

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/08/2007 07:45 AM

spondere@aol.com
11/07/2007 08:26 PM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management

procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, lorna smith
PO BOX 966
PLACITAS, NM 87043

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/08/2007 07:45 AM

drdecanto@comcast.net
11/07/2007 08:26 PM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE

Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Alan Rogers
PO Box 803
Tesuque, NM 87574

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/08/2007 07:45 AM

angiedykema@hotmail.com
11/07/2007 08:37 PM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*).

At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Angie Dykema
1135 Jonada Pl
Reno, NV 89509

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/08/2007 07:45 AM

joshualeechapman@yahoo.com
11/07/2007 08:48 PM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments Mexican Grey Wolf

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral

and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Josh Chapman
7446 S BRANDING IRON RD
SAFFORD, AZ 85546

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/08/2007 07:45 AM

malonetravels2@hotmail.com
11/07/2007 09:04 PM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus

facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Robert Malone
2600 Zia Road West , Tierra de Zia Condos, L-16
Tierra de Zia, Unit L-16
Santa Fe, NM 87505

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/08/2007 07:45 AM

spotts@infowest.com
11/07/2007 09:19 PM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject

Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been

restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Richard Spotts
1125 W. Emerald Drive
St. George, UT 84770-6026

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/08/2007 07:45 AM

p_davis@envirologicinc.com
11/07/2007 09:30 PM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Paul Davis
PO Box 1736
Tijeras, NM 87059

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/08/2007 07:45 AM

hnmnaturalist@comcast.net
11/07/2007 09:32 PM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to

conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, hank mirsky
924 Los Padres Pl SE
Albuquerque, NM 87123

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/08/2007 07:45 AM

ericksmith2@yahoo.com
11/07/2007 09:43 PM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, eric smith
2317 Chapman Ln NW

Albuquerque, NM 87104

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/08/2007 07:45 AM

kuzava5@netscape.net
11/07/2007 10:25 PM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Jim Kuzava
7 Valencia Loop
Santa Fe, NM 87508

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/08/2007 07:45 AM

dweomer@aol.com
11/07/2007 11:05 PM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Katherine Clarke
98 Placita De Oro, Apt H
Santa Fe, NM 87501

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/08/2007 07:45 AM

ceh@poost.tele.dk
11/08/2007 02:27 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, connie hansen

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/08/2007 07:45 AM

paul@themailpath.com
11/08/2007 05:23 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Paul Moss
1849 Whitaker Ave
White Bear Lake, MN 55110

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/08/2007 07:45 AM

jstew314@swbell.net
11/08/2007 06:01 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

I wish to comment Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

I am writing to express my concern that almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild.

The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest.

I hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

The Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*) and the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Changes in wolf management should include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate to "further the conservation of the species." Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public.

Sincerely, T A Stewart
unknown
unknown

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/08/2007 07:45 AM

siouxzie689@aol.com
11/08/2007 06:15 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject

Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been

restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, susan yodice
1723 Amelia Ave
San Pedro, CA 90731

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/08/2007 07:45 AM

ecology1@yahoo.com
11/08/2007 06:48 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Top predators are critical to manage wild ungulate populations which in turn allows the regeneration of overgrazed areas and promotes greater diversity of all species in any given area.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Drew Monthie
120 TEE HILL RD
QUEENSBURY, NY 12804

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/08/2007 07:45 AM

jj.berman@pcisys.net
11/08/2007 07:17 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management

procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Jack Berman
13930 Westchester Dr
Colorado Springs, CO 80921

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/08/2007 07:45 AM

cfrankl5@aug.edu
11/08/2007 07:27 AM

To

R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE

Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Charles Franklin
unknown
unknown

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110807272084-191071 ; Thu, 8 Nov 2007 07:27:20 -0700
Received: from p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id F120119E807F for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Thu, 8 Nov 2007 06:47:58 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 8cc13374.3288345520.62355.00-077.p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cfrankl5@aug.edu>); Thu, 08 Nov 2007 07:27:20 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 08 Nov 2007 06:23:37 -0800
PostedDate: 11/08/2007 07:27:20 AM
\$MessageID: <20071108142720.31689.1470.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: cfrankl5@aug.edu
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008648206; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110814); R=0.078(10710812841); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <cfrankl5@aug.edu>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/08/2007 07:27:20 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:19 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:19 AM
SMTPOriginator: cfrankl5@aug.edu
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/08/2007 07:27:20 AM-11/08/2007 07:27:21 AM,11/08/2007 07:27:21 AM-11/08/2007 07:27:22 AM
\$Orig: 624C79B0114E239B8725738D004F6874
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:16:13 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/08/2007 07:27:22 AM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Charles Franklin
unknown
unknown

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110807175714-190542 ; Thu, 8 Nov 2007 07:17:57 -0700
Received: from p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 25DCD19E800B for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Thu, 8 Nov 2007 06:38:35 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 49a13374.2630114224.4507.00-057.p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jj.berman@pcisys.net>); Thu, 08 Nov 2007 07:17:56 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 08 Nov 2007 06:14:13 -0800
PostedDate: 11/08/2007 07:17:56 AM
\$MessageID: <20071108141756.31689.1399.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jj.berman@pcisys.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008648206; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110814); R=0.078(10710812739); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jj.berman@pcisys.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/08/2007 07:17:57 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:19 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:19 AM
SMTPOriginator: jj.berman@pcisys.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/08/2007 07:17:57 AM-11/08/2007 07:17:59 AM,11/08/2007 07:17:59 AM-11/08/2007 07:17:59 AM
\$Orig: 5C809948C8A089C88725738D004E8C42
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:16:11 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/08/2007 07:17:59 AM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Jack Berman
13930 Westchester Dr
Colorado Springs, CO 80921

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007110806482953-189491 ; Thu, 8 Nov 2007 06:48:29 -0700
Received: from p01c11m006.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 32E5219E800B for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Thu, 8 Nov 2007 06:09:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m006.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTTP id 3a313374.2420906928.86673.00-167.p01c11m006.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ecology1@yahoo.com>); Thu, 08 Nov 2007 06:48:19 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 08 Nov 2007 05:44:36 -0800
PostedDate: 11/08/2007 06:48:19 AM
\$MessageID: <20071108134819.31689.1236.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: ecology1@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008648206; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110812); R=0.078(1071081289); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ecology1@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/08/2007 06:48:29 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:20 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:20 AM
SMTPOriginator: ecology1@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/08/2007 06:48:29 AM-11/08/2007 06:48:30 AM,11/08/2007 06:48:30 AM-11/08/2007 06:48:31 AM
\$Orig: DD678A849B3C01948725738D004BD9CB
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:16:08 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/08/2007 06:48:31 AM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Top predators are critical to manage wild ungulate populations which in turn allows the regeneration of overgrazed areas and promotes greater diversity of all species in any given area.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Drew Monthie
120 TEE HILL RD
QUEENSBURY, NY 12804

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110806154476-188406 ; Thu, 8 Nov 2007 06:15:44 -0700
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 13FA219E808A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Thu, 8 Nov 2007 05:36:22 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 00c03374.3170958256.51525.00-024.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <siouxzie689@aol.com>); Thu, 08 Nov 2007 06:15:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 08 Nov 2007 05:12:01 -0800
PostedDate: 11/08/2007 06:15:44 AM
\$MessageID: <20071108131544.31689.1084.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: siouxzie689@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008648206; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110810); R=0.078(10710812841); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <siouxzie689@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/08/2007 06:15:44 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:20 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:20 AM
SMTPOriginator: siouxzie689@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/08/2007 06:15:44 AM-11/08/2007 06:15:46 AM,11/08/2007 06:15:46 AM-11/08/2007 06:15:47 AM
\$Orig: 213984BFDBA1DEEB8725738D0048DA4C
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:16:06 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/08/2007 06:15:47 AM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, susan yodice
1723 Amelia Ave
San Pedro, CA 90731

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110806013370-188072 ; Thu, 8 Nov 2007 06:01:33 -0700
Received: from p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D1DEA19E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Thu, 8 Nov 2007 05:22:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id da803374.2410912688.41748.00-071.p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jstew314@swbell.net>); Thu, 08 Nov 2007 06:01:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 08 Nov 2007 04:57:50 -0800
PostedDate: 11/08/2007 06:01:33 AM
\$MessageID: <20071108130133.31689.1022.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jstew314@swbell.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008648206; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110810); R=0.078(10710812829); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jstew314@swbell.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/08/2007 06:01:33 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:20 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:20 AM
SMTPOriginator: jstew314@swbell.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/08/2007 06:01:33 AM-11/08/2007 06:01:34 AM,11/08/2007 06:01:34 AM-11/08/2007 06:01:34 AM
\$Orig: 1481F7CB13580F928725738D00478DDA
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:16:04 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/08/2007 06:01:34 AM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

I wish to comment Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

I am writing to express my concern that almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild.

The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest.

I hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

The Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*) and the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Changes in wolf management should include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstitute recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate to "further the conservation of the species." Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public.

Sincerely, T A Stewart
unknown
unknown

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110805230670-187426 ; Thu, 8 Nov 2007 05:23:06 -0700
Received: from p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 617E019E8024 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Thu, 8 Nov 2007 04:43:43 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id aaff2374.2628983728.14576.00-081.p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <paul@themailpath.com>); Thu, 08 Nov 2007 05:23:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 08 Nov 2007 04:19:23 -0800
PostedDate: 11/08/2007 05:23:06 AM
\$MessageID: <20071108122306.31689.887.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: paul@themailpath.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008648206; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110808); R=0.078(10710812739); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <paul@themailpath.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/08/2007 05:23:06 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:20 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:20 AM
SMTPOriginator: paul@themailpath.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/08/2007 05:23:06 AM-11/08/2007 05:23:08 AM,11/08/2007 05:23:08 AM-11/08/2007 05:23:08 AM
\$Orig: EBA07BE55B7C3E538725738D004408AE
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:16:01 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/08/2007 05:23:08 AM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Paul Moss
1849 Whitaker Ave
White Bear Lake, MN 55110

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110802274140-184306 ; Thu, 8 Nov 2007 02:27:41 -0700
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 57AB919E801D for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Thu, 8 Nov 2007 01:48:16 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id d86d2374.3423083440.18747.00-003.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ceh@poost.tele.dk>); Thu, 08 Nov 2007 02:27:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 08 Nov 2007 01:23:58 -0800
PostedDate: 11/08/2007 02:27:40 AM
\$MessageID: <20071108092740.31689.172.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: ceh@poost.tele.dk
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008648206; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110805); R=0.078(1071081303); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ceh@poost.tele.dk>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/08/2007 02:27:41 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:21 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:21 AM
SMTPOriginator: ceh@poost.tele.dk
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/08/2007 02:27:41 AM-11/08/2007 02:27:42 AM,11/08/2007 02:27:42 AM-11/08/2007 02:27:43 AM
\$Orig: F8F7AFAA491278078725738D0033F93C
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:15:59 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/08/2007 02:27:43 AM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, connie hansen

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110723051770-180090 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 23:05:17 -0700
Received: from p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 42D8E19E804A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 22:25:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id d17a2374.3613649840.432.00-079.p01c11m095.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dweomer@aol.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 23:05:17 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 22:01:34 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:05:17 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108060517.6758.19051.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: dweomer@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008548788; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110758); R=0.078(107107202633); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <dweomer@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:05:17 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:21 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:21 AM
SMTPOriginator: dweomer@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:05:17 PM-11/07/2007 11:05:18 PM,11/07/2007 11:05:18 PM-11/07/2007 11:05:18 PM
\$Orig: 34E4B1470DDA3E0E8725738D0021719A
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:15:56 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:05:18 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstitute recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Katherine Clarke
98 Placita De Oro, Apt H
Santa Fe, NM 87501

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110722253664-179622 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 22:25:36 -0700
Received: from p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B54FC19E804A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 21:46:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id Odd92374.2568924080.62684.00-038.p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kuzava5@netscape.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 22:25:36 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 21:21:53 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 10:25:36 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108052536.6758.18963.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: kuzava5@netscape.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008548788; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110754); R=0.078(10710720288); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <kuzava5@netscape.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 10:25:36 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:21 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:21 AM
SMTPOriginator: kuzava5@netscape.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 10:25:36 PM-11/07/2007 10:25:38 PM,11/07/2007 10:25:38 PM-11/07/2007 10:25:38 PM
\$Orig: 9F3492F2DB26F3578725738D001DCF80
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:15:54 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 10:25:38 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Jim Kuzava
7 Valencia Loop
Santa Fe, NM 87508

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110721430762-179177 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 21:43:07 -0700
Received: from p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3792C19E804A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 21:03:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id bd392374.2632784816.12112.00-037.p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ericksmith2@yahoo.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 21:43:07 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 20:39:24 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 09:43:07 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108044307.6758.18779.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: ericksmith2@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008548788; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110751); R=0.078(107107202633); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ericksmith2@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 09:43:07 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:21 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:21 AM
SMTPOriginator: ericksmith2@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 09:43:07 PM-11/07/2007 09:43:08 PM,11/07/2007 09:43:08 PM-11/07/2007 09:43:09 PM
\$Orig: EF61A546723AE1E18725738D0019EBCA
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:15:49 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 09:43:09 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, eric smith
2317 Chapman Ln NW
Albuquerque, NM 87104

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007110721323202-179055 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 21:32:32 -0700
Received: from p01c11m024.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 79DEB19E804A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 20:53:03 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m024.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTTP id d5192374.2450279344.46441.00-115.p01c11m024.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <hmmnaturalist@comcast.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 21:32:29 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 20:28:46 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 09:32:28 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108043228.6758.18735.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: hmmnaturalist@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008548788; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110750); R=0.078(107107202632); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <hmmnaturalist@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 09:32:32 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:21 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:21 AM
SMTPOriginator: hmmnaturalist@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 09:32:32 PM-11/07/2007 09:32:34 PM,11/07/2007 09:32:34 PM-11/07/2007 09:32:34 PM
\$Orig: B2F612C367F167068725738D0018F384
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:15:47 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 09:32:34 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a

decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, hank mirsky
924 Los Padres Pl SE
Albuquerque, NM 87123

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110721302382-179035 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 21:30:23 -0700
Received: from p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4344F19E804A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 20:50:55 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id fd092374.2591210416.8932.00-049.p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <p_davis@envirologicinc.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 21:30:23 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 20:26:40 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 09:30:23 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108043023.6758.18729.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: p_davis@envirologicinc.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008548788; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110750); R=0.078(107107202633); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <p_davis@envirologicinc.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 09:30:23 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:22 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:22 AM
SMTPOriginator: p_davis@envirologicinc.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 09:30:23 PM-11/07/2007 09:30:24 PM,11/07/2007 09:30:24 PM-11/07/2007 09:30:25 PM
\$Orig: 73BB0F5B937B6DEC8725738D0018C16E
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:15:45 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 09:30:25 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a

decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Paul Davis
PO Box 1736
Tijeras, NM 87059

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110721192060-178918 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 21:19:20 -0700
Received: from p01c11m077.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id E170819E804A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 20:39:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m077.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 84e82374.3625192368.82908.00-088.p01c11m077.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <spotts@infowest.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 21:19:20 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 20:15:37 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 09:19:20 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108041920.6758.18631.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: spotts@infowest.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008548788; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110748); R=0.078(107107202632); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <spotts@infowest.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 09:19:20 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:22 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:22 AM
SMTPOriginator: spotts@infowest.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 09:19:20 PM-11/07/2007 09:19:21 PM,11/07/2007 09:19:21 PM-11/07/2007 09:19:21 PM
\$Orig: AB1C57D91B1663A28725738D0017BE5C
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:15:42 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 09:19:21 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Richard Spotts
1125 W. Emerald Drive
St. George, UT 84770-6026

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007110721043262-178619 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 21:04:32 -0700
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id BA91819E804A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 20:25:03 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTTP id 0da82374.2629819312.39632.00-055.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <malonetravels2@hotmail.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 21:04:32 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 20:00:49 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 09:04:32 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108040432.6758.18533.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: malonetravels2@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008548788; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110748); R=0.078(107107202633); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <malonetravels2@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 09:04:32 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:22 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:22 AM
SMTPOriginator: malonetravels2@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 09:04:32 PM-11/07/2007 09:04:33 PM,11/07/2007 09:04:33 PM-11/07/2007 09:04:34 PM
\$Orig: BAE77A2F451C18A98725738D0016637E
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:15:39 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 09:04:34 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a

decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Robert Malone
2600 Zia Road West , Tierra de Zia Condos, L-16
Tierra de Zia, Unit L-16
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110720480283-178377 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 20:48:02 -0700
Received: from p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id BFEF519E804A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 20:08:33 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 2f682374.2705386416.19868.00-003.p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <joshualeechapman@yahoo.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 20:48:02 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 19:44:19 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 08:48:02 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108034802.6758.18443.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: joshualeechapman@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments Mexican Grey Wolf
X_Spam: [F=0.0008548788; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110748); R=0.078(10710720288); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <joshualeechapman@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 08:48:02 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:22 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:22 AM
SMTPOriginator: joshualeechapman@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 08:48:02 PM-11/07/2007 08:48:03 PM,11/07/2007 08:48:03 PM-11/07/2007 08:48:04 PM
\$Orig: B8A44B9071AD0B7E8725738D0014E0DB
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:15:35 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 08:48:04 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Josh Chapman
7446 S BRANDING IRON RD
SAFFORD, AZ 85546

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110720372030-178197 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 20:37:20 -0700
Received: from p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1CCBB19E804A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 19:57:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id f6482374.2567605168.12644.00-094.p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <angiedykema@hotmail.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 20:37:19 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 19:33:37 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 08:37:19 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108033719.6758.18369.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: angiedykema@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008548788; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110747); R=0.078(10710720288); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <angiedykema@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 08:37:20 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:22 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:22 AM
SMTPOriginator: angiedykema@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 08:37:20 PM-11/07/2007 08:37:21 PM,11/07/2007 08:37:21 PM-11/07/2007 08:37:21 PM
\$Orig: 14933BA685DAA6AD8725738D0013E5DE
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:15:31 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 08:37:21 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Angie Dykema
1135 Jonada Pl
Reno, NV 89509

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110720264095-178043 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 20:26:40 -0700
Received: from p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9FDF919E804A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 19:47:11 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 0f182374.3268238256.21513.00-081.p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <drdecanto@comcast.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 20:26:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 19:22:57 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 08:26:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108032640.6758.18297.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: drdecanto@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110746); R=0.078(107107135841); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <drdecanto@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 08:26:40 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:23 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:23 AM
SMTPOriginator: drdecanto@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 08:26:40 PM-11/07/2007 08:26:42 PM,11/07/2007 08:26:42 PM-11/07/2007 08:26:43 PM
\$Orig: D9E86E6031AD34568725738D0012EC20
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:15:28 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 08:26:43 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Alan Rogers
PO Box 803
Tesuque, NM 87574

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110720264152-178044 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 20:26:41 -0700
Received: from p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 36B4919E804A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 19:47:12 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id 1f182374.3666852784.21513.00-081.p01c11m092.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <spondere@aol.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 20:26:41 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 19:22:57 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 08:26:40 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108032640.6758.18299.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: spondere@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110746); R=0.078(107107135841); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <spondere@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 08:26:41 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:23 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:23 AM
SMTPOriginator: spondere@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 08:26:41 PM-11/07/2007 08:26:42 PM,11/07/2007 08:26:42 PM-11/07/2007 08:26:43 PM
\$Orig: F7DF4C16C72D6DE38725738D0012EC58
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:15:22 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 08:26:43 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstitute recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, lorna smith
PO BOX 966
PLACITAS, NM 87043

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110719532651-177588 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 19:53:26 -0700
Received: from p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id E63A719E82CE for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 19:13:56 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 62a72374.2536819632.78167.00-060.p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ggkaras@cox.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 19:53:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 18:49:43 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 07:53:26 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108025326.6758.18089.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: ggkaras@cox.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110746); R=0.078(107107135810); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ggkaras@cox.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 07:53:26 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:23 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:23 AM
SMTPOriginator: ggkaras@cox.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 07:53:26 PM-11/07/2007 07:53:27 PM,11/07/2007 07:53:27 PM-11/07/2007 07:53:27 PM
\$Orig: B94B83E67CFDACA68725738D000FE10B
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:15:20 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 07:53:27 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves. This is very important for future generations and to begin to correct past mistakes

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely,

Shirley C. Karas 7008 E. Mighty Saguaro Way Scottsdale, AZ 85266

Sincerely, Shirley Karas
7008 E Mighty Saguaro Way
Scottsdale, AZ 85262

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110719445627-177479 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 19:44:56 -0700
Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D0CF619E8057 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 19:05:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 82872374.3172703152.135886.00-044.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <eve29@earthlink.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 19:44:56 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 18:41:13 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 07:44:56 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108024456.6758.18027.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: eve29@earthlink.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110746); R=0.078(107107135841); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <eve29@earthlink.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 07:44:56 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:23 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:23 AM
SMTPOriginator: eve29@earthlink.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 07:44:56 PM-11/07/2007 07:44:57 PM,11/07/2007 07:44:58 PM-11/07/2007 07:44:58 PM
\$Orig: 6E85117A68BEE71F8725738D000F19BB
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:15:15 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 07:44:58 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Eve Bittel
PO Box 5572
Santa Fe, NM 87502

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110719331829-177287 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 19:33:18 -0700
Received: from p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B61C019E804C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 18:53:48 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id e6572374.2435312560.26285.00-090.p01c11m046.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dwyer@aps.edu>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 19:33:18 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 18:29:35 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 07:33:18 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108023318.6758.17953.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: dwyer@aps.edu
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110746); R=0.078(107107135726); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <dwyer@aps.edu>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 07:33:18 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:24 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:24 AM
SMTPOriginator: dwyer@aps.edu
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 07:33:18 PM-11/07/2007 07:33:19 PM,11/07/2007 07:33:20 PM-11/07/2007 07:33:20 PM
\$Orig: 573748CA2EDB0CD38725738D000E0916
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:15:12 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 07:33:20 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, William Dwyer
21 Fleetfoot
Tijeras, NM 87059

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007110719265728-177189 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 19:26:57 -0700
Received: from p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 9EFD319E804C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 18:47:27 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTTP id 1f372374.3498711984.21692.00-053.p01c11m097.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <skidog2@comcast.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 19:26:57 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 18:23:14 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 07:26:57 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108022657.6758.17905.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: skidog2@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110746); R=0.078(107107135841); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <skidog2@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 07:26:57 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:24 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:24 AM
SMTPOriginator: skidog2@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 07:26:57 PM-11/07/2007 07:26:58 PM,11/07/2007 07:26:58 PM-11/07/2007 07:26:59 PM
\$Orig: 61653A52928F2C8B8725738D000D7440
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:15:07 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 07:26:59 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, philip rickman
57 Posada Dr
Pueblo, CO 81005

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110719173836-177029 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 19:17:38 -0700
Received: from p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 93A5719E804C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 18:38:08 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 2c172374.3487484848.16088.00-012.p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <birdthompson@juno.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 19:17:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 18:13:55 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 07:17:38 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108021738.6758.17815.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: birdthompson@juno.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110746); R=0.078(107107135645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <birdthompson@juno.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 07:17:38 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:24 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:24 AM
SMTPOriginator: birdthompson@juno.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 07:17:38 PM-11/07/2007 07:17:40 PM,11/07/2007 07:17:40 PM-11/07/2007 07:17:41 PM
\$Orig: 37F341FAD311B1478725738D000C99EC
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:15:04 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 07:17:41 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Bird Thompson
2841 Madison St NE
Albuquerque, NM 87110

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110718575476-176679 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 18:57:54 -0700
Received: from p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id BEB5019E82CF for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 18:18:24 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 22d62374.2539695024.26470.00-040.p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <grelbik@att.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 18:57:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 17:54:12 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 06:57:54 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108015754.6758.17689.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: grelbik@att.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110746); R=0.078(107107135810); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <grelbik@att.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 06:57:54 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:25 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:25 AM
SMTPOriginator: grelbik@att.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 06:57:54 PM-11/07/2007 06:57:56 PM,11/07/2007 06:57:56 PM-11/07/2007 06:57:56 PM
\$Orig: D9B1527B9B52F6C58725738D000ACB94
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:15:02 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 06:57:56 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstitute recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, David Ther
1517 Stanford Dr NE
Albuquerque, NM 87106

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110718533531-176609 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 18:53:35 -0700
Received: from p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4596519E82CA for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 18:14:05 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id f1c62374.2528164784.170560.00-027.p01c11m023.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ritag505@aol.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 18:53:35 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 17:49:52 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 06:53:35 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108015335.6758.17651.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: ritag505@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110746); R=0.078(107107135823); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <ritag505@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 06:53:35 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:25 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:25 AM
SMTPOriginator: ritag505@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 06:53:35 PM-11/07/2007 06:53:36 PM,11/07/2007 06:53:37 PM-11/07/2007 06:53:37 PM
\$Orig: DA71C4139A4F85A88725738D000A663B
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:14:59 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 06:53:37 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Rita Guidi
44 Dawn Trl
Santa Fe, NM 87508

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110718523436-176587 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 18:52:34 -0700
Received: from p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4657E19E82CF for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 18:13:04 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 2eb62374.2547272624.99211.00-048.p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sisstrav@aol.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 18:52:34 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 17:48:51 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 06:52:34 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108015234.6758.17649.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: sisstrav@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110746); R=0.078(107107135726); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X_Mail_From: <sisstrav@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 06:52:34 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:25 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:25 AM
SMTPOriginator: sisstrav@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 06:52:34 PM-11/07/2007 06:52:36 PM,11/07/2007 06:52:37 PM-11/07/2007 06:52:37 PM
\$Orig: 530CDF374F2DADDB8725738D000A4E6C
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:14:55 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 06:52:37 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstitute recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Karen Sisson
unknown
unknown

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110718381309-176203 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 18:38:13 -0700
Received: from p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id CFBE619E804C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 17:58:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 48862374.2602249136.37517.00-113.p01c11m007.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mmwentzel@pacific.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 18:38:12 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 17:34:30 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 06:38:12 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108013812.6758.17555.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: mmwentzel@pacific.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110744); R=0.078(107107135718); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <mmwentzel@pacific.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 06:38:13 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:25 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:25 AM
SMTPOriginator: mmwentzel@pacific.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 06:38:13 PM-11/07/2007 06:38:13 PM,11/07/2007 06:38:14 PM-11/07/2007 06:38:14 PM
\$Orig: 584027F29AC764F18725738D0008FDFD
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:14:52 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 06:38:14 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Monique Wentzel
unknown
unknown

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110718284595-176024 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 18:28:45 -0700
Received: from p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8E7CD19E804C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 17:49:15 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id d4662374.2529844144.4188.00-034.p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sherritijerina@yahoo.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 18:28:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 17:25:03 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 06:28:45 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108012845.6758.17477.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: sherritijerina@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110743); R=0.078(107107135726); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <sherritijerina@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 06:28:45 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:26 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:26 AM
SMTPOriginator: sherritijerina@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 06:28:45 PM-11/07/2007 06:28:47 PM,11/07/2007 06:28:48 PM-11/07/2007 06:28:48 PM
\$Orig: 8E0AED54F85F86BF8725738D00082073
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:14:45 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 06:28:48 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Sherri Tijerina
unknown
unknown

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110717572497-175372 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 17:57:24 -0700
Received: from p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 39C1919E800B for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 17:17:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 4fe52374.3426171824.1067.00-037.p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <envirohero@hotmail.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 17:57:24 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 16:53:42 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 05:57:24 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108005724.6758.17157.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: envirohero@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110743); R=0.078(107107135841); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <envirohero@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 05:57:24 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:26 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:26 AM
SMTPOriginator: envirohero@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 05:57:24 PM-11/07/2007 05:57:26 PM,11/07/2007 05:57:26 PM-11/07/2007 05:57:32 PM
\$Orig: 73D4A9F2470FD9418725738D000541B1
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:14:42 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 05:57:32 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstitute recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Randy Tashjian
1031 Trafalgar Drive
Glendale, CA 91207

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110717572559-175374 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 17:57:25 -0700
Received: from p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D361319E800B for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 17:17:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id 5fe52374.3363232688.1067.00-037.p01c11m093.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <enid@swcp.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 17:57:25 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 16:53:42 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 05:57:24 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108005724.6758.17159.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: enid@swcp.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110743); R=0.078(107107135841); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <enid@swcp.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 05:57:25 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:26 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:26 AM
SMTPOriginator: enid@swcp.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 05:57:25 PM-11/07/2007 05:57:26 PM,11/07/2007 05:57:26 PM-11/07/2007 05:57:28 PM
\$Orig: 66B2FA0DBC17CBA38725738D000541EF
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:14:40 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 05:57:28 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Enid Howarth
900 Hermosa Dr NE
Albuquerque, NM 87110

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110717381670-174862 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 17:38:16 -0700
Received: from p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id BC09D19E800B for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 16:58:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id 87a52374.131636144.31864.00-080.p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <b_donnell@msn.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 17:38:16 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 16:34:33 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 05:38:15 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108003815.6758.16986.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: b_donnell@msn.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110743); R=0.078(107107135717); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <b_donnell@msn.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 05:38:16 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:26 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:27 AM
SMTPOriginator: b_donnell@msn.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 05:38:16 PM-11/07/2007 05:38:18 PM,11/07/2007 05:38:18 PM-11/07/2007 05:38:19 PM
\$Orig: BAF3599F22202D758725738D00038126
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:14:35 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 05:38:19 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Bruce Donnell
124 Avenida De Las Casas
Santa Fe, NM 87506

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110717381615-174861 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 17:38:16 -0700
Received: from p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 37D9419E800B for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 16:58:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 87a52374.2653780912.31864.00-080.p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <wolfhowlmama@yahoo.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 17:38:16 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 16:34:33 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 05:38:15 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108003815.6758.16984.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: wolfhowlmama@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110743); R=0.078(107107135717); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <wolfhowlmama@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 05:38:16 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:27 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:27 AM
SMTPOriginator: wolfhowlmama@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 05:38:16 PM-11/07/2007 05:38:17 PM,11/07/2007 05:38:17 PM-11/07/2007 05:38:19 PM
\$Orig: 1487771E77D2273B8725738D000380EF
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:14:33 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 05:38:19 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Lydia Garvey
429 S 24th St
Clinton, OK 73601

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110717360044-174801 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 17:36:00 -0700
Received: from p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7828719E800B for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 16:56:29 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id 0f952374.2440887216.42745.00-013.p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cmunz@cox.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 17:36:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 16:32:17 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 05:35:59 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108003559.6758.16954.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: cmunz@cox.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110742); R=0.078(107107135718); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <cmunz@cox.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 05:36:00 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:27 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:27 AM
SMTPOriginator: cmunz@cox.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 05:36:00 PM-11/07/2007 05:36:01 PM,11/07/2007 05:36:01 PM-11/07/2007 05:36:02 PM
\$Orig: 78A00B554FF71FE38725738D00034BEC
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:14:27 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 05:36:02 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Carroll Munz
4820 E Caida Del Sol Dr
Paradise Valley, AZ 85253

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007110717355990-174799 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 17:35:59 -0700
Received: from p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id E69FD19E800B for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 16:56:28 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTTP id fe952374.2503826352.42745.00-013.p01c11m003.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bspears@spearsarchitects.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 17:35:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 16:32:17 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 05:35:59 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108003559.6758.16952.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: bspears@spearsarchitects.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110742); R=0.078(107107135718); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <bspears@spearsarchitects.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 05:35:59 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:27 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:27 AM
SMTPOriginator: bspears@spearsarchitects.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 05:35:59 PM-11/07/2007 05:36:01 PM,11/07/2007 05:36:01 PM-11/07/2007 05:36:02 PM
\$Orig: 832830AE27912AAB8725738D00034BB6
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:14:30 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 05:36:02 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

I think the Federal Government should stop killing wildlife and start protecting animals beyond domestic livestock.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong

in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Beverley Spears
2200 Fort Union Dr
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110717250117-174504 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 17:25:01 -0700
Received: from p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 161C919E82C4 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 16:45:30 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id c5752374.2582170544.1563.00-080.p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rmunholland@comcast.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 17:25:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 16:21:17 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 05:25:00 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108002500.6758.16856.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: rmunholland@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110742); R=0.078(107107135627); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <rmunholland@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 05:25:01 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:27 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:27 AM
SMTPOriginator: rmunholland@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 05:25:01 PM-11/07/2007 05:25:02 PM,11/07/2007 05:25:02 PM-11/07/2007 05:25:03 PM
\$Orig: 80D216ACDDAECECC8725738D00024A65
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:14:23 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 05:25:03 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, ray Munholland
3712 Ridge Pointe Loop NE
Albuquerque, NM 87111

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110717250051-174503 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 17:25:00 -0700
Received: from p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 6BB0D19E82C4 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 16:45:29 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id c5752374.2561190832.1563.00-080.p01c11m036.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <nehara777@naturemail.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 17:25:00 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 16:21:17 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 05:25:00 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108002500.6758.16854.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: nehara777@naturemail.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110742); R=0.078(107107135627); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <nehara777@naturemail.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 05:25:00 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:28 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:28 AM
SMTPOriginator: nehara777@naturemail.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 05:25:00 PM-11/07/2007 05:25:01 PM,11/07/2007 05:25:01 PM-11/07/2007 05:25:02 PM
\$Orig: 453FBB2869D21ED58725738D00024A23
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:14:20 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 05:25:02 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Arran Thomson
4613 NE 19th Ave
Portland, OR 97211-5807

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110717074480-174030 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 17:07:44 -0700
Received: from p01c11m077.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7B29119E804C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 16:28:13 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m077.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 05352374.3446201264.5422.00-079.p01c11m077.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gsandy@gci.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 17:07:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 16:04:02 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 05:07:44 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108000744.6758.16660.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: gsandy@gci.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110742); R=0.078(107107135717); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <gsandy@gci.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 05:07:44 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:28 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:28 AM
SMTPOriginator: gsandy@gci.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 05:07:44 PM-11/07/2007 05:07:45 PM,11/07/2007 05:07:45 PM-11/07/2007 05:07:46 PM
\$Orig: 10C2B7FC287027C18725738D0000B590
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:14:15 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 05:07:46 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

I was shocked to learn that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area

(BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild, and I wish to express my extreme concern regarding that fact. The Mexican gray wolf, a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest, and I hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA. It is the agency's duty to facilitate success for the Mexican gray wolf program.

The wolf program needs to get back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest, including: 1) promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; 2) revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; 3) eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; 4) requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; 5) supporting voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; 6) repealing, or at least suspending, Standard Operating Procedure 13 until the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and 7) immediately reinitiating recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to share my thoughts and concerns.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Maurice G. Sandy 516 3rd Street Juneau, AK 99801

Sincerely, Maurice Sandy
unknown
unknown

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007110717031781-173914 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 17:03:17 -0700
Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 6BD0419E800B for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 16:23:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTTP id 54252374.2509462448.39953.00-034.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <adamkarrera@yahoo.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 17:03:17 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 15:59:35 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 05:03:17 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108000317.6758.16618.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: adamkarrera@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110742); R=0.078(107107135823); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <adamkarrera@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 05:03:17 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:28 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:28 AM
SMTPOriginator: adamkarrera@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 05:03:17 PM-11/07/2007 05:03:18 PM,11/07/2007 05:03:19 PM-11/07/2007 05:03:19 PM
\$Orig: AF11995E61A7964E8725738D00004D45
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:14:12 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 05:03:19 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Adam Karrera
824 S Mill Ave # 55
Tempe, AZ 85281

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110717031845-173915 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 17:03:18 -0700
Received: from p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 174FD19E800B for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 16:23:47 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id 64252374.2530442160.39953.00-034.p01c11m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sherry.fitzmaurice@xilinx.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 17:03:18 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 15:59:35 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 05:03:17 PM
\$MessageID: <20071108000317.6758.16620.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: sherry.fitzmaurice@xilinx.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110742); R=0.078(107107135823); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <sherry.fitzmaurice@xilinx.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 05:03:18 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:28 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:28 AM
SMTPOriginator: sherry.fitzmaurice@xilinx.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 05:03:18 PM-11/07/2007 05:03:18 PM,11/07/2007 05:03:18 PM-11/07/2007 05:03:19 PM
\$Orig: D7BDE5C59728B3398725738D00004D85
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:14:05 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 05:03:19 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a

decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Sherry Fitzmaurice
PO Box 67102
Albuquerque, NM 87193

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110716495549-173529 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 16:49:55 -0700
Received: from p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id ED32619E82C4 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 16:10:23 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 32f42374.2507246512.10088.00-051.p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <chipandbecky@hotmail.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 16:49:55 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 15:46:12 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 04:49:55 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107234955.6758.16508.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: chipandbecky@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110741); R=0.078(107107135826); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <chipandbecky@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 04:49:55 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:29 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:29 AM
SMTPOriginator: chipandbecky@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 04:49:55 PM-11/07/2007 04:49:57 PM,11/07/2007 04:49:57 PM-11/07/2007 04:49:57 PM
\$Orig: CE72703B47BF549C8725738C0082E9DD
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:14:02 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 04:49:57 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Rebecca Herro
unknown
unknown

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110716342858-173126 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 16:34:28 -0700
Received: from p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D13B019E804C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 15:54:56 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 48b42374.2496289712.25901.00-118.p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <annstehr@comcast.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 16:34:28 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 15:30:45 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 04:34:28 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107233428.6758.16351.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: annstehr@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110741); R=0.078(107107135826); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <annstehr@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 04:34:28 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:29 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:29 AM
SMTPOriginator: annstehr@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 04:34:28 PM-11/07/2007 04:34:29 PM,11/07/2007 04:34:30 PM-11/07/2007 04:34:30 PM
\$Orig: 0341341500F1904C8725738C00817FCA
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:13:56 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 04:34:30 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Anne Stehr
711 Iron Ave SW
Albuquerque, NM 87102

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110716214419-172743 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 16:21:44 -0700
Received: from p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 57E5619E8057 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 15:42:12 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 88842374.2569718704.5716.00-084.p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sharon.hall@hotmail.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 16:21:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 15:18:01 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 04:21:43 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107232143.6758.16228.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: sharon.hall@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110740); R=0.078(107107135826); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <sharon.hall@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 04:21:44 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:29 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:29 AM
SMTPOriginator: sharon.hall@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 04:21:44 PM-11/07/2007 04:21:45 PM,11/07/2007 04:21:46 PM-11/07/2007 04:21:47 PM
\$Orig: 33C044AA6B284D108725738C00805533
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:13:49 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 04:21:47 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Sharon Hall
unknown
unknown

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110716162891-172588 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 16:16:28 -0700
Received: from p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 01F1319E82A5 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 15:36:56 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id c4742374.3393538992.36105.00-105.p01c11m081.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <schochet@rci.rutgers.edu>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 16:16:28 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 15:12:45 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 04:16:28 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107231628.6758.16164.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: schochet@rci.rutgers.edu
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110740); R=0.078(107107135645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <schochet@rci.rutgers.edu>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 04:16:28 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:29 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:29 AM
SMTPOriginator: schochet@rci.rutgers.edu
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 04:16:28 PM-11/07/2007 04:16:30 PM,11/07/2007 04:16:31 PM-11/07/2007 04:16:31 PM
\$Orig: 15EF83A1C2160AB48725738C007FDA0B
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:13:46 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 04:16:31 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Gordon Schochet
Department of Political Science, Rutgers University
89 George Street
New Brunswick, NJ 08901

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110716090997-172340 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 16:09:09 -0700
Received: from p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id EE60519E804C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 15:29:37 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 59542374.2707659696.44819.00-070.p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jrr@dfn.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 16:09:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 15:05:27 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 04:09:09 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107230909.6758.16090.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: jrr@dfn.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110740); R=0.078(107107135726); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jrr@dfn.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 04:09:09 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:30 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:30 AM
SMTPOriginator: jrr@dfn.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 04:09:09 PM-11/07/2007 04:09:11 PM,11/07/2007 04:09:11 PM-11/07/2007 04:09:12 PM
\$Orig: 881F421480B9EFD78725738C007F2E97
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:13:44 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 04:09:12 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Robert Myers
5210 N Eisenhower Rd
Roswell, NM 88201

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110715572562-171980 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 15:57:25 -0700
Received: from p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 7073519E82BA for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 15:17:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 5d242374.3194698672.11856.00-133.p01c11m087.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rcsailer@beu.midco.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 15:57:25 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 14:53:42 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 03:57:25 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107225725.6758.15982.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: rcsailer@beu.midco.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110739); R=0.078(107107135645); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <rcsailer@beu.midco.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 03:57:25 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:30 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:30 AM
SMTPOriginator: rcsailer@beu.midco.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 03:57:25 PM-11/07/2007 03:57:27 PM,11/07/2007 03:57:27 PM-11/07/2007 03:57:27 PM
\$Orig: 4D18A755BAB921E58725738C007E1B72
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:13:41 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 03:57:27 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, randy sailer
1018 cherry lane
beulah, ND 58523

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110715475538-171540 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 15:47:55 -0700
Received: from p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 19B4819E807B for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 15:08:23 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 78042374.2409044912.51762.00-302.p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jtinthemtns@hotmail.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 15:47:35 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 14:43:38 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 03:47:20 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107224720.6758.15892.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jtinthemtns@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110739); R=0.078(107107135718); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jtinthemtns@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 03:47:55 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:30 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:30 AM
SMTPOriginator: jtinthemtns@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 03:47:55 PM-11/07/2007 03:47:55 PM,11/07/2007 03:47:56 PM-11/07/2007 03:47:56 PM
\$Orig: AD06BD229AB6FBB88725738C007D3CB3
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:13:37 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 03:47:56 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

I want to thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Jeff Thompson
8905 Apache
Beulah, CO 81023

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007110715374494-171008 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 15:37:44 -0700
Received: from p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 7230619E804C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 14:58:12 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTTP id 83e32374.2674121648.31460.00-059.p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <antoniosghost@yahoo.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 15:37:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 14:34:01 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 03:37:44 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107223744.6758.15781.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: antoniosghost@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110739); R=0.078(107107135823); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <antoniosghost@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 03:37:44 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:30 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:30 AM
SMTPOriginator: antoniosghost@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 03:37:44 PM-11/07/2007 03:37:47 PM,11/07/2007 03:37:47 PM-11/07/2007 03:37:47 PM
\$Orig: 0BEF58D83809C4828725738C007C4E3E
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:13:29 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 03:37:47 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal, or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the the species has been restored to all or a significant portion of its former range, as required by the Endangered Species Act; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, antonio garcez
319 Sierra Vista Rd.
Placitas, NM 87043

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110715342435-170723 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 15:34:24 -0700
Received: from p01c11m006.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id E837219E800B for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 14:54:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m006.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 07d32374.2600418224.18680.00-074.p01c11m006.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bberkman@arc-a.org>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 15:34:24 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 14:30:41 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 03:34:23 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107223423.6758.15763.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: bberkman@arc-a.org
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110739); R=0.078(107107135718); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <bberkman@arc-a.org>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 03:34:24 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:31 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:31 AM
SMTPOriginator: bberkman@arc-a.org
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 03:34:24 PM-11/07/2007 03:34:25 PM,11/07/2007 03:34:26 PM-11/07/2007 03:34:26 PM
\$Orig: 17D00840AE0181628725738C007BFFE3
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:13:26 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 03:34:26 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Budd Berkman
11 Canoncito Rd
Placitas, NM 87043

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110715330960-170648 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 15:33:09 -0700
Received: from p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2CE0019E800B for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 14:53:37 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 52d32374.2527263664.20550.00-108.p01c11m026.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dressagenancy@comcast.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 15:33:09 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 14:29:26 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 03:33:08 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107223308.6758.15749.gmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: dressagenancy@comcast.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110739); R=0.078(107107135823); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <dressagenancy@comcast.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 03:33:09 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:31 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:31 AM
SMTPOriginator: dressagenancy@comcast.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 03:33:09 PM-11/07/2007 03:33:10 PM,11/07/2007 03:33:11 PM-11/07/2007 03:33:11 PM
\$Orig: 1D94D37FBD6C6AB78725738C007BE2B0
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:13:22 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 03:33:11 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Nancy Smoller
11 Lake Dr
Lambertville, NJ 08530

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110715282419-170382 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 15:28:24 -0700
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 5BFF419E804C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 14:48:51 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 70c32374.2526145456.23316.00-140.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <harriesmail@get2net.dk>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 15:28:23 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 14:24:40 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 03:28:23 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107222823.6758.15697.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: harriesmail@get2net.dk
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110738); R=0.078(107107135726); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <harriesmail@get2net.dk>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 03:28:24 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:31 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:31 AM
SMTPOriginator: harriesmail@get2net.dk
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 03:28:24 PM-11/07/2007 03:28:26 PM,11/07/2007 03:28:26 PM-11/07/2007 03:28:27 PM
\$Orig: AB485864888867358725738C007B7333
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:13:18 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 03:28:27 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Lene Hansen
unknown
unknown

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110715185324-169835 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 15:18:53 -0700
Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9A06419E8057 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 14:39:20 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id cc932374.2706500528.1529.00-044.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lvogel@rrmh.rrps.k12.nm.us>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 15:18:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 14:15:10 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 03:18:52 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107221852.6758.15561.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: lvogel@rrmh.rrps.k12.nm.us
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110738); R=0.078(107107135726); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <lvogel@rrmh.rrps.k12.nm.us>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 03:18:53 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:31 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:31 AM
SMTPOriginator: lvogel@rrmh.rrps.k12.nm.us
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 03:18:53 PM-11/07/2007 03:18:55 PM,11/07/2007 03:18:55 PM-11/07/2007 03:18:55 PM
\$Orig: AE7C1827ED1AEC7C8725738C007A942C
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:13:13 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 03:18:55 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a

decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstitute recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Laura Vogel
5841 Miller Rd NE
Rio Rancho, NM 87144

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110715083239-169255 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 15:08:32 -0700
Received: from p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id A5B5819E804C for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 14:28:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id f5732374.2508319664.87260.00-073.p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <splacesfe@aol.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 15:08:31 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 14:04:46 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 03:08:28 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107220828.6758.15459.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: splacesfe@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110738); R=0.078(107107135717); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <splacesfe@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 03:08:32 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:32 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:32 AM
SMTPOriginator: splacesfe@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 03:08:32 PM-11/07/2007 03:08:34 PM,11/07/2007 03:08:34 PM-11/07/2007 03:08:34 PM
\$Orig: 3CE29006FDBB38BA8725738C0079A1A7
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:13:09 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 03:08:34 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Sandra Place
7 Ute Ln
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110714544732-168578 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 14:54:47 -0700
Received: from p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 66E1219E800B for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 14:15:14 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 02432374.2559622064.11065.00-295.p01c11m033.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <tconnor@hvc.rr.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 14:54:40 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 13:50:50 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 02:54:32 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107215432.6758.15305.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: TConnor@hvc.rr.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110736); R=0.078(107107135627); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <tconnor@hvc.rr.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 02:54:47 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:32 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:32 AM
SMTPOriginator: tconnor@hvc.rr.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 02:54:47 PM-11/07/2007 02:54:48 PM,11/07/2007 02:54:48 PM-11/07/2007 02:54:48 PM
\$Orig: 9269168192E0B3A28725738C00785F5C
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:13:07 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 02:54:48 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstitute recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Thomas V. Connor
17 Dubois Street
Wallkill, NY 12589

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110714531126-168485 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 14:53:11 -0700
Received: from p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 54FEE19E82A2 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 14:13:38 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 6c332374.2683247536.18302.00-092.p01c11m005.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <schugrule@aol.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 14:53:10 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 13:49:28 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 02:53:10 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107215310.6758.15295.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: schugrule@aol.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110736); R=0.078(107107135718); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <schugrule@aol.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 02:53:11 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:32 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:32 AM
SMTPOriginator: schugrule@aol.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 02:53:11 PM-11/07/2007 02:53:12 PM,11/07/2007 02:53:12 PM-11/07/2007 02:53:13 PM
\$Orig: 79A66C6B2F67E50E8725738C007839D6
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:13:03 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 02:53:13 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Lawrence Schug
35002 115th Avenue
Avon, MN 56310

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110714465104-168145 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 14:46:51 -0700
Received: from p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0BB8F19E82A2 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 14:07:17 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id a4232374.2610916272.41224.00-024.p01c11m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <marieemorrissey@msn.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 14:46:50 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 13:43:08 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 02:46:50 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107214650.6758.15233.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: marieemorrissey@msn.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110736); R=0.078(107107135726); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <marieemorrissey@msn.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 02:46:51 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:32 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:32 AM
SMTPOriginator: marieemorrissey@msn.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 02:46:51 PM-11/07/2007 02:46:52 PM,11/07/2007 02:46:52 PM-11/07/2007 02:46:53 PM
\$Orig: EC07F3148E08EE858725738C0077A550
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:12:59 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 02:46:53 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Marie Morrissey
2330 S Kearney St Apt 113
Denver, CO 80222

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110714273946-167241 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 14:27:39 -0700
Received: from p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2B0EA19E828A for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 13:48:06 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id bcd22374.2550401968.14883.00-032.p01c11m065.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lollyb@santafe.edu>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 14:27:39 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 13:23:56 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 02:27:38 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107212738.6758.14935.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: lollyb@santafe.edu
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110734); R=0.078(107107135826); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <lollyb@santafe.edu>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 02:27:39 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:32 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:32 AM
SMTPOriginator: lollyb@santafe.edu
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 02:27:39 PM-11/07/2007 02:27:40 PM,11/07/2007 02:27:41 PM-11/07/2007 02:27:41 PM
\$Orig: 496E356CAAC1205C8725738C0075E37A
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:12:51 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 02:27:41 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Lolly Brown
3034 Calle Caballero
Santa Fe, NM 87507

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110714253317-167133 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 14:25:33 -0700
Received: from p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id E40EF19E82B0 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 13:45:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id c4d22374.2550700976.2866.00-099.p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <patr@crcwnet.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 14:25:32 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 13:21:49 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 02:25:32 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107212532.6758.14919.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: patr@crcwnet.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110734); R=0.078(107107135826); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <patr@crcwnet.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 02:25:33 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:33 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:33 AM
SMTPOriginator: patr@crcwnet.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 02:25:33 PM-11/07/2007 02:25:35 PM,11/07/2007 02:25:35 PM-11/07/2007 02:25:36 PM
\$Orig: 13F46EB70E72DDCD8725738C0075B225
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:12:49 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 02:25:36 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Pat Rasmussen
Moved New Address Is Unknown
Unknown, WA 00000

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110714133332-166460 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 14:13:33 -0700
Received: from p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id E4F8019E82B0 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 13:33:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id c7a22374.2495826864.55935.00-089.p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <wbpgreenfield@sbcglobal.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 14:13:32 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 13:09:49 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 02:13:31 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107211331.6758.14718.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: wbpgreenfield@sbcglobal.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0020082644; B=0.500(0); S=0.023(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110734); R=0.078(107107135717); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <wbpgreenfield@sbcglobal.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 02:13:33 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:33 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:33 AM
SMTPOriginator: wbpgreenfield@sbcglobal.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 02:13:33 PM-11/07/2007 02:13:34 PM,11/07/2007 02:13:34 PM-11/07/2007 02:13:35 PM
\$Orig: B1989D0870E50A778725738C007498F4
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:12:43 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 02:13:35 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

I am sorry but if it comes to wolves versus cattle, I am with the wolves. If the cattle are on PUBLIC land, get rid of them. We can always get more cattle but when the wolves are extinct, they are gone forever. Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New

Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Wayne B. Peters
4340 S 68th St
Greenfield, WI 53220

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007110714133200-166456 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 14:13:32 -0700
Received: from p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 866EA19E82B0 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 13:33:58 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTTP id b7a22374.2422397872.55935.00-089.p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jstmarie@ix.netcom.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 14:13:31 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 13:09:49 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 02:13:31 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107211331.6758.14714.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: jstmarie@ix.netcom.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110734); R=0.078(107107135717); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <jstmarie@ix.netcom.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 02:13:32 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:33 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:33 AM
SMTPOriginator: jstmarie@ix.netcom.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 02:13:32 PM-11/07/2007 02:13:34 PM,11/07/2007 02:13:34 PM-11/07/2007 02:13:35 PM
\$Orig: 8195CC3C8EF9AD7B8725738C00749870
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:12:38 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 02:13:35 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstitute recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Janice St. Marie
210 E Marcy St Ste 1
Santa Fe, NM 87501

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110714133265-166458 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 14:13:32 -0700
Received: from p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3F6E419E82A5 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 13:33:59 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id c7a22374.2705623984.55935.00-089.p01c11m074.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <losttribe_tx@yahoo.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 14:13:32 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 13:09:49 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 02:13:31 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107211331.6758.14716.gmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: losttribe_tx@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008610629; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110734); R=0.078(107107135717); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <losttribe_tx@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 02:13:32 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:33 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:33 AM
SMTPOriginator: losttribe_tx@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 02:13:32 PM-11/07/2007 02:13:34 PM,11/07/2007 02:13:34 PM-11/07/2007 02:13:35 PM
\$Orig: 6FF320D9E3E12A898725738C007498B1
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:12:33 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 02:13:35 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, John Burleson
1228 FAIRMOUNT AVE # 3
FORT WORTH, TX 76104

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007110714024536-165846 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 14:02:45 -0700
Received: from p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id CDC0119E8286 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 13:23:11 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTTP id 4f722374.3665972144.44156.00-126.p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cohenedmunds@netzero.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 14:02:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 12:59:02 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 02:02:44 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107210244.6758.14590.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: cohenedmunds@netzero.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110733); R=0.078(10710772556); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <cohenedmunds@netzero.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 02:02:45 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:34 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:34 AM
SMTPOriginator: cohenedmunds@netzero.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 02:02:45 PM-11/07/2007 02:02:47 PM,11/07/2007 02:02:47 PM-11/07/2007 02:02:47 PM
\$Orig: 58700540440A8CDE8725738C00739BD8
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:12:29 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 02:02:47 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Beth Cohen
707 Arno St SE
Albuquerque, NM 87102

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110713524681-165346 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 13:52:46 -0700
Received: from p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2000519E82B5 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 13:13:13 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id e9522374.2456918960.9903.00-153.p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <joshua@themathews.us>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 13:52:46 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 12:49:03 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 01:52:45 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107205245.6758.14454.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: joshua@themathews.us
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110732); R=0.078(1071077280); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <joshua@themathews.us>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 01:52:46 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:34 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:34 AM
SMTPOriginator: joshua@themathews.us
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 01:52:46 PM-11/07/2007 01:52:48 PM,11/07/2007 01:52:48 PM-11/07/2007 01:52:48 PM
\$Orig: B09100E8148226908725738C0072B20A
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:12:26 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 01:52:48 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Joshua Mathews
5024 BLUE GLEN DR
THE COLONY, TX 75056

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007110713524600-165344 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 13:52:46 -0700
Received: from p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 54BD419E82B1 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 13:13:12 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTTP id d9522374.2687695792.9903.00-153.p01c11m062.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <farnan33@hotmail.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 13:52:45 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 12:49:03 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 01:52:45 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107205245.6758.14452.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: farnan33@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110732); R=0.078(1071077280); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <farnan33@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 01:52:46 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:34 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:34 AM
SMTPOriginator: farnan33@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 01:52:46 PM-11/07/2007 01:52:47 PM,11/07/2007 01:52:47 PM-11/07/2007 01:52:47 PM
\$Orig: DCCD0DFD3BA325108725738C0072B1B8
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:12:21 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 01:52:47 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Kayla Farnan
955 Juniper
Atlanta, GA 30309

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007110713462604-165023 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 13:46:26 -0700
Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 4520219E82B0 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 13:06:52 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTTP id 12422374.3488717744.16115.00-133.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cjernigan33@hotmail.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 13:46:25 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 12:42:43 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 01:46:25 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107204625.6758.14338.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: cjernigan33@hotmail.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110731); R=0.078(10710772556); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <cjernigan33@hotmail.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 01:46:26 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:34 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:34 AM
SMTPOriginator: cjernigan33@hotmail.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 01:46:26 PM-11/07/2007 01:46:27 PM,11/07/2007 01:46:27 PM-11/07/2007 01:46:27 PM
\$Orig: 4C5258EEF200A7808725738C00721D4C
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:12:18 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 01:46:27 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Clayton Jernigan
unknown
unknown

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110713412788-164776 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 13:41:27 -0700
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1737019E82A5 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 13:01:54 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id 7f222374.2415020976.2659.00-038.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sbrs_mr@yahoo.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 13:41:27 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 12:37:44 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 01:41:26 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107204126.6758.14272.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: sbrs_mr@yahoo.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110731); R=0.078(10710772553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <sbrs_mr@yahoo.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 01:41:27 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:34 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:34 AM
SMTPOriginator: sbrs_mr@yahoo.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 01:41:27 PM-11/07/2007 01:41:29 PM,11/07/2007 01:41:29 PM-11/07/2007 01:41:30 PM
\$Orig: 4E2137553D7A7BED8725738C0071A8D4
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:12:14 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 01:41:30 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Mara Saubers

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110713412708-164775 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 13:41:27 -0700
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4FB4519E82A5 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 13:01:53 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 6f222374.2519919536.2659.00-038.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lyndalarsen77@msn.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 13:41:26 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 12:37:44 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 01:41:26 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107204126.6758.14270.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: lyndalarsen77@msn.com
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110731); R=0.078(10710772553); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <lyndalarsen77@msn.com>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 01:41:27 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:35 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:35 AM
SMTPOriginator: lyndalarsen77@msn.com
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 01:41:27 PM-11/07/2007 01:41:28 PM,11/07/2007 01:41:28 PM-11/07/2007 01:41:29 PM
\$Orig: A8CF2F178C2F36658725738C0071A884
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:12:11 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 01:41:29 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is an integral and essential part of our ecological heritage and deserves our protection. Wolves were here long before we were, and belong in the American Southwest.

As experts have found in Yellowstone, wolves play an extremely critical role in the ecological balance. They have been instrumental in bringing back reduced plant and tree populations and maintaining healthier ungulate herds, simply because of the presence of ungulate predators.

We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process, and thank you for considering my views.

Sincerely, Lynda Larsen
15 Avenida De Sevilla
Santa Fe, NM 87506

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110713271846-163960 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 13:27:18 -0700
Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 82F6119E805B for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:47:44 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id 5af12374.2666089392.22869.00-146.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <david@nmwild.org>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 13:27:17 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 12:23:33 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 01:27:15 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107202715.6758.14052.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>
From: david@nmwild.org
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110728); R=0.078(1071077261); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <david@nmwild.org>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 01:27:18 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:35 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:35 AM
SMTPOriginator: david@nmwild.org
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 01:27:18 PM-11/07/2007 01:27:19 PM,11/07/2007 01:27:19 PM-11/07/2007 01:27:20 PM
\$Orig: 63BE40C5826FD7A98725738C00705D06
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:12:06 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 01:27:20 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, David Ehrman
7137 Calientito Loop
Santa Fe, NM 87507

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110713271759-163958 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 13:27:17 -0700
Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 974E819E8057 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:47:43 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id 5af12374.2569583536.22869.00-146.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <capability.brown@sympatico.ca>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 13:27:17 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 12:23:33 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 01:27:15 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107202715.6758.14050.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: capability.brown@sympatico.ca
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110728); R=0.078(1071077261); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <capability.brown@sympatico.ca>
X_SOURCE_IP: [(unknown)]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 01:27:17 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:35 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:35 AM
SMTPOriginator: capability.brown@sympatico.ca
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 01:27:17 PM-11/07/2007 01:27:18 PM,11/07/2007 01:27:19 PM-11/07/2007 01:27:19 PM
\$Orig: B85EDAA049EB6FFF8725738C00705CAF
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:12:03 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 01:27:19 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a

decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Blake Wilson
unknown
unknown, CA m4v-2w4

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110713271668-163956 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 13:27:16 -0700
Received: from p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B41B319E82A3 for <r2fwe_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:47:42 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (mx1_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 4af12374.2601053104.22869.00-146.p01c11m004.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <smorgan1964@earthlink.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 13:27:16 -0700 (MST)
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 12:23:33 -0800
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 01:27:15 PM
\$MessageID: <20071107202715.6758.14048.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>
From: smorgan1964@earthlink.net
SendTo: R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069
X_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110728); R=0.078(1071077261); SC=none; SS=0.500]
X-Mail-From: <smorgan1964@earthlink.net>
X_SOURCE_IP: [65.160.234.70]
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 01:27:16 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:35 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:35 AM
SMTPOriginator: smorgan1964@earthlink.net
RoutingState:
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 01:27:16 PM-11/07/2007 01:27:18 PM,11/07/2007 01:27:18 PM-11/07/2007 01:27:19 PM
\$Orig: AE5C40BAB781EA228725738C00705C54
Categories:
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:12:01 AM
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 01:27:19 PM
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Susan Morgan
1681 Peaceful Valley Dr
Maple Falls, WA 98266

Principal: CN=R2FWE_AL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
\$langprincipal:
\$altprincipal:
ForwardedFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
ForwardedDate: 11/07/2007 01:12:07 PM
INetSendTo: John_Slown@fws.gov
INetCopyTo:
InetBlindCopyTo:
\$StorageTo: 1
\$Mailer: Lotus Notes Release 6.5.1 January 21, 2004
\$MessageID: <OF1D1EC3D8.29599B05-ON8725738C.006EE679-8725738C.006F4D6B@LocalDomain>
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 01:15:43 PM
Recipients: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS
MailOptions: 0
SaveOptions: 1
From: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
AltFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
Logo: stdNotesLtr0
useApplet: True
DefaultMailSaveOptions: 1
Query_String:
tmpImp:
Sign:
Encrypt:
SendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS
CopyTo:
BlindCopyTo:
Subject:
EnterSendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI
EnterCopyTo:
EnterBlindCopyTo:
\$RFSaveInfo: EB6F08A2E99C5EBA8725738C0064738B
\$UpdatedBy: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:12 PM

nortykalishman@gmail.com
11/07/2007 11:17 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further

the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Norton Kalishman
1043 Columbia Dr NE
Albuquerque, NM 87106

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:12 PM

trais.kliphuis@state.nm.us
11/07/2007 11:17 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstitute recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Trais Kliphuis
2445 Camino Capitan
Santa Fe, NM 87505

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:12 PM

weigelsj@yahoo.com

11/07/2007 11:17 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make

many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Stephanie Weigel
983 E 7th St
Chico, CA 95928

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:12 PM

gausfahl@aol.com
11/07/2007 11:17 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap

2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral

and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Gary Ausfahl
600 S Watson Ln
Littleton, CO 80123

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:12 PM

jeffkurtpetersen@gmail.com
11/07/2007 11:17 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than

60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Jeff Petersen
unknown
unknown

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM

kausfahl@aol.com
11/07/2007 11:19 AM

To

R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic

integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Karen Ausfahl
600 S Watson Ln
Littleton, CO 80123

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM

bspriddy@yahoo.com
11/07/2007 11:21 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments regarding Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

I would like to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a

result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Brenda Priddy
PO Box 381
Quemado, NM 87829

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM

vegsister@yahoo.com
11/07/2007 11:22 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

I am concerned that today fewer than 60 wolves exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage that deserves our protection. Wolves belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (canis lupus balieyi) should be listed as endangered in its own

right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (canis lupus). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial uplisting, the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that wolves be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Kirsten Stade
430 W 116th St
New York, NY 10027

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM

phidippides26@mac.com
11/07/2007 11:23 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf. At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial uplisting, the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. We've lost enough endangered species. This one's preservation is still within reach. Please be sure that everything possible is being done to conserve this beautiful, mythical part of Southwestern history.

(Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.)

Sincerely, John McAndrew
8 Bonito Ct
Santa Fe, NM 87508

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM

edwardacampbell@gmail.com
11/07/2007 11:27 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that

established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Ed Campbell

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM

jack@premierbrass.com
11/07/2007 11:28 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

As a career wildlife manager, I am ashamed of the USFWS actions regarding not only the Mexican wolf, but a host of other endangered species. Has the Service no shame? Who the hell will stand for wildlife if not us? Are the political pressures so great that the Service will abdicate its responsibility, its mandate? Tell me no, tell me that there exists enough spine within the Service to stand on principle and not cave in to the perceived political threats.

That said, thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate

of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Jack Schweitzer
PO Box 428, 10 Koala Ct
Eagle Nest, NM 87718

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM

mcd@nets.com
11/07/2007 11:29 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject

Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and

movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, M.C. Domandi
unknown
unknown

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM

rtalbott@alleghenydefense.org
11/07/2007 11:32 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,

Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Ryan Talbott
unknown
unknown

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM

lcolt@fguardians.org
11/07/2007 11:32 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*).

At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Lori Colt
312 Montezuma Ave
Santa Fe, NM 87501

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM

lckelly35@yahoo.com
11/07/2007 11:39 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstitute recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be

a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Lynette Kelly
1349 Lexington Ave Apt 5G
New York, NY 10128

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM

jwilliams@wsahealth.com
11/07/2007 11:39 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Please accept these comments on saving the Mexican Grey Wolf, which is a key to the health of other species and of habitats in New Mexico. My comments are on the Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus

facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Judith Williams

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM

bkwarner@windstream.net
11/07/2007 11:41 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject

Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Barbara Warner
unknown
unknown, KY 40033

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM

stormf5@riseup.net
11/07/2007 11:44 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov

cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our

ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, John Waters
136 Clingman Ave
Asheville, NC 28801

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM

weaintu@yahoo.com
11/07/2007 11:49 AM

To
R2FWE_AL@fws.gov
cc

Subject
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,
Pages
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap
2105 Osuna NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout

in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, david Barnes
7278 W Maple Ridge Dr
Tucson, AZ 85743