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: cassian@pacbell.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <cassian@pacbell.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:57:30 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:25 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:25 PM SMTPOriginator: cassian@pacbell.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:57:30 PM-12/28/2007 02:57:32 PM,12/28/2007 02:57:32 PM-12/28/2007 02:57:33 PM \$Orig: B030290CAACAEF36872573BF00789F2F Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:57:33 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, cassian sandeberg 8810 pico blvd los angeles, CA 90035

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814572985-220254 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:57:29 -0700 Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 2B2CC19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:31:38 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 94175774.2435959728.72027.00-101.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dragonheart3237@btinternet.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:57:29 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:53:01 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:57:28 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215728.17229.5940.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: dragonheart3237@btinternet.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010728462; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <dragonheart3237@btinternet.com> X-SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:57:29 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:25 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:25 PM SMTPOriginator: dragonheart3237@btinternet.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:57:29 PM-12/28/2007 02:57:31 PM,12/28/2007 02:57:31 PM-12/28/2007 02:57:32 PM \$Orig: A769C18E02223E67872573BF00789ED9 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:57:32 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable

the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, al duncan 397 broomhead drive dunfermline ky12 9ag

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563679-220234 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:36 -0700 Received: from p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 194C119E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:45 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 41175774.2507713456.56706.00-118.p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <alessandro_lenuzza@libero.it>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:36 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:52:00 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:27 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215627.17229.5920.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: alessandro_lenuzza@libero.it SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <alessandro_lenuzza@libero.it> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:26 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:26 PM SMTPOriginator: alessandro_lenuzza@libero.it RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:39 PM \$Orig: 72D0FCB72B3BFC4F872573BF00788A1F Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:39 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which

no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Alessandro Lenuzza Via Roma,196 Bagno a Ripoli 50012

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563654-220232 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:36 -0700 Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id D007A19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:44 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 31175774.2488409008.69669.00-127.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ravenfeather@copper.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:35 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:52:00 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:27 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215627.17229.5916.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: Ravenfeather@Copper.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <ravenfeather@copper.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:26 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:26 PM SMTPOriginator: ravenfeather@copper.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:39 PM \$Orig: A8938222C4554B9872573BF00788A06 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:39 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Morrigan Black 503 CR 476 Freeport, TX 77541

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563663-220233 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:36 -0700 Received: from p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id E2C6619E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:44 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 31175774.2517916592.130668.00-063.p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kyleghaines@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:36 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:52:00 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:27 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215627.17229.5918.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: kyleghaines@gmail.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <kyleghaines@gmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:26 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:26 PM SMTPOriginator: kyleghaines@gmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:39 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:39 PM \$Orig: 11AFD738D56FAECA872573BF00788A0F Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:39 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Kyle Haines 1415 Johnson Ave Klamath Falls, OR 97601

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563463-220219 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:34 -0700 Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id E57D519E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:42 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 21175774.2526096304.23990.00-089.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <pcmvgm@sbcglobal.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:34 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:27 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215627.17229.5894.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: pcmvgm@sbcglobal.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <pcmvgm@sbcglobal.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:27 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:27 PM SMTPOriginator: pcmvgm@sbcglobal.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:37 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM \$Orig: AC39D2036B14BB64872573BF00788947 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Virginia G. Murphy 8831 Greenwood Ave. San Gabriel, CA 91775

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563508-220224 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:35 -0700 Received: from p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 61F4519E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:43 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 21175774.2654571440.56706.00-118.p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <2manfredi@comcast.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:34 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:27 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215627.17229.5900.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: 2manfredi@comcast.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <2manfredi@comcast.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:27 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:27 PM SMTPOriginator: 2manfredi@comcast.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:37 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:37 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM \$Orig: D6E583BEB00210D4872573BF00788974 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Peggy Manfredi 1645 Valdora St Davis, CA 95618-6312

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563593-220229 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:35 -0700 Received: from p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 3CD2619E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:44 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 31175774.2675551152.56706.00-118.p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <trenton071471-activist@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:35 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:52:00 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:27 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215627.17229.5910.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: trenton071471-activist@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <trenton071471-activist@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:27 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:27 PM SMTPOriginator: trenton071471-activist@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:37 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM \$Orig: C088A6CE15FFAFB1872573BF007889C9 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which

no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Trenton McKinney 6510 SW Evan CT Portland, OR 97223

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563569-220227 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:35 -0700 Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id F319919E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:43 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 31175774.2687716272.69669.00-127.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mary@lovejoycreative.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:35 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:52:00 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:27 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215627.17229.5906.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: mary@lovejoycreative.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <mary@lovejoycreative.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:28 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:28 PM SMTPOriginator: mary@lovejoycreative.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:37 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM \$Orig: 7F2303E57CD60992872573BF007889B1 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf

packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Mary Eaton Fairfield 3573 Lakeshore Avenue Oakland, CA 94610

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563493-220223 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:34 -0700 Received: from p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 3CF4419E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:43 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 21175774.2601835440.130668.00-063.p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <harborshire@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:34 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:27 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215627.17229.5898.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: harborshire@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <harborshire@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:28 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:28 PM SMTPOriginator: harborshire@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:37 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:37 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM \$Orig: B90735B1C039EEA2872573BF00788965 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Gene Fox PO Box 1181 York Harbor, ME 03911

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563630-220231 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:36 -0700 Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 95E6819E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:44 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 31175774.2547076016.23990.00-089.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <belosche@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:35 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:52:00 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:27 PM \$MessageID:

<20071228215627.17229.5914.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: belosche@gmail.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <belosche@gmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:28 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:28 PM SMTPOriginator: belosche@gmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:37 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:37 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM \$Orig: 751AA81608733525872573BF007889EE Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Korina Drenon 7452 SE Carla Ct. Milwaukie, OR 97267

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563547-220226 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:35 -0700 Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id BED1719E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:43 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 21175774.2664561584.23990.00-089.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sce9590@verizon.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:34 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:52:00 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:27 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215627.17229.5904.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: sce9590@verizon.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <sce9590@verizon.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:29 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:29 PM SMTPOriginator: sce9590@verizon.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:37 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM \$Orig: D7B2FE2E7780B74A872573BF0078899B Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Kathleen Eaton 1035 Schagrin Dr. Middletown, DE 19709

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563611-220230 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:36 -0700 Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 68DC219E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:44 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 31175774.2664561584.23957.00-031.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lance.eric@mac.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:35 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:52:00 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:27 PM \$MessageID:

<20071228215627.17229.5912.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: lance.eric@mac.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <lance.eric@mac.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:29 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:29 PM SMTPOriginator: lance.eric@mac.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:37 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM \$Orig: B0BFB4F6920D35BF872573BF007889DB Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Erica Unger 400 Wiltshire Drive Bellefonte, PA 16823-7946

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563482-220222 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:34 -0700 Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 2066019E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:43 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 21175774.2656246704.69669.00-127.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <djbeasecker@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:34 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:27 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215627.17229.5896.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: djbeasecker@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <djbeasecker@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:29 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:29 PM SMTPOriginator: djbeasecker@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:37 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:37 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM \$Orig: 899FAD002D2ED0FD872573BF0078895A Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Dawna Beasecker 730 Haley Woods Kodak, TN 37764

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563577-220228 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:35 -0700 Received: from p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 1703819E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:44 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 31175774.2675264432.130668.00-063.p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <masewald@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:35 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:52:00 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:27 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215627.17229.5908.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: masewald@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <masewald@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:30 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:30 PM SMTPOriginator: masewald@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:37 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM \$Orig: DF1C31FBFFED2207872573BF007889B9 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:38 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Michelle Sewald 1322 Hoffman Drive ERIE, CO 80516

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563529-220225 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:35 -0700 Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 9174319E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:43 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 21175774.2643581872.23957.00-031.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <laura.herndon@disney.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:34 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:52:00 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:27 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215627.17229.5902.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: laura.herndon@disney.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <laura.herndon@disney.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:30 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:30 PM SMTPOriginator: laura.herndon@disney.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:37 PM \$Orig: 0554D4D999CAA6AB872573BF00788989 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:37 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Laura Herndon 125 N. Brighton St. #231 Burbank, CA 91506

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563408-220215 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:34 -0700 Received: from p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 631AE19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:42 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 11175774.2685754288.130668.00-063.p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ksrescin@ci.galesburg.il.us>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:33 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5888.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: ksrescin@ci.galesburg.il.us SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <ksrescin@ci.galesburg.il.us> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:30 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:30 PM SMTPOriginator: ksrescin@ci.galesburg.il.us RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:37 PM \$Orig: E1AA21151A4A17D9872573BF00788910 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:37 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Kitlyn Rescinito 2865 Lincoln Park Drive Galesburg, IL 61401

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563397-220214 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:33 -0700 Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 474F619E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:42 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 11175774.2614287280.69669.00-127.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <hookwobble@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:33 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5886.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: hookwobble@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <hookwobble@yahoo.com> X-SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:31 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:31 PM SMTPOriginator: hookwobble@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM \$Orig: C5858D8686545190872573BF00788905 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Conor Corkrum 1800 Eastlake Ave E #309 Seattle, WA 98102

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563377-220212 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:33 -0700 Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 15E3419E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:42 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 11175774.2484136880.23990.00-089.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gerrireaves@earthlink.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:33 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5884.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: gerrireaves@earthlink.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <gerrireaves@earthlink.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:31 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:31 PM SMTPOriginator: gerrireaves@earthlink.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM \$Orig: 04C1899753CDE64C872573BF007888F1 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Gerri Reaves 16442 Timberlakes DR #204 Fort Myers, FL 33908

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563438-220217 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:34 -0700 Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id A83DC19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:42 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 11175774.2599525296.23957.00-031.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ljbernstein@comcast.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:33 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5892.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: LJBernstein@comcast.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <ljbernstein@comcast.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:31 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:31 PM SMTPOriginator: ljbernstein@comcast.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM \$Orig: 0592E027CA8F05DA872573BF0078892E Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Laura Bernstein 339 Lakeside Place Highland Park, IL 60035

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563354-220211 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:33 -0700 Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id D0EAB19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:41 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 01175774.2442177456.23957.00-031.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <juliewatt@netdiving.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:32 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5882.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: juliewatt@netdiving.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <juliewatt@netdiving.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:32 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:32 PM SMTPOriginator: juliewatt@netdiving.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM \$Orig: 810639E87967E92B872573BF007888DA Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Julie Watt 4500 The Woods Drive Apt 2522 San Jose, CA 95136

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563322-220209 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:33 -0700 Received: from p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 83E6119E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:41 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 01175774.2664774576.130668.00-063.p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <joy.burhop@lw.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:32 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5878.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: joy.burhop@lw.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <joy.burhop@lw.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:32 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:32 PM SMTPOriginator: joy.burhop@lw.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM \$Orig: 4D6C83094C0C7B8A872573BF007888BA Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Joy Burhop 1842 Windward Lane Hanover Park, IL 60133

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563258-220206 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:32 -0700 Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id DA4BB19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:40 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f0175774.2578545584.23957.00-031.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <eblizawitch@cox.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:31 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5872.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: eblizawitch@cox.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <eblizawitch@cox.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:32 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:32 PM SMTPOriginator: eblizawitch@cox.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM \$Orig: 892A89CD2866F178872573BF0078887A Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Beth Curlee 13220 N 23rd Ave Phoenix, AZ 85029

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563421-220216 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:34 -0700 Received: from p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 8012819E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:42 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 11175774.2654571440.56706.00-118.p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kcarroll@oriental.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:33 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID:

<20071228215626.17229.5890.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: kcarroll@oriental.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <kcarroll@oriental.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:33 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:33 PM SMTPOriginator: kcarroll@oriental.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM \$Orig: 7B95B53A5FBF4078872573BF0078891D Categories:

\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:36 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Karen Carroll 1319 S. 166th St. Omaha, NE 68130

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563313-220208 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:33 -0700 Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 6F64519E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:41 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 01175774.2530368432.69669.00-127.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <streetmm@mindspring.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:32 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5876.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: streetmm@mindspring.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <streetmm@mindspring.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:33 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:33 PM SMTPOriginator: streetmm@mindspring.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM \$Orig: 4F1CF4A1E30DE57B872573BF007888B3 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which

no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Marjorie Streeter 2320 Emerald Heights Court Reston, VA 20191-1745

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563244-220205 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:32 -0700 Received: from p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id BB64A19E808A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:40 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f0175774.2560162736.56706.00-118.p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <7pines@earthlink.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:31 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5870.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: 7pines@earthlink.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <7pines@earthlink.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:33 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:33 PM SMTPOriginator: 7pines@earthlink.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM \$Orig: 474CBA66703FDDE1872573BF0078886E Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Janine Gedmin 1107 Windsor Ln KEY WEST, FL 33040

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563204-220202 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:32 -0700 Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 561B119E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:40 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f0175774.2589035440.23990.00-089.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sherryo8@msn.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:31 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5864.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: sherryo8@msn.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <sherryo8@msn.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:34 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:34 PM SMTPOriginator: sherryo8@msn.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM \$Orig: 7E79E740D819EDF8872573BF00788844 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Sherry Ochoa-Roukles 17532 E. Cloudberry Dr. Parker, CO 80134

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563229-220203 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:32 -0700 Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 91B7D19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:40 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f0175774.156076976.69669.00-127.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <leslie.laonte@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:31 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5866.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: leslie.laonte@gmail.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <leslie.laonte@gmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:34 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:34 PM SMTPOriginator: leslie.laonte@gmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM \$Orig: 1B7A012A389D00D1872573BF0078885D Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Leslie LaConte 7520 Brompton Rd., Apt. 679 Houston, TX 77025

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563157-220200 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:31 -0700 Received: from p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id D8E6519E808A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:39 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id e0175774.2413304752.56706.00-118.p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <annabelle11_3@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:30 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5860.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: annabelle11_3@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <annabelle11_3@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:31 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:34 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:34 PM SMTPOriginator: annabelle11_3@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:31 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM \$Orig: E86488677E4FE1C2872573BF00788815 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish

and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Annabelle Travis 5926 Hillside Dr. El Sobrante, CA 94803

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563290-220207 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:32 -0700 Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 3300D19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:41 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 01175774.2442177456.23990.00-089.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <napilea@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:32 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5874.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: napilea@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <napilea@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:35 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:35 PM SMTPOriginator: napilea@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM \$Orig: 9F9CCD6DC3CEAC7B872573BF0078889A Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Lea Taylor 181 Hui Road F Apartment 3 Lahaina, HI 96761

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563238-220204 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:32 -0700 Received: from p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id A9A4B19E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:40 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f0175774.2507426736.130668.00-063.p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <patty.alejandro@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:31 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5868.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: patty.alejandro@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <patty.alejandro@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:35 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:35 PM SMTPOriginator: patty.alejandro@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM \$Orig: EC412E2706CD26D2872573BF00788866 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which

no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Patty Alejandro 514 W. Verness St. Covina, CA 91723

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563335-220210 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:33 -0700 Received: from p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 9F37719E808A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:41 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 01175774.2402814896.56706.00-118.p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cmdare38401@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:32 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5880.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: cmdare38401@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <cmdare38401@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:36 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:36 PM SMTPOriginator: cmdare38401@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM \$Orig: F3AE96B2BF177168872573BF007888C7 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:35 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Cheryl Dare 1081 Court #810A Memphis, TN 38104-2126

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563154-220199 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:31 -0700 Received: from p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id D003619E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:39 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e0175774.2654284720.130668.00-063.p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lynnledgerwood@qwest.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:30 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5858.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: lynnledgerwood@qwest.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <lynnledgerwood@qwest.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:31 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:36 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:36 PM SMTPOriginator: lynnledgerwood@qwest.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:31 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM \$Orig: 1F4E9AA68AE86D8C872573BF00788812 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which

no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Lynn Ledgerwood 2605 Otis St. SE Olympia, WA 98501

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563140-220198 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:31 -0700 Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id ADE8F19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:39 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e0175774.2509388720.69669.00-127.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kcorso@proskauer.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:30 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5856.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: kcorso@proskauer.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <kcorso@proskauer.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:31 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:36 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:36 PM SMTPOriginator: kcorso@proskauer.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:31 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM \$Orig: 385803875EC91C16872573BF00788804 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Kelly Corso 225 E. 74th Street #3J New York, NY 10021

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563179-220201 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:31 -0700 Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 180EB19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:40 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f0175774.2410707888.23957.00-031.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <john.strauss@yale.edu>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:31 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5862.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: john.strauss@yale.edu SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <john.strauss@yale.edu> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:31 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:37 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:37 PM SMTPOriginator: john.strauss@yale.edu RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:31 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM \$Orig: 147516AFFB26F9DA872573BF0078882B Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:34 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, John Strauss 50 Burton St New Haven, CT 06515

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563054-220194 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:30 -0700 Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id D159019E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:38 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id d0175774.156076976.69669.00-127.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <vintagedaisy57@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:29 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5848.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: vintagedaisy57@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <vintagedaisy57@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:30 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:37 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:37 PM SMTPOriginator: vintagedaisy57@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:30 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM \$Orig: 42814D130CF74A1D872573BF007887AF Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable

the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Betty Barker 204 S Monte Vista Dr Glade Spring, VA 24340

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563033-220193 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:30 -0700 Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 9E7AE19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:38 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id d0175774.2505116592.23990.00-089.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jlance@hughes.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:29 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5846.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: Jlance@hughes.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <jlance@hughes.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:30 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:37 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:37 PM SMTPOriginator: jlance@hughes.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:30 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM \$Orig: B507B6D8EDA2FE23872573BF00788799 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Jennifer Lance PO Box 139 Hyampom, CA 96046

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563093-220196 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:30 -0700 Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 3D3FB19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:39 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e0175774.2410707888.23957.00-031.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <murph3838@msn.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:30 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID:

<20071228215626.17229.5852.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: murph3838@msn.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <murph3838@msn.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:30 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:38 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:38 PM SMTPOriginator: murph3838@msn.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:30 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM \$Orig: 332ECA040C3BE9AC872573BF007887D5 Categories:

\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, scott murphy 3307 weston st charlotte, NC 28209

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563116-220197 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:31 -0700 Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 7611219E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:39 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e0175774.2633092016.23990.00-089.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jandbmast@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:30 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5854.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: jandbmast@aol.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <jandbmast@aol.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:31 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:38 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:38 PM SMTPOriginator: jandbmast@aol.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:31 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM \$Orig: 87F0AECF1CEEA353872573BF007887EC Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, James Mast 6107 River Forest Drive Manassas, VA 20112

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563071-220195 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:30 -0700 Received: from p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 0625719E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:38 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id e0175774.2549386160.130668.00-063.p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <driehart@earthlink.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:30 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID:

<20071228215626.17229.5850.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: driehart@earthlink.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <driehart@earthlink.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:30 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:38 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:38 PM SMTPOriginator: driehart@earthlink.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:30 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM \$Orig: 96AD254E6D24595B872573BF007887BF Categories:

\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:33 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, dale riehart 86 south park st san francisco, CA 94107

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814562988-220191 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:29 -0700 Received: from p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 2EB2119E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:38 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id d0175774.2517916592.130668.00-063.p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <shannon.fouts@alaskaair.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:29 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5842.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: shannon.fouts@alaskaair.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <shannon.fouts@alaskaair.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:29 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:39 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:39 PM SMTPOriginator: shannon.fouts@alaskaair.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:29 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:31 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:31 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM \$Orig: 02B3BA58074F1B5D872573BF0078876C Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which

no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Shannon Fouts S Wright Ave Tacoma, WA 98418

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814563010-220192 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:30 -0700 Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 651DD19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:38 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id d0175774.2515606448.23957.00-031.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <shunnew@optonline.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:29 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5844.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: shunnew@optonline.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <shunnew@optonline.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:30 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:39 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:39 PM SMTPOriginator: shunnew@optonline.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:30 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:31 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:31 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM \$Orig: A6234459F9DE8B54872573BF00788782 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, SARAH HUNNEWELL PO BOX 75 WATER MILL, NY 11976

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814562944-220190 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:29 -0700 Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id B879519E808A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:37 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id c0175774.2706525104.23990.00-089.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sjmbioconsult@san.rr.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:28 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5840.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: sjmbioconsult@san.rr.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <sjmbioconsult@san.rr.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:29 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:39 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:39 PM SMTPOriginator: sjmbioconsult@san.rr.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:29 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:31 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:31 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM \$Orig: 3EACAC0F99CB8FA9872573BF00788740 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:32 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which

no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, stephen montgomery 7071 CHAPALA CANYON COURT SAN DIEGO, CA 92129

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814562926-220188 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:29 -0700 Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 86C2E19E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:37 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id c0175774.2463157168.23957.00-031.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jmorrissey@stny.rr.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:28 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5838.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: jmorrissey@stny.rr.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <jmorrissey@stny.rr.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:29 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:40 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:40 PM SMTPOriginator: jmorrissey@stny.rr.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:29 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:30 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:30 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:31 PM \$Orig: 9303474538B2AC52872573BF0078872E Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:31 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Joseph Morrissey 67 Kendall Avenue Binghamton, NY 13903-1446

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814562838-220186 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:28 -0700 Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id ABC5019E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:36 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id b0175774.2431687600.23957.00-031.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <alvafull@comcast.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:27 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26

PM \$MessageID:

<20071228215626.17229.5834.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: alvafull@comcast.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144553); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <alvafull@comcast.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:28 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:40 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:40 PM SMTPOriginator: alvafull@comcast.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:28 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:30 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:30 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:31 PM \$Orig: FA2DE0CFE35C177E872573BF007886D8 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:31 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which

no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Alfred S Fuller 2712 Sol Y Luz Loop Santa Fe, NM 87505

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814562904-220187 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:29 -0700 Received: from p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 5496E19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:37 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id c0175774.2517916592.130668.00-063.p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <randysiamese@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:28 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5836.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: randysiamese@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <randysiamese@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:29 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:40 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:40 PM SMTPOriginator: randysiamese@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:29 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:30 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:30 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:31 PM \$Orig: 4660591C8B5067C8872573BF00788719 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:31 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Randel Romero 1456 E. Philadelphia St #7 Ontario, CA 91761

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814562818-220184 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:28 -0700 Received: from p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 77D0819E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:36 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id b0175774.2654284720.130668.00-063.p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mace@wra-ca.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:27 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5832.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: mace@wra-ca.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <mace@wra-ca.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:28 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:41 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:41 PM SMTPOriginator: mace@wra-ca.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:28 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:29 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:29 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:30 PM \$Orig: 9BC188021431A759872573BF007886C2 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:30 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Leslie Mace 5932 Fredricks Road Sebastopol, CA 95472

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814562735-220183 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:27 -0700 Received: from p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id A12D019E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:30:35 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id a0175774.2559876016.130668.00-063.p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sugsat40@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:56:26 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:51:59 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:26 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215626.17229.5830.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: sugsat40@hotmail.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <sugsat40@hotmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:56:27 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:41 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:41 PM SMTPOriginator: sugsat40@hotmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:56:27 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:29 PM,12/28/2007 02:56:29 PM-12/28/2007 02:56:30 PM \$Orig: A282646BEE839917872573BF0078866F Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:56:30 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf

packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Lisa Upson 2905 Spring Meadows Drive Bozeman, MT 59715

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814543083-220133 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:30 -0700 Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 1E64819E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:39 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 69075774.3632757680.122365.00-068.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <linda.wright@tusd1.org>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:30 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:23 PM \$MessageID:

<20071228215423.17229.5816.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: linda.wright@tusd1.org SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <linda.wright@tusd1.org> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:41 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:41 PM SMTPOriginator: linda.wright@tusd1.org RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:32 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:32 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:32 PM \$Orig: BBDEF2703E9C7BC0872573BF007858EB Categories:

\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:32 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, linda wright po 12592 tucson, AZ 85732

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814543143-220134 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:31 -0700 Received: from p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id AE0B719E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:39 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 69075774.2432793520.57313.00-074.p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dce005@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:30 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:56 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:23 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215423.17229.5820.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: dce005@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <dce005@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:31 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:42 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:42 PM SMTPOriginator: dce005@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:31 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:32 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:32 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:32 PM \$Orig: D9FFA6E01539655C872573BF00785927 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:32 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Douglas Estes 629 Arguello Blvd. Apt. 303 San Francisco, CA 94118

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814543146-220135 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:31 -0700 Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id B878619E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:39 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 69075774.2601507760.114832.00-077.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gaye@hereandtao.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:30 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:56 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:23 PM \$MessageID:

<20071228215423.17229.5818.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: gaye@hereandtao.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <gaye@hereandtao.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:31 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:42 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:42 PM SMTPOriginator: gaye@hereandtao.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:31 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:32 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:32 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:32 PM \$Orig: 0810F0BABDC79DAE872573BF0078592A Categories:

\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:32 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Gaye Wilson, RN, MSN, MS 4925 Summit View Court El Dorado, CA 95623

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814543057-220131 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:30 -0700 Received: from p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id D389819E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:38 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 59075774.2464263088.57313.00-074.p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sonda49@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:29 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:23 PM \$MessageID:

<20071228215423.17229.5814.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: sonda49@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <sonda49@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:42 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:42 PM SMTPOriginator: sonda49@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:31 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:31 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:32 PM \$Orig: 70EB401B36D21FCF872573BF007858D1 Categories:

\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:32 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Sonda Gabriel 1016 Windward Way St. Augustine, FL 32080

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814543041-220130 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:30 -0700 Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id AB2CF19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:38 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 59075774.2622487472.114832.00-077.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jmc1467@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:29 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:23 PM \$MessageID:

<20071228215423.17229.5810.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: jmc1467@aol.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <jmc1467@aol.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:43 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:43 PM SMTPOriginator: jmc1467@aol.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:31 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:31 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:32 PM \$Orig: 3FB1A04FE6B00B02872573BF007858C1 Categories:

\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:32 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, John Crotty 1467 Cherry Creek Manchester, MO 63021

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542974-220125 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:29 -0700 Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 089A219E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:37 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 59075774.3320220592.123008.00-099.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <joewiesner@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:29 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215422.17229.5804.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: joewiesner@gmail.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <joewiesner@gmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:43 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:43 PM SMTPOriginator: joewiesner@gmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:31 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:31 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:32 PM \$Orig: 154EE4C91E8D3840872573BF00785880 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:32 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Joseph Wiesner 2005 N. Commerce St Milwaukee, WI 53212

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542976-220126 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:29 -0700 Received: from p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 0A3F919E808A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:37 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 59075774.2663570352.57313.00-074.p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <carolyn1one@charter.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:29 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215422.17229.5806.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: carolyn1one@charter.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <carolyn1one@charter.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:44 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:44 PM SMTPOriginator: carolyn1one@charter.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:31 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:31 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:31 PM \$Orig: 57CDAAEC6BAB00A8872573BF00785880 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:31 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Carolyn Greer 377 GreenTree Drive Broxton, GA 31519

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814543061-220132 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:30 -0700 Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id D9B6719E808A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:38 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 59075774.3393649584.123008.00-099.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <micheleroberts@earthlink.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:29 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:23 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215423.17229.5812.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: micheleroberts@earthlink.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <micheleroberts@earthlink.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:44 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:44 PM SMTPOriginator: micheleroberts@earthlink.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:31 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:31 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:31 PM \$Orig: 02B3FEF2B88EF06B872573BF007858D5 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:31 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which

no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Michele Roberts 401 12th Street South #709 Arlington, VA 22202

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542999-220129 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:29 -0700 Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 4591219E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:38 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 59075774.3485899696.122365.00-068.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <larryniles007@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:29 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:23 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215423.17229.5808.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: larryniles007@hotmail.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0070701968; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.066(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <larryniles007@hotmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:44 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:44 PM SMTPOriginator: larryniles007@hotmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:31 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:31 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:31 PM \$Orig: BA40041D024CFBDD872573BF00785897 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:31 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which

no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Larry Niles 5809 Thrush Lane Madison, WI 53711

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542891-220122 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:28 -0700 Received: from p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 3023119E808A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:37 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 49075774.2485242800.57313.00-074.p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <wolfwithwings@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:28 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215422.17229.5798.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: wolfwithwings@gmail.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <wolfwithwings@gmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:45 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:45 PM SMTPOriginator: wolfwithwings@gmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM \$Orig: E1CF3127DD5EFA41872573BF0078582B Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which

no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Mary Wallace 420 Montclair SE Albuquerque, NM 87108

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542915-220123 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:29 -0700 Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 6CA1C19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:37 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 49075774.3318062000.122365.00-068.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jasons@wumple.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:28 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215422.17229.5800.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: jasons@wumple.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <jasons@wumple.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:45 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:45 PM SMTPOriginator: jasons@wumple.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM \$Orig: A04182B9A1F98997872573BF00785843 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Jason Spangler 8521 Foxhound Tr Austin, TX 78729-7280

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542872-220120 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:28 -0700 Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 02FB519E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:36 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 49075774.2391710640.114832.00-077.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <annie.laurie@wholefoods.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:28 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215422.17229.5794.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: annie.laurie@wholefoods.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0041729271; B=0.500(0); S=0.040(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <annie.laurie@wholefoods.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:45 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:45 PM SMTPOriginator: annie.laurie@wholefoods.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM \$Orig: E9475E9E5F0BD6E5872573BF00785818 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which

no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, annie laurie 115 SICARD AVE DRACUT, MA 01826

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542890-220121 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:28 -0700 Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 2E20B19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:37 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 49075774.3057974192.123008.00-099.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <diamond@augsburg.edu>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:28 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215422.17229.5796.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: Diamond@augsburg.edu SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <diamond@augsburg.edu> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:46 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:46 PM SMTPOriginator: diamond@augsburg.edu RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM \$Orig: 6EA842F483FCC5F9872573BF0078582A Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Douglas Diamond 2211 Riverside Aveue CB 311 Minneapolis, MN 55454

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542957-220124 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:29 -0700 Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id D114A19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:37 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 49075774.2475629488.114832.00-077.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ironlegs@pacbell.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:28 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22 PM \$MessageID:

<20071228215422.17229.5802.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: ironlegs@pacbell.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <ironlegs@pacbell.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:46 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:46 PM SMTPOriginator: ironlegs@pacbell.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM \$Orig: 1C64F7020FE02FD8872573BF0078586D Categories:

\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:30 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, thatcher koch 684 rough %pa_address% ready rd. san jose, CA 95133

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542790-220115 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:27 -0700 Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 2BD8019E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:36 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 39075774.2486119344.114832.00-077.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sunsetcat17@netzero.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:27 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215422.17229.5786.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: sunsetcat17@netzero.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <sunsetcat17@netzero.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:46 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:46 PM SMTPOriginator: sunsetcat17@netzero.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM \$Orig: 9A86A820B6FFB338872573BF007857C6 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Carol Masuda 1668 N. Forgeus Tucson, AZ 85716

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542826-220118 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:28 -0700 Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 841A419E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:36 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 39075774.3150224304.122365.00-068.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ccvgccvg@mac.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:27 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215422.17229.5792.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: ccvgccvg@mac.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <ccvgccvg@mac.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:46 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:46 PM SMTPOriginator: ccvgccvg@mac.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM \$Orig: 2F5173648532EB1E872573BF007857EA Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Claudia von Grunebaum 260 West End Blvd. Winston-Salem, NC 27101

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542799-220116 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:27 -0700 Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 4197419E808A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:36 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 39075774.3341200304.123008.00-099.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kenfires@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:27 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215422.17229.5788.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: kenfires@aol.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <kenfires@aol.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:47 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:47 PM SMTPOriginator: kenfires@aol.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM \$Orig: 531F57235884D189872573BF007857CF Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Ken Kipen P.O. Box 183 Ashfield, MA 01330

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542807-220117 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:28 -0700 Received: from p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 58B9D19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:36 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 39075774.2642590640.57313.00-074.p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <aelred@net-link.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:27 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215422.17229.5790.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: aelred@net-link.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <aelred@net-link.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:47 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:47 PM SMTPOriginator: aelred@net-link.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM \$Orig: B7B01D7A6AAA1C90872573BF007857D8 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:29 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Aelred Glidden 56500 Abbey Road Three Rivers, MI 49093

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542740-220113 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:27 -0700 Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id A8FC119E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:35 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 29075774.3674717104.122365.00-068.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jordanoreed@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:26 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215422.17229.5784.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: jordanoreed@gmail.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <jordanoreed@gmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:48 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:48 PM SMTPOriginator: jordanoreed@gmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM \$Orig: FF99DB979DE85C7F872573BF00785794 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Jordan Reed 925 Union Street #6G Brooklyn, NY 11215

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542701-220110 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:27 -0700 Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 41E9919E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:35 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 29075774.2381220784.114832.00-077.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mdaub@hmc.psu.edu>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:26 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215422.17229.5778.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: mdaub@hmc.psu.edu SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <mdaub@hmc.psu.edu> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:48 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:48 PM SMTPOriginator: mdaub@hmc.psu.edu RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM \$Orig: 513360D7A8E44111872573BF0078576D Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf

packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Lynne Daub 278 Rivermoor Drive Marietta, PA 17547-1924

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542718-220112 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:27 -0700 Received: from p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 7308219E808A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:35 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 29075774.2642590640.57313.00-074.p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <hmarsh16@hotmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:26 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215422.17229.5782.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: hmarsh16@hotmail.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <hmarsh16@hotmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:48 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:48 PM SMTPOriginator: hmarsh16@hotmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM \$Orig: 63E89E6C67C0B449872573BF0078577E Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Heather Marsh 6710 Lake Park Drive Apt. 201C Greenbelt, MD 20770

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542701-220111 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:27 -0700 Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 449CF19E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:35 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 29075774.3456588720.123008.00-099.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <chacopress@earthlink.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:26 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215422.17229.5780.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: chacopress@earthlink.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <chacopress@earthlink.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:49 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:49 PM SMTPOriginator: chacopress@earthlink.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM \$Orig: 69E4019C278C43CB872573BF0078576D Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:28 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Marnie gaede 772 Caldera Curve South Fork, CO 81154

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542635-220108 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:26 -0700 Received: from p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 98D5B19E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:34 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 19075774.2548181936.57313.00-074.p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kalle@nvinet.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:25 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215422.17229.5774.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: kalle@nvinet.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0053964069; B=0.500(0); S=0.051(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <kalle@nvinet.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:26 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:49 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:49 PM SMTPOriginator: kalle@nvinet.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:26 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM \$Orig: 684DBB813466930B872573BF0078572B Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Charles Hartik PO Box 461 Tonasket, WA 98855

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542657-220109 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:26 -0700 Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id D011419E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:34 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 19075774.3422960560.122365.00-068.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ahicks51@cox.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:25 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215422.17229.5776.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: ahicks51@cox.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <ahicks51@cox.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:26 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:49 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:49 PM SMTPOriginator: ahicks51@cox.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:26 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM \$Orig: 7708CC6C1972F19A872573BF00785741 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Aaron Hicks PO BOX 7042 Chandler, AZ 85246

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542572-220106 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:25 -0700 Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 028FD19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:33 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 19075774.3286592432.122365.00-068.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ebourg@gis.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:25 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215422.17229.5770.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: ebourg@gis.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <ebourg@gis.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:25 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:50 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:50 PM SMTPOriginator: ebourg@gis.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:25 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM \$Orig: 978013BE1584A60B872573BF007856EC Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Eric Bourgeois 888 Massachusetts Avenue 614-A Cambridge, MA 02139 3230

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542615-220107 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:26 -0700 Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 6AA6819E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:34 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 19075774.3152382896.123008.00-099.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kingsgoddess@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:25 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215422.17229.5772.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: kingsgoddess@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <kingsgoddess@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:26 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:50 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:50 PM SMTPOriginator: kingsgoddess@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:26 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM \$Orig: 0EA4C68E6AB29F41872573BF00785717 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:27 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, cymone simmons 5151 N WARREN AVE milwaukee, WI 53202

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542546-220105 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:25 -0700 Received: from p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id B629719E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:33 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 09075774.2663570352.57313.00-074.p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <prsch951@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:24 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22 PM \$MessageID:

<20071228215422.17229.5768.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: prsch951@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <prsch951@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:25 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:50 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:50 PM SMTPOriginator: prsch951@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:25 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:26 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:26 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:26 PM \$Orig: E74C67A1B809E33E872573BF007856D2 Categories:

\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:26 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Chris Ray 3441 Swan Creek Rd. Jonesville, NC 28642

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542530-220104 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:25 -0700 Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 9146D19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:33 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 09075774.3425119152.123008.00-099.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <patria@outinthewoods.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:24 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215422.17229.5766.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: patria@outinthewoods.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0014309883; B=0.500(0); S=0.014(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <patria@outinthewoods.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:25 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:51 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:51 PM SMTPOriginator: patria@outinthewoods.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:25 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:26 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:26 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:26 PM \$Orig: EF8672D190B8B904872573BF007856C2 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:26 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Patria Brown 5858 Empire Grade Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542352-220098 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:23 -0700 Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id C5ED119E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:31 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id e8075774.3351690160.123008.00-099.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kennethmattos@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:22 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215422.17229.5760.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: kennethmattos@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <kennethmattos@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:23 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:51 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:51 PM SMTPOriginator: kennethmattos@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:23 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:25 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:25 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:25 PM \$Orig: F52B3E8DFC7D8872872573BF00785610 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:25 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable

the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Kenneth Mattos "132 Linden Avenue, Apt. #1" Rutledge, PA 19070

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542461-220103 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:24 -0700 Received: from p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id DDC1319E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:32 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with ESMTP id 09075774.2432793520.57313.00-074.p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rayandsteph@saber.net>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:24 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22

PM \$MessageID:

<20071228215422.17229.5764.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: rayandsteph@saber.net SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144543); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <rayandsteph@saber.net> X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:24 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:52 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:52 PM SMTPOriginator: rayandsteph@saber.net RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:24 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:25 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:25 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:25 PM \$Orig: 111F1476323AC8B7872573BF0078567D Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:25 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf

packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Stephanie Thomas 1880 Perch Road Willits, CA 95490

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814542436-220102 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:24 -0700 Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id A0B2319E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:28:32 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id f8075774.3057974192.123008.00-099.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <merlinskd2@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:54:23 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:49:55 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:22 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215422.17229.5762.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: merlinskd2@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144549); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <merlinskd2@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:54:24 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:52 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:52 PM SMTPOriginator: merlinskd2@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:54:24 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:25 PM,12/28/2007 02:54:25 PM-12/28/2007 02:54:25 PM \$Orig: B09C95E05459A381872573BF00785664 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:54:25 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Kelley Dickey 127 21st Street, South Irondale, AL 35210

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814532476-220071 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:53:24 -0700 Received: from p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 0A21519E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:27:32 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 45075774.2610916272.60548.00-126.p01c11m055.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lubeall@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:53:24 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:48:47 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:53:14 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215314.17229.5718.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: lubeall@aol.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144611); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <lubeall@aol.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:53:24 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:53 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:53 PM SMTPOriginator: lubeall@aol.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:53:24 PM-12/28/2007 02:53:26 PM,12/28/2007 02:53:27 PM-12/28/2007 02:53:27 PM \$Orig: 03493B7EA3DD6FB7872573BF00783F1C Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:53:27 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Robert Pavese 1986 Windsor Dr North Palm Beach, FL 33408

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814532530-220074 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:53:25 -0700 Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 8B17B19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:27:33 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 45075774.2463214512.32392.00-031.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kevpkelley@aol.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:53:24 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:48:47 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:53:14 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215314.17229.5724.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: kevpkelley@aol.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144618); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <kevpkelley@aol.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:53:25 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:53 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:53 PM SMTPOriginator: kevpkelley@aol.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:53:25 PM-12/28/2007 02:53:26 PM,12/28/2007 02:53:27 PM-12/28/2007 02:53:27 PM \$Orig: 6079108C5B1383B3872573BF00783F52 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:53:27 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Kevin Kelley 85 Lakeshore Drive Weaverville, NC 28787

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814532544-220076 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:53:25 -0700 Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id AFDD819E808A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:27:33 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 45075774.2444159920.112078.00-134.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <noir@strangepost.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:53:24 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:48:47 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:53:14 PM \$MessageID:

<20071228215314.17229.5726.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: noir@strangepost.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <noir@strangepost.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:53:25 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:53 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:53 PM SMTPOriginator: noir@strangepost.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:53:25 PM-12/28/2007 02:53:26 PM,12/28/2007 02:53:26 PM-12/28/2007 02:53:27 PM \$Orig: CD025EDB87234BE6872573BF00783F60 Categories:

\$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:53:27 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Peter Caton 109 Pennsylvania Ave Loves Park, IL 61111

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814532451-220070 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:53:24 -0700 Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id C07D319E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:27:32 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 35075774.2402200496.112078.00-134.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jbuckwald@mac.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:53:23 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:48:47 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:53:14 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215314.17229.5716.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: jbuckwald@mac.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <jbuckwald@mac.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:53:24 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:54 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:54 PM SMTPOriginator: jbuckwald@mac.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:53:24 PM-12/28/2007 02:53:26 PM,12/28/2007 02:53:26 PM-12/28/2007 02:53:27 PM \$Orig: 7ED8E3043E6F2DB8872573BF00783F03 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:53:27 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Jan Buckwald 514 Curtis St Albany, CA 94706

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814532511-220073 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:53:25 -0700 Received: from p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 5ED4F19E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:27:33 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 45075774.2643528624.143697.00-100.p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <judithrg@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:53:24 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:48:47 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:53:14 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215314.17229.5722.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: judithrg@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <judithrg@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:53:25 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:54 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:54 PM SMTPOriginator: judithrg@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:53:25 PM-12/28/2007 02:53:26 PM,12/28/2007 02:53:26 PM-12/28/2007 02:53:26 PM \$Orig: D370471DE1594FD1872573BF00783F3F Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:53:26 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Judith Gottesman 2612 Webster St. #9 Berkeley, CA 94705

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814532427-220068 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:53:24 -0700 Received: from p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 8749A19E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:27:32 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 35075774.2559609776.143697.00-100.p01c11m045.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <grandeursobranco70@terra.com.br>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:53:23 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:48:47 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:53:14 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215314.17229.5710.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: grandeursobranco70@terra.com.br SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <grandeursobranco70@terra.com.br> X-SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:53:24 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:54 PM, MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:54 PM SMTPOriginator: grandeursobranco70@terra.com.br RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:53:24 PM-12/28/2007 02:53:25 PM,12/28/2007 02:53:25 PM-12/28/2007 02:53:26 PM \$Orig: 442A920C1A7038BC872573BF00783EED Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:53:26 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which

no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Bruce Kennedy Rua Jose Cataneo, 210 São Paulo Estado Águas da Prata 13890000

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814532366-220065 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:53:23 -0700 Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id E848E19E8034 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:27:31 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 35075774.2517588912.112078.00-134.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dbg20@yahoo.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:53:23 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:48:47 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:53:14 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215314.17229.5704.gmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: dbg20@yahoo.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(1071128144540); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <dbg20@yahoo.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:53:23 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:55 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:55 PM SMTPOriginator: dbg20@yahoo.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:53:23 PM-12/28/2007 02:53:25 PM,12/28/2007 02:53:25 PM-12/28/2007 02:53:26 PM \$Orig: F210F2C1AFBD173A872573BF00783EAE Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:53:26 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the

boundaries of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Daniel Guggenheim 2614 31st Street Santa Monica, CA 90405

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.3) with ESMTP id 2007122814532497-220072 ; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:53:24 -0700 Received: from p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 3D6E319E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:27:33 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (mxl_mta-5.3.0-3) with SMTP id 45075774.3623467952.8477.00-017.p01c11m083.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <johnnyafink@gmail.com>); Fri, 28 Dec 2007 14:53:24 -0700 (MST) Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 28 Dec 2007 13:48:47 -0800 PostedDate: 12/28/2007 02:53:14 PM \$MessageID: <20071228215314.17229.5720.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com> From: johnnyafink@gmail.com SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping X_Spam: [F=0.0010146601; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007121801); MH=0.500(2007122829); R=0.091(107112814463); SC=none; SS=0.500] X-Mail-From: <johnnyafink@gmail.com> X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)] \$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.3|September 26, 2007) at 12/28/2007 02:53:24 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/22/2008 03:08:55 PM,MIME-CD complete at 01/22/2008 03:08:55 PM SMTPOriginator: johnnyafink@gmail.com RoutingState: \$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI RouteTimes: 12/28/2007 02:53:24 PM-12/28/2007 02:53:26 PM,12/28/2007 02:53:26 PM-12/28/2007 02:53:26 PM \$Orig: 2524D7136DC462F3872573BF00783F33 Categories: \$Revisions: \$MsgTrackFlags: 0 DeliveredDate: 12/28/2007 02:53:26 PM Dr. Brian Millsap, State Administrator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NM Dear Dr. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The opportunity to comment on the rule-change for managing reintroduced Mexican gray wolves comes not a moment too soon. Fish and Wildlife Service management, with its heavy reliance on predator control targeting the wolves, has suppressed the wolf population and contributed to inbreeding. The solution must comply with the conservation mandate of the Endangered Species Act and not allow loss of wolves - from any and all sources, including government take and illegal poaching - to keep the population from rapid growth and genetic rescue. To this end, please develop a Conservation Alternative to be analyzed in the draft environmental impact statement that would upgrade the legal status of the reintroduced Mexican wolves from their current "experimental non-essential" standing to a fully protected endangered status. Please do not include any alternative for analysis that would increase take of wolves, set any limits on wolf numbers, restrict their movements, or in any other respect infringe on the potential of establishing additional Mexican wolf populations, one of which is already called for in the 1982 Mexican Wolf Recovery Plan and more of which will likely be required in a future revision of that plan. The Conservation Alternative should include the following provisions: 1) Allow release of wolves from the captive breeding population directly into New Mexico. This is particularly important to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to release genetically valuable animals into areas in which no wolf packs have established territories. 2) Allow wolves to roam freely outside the boundaries

of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area and not be geographically constrained by any other politically derived restrictions - just as other endangered species are allowed free movement. 3) Require livestock owners using public lands to remove or render inedible the carcasses of non-wolf-killed stock so as to prevent wolves from being attracted to areas where domestic animals are vulnerable and habituating to preying on stock. This could be accomplished, at least in part, by holding blameless for subsequent depredations any wolf that has scavenged on dead livestock - and protecting such wolves from any governmental or private "take" or predator control. 4) Authorize release of wolves into the White Sands Wolf Recovery Area, which is just a few dozen miles to the east of the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area. White Sands has already been analyzed for its suitability for wolves and could serve as a home for genetically valuable wolves that might not otherwise be released. White Sands has only been found "unsuitable" if wolves are required to stay within its boundaries, but as part of a population that interacts with wolves in the Gila, it would serve an important role. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Johnny Fink 2132 Grove Rd NW Olympia, WA 98501