

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

twistbanks@mac.com  
11/07/2007 11:49 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management

procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Glen Banks  
PO Box 333  
Placitas, NM 87043

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

idyllharmony@fuse.net  
11/07/2007 11:49 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Chris Guy

1266 DAY CIR E  
MILFORD, OH 45150

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

catallport@earthlink.net  
11/07/2007 11:49 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA

Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, catherine allport  
2240 West Alameda St.  
no. 8  
santa fe, NM 87507

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

drkathrynhahn@yahoo.com  
11/07/2007 11:54 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Kathryn Hahn  
unknown  
unknown

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

dougsplanet@mac.com  
11/07/2007 11:59 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Doug Deaton  
unknown  
Albuquerque, NM 87102

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

sufi.mustafa@state.nm.us  
11/07/2007 11:59 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Sufi Mustafa  
1356 State Highway 313  
Algodones, NM 87001

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

ecooley60@yahoo.com  
11/07/2007 12:01 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our

ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support and encourage voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

I lived until 1959 at the eastern side of the Gila. As has been fully established in Wyoming and Montana, the stream habitat and strength of elk and similar species is actually improved in the presence of wolves. The Gila needs the wolf, many of the ranchers are in need of removal as their actions are not only detrimental to the wolf, but to the ecology of the whole area. Please consider using the wolf to improve the habitat for the whole area which would be of national, and possibly international benefit.

Sincerely, Rev. Theodore Cooley  
608 Valverde Dr SE  
Albuquerque, NM 87108

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

rpiidye4@yahoo.com  
11/07/2007 12:06 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican

wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Rick Provencio  
7 San Marcos Dr  
El Paso, TX 79922

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

gchris36@yahoo.com  
11/07/2007 12:08 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, g. v. christensen  
PO Box 308  
p. o. box 308

Springerville, AZ 85938

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

sanwards@unm.edu  
11/07/2007 12:12 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Sandra Edwards  
329 Cardenas Dr NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87108

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

calfraser@gmail.com  
11/07/2007 12:12 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

I understand that USFWS plans to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

I cannot tell you how disappointed I have been in the USFWS and its failure to plan and implement a scientifically sensible and responsible program to restore the Mexican gray wolf to the Southwest. Judging by the results, USFWS seems intent on driving this important subspecies into extinction: Today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) must be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j). Failure to do so would merely perpetuate the incompetent and destructive failures of this program so far.

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral

and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Caroline Fraser  
117 Mesa Vista  
Santa Fe, NM 87501

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

sjklein3@comcast.net  
11/07/2007 12:12 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus

facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Stuart Klein  
5304 Dee Dr NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87111

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

algrannytx1952@aol.com  
11/07/2007 12:14 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages

44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf

of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Lavana Mertz  
13907 Joyce Dr  
Leander, TX 78641

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

barryhat@cybermesa.com  
11/07/2007 12:14 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Barry Hatfield  
PO BOX 4096  
SANTA FE, NM 87502

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

nsutherlin@hotmail.com  
11/07/2007 12:14 PM

To

R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to

reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Natasha Sutherlin  
9711 Lona Ln NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87111

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

sellart@earthlink.net  
11/07/2007 12:14 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental

Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, mary ann leitch  
526 reed st  
phila, PA 19147

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

hezrap2@aol.com  
11/07/2007 12:14 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to

conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, h Parmeter  
6100 4th St NW  
#278  
albuquerque, NM 87107

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

tlbladybug@comcast.net  
11/07/2007 12:20 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Tracy Brown  
2467 E Landis Ave  
Vineland, NJ 08361

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

billysun@wildrockies.org  
11/07/2007 12:24 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Billy Stern  
1712 Espinacitas St  
Santa Fe, NM 87505

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

nick.babic@ziaenergy.com  
11/07/2007 12:24 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Nick Babic

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI  
on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM -----

cristy0221@hotmail.com  
11/07/2007 12:27 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*).

At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Cristy Wickman  
1227 San Jose Ave.  
Santa Fe, NM 87505

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

geoffsjg@yahoo.com  
11/07/2007 12:27 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstitute recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be

a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Geoffrey Giller  
88 River Edge Farms Rd  
Madison, CT 06443

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

lallym@mothering.com  
11/07/2007 12:28 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please expand protections for the Mexican Grey Wolf. Ranchers do not get to decide what species live and die. They are not gods. These ranchers should be sanctioned for killing these wolves. Please stop favoring the ranchers over wildlife. Please give these creatures the REAL protections they deserve.

Today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral

part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Lally McMahon  
PO Box 6783  
Santa Fe, NM 87502

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

dewitth@msn.com  
11/07/2007 12:36 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding

on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Dewitt Henderson  
PO Box 505  
San Marcos, TX 78667

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

sydmusai@swcp.com  
11/07/2007 12:40 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the

Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Sydney Walter  
2501 W Zia Rd Apt 6202  
Suite C  
Santa Fe, NM 87505

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

mindyturner@comcast.net  
11/07/2007 12:44 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican

wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, mindy turner  
60C Ellis Ranch Rd  
Santa Fe, NM 87505

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

goldopps@aol.com  
11/07/2007 12:44 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Ann Kirkpatrick  
159 El Rey Drive  
Corrales, NM 87048

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

btafanel@zianet.com  
11/07/2007 12:55 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make

many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Robert Tafanelli  
unknown  
unknown

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

decole@unm.edu  
11/07/2007 01:08 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap

2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Deborah Cole  
3 Frasco Rd  
Santa Fe, NM 87508

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

garylantz@cox.net  
11/07/2007 01:08 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*).

At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, gary lantz  
913 Andrea  
Norman, OK 73072

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:11 PM  
-----

kaliel@juno.com  
11/07/2007 01:08 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstitute recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be

a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Susan Bechtholt  
unknown  
unknown

Principal: CN=R2FWE\_AL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
\$langprincipal:  
\$altprincipal:  
ForwardedFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
ForwardedDate: 11/07/2007 01:10:06 PM  
INetSendTo: John\_Slown@fws.gov  
INetCopyTo:  
InetBlindCopyTo:  
\$StorageTo: 1  
\$Mailer: Lotus Notes Release 6.5.1 January 21, 2004  
\$MessageID: <OF29824E1A.E4EA2BFB-ON8725738C.006EC99C-8725738C.006ECCF3@LocalDomain>  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 01:10:14 PM  
Recipients: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
MailOptions: 0  
SaveOptions: 1  
From: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
AltFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
Logo: stdNotesLtr0  
useApplet: True  
DefaultMailSaveOptions: 1  
Query\_String:  
tmpImp:  
Sign:  
Encrypt:  
SendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
CopyTo:  
BlindCopyTo:  
Subject: Fw: Mexican Gray Wolf  
EnterSendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
EnterCopyTo:  
EnterBlindCopyTo:  
\$RFSaveInfo: 70CE23DC1698E11A8725738C006DEE2B  
\$UpdatedBy: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:10 PM  
-----

deerpeople@yahoo.com  
11/07/2007 01:00 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Mexican Gray Wolf

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

The Mexican gray wolf is an important part of our ecological heritage and deserves protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. I hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

The ESA requires that our wolves be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you.

Sincerely, Erich Decker-Hoppen  
PO Box 937  
Santa Cruz, NM 87567

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110713082974-162964 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 13:08:29 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9640419E82A3 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:28:55 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id d3b12374.2640448432.10242.00-049.p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kaliel@juno.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 13:08:29 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 12:04:45 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 01:08:27 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107200827.6758.13766.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: kaliel@juno.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110728); R=0.078(1071077261); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <kaliel@juno.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 01:08:29 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:41 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:41 AM  
SMTPOriginator: kaliel@juno.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 01:08:29 PM-11/07/2007 01:08:30 PM,11/07/2007 01:08:30 PM-11/07/2007 01:08:30 PM  
\$Orig: 07D92E6B7A5452518725738C006EA41E  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:11:56 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 01:08:30 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Susan Bechtholt  
unknown  
unknown

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110713082902-162963 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 13:08:29 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id DAF9419E8284 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:28:54 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id c3b12374.2525060016.10242.00-049.p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <garylantz@cox.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 13:08:28 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 12:04:45 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 01:08:27 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107200827.6758.13764.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: garylantz@cox.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110728); R=0.078(1071077261); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <garylantz@cox.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 01:08:29 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:41 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:41 AM  
SMTPOriginator: garylantz@cox.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 01:08:29 PM-11/07/2007 01:08:30 PM,11/07/2007 01:08:30 PM-11/07/2007 01:08:30 PM  
\$Orig: DF0216F961F6E0DB8725738C006EA3D6  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:11:51 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 01:08:30 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, gary lantz  
913 Andrea  
Norman, OK 73072

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110713082833-162962 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 13:08:28 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3086F19E8282 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:28:54 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id b3b12374.2535549872.10242.00-049.p01c11m002.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <decole@unm.edu>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 13:08:27 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 12:04:45 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 01:08:27 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107200827.6758.13762.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: decole@unm.edu  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110728); R=0.078(1071077261); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <decole@unm.edu>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 01:08:28 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:42 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:42 AM  
SMTPOriginator: decole@unm.edu  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 01:08:28 PM-11/07/2007 01:08:29 PM,11/07/2007 01:08:29 PM-11/07/2007 01:08:29 PM  
\$Orig: 8E6F803121CFEC018725738C006EA391  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:11:47 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 01:08:29 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Deborah Cole  
3 Frasco Rd  
Santa Fe, NM 87508

Principal: CN=R2FWE\_AL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
\$langprincipal:  
\$altprincipal:  
ForwardedFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
ForwardedDate: 11/07/2007 01:04:50 PM  
INetSendTo: John\_Slown@fws.gov  
INetCopyTo:  
InetBlindCopyTo:  
\$StorageTo: 1  
\$Mailer: Lotus Notes Release 6.5.1 January 21, 2004  
\$MessageID: <OFD9C3F9CA.3CB10D64-ON8725738C.006E3D24-8725738C.006E543A@LocalDomain>  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 01:05:05 PM  
Recipients: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
MailOptions: 0  
SaveOptions: 1  
From: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
AltFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
Logo: stdNotesLtr0  
useApplet: True  
DefaultMailSaveOptions: 1  
Query\_String:  
tmpImp:  
Sign:  
Encrypt:  
SendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
CopyTo:  
BlindCopyTo:  
Subject:  
EnterSendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
EnterCopyTo:  
EnterBlindCopyTo:  
\$RFSaveInfo: EB6F08A2E99C5EBA8725738C0064738B  
\$UpdatedBy: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

nortykalishman@gmail.com  
11/07/2007 11:17 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further

the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Norton Kalishman  
1043 Columbia Dr NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87106

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

trais.kliphuis@state.nm.us  
11/07/2007 11:17 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Trais Kliphuis  
2445 Camino Capitan  
Santa Fe, NM 87505

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

weigelsj@yahoo.com

11/07/2007 11:17 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make

many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Stephanie Weigel  
983 E 7th St  
Chico, CA 95928

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

gausfahl@aol.com  
11/07/2007 11:17 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap

2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstitute recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral

and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Gary Ausfahl  
600 S Watson Ln  
Littleton, CO 80123

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

jeffkurtpetersen@gmail.com  
11/07/2007 11:17 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than

60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Jeff Petersen  
unknown  
unknown

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

kausfahl@aol.com  
11/07/2007 11:19 AM

To

R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic

integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Karen Ausfahl  
600 S Watson Ln  
Littleton, CO 80123

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

bspriddy@yahoo.com  
11/07/2007 11:21 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments regarding Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

I would like to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a

result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Brenda Priddy  
PO Box 381  
Quemado, NM 87829

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

vegsister@yahoo.com  
11/07/2007 11:22 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

I am concerned that today fewer than 60 wolves exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage that deserves our protection. Wolves belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (canis lupus balieyi) should be listed as endangered in its own

right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (canis lupus). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial uplisting, the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that wolves be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Kirsten Stade  
430 W 116th St  
New York, NY 10027

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

phidippides26@mac.com  
11/07/2007 11:23 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf. At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial uplisting, the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. We've lost enough endangered species. This one's preservation is still within reach. Please be sure that everything possible is being done to conserve this beautiful, mythical part of Southwestern history.

(Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.)

Sincerely, John McAndrew  
8 Bonito Ct  
Santa Fe, NM 87508

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

edwardacampbell@gmail.com  
11/07/2007 11:27 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that

established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Ed Campbell

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

jack@premierbrass.com  
11/07/2007 11:28 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

As a career wildlife manager, I am ashamed of the USFWS actions regarding not only the Mexican wolf, but a host of other endangered species. Has the Service no shame? Who the hell will stand for wildlife if not us? Are the political pressures so great that the Service will abdicate its responsibility, its mandate? Tell me no, tell me that there exists enough spine within the Service to stand on principle and not cave in to the perceived political threats.

That said, thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate

of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Jack Schweitzer  
PO Box 428, 10 Koala Ct  
Eagle Nest, NM 87718

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

mcd@nets.com  
11/07/2007 11:29 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject

Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and

movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, M.C. Domandi  
unknown  
unknown

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

rtalbott@allegghenydefense.org  
11/07/2007 11:32 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,

Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Ryan Talbott  
unknown  
unknown

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

lcolt@fguardians.org  
11/07/2007 11:32 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*).

At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Lori Colt  
312 Montezuma Ave  
Santa Fe, NM 87501

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

lckelly35@yahoo.com  
11/07/2007 11:39 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be

a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Lynette Kelly  
1349 Lexington Ave Apt 5G  
New York, NY 10128

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

jwilliams@wsahealth.com  
11/07/2007 11:39 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Please accept these comments on saving the Mexican Grey Wolf, which is a key to the health of other species and of habitats in New Mexico. My comments are on the Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus

facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Judith Williams

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

bkwarner@windstream.net  
11/07/2007 11:41 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject

Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Barbara Warner  
unknown  
unknown, KY 40033

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

stormf5@riseup.net  
11/07/2007 11:44 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov

cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our

ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, John Waters  
136 Clingman Ave  
Asheville, NC 28801

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

weaintu@yahoo.com  
11/07/2007 11:49 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout

in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, david Barnes  
7278 W Maple Ridge Dr  
Tucson, AZ 85743

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

twistbanks@mac.com  
11/07/2007 11:49 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Glen Banks  
PO Box 333  
Placitas, NM 87043

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

idyllharmony@fuse.net

11/07/2007 11:49 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the

BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Chris Guy  
1266 DAY CIR E  
MILFORD, OH 45150

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

catallport@earthlink.net  
11/07/2007 11:49 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on

Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, catherine allport  
2240 West Alameda St.  
no. 8  
santa fe, NM 87507

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

drkathrynhahn@yahoo.com  
11/07/2007 11:54 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make

many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Kathryn Hahn  
unknown  
unknown

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

dougsplanet@mac.com  
11/07/2007 11:59 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap

2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Doug Deaton  
unknown  
Albuquerque, NM 87102

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

sufi.mustafa@state.nm.us  
11/07/2007 11:59 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own

right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (canis lupus). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Sufi Mustafa  
1356 State Highway 313  
Algodones, NM 87001

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

ecooley60@yahoo.com  
11/07/2007 12:01 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support and encourage voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral

and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

I lived until 1959 at the eastern side of the Gila. As has been fully established in Wyoming and Montana, the stream habitat and strength of elk and similar species is actually improved in the presence of wolves. The Gila needs the wolf, many of the ranchers are in need of removal as their actions are not only detrimental to the wolf, but to the ecology of the whole area. Please consider using the wolf to improve the habitat for the whole area which would be of national, and possibly international benefit.

Sincerely, Rev. Theodore Cooley  
608 Valverde Dr SE  
Albuquerque, NM 87108

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

rpiidye4@yahoo.com  
11/07/2007 12:06 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a

decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Rick Provencio  
7 San Marcos Dr  
El Paso, TX 79922

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

gchris36@yahoo.com  
11/07/2007 12:08 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to

remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, g. v. christensen  
PO Box 308  
p. o. box 308  
Springerville, AZ 85938

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

sanwards@unm.edu  
11/07/2007 12:12 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental

Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Sandra Edwards  
329 Cardenas Dr NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87108

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

calfraser@gmail.com  
11/07/2007 12:12 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

I understand that USFWS plans to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

I cannot tell you how disappointed I have been in the USFWS and its failure to plan and implement a scientifically sensible and responsible program to restore the Mexican gray wolf to the Southwest. Judging by the results, USFWS seems intent on driving this important subspecies into extinction: Today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) must be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j). Failure to do so would merely perpetuate the incompetent and destructive failures of this program so far.

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Caroline Fraser  
117 Mesa Vista  
Santa Fe, NM 87501

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

sjklein3@comcast.net  
11/07/2007 12:12 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a

result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Stuart Klein  
5304 Dee Dr NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87111

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

algrannytx1952@aol.com  
11/07/2007 12:14 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf

program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (canis lupus balieyi) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (canis lupus). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Lavana Mertz  
13907 Joyce Dr  
Leander, TX 78641

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM

-----  
barryhat@cybermesa.com  
11/07/2007 12:14 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstitute recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover

Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Barry Hatfield  
PO BOX 4096  
SANTA FE, NM 87502

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

nsutherlin@hotmail.com  
11/07/2007 12:14 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and

Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Natasha Sutherlin  
9711 Lona Ln NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87111

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

sellart@earthlink.net  
11/07/2007 12:14 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject

Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has

been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, mary ann leitch  
526 reed st  
phila, PA 19147

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

hezrap2@aol.com  
11/07/2007 12:14 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, h Parmeter  
6100 4th St NW  
#278  
albuquerque, NM 87107

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

tlbladybug@comcast.net  
11/07/2007 12:20 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to

remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Tracy Brown  
2467 E Landis Ave  
Vineland, NJ 08361

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

billysun@wildrockies.org  
11/07/2007 12:24 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed

Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Billy Stern  
1712 Espinacitas St  
Santa Fe, NM 87505

-----

nick.babic@ziaenergy.com  
11/07/2007 12:24 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic

integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Nick Babic

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI  
on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM -----

cristy0221@hotmail.com  
11/07/2007 12:27 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Cristy Wickman  
1227 San Jose Ave.  
Santa Fe, NM 87505

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

geoffsjg@yahoo.com  
11/07/2007 12:27 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Geoffrey Giller  
88 River Edge Farms Rd  
Madison, CT 06443

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

lallym@mothering.com  
11/07/2007 12:28 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please expand protections for the Mexican Grey Wolf. Ranchers do not get to decide what species live and die. They are not gods. These ranchers should be sanctioned for killing these wolves. Please stop favoring the ranchers over wildlife. Please give these creatures the REAL protections they deserve.

Today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral

and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Lally McMahon  
PO Box 6783  
Santa Fe, NM 87502

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

dewitth@msn.com  
11/07/2007 12:36 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to

implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Dewitt Henderson  
PO Box 505  
San Marcos, TX 78667

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

sydmusai@swcp.com  
11/07/2007 12:40 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject

Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus balieyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has

been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Sydney Walter  
2501 W Zia Rd Apt 6202  
Suite C  
Santa Fe, NM 87505

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

mindyturner@comcast.net  
11/07/2007 12:44 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, mindy turner  
60C Ellis Ranch Rd  
Santa Fe, NM 87505

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

goldopps@aol.com  
11/07/2007 12:44 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and

movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Ann Kirkpatrick  
159 El Rey Drive  
Corrales, NM 87048

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 01:04 PM  
-----

btafanel@zianet.com  
11/07/2007 12:55 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed

Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Robert Tafanelli  
unknown  
unknown



Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007110713004394-162481 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 13:00:43 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id A86E019E800B for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:21:09 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTTP id b6912374.2685504432.49741.00-130.p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <deerpeople@yahoo.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 13:00:43 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 11:57:00 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 01:00:43 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107200043.6758.13651.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: deerpeople@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0140039008; B=0.500(0); S=0.143(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110728); R=0.078(10710772731); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <deerpeople@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 01:00:43 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:52 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:52 AM  
SMTPOriginator: deerpeople@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 01:00:43 PM-11/07/2007 01:00:44 PM,11/07/2007 01:00:44 PM-11/07/2007 01:00:45 PM  
\$Orig: 70CE23DC1698E11A8725738C006DDEE2B  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:11:44 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 01:00:45 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

The Mexican gray wolf is an important part of our ecological heritage and deserves protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. I hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

The ESA requires that our wolves be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral

and ecological imperative. Thank you.

Sincerely, Erich Decker-Hoppen  
PO Box 937  
Santa Cruz, NM 87567

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110712555683-162214 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:55:56 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 805F319E800B for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:16:22 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id c4812374.3404364720.73335.00-042.p01c11m085.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <btafanel@zianet.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 12:55:56 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 11:52:13 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 12:55:55 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107195555.6758.13582.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: btafanel@zianet.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110728); R=0.078(10710772538); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <btafanel@zianet.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 12:55:56 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:53 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:53 AM  
SMTPOriginator: btafanel@zianet.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 12:55:56 PM-11/07/2007 12:55:58 PM,11/07/2007 12:55:58 PM-11/07/2007 12:55:58 PM  
\$Orig: AE1B0E3F5B6E82D18725738C006D7E03  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:11:39 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 12:55:58 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Robert Tafanelli  
unknown  
unknown

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110712441407-161527 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:44:14 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 88D4619E82A6 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:04:39 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id d8512374.2538646448.4108.00-145.p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <goldopps@aol.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 12:44:13 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 11:40:30 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 12:44:12 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107194412.6758.13400.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: goldopps@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110727); R=0.078(10710772731); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <goldopps@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 12:44:14 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:53 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:53 AM  
SMTPOriginator: goldopps@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 12:44:14 PM-11/07/2007 12:44:15 PM,11/07/2007 12:44:15 PM-11/07/2007 12:44:16 PM  
\$Orig: 025F7F716C7771828725738C006C6B7F  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:11:36 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 12:44:16 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Ann Kirkpatrick  
159 El Rey Drive  
Corrales, NM 87048

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110712441326-161526 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:44:13 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id AA40B19E82A6 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:04:38 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id c8512374.2538646448.4108.00-145.p01c11m013.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mindyturner@comcast.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 12:44:12 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 11:40:30 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 12:44:12 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107194412.6758.13398.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: mindyturner@comcast.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110727); R=0.078(10710772731); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <mindyturner@comcast.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 12:44:13 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:53 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:53 AM  
SMTPOriginator: mindyturner@comcast.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 12:44:13 PM-11/07/2007 12:44:14 PM,11/07/2007 12:44:14 PM-11/07/2007 12:44:15 PM  
\$Orig: 34C6C25BC4BA350B8725738C006C6B2E  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:11:30 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 12:44:15 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, mindy turner  
60C Ellis Ranch Rd  
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007110712410533-161290 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:41:05 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id CE7FE19E800B for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:01:30 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTTP id ab412374.2477706160.128.00-051.p01c11m037.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sydmusai@swcp.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 12:40:42 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 11:36:38 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 12:40:21 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107194021.6758.13354.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: sydmusai@swcp.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110727); R=0.078(10710772553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <sydmusai@swcp.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 12:41:05 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:54 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:54 AM  
SMTPOriginator: sydmusai@swcp.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 12:41:05 PM-11/07/2007 12:41:06 PM,11/07/2007 12:41:06 PM-11/07/2007 12:41:07 PM  
\$Orig: 35C27D491D3FE3608725738C006C21C5  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:11:25 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 12:41:07 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Sydney Walter  
2501 W Zia Rd Apt 6202  
Suite C  
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110712364036-161073 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:36:40 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C968919E8057 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:57:05 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 7c312374.2414562224.17254.00-017.p01c11m034.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dewitth@msn.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 12:36:39 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 11:32:57 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 12:36:39 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107193639.6758.13306.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: dewitth@msn.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110727); R=0.078(10710772553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <dewitth@msn.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 12:36:40 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:54 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:54 AM  
SMTPOriginator: dewitth@msn.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 12:36:40 PM-11/07/2007 12:36:41 PM,11/07/2007 12:36:41 PM-11/07/2007 12:36:42 PM  
\$Orig: A8E9634028E70E2D8725738C006BBA44  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:11:22 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 12:36:42 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Dewitt Henderson  
PO Box 505  
San Marcos, TX 78667

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110712285817-160607 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:28:58 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8630F19E829D for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:49:23 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 9f112374.2655550384.6072.00-046.p01c11m031.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lallym@mothering.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 12:28:57 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 11:25:15 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 12:28:57 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107192857.6758.13197.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: lallym@mothering.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110727); R=0.078(10710772553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <lallym@mothering.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 12:28:58 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:55 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:55 AM  
SMTPOriginator: lallym@mothering.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 12:28:58 PM-11/07/2007 12:28:58 PM,11/07/2007 12:28:59 PM-11/07/2007 12:28:59 PM  
\$Orig: 4ECB25BA6CA1AB598725738C006B05B9  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:11:16 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 12:28:59 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please expand protections for the Mexican Grey Wolf. Ranchers do not get to decide what species live and die. They are not gods.

These ranchers should be sanctioned for killing these wolves. Please stop favoring the ranchers over wildlife. Please give these creatures the REAL protections they deserve.

Today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Lally McMahan  
PO Box 6783  
Santa Fe, NM 87502

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110712273103-160539 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:27:31 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 59A1819E82A0 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:47:56 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id 0a112374.2702715824.2306.00-058.p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <geoffsjg@yahoo.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 12:27:28 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 11:23:37 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 12:27:19 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107192719.6758.13181.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: geoffsjg@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110725); R=0.078(1071077289); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <geoffsjg@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 12:27:31 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:55 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:55 AM  
SMTPOriginator: geoffsjg@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 12:27:31 PM-11/07/2007 12:27:32 PM,11/07/2007 12:27:32 PM-11/07/2007 12:27:33 PM  
\$Orig: 5227CC256FE94E9A8725738C006AE3AF  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:11:14 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 12:27:33 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Geoffrey Giller  
88 River Edge Farms Rd  
Madison, CT 06443

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110712272606-160533 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:27:26 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 64CF219E800B for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:47:51 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id a9112374.2618796976.2306.00-058.p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cristy0221@hotmail.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 12:27:22 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 11:23:37 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 12:27:19 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107192719.6758.13179.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: cristy0221@hotmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110725); R=0.078(1071077289); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <cristy0221@hotmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 12:27:26 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:56 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:56 AM  
SMTPOriginator: cristy0221@hotmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 12:27:26 PM-11/07/2007 12:27:27 PM,11/07/2007 12:27:27 PM-11/07/2007 12:27:28 PM  
\$Orig: 8CEA98CA99604C948725738C006AE1BE  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:11:11 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 12:27:28 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Cristy Wickman  
1227 San Jose Ave.  
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110712252048-160426 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:25:20 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id BBC2419E82A1 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:45:45 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id 71112374.2422274992.7719.00-171.p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <nick.babic@ziaenergy.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 12:25:11 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 11:21:09 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 12:24:51 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107192451.6758.13129.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: nick.babic@ziaenergy.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110725); R=0.078(1071077289); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <nick.babic@ziaenergy.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 12:25:20 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:56 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:56 AM  
SMTPOriginator: nick.babic@ziaenergy.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 12:25:20 PM-11/07/2007 12:25:21 PM,11/07/2007 12:25:21 PM-11/07/2007 12:25:22 PM  
\$Orig: 97D2DA1AA96C5BC28725738C006AB0B0  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:11:09 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 12:25:22 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Nick Babic

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110712250645-160411 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:25:06 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id B7BFC19E82A1 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:45:31 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id a0112374.2674039728.7719.00-171.p01c11m052.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <billysun@wildrockies.org>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 12:24:58 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 11:21:08 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 12:24:50 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107192450.6758.13127.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: billysun@wildrockies.org  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110725); R=0.078(1071077289); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <billysun@wildrockies.org>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 12:25:06 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:57 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:57 AM  
SMTPOriginator: billysun@wildrockies.org  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 12:25:06 PM-11/07/2007 12:25:07 PM,11/07/2007 12:25:07 PM-11/07/2007 12:25:08 PM  
\$Orig: 4DA5D340A78E02B98725738C006AAB35  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:11:05 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 12:25:08 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Billy Stern  
1712 Espinacitas St  
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110712205411-160084 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:20:54 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3EE2F19E829D for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:41:19 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 51012374.2420186032.18653.00-066.p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <tlbladybug@comcast.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 12:20:53 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 11:17:10 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 12:20:53 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107192053.6758.13083.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: tlbladybug@comcast.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110725); R=0.078(1071077289); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <tlbladybug@comcast.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 12:20:54 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:57 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:57 AM  
SMTPOriginator: tlbladybug@comcast.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 12:20:54 PM-11/07/2007 12:20:55 PM,11/07/2007 12:20:55 PM-11/07/2007 12:20:56 PM  
\$Orig: 265BFCFD81AD9A6F8725738C006A48A5  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:11:01 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 12:20:56 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Tracy Brown  
2467 E Landis Ave  
Vineland, NJ 08361

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110712150267-159751 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:15:02 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id DE63B19E828A for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:35:27 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id 3be02374.2434050992.3643.00-074.p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <hezrap2@aol.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 12:14:59 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 11:11:12 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 12:14:54 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107191454.6758.12970.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: hezrap2@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110725); R=0.078(10710772739); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <hezrap2@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 12:15:02 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:57 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:57 AM  
SMTPOriginator: hezrap2@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 12:15:02 PM-11/07/2007 12:15:04 PM,11/07/2007 12:15:04 PM-11/07/2007 12:15:04 PM  
\$Orig: DF668A18685840BC8725738C0069BF5B  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:10:54 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 12:15:04 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, h Parmeter  
6100 4th St NW  
#278  
albuquerque, NM 87107

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110712150070-159749 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:15:00 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id DF74319E800B for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:35:25 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mxl\_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id 4be02374.2589772720.27799.00-001.p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sellart@earthlink.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 12:15:00 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 11:11:12 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 12:14:54 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107191454.6758.12976.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: sellart@earthlink.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110725); R=0.078(1071077261); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <sellart@earthlink.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 12:15:00 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:58 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:58 AM  
SMTPOriginator: sellart@earthlink.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 12:15:00 PM-11/07/2007 12:15:02 PM,11/07/2007 12:15:02 PM-11/07/2007 12:15:02 PM  
\$Orig: 4AD0EC31A2DEEDEB8725738C0069BE96  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:10:50 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 12:15:02 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstitute recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, mary ann leitch  
526 reed st  
phila, PA 19147

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110712145981-159747 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:14:59 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0B43919E800B for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:35:24 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id 3be02374.2537323440.27799.00-001.p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <nsutherlin@hotmail.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 12:14:59 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 11:11:12 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 12:14:54 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107191454.6758.12974.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: nsutherlin@hotmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110725); R=0.078(1071077261); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <nsutherlin@hotmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 12:14:59 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:58 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:58 AM  
SMTPOriginator: nsutherlin@hotmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 12:14:59 PM-11/07/2007 12:15:02 PM,11/07/2007 12:15:02 PM-11/07/2007 12:15:02 PM  
\$Orig: 7177C804979B9C638725738C0069BE3D  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:10:48 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 12:15:02 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Natasha Sutherlin  
9711 Lona Ln NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87111

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110712145907-159746 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:14:59 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4C4DB19E800B for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:35:24 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 2be02374.2421935024.27799.00-001.p01c11m001.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <barryhat@cybermesa.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 12:14:58 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 11:11:12 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 12:14:54 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107191454.6758.12972.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: barryhat@cybermesa.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110725); R=0.078(1071077261); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <barryhat@cybermesa.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 12:14:59 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:58 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:58 AM  
SMTPOriginator: barryhat@cybermesa.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 12:14:59 PM-11/07/2007 12:15:00 PM,11/07/2007 12:15:01 PM-11/07/2007 12:15:01 PM  
\$Orig: 1D85805E4F4FE3F88725738C0069BDF3  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:10:45 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 12:15:01 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Barry Hatfield  
PO BOX 4096  
SANTA FE, NM 87502

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110712145826-159745 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:14:58 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 78F2019E800B for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:35:23 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 0be02374.2549439408.3643.00-074.p01c11m041.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <algrannytx1952@aol.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 12:14:56 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 11:11:12 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 12:14:54 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107191454.6758.12968.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: algrannytx1952@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110725); R=0.078(10710772739); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <algrannytx1952@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 12:14:58 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:58 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:58 AM  
SMTPOriginator: algrannytx1952@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 12:14:58 PM-11/07/2007 12:15:00 PM,11/07/2007 12:15:00 PM-11/07/2007 12:15:00 PM  
\$Orig: E6A7FC1E69CDAAEF8725738C0069BDA2  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:10:41 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 12:15:00 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Lavana Mertz  
13907 Joyce Dr  
Leander, TX 78641

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110712124170-159592 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:12:41 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id E197519E8203 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:33:06 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 92e02374.2625543088.656.00-086.p01c11m016.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <calfraser@gmail.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 12:12:41 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 11:08:57 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 12:12:39 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107191239.6758.12916.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: calfraser@gmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0022187480; B=0.500(0); S=0.025(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110725); R=0.078(10710772731); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <calfraser@gmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 12:12:41 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:59 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:59 AM  
SMTPOriginator: calfraser@gmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 12:12:41 PM-11/07/2007 12:12:42 PM,11/07/2007 12:12:42 PM-11/07/2007 12:12:43 PM  
\$Orig: 71B0961ADE6876EA8725738C0069884A  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:10:35 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 12:12:43 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

I understand that USFWS plans to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

I cannot tell you how disappointed I have been in the USFWS and its failure to plan and implement a scientifically sensible and responsible program to restore the Mexican gray wolf to the

Southwest. Judging by the results, USFWS seems intent on driving this important subspecies into extinction: Today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) must be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j). Failure to do so would merely perpetuate the incompetent and destructive failures of this program so far.

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Caroline Fraser  
117 Mesa Vista  
Santa Fe, NM 87501

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110712124165-159591 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:12:41 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id CB84B19E800B for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:33:06 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id 92e02374.3540945840.40976.00-090.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sjklein3@comcast.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 12:12:41 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 11:08:57 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 12:12:39 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107191239.6758.12914.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: sjklein3@comcast.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110725); R=0.078(10710772556); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <sjklein3@comcast.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 12:12:41 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:59 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:59 AM  
SMTPOriginator: sjklein3@comcast.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 12:12:41 PM-11/07/2007 12:12:42 PM, 11/07/2007 12:12:42 PM-11/07/2007 12:12:43 PM  
\$Orig: BE5A1A25B45576558725738C00698845  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:10:38 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 12:12:43 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Stuart Klein  
5304 Dee Dr NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87111

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110712124092-159589 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:12:40 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id E053019E800B for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:33:05 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 82e02374.3331148720.40976.00-090.p01c11m096.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sanwards@unm.edu>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 12:12:40 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 11:08:57 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 12:12:39 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107191239.6758.12912.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: sanwards@unm.edu  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110725); R=0.078(10710772556); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <sanwards@unm.edu>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 12:12:40 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:04:59 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:04:59 AM  
SMTPOriginator: sanwards@unm.edu  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 12:12:40 PM-11/07/2007 12:12:42 PM,11/07/2007 12:12:42 PM-11/07/2007 12:12:43 PM  
\$Orig: 523B3AA133BFEA0B8725738C006987FC  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:10:30 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 12:12:43 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Sandra Edwards  
329 Cardenas Dr NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87108

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110712085522-159341 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:08:55 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 566E619E8294 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:29:20 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 64d02374.2601716656.15133.00-057.p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gchris36@yahoo.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 12:08:54 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 11:05:12 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 12:08:54 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107190854.6758.12844.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: gchris36@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110725); R=0.078(10710772731); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <gchris36@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 12:08:55 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:00 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:00 AM  
SMTPOriginator: gchris36@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 12:08:55 PM-11/07/2007 12:08:56 PM,11/07/2007 12:08:57 PM-11/07/2007 12:08:57 PM  
\$Orig: 43C99F3636AE99D28725738C00692FD3  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:10:26 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 12:08:57 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, g. v. christensen  
PO Box 308  
p. o. box 308  
Springerville, AZ 85938

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110712060498-159119 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:06:04 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0E94219E8214 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:26:29 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id c9c02374.2620816304.2878.00-037.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rpiidye4@yahoo.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 12:06:04 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 11:02:22 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 12:06:04 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107190604.6758.12782.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: rpiidye4@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110725); R=0.078(10710772739); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <rpiidye4@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 12:06:04 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:00 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:00 AM  
SMTPOriginator: rpiidye4@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 12:06:04 PM-11/07/2007 12:06:06 PM,11/07/2007 12:06:06 PM-11/07/2007 12:06:06 PM  
\$Orig: 7D15A01682E12B918725738C0068ED52  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:10:23 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 12:06:06 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Rick Provencio  
7 San Marcos Dr  
El Paso, TX 79922

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110712010913-158766 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 12:01:09 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 262A519E8203 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:21:34 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 47b02374.3236780976.11991.00-067.p01c11m091.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <ecooley60@yahoo.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 12:01:08 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:57:26 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 12:01:08 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107190108.6758.12730.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: ecooley60@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110725); R=0.078(10710772556); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <ecooley60@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 12:01:09 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:00 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:00 AM  
SMTPOriginator: ecooley60@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 12:01:09 PM-11/07/2007 12:01:10 PM,11/07/2007 12:01:10 PM-11/07/2007 12:01:10 PM  
\$Orig: F285FD320369492F8725738C006879C1  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:10:16 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 12:01:10 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support and encourage voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

I lived until 1959 at the eastern side of the Gila. As has been fully established in Wyoming and Montana, the stream habitat and strength of elk and similar species is actually improved in the presence of wolves. The Gila needs the wolf, many of the ranchers are in need of removal as their actions are not only detrimental to the wolf, but to the ecology of the whole area. Please consider using the wolf to improve the habitat for the whole area which would be of national, and possibly international benefit.

Sincerely, Rev. Theodore Cooley  
608 Valverde Dr SE  
Albuquerque, NM 87108

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110711590761-158629 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:59:07 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 983DE19E8285 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:19:32 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id bfa02374.3477027760.90134.00-051.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <sufi.mustafa@state.nm.us>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:59:07 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:55:24 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:59:06 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107185906.6758.12717.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: sufi.mustafa@state.nm.us  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110725); R=0.078(10710772538); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <sufi.mustafa@state.nm.us>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:59:07 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:01 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:01 AM  
SMTPOriginator: sufi.mustafa@state.nm.us  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:59:07 AM-11/07/2007 11:59:09 AM,11/07/2007 11:59:09 AM-11/07/2007 11:59:10 AM  
\$Orig: 4AAC9E413C93B0A88725738C00684A49  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:10:07 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:59:10 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Sufi Mustafa  
1356 State Highway 313  
Algodones, NM 87001

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007110711590692-158625 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:59:06 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id D7D4019E8285 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:19:31 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTTP id afa02374.3592416176.90134.00-051.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <dougsplanet@mac.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:59:06 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:55:23 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:59:06 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107185906.6758.12715.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: dougsplanet@mac.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110725); R=0.078(10710772538); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <dougsplanet@mac.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:59:06 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:01 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:01 AM  
SMTPOriginator: dougsplanet@mac.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:59:06 AM-11/07/2007 11:59:08 AM,11/07/2007 11:59:08 AM-11/07/2007 11:59:09 AM  
\$Orig: CBE865972A5BE07D8725738C00684A04  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:10:00 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:59:09 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Doug Deaton  
unknown  
Albuquerque, NM 87102

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110711542832-158369 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:54:28 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 464AC19E8285 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:14:53 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id 3e902374.2674178992.1359.00-052.p01c11m044.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <drkathrynhahn@yahoo.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:54:27 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:50:45 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:54:27 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107185427.6758.12616.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: drkathrynhahn@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110724); R=0.078(10710772739); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <drkathrynhahn@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:54:28 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:01 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:01 AM  
SMTPOriginator: drkathrynhahn@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:54:28 AM-11/07/2007 11:54:30 AM,11/07/2007 11:54:30 AM-11/07/2007 11:54:30 AM  
\$Orig: CB856E1B307BD8E68725738C0067DD30  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:09:58 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:54:30 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Kathryn Hahn  
unknown  
unknown

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007110711493018-158093 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:49:30 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 10A8819E8221 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:09:54 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTTP id 9b802374.2645535664.15726.00-052.p01c11m015.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <catallport@earthlink.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:49:29 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:45:45 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:49:27 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107184927.6758.12536.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: catallport@earthlink.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110724); R=0.078(10710772731); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <catallport@earthlink.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:49:30 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:01 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:01 AM  
SMTPOriginator: catallport@earthlink.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:49:30 AM-11/07/2007 11:49:31 AM,11/07/2007 11:49:31 AM-11/07/2007 11:49:32 AM  
\$Orig: BC2D70A373C9EB428725738C006768BA  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:09:47 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:49:32 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, catherine allport  
2240 West Alameda St.  
no. 8  
santa fe, NM 87507

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110711492989-158092 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:49:29 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id BD61B19E8221 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:09:54 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id 9b802374.2507070384.4870.00-098.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <idyllharmony@fuse.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:49:29 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:45:45 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:49:27 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107184927.6758.12534.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: idyllharmony@fuse.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110724); R=0.078(10710772553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <idyllharmony@fuse.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:49:29 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:02 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:02 AM  
SMTPOriginator: idyllharmony@fuse.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:49:29 AM-11/07/2007 11:49:31 AM,11/07/2007 11:49:31 AM-11/07/2007 11:49:32 AM  
\$Orig: E7C35ED85032A68F8725738C0067689D  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:09:43 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:49:32 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Chris Guy  
1266 DAY CIR E  
MILFORD, OH 45150

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110711492911-158091 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:49:29 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 034D119E8221 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:09:53 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id 8b802374.2674908080.4870.00-098.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <twistbanks@mac.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:49:28 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:45:45 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:49:27 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107184927.6758.12532.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: twistbanks@mac.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110724); R=0.078(10710772553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <twistbanks@mac.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:49:29 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:02 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:02 AM  
SMTPOriginator: twistbanks@mac.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:49:29 AM-11/07/2007 11:49:30 AM,11/07/2007 11:49:30 AM-11/07/2007 11:49:31 AM  
\$Orig: 98C21B10EFCFBCE68725738C0067684F  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:09:38 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:49:31 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Glen Banks  
PO Box 333  
Placitas, NM 87043

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110711492838-158090 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:49:28 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 4628E19E8221 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:09:53 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 7b802374.2465110960.4870.00-098.p01c11m035.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <weaintu@yahoo.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:49:27 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:45:45 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:49:27 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107184927.6758.12530.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: weaintu@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110724); R=0.078(10710772553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <weaintu@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:49:28 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:02 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:02 AM  
SMTPOriginator: weaintu@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:49:28 AM-11/07/2007 11:49:29 AM,11/07/2007 11:49:29 AM-11/07/2007 11:49:30 AM  
\$Orig: ECD787677E4065468725738C00676807  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:09:33 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:49:30 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstitute recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, david Barnes  
7278 W Maple Ridge Dr  
Tucson, AZ 85743

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110711445674-157871 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:44:56 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8588319E800F for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:05:21 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 8a702374.2709863344.22926.00-058.p01c11m051.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <stormf5@riseup.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:44:56 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:41:13 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:44:55 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107184455.6758.12398.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: stormf5@riseup.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110724); R=0.078(1071077289); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <stormf5@riseup.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:44:56 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:03 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:03 AM  
SMTPOriginator: stormf5@riseup.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:44:56 AM-11/07/2007 11:44:57 AM,11/07/2007 11:44:57 AM-11/07/2007 11:44:57 AM  
\$Orig: 5889704A8C751DA58725738C0066FDEA  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:09:31 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:44:57 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstitute recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, John Waters  
136 Clingman Ave  
Asheville, NC 28801

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007110711415169-157675 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:41:51 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 7463D19E800B for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:02:16 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTTP id fe602374.2622335920.14089.00-001.p01c11m014.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bkwarner@windstream.net>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:41:51 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:38:08 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:41:50 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107184150.6758.12344.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: bkwarner@windstream.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110724); R=0.078(10710772731); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <bkwarner@windstream.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:41:51 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:03 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:03 AM  
SMTPOriginator: bkwarner@windstream.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:41:51 AM-11/07/2007 11:41:53 AM,11/07/2007 11:41:53 AM-11/07/2007 11:41:54 AM  
\$Orig: 91193EE9A45FEF918725738C0066B5A1  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:09:29 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:41:54 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Barbara Warner  
unknown  
unknown, KY 40033

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110711390317-157511 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:39:03 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id D385E19E800F for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 10:59:27 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id 64602374.2467556272.53395.00-029.p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jwillams@wsahealth.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:39:02 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:35:19 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:39:01 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107183901.6758.12306.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: jwillams@wsahealth.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110724); R=0.078(1071077280); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <jwillams@wsahealth.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:39:03 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:04 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:04 AM  
SMTPOriginator: jwillams@wsahealth.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:39:03 AM-11/07/2007 11:39:05 AM,11/07/2007 11:39:05 AM-11/07/2007 11:39:05 AM  
\$Orig: 58AC4448D6F1E3398725738C006673CD  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:09:26 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:39:05 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Please accept these comments on saving the Mexican Grey Wolf, which is a key to the health of other species and of habitats in New Mexico. My comments are on the Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a

decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Judith Williams

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110711390230-157510 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:39:02 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0433B19E80A0 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 10:59:26 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 54602374.2457066416.53395.00-029.p01c11m066.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lckelly35@yahoo.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:39:01 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:35:19 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:39:01 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107183901.6758.12304.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: lckelly35@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110724); R=0.078(1071077280); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <lckelly35@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:39:02 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:04 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:04 AM  
SMTPOriginator: lckelly35@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:39:02 AM-11/07/2007 11:39:04 AM,11/07/2007 11:39:04 AM-11/07/2007 11:39:04 AM  
\$Orig: DB228D68B707805D8725738C00667376  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:09:21 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:39:04 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstitute recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Lynette Kelly  
1349 Lexington Ave Apt 5G  
New York, NY 10128

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110711324681-157106 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:32:46 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 8327D19E8221 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 10:53:11 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id ec402374.2675252144.14778.00-098.p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <rtalbott@allegghenydefense.org>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:32:46 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:29:03 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:32:45 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107183245.6758.12146.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: rtalbott@allegghenydefense.org  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110723); R=0.078(10710772745); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <rtalbott@allegghenydefense.org>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:32:46 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:04 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:04 AM  
SMTPOriginator: rtalbott@allegghenydefense.org  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:32:46 AM-11/07/2007 11:32:48 AM,11/07/2007 11:32:48 AM-11/07/2007 11:32:49 AM  
\$Orig: 0F4BDA22971E8F658725738C0065E0C9  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:09:14 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:32:49 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise

the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Ryan Talbott  
unknown  
unknown

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110711324748-157107 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:32:47 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2C96319E8221 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 10:53:12 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id fc402374.2664762288.14778.00-098.p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <lcolt@fguardians.org>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:32:47 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:29:03 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:32:46 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107183246.6758.12148.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: lcolt@fguardians.org  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110723); R=0.078(10710772745); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <lcolt@fguardians.org>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:32:47 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:05 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:05 AM  
SMTPOriginator: lcolt@fguardians.org  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:32:47 AM-11/07/2007 11:32:48 AM,11/07/2007 11:32:48 AM-11/07/2007 11:32:49 AM  
\$Orig: 45B15376C63839278725738C0065E10C  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:09:19 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:32:49 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Fish and Wildlife Service's intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Mexican Gray Wolf.

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the Blue Range Wolf

Recovery Area (BRWRA), fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Lori Colt  
312 Montezuma Ave  
Santa Fe, NM 87501

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110711295038-156905 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:29:50 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 160AC19E800B for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 10:50:15 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id d1402374.2452700080.31286.00-100.p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mcd@nets.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:29:49 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:26:07 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:29:49 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107182949.6758.12106.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: mcd@nets.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110723); R=0.078(10710772553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <mcd@nets.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:29:50 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:05 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:05 AM  
SMTPOriginator: mcd@nets.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:29:50 AM-11/07/2007 11:29:51 AM,11/07/2007 11:29:51 AM-11/07/2007 11:29:51 AM  
\$Orig: 14420877B362898C8725738C00659BDE  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:09:08 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:29:51 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that

established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, M.C. Domandi  
unknown  
unknown

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110711282069-156810 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:28:20 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 5F6E719E800F for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 10:48:45 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 4c302374.2484169648.27965.00-061.p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jack@premierbrass.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:28:20 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:24:37 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:28:20 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107182820.6758.12060.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: jack@premierbrass.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110723); R=0.078(10710772553); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <jack@premierbrass.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:28:20 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:06 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:06 AM  
SMTPOriginator: jack@premierbrass.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:28:20 AM-11/07/2007 11:28:24 AM,11/07/2007 11:28:24 AM-11/07/2007 11:28:25 AM  
\$Orig: 52F21D5CCB89CD448725738C006578D7  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:09:05 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:28:25 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

As a career wildlife manager, I am ashamed of the USFWS actions regarding not only the Mexican wolf, but a host of other endangered species. Has the Service no shame? Who the hell will stand for wildlife if not us? Are the political pressures so great that the Service will abdicate its responsibility, its mandate? Tell me no, tell me that there exists enough spine within the Service to stand on principle and not cave in to the perceived political threats.

That said, thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinitiate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Jack Schweitzer  
PO Box 428, 10 Koala Ct  
Eagle Nest, NM 87718

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110711272041-156734 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:27:20 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m077.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 0B46B19E800B for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 10:47:44 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m077.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 78302374.3458997168.70139.00-105.p01c11m077.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <edwardacampbell@gmail.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:27:19 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:23:37 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:27:19 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107182719.6758.12058.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: edwardacampbell@gmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110722); R=0.078(10710772745); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <edwardacampbell@gmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:27:20 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:06 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:06 AM  
SMTPOriginator: edwardacampbell@gmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:27:20 AM-11/07/2007 11:27:20 AM,11/07/2007 11:27:20 AM-11/07/2007 11:27:21 AM  
\$Orig: 26A89D5677C490808725738C00656149  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:09:00 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:27:21 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that

established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Ed Campbell

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110711234421-156433 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:23:44 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C228A19E81E3 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 10:44:08 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id ba202374.2654108592.086.00-078.p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <phidippides26@mac.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:23:39 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:19:50 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:23:32 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107182332.6758.11942.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: phidippides26@mac.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110722); R=0.078(1071077289); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <phidippides26@mac.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:23:44 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:07 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:07 AM  
SMTPOriginator: phidippides26@mac.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:23:44 AM-11/07/2007 11:23:47 AM,11/07/2007 11:23:47 AM-11/07/2007 11:23:47 AM  
\$Orig: 5A90F39D791FCE208725738C00650CD5  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:08:57 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:23:47 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that

established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf. At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial uplisting, the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. We've lost enough endangered species. This one's preservation is still within reach. Please be sure that everything possible is being done to conserve this beautiful, mythical part of Southwestern history.

(Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.)

Sincerely, John McAndrew  
8 Bonito Ct  
Santa Fe, NM 87508

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110711223015-156355 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:22:30 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id AE4D719E803C for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 10:42:54 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 56202374.2504100784.20269.00-045.p01c11m021.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <vegsister@yahoo.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:22:29 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:18:47 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:22:29 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107182229.6758.11936.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: vegsister@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110722); R=0.078(1071077289); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <vegsister@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:22:30 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:07 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:07 AM  
SMTPOriginator: vegsister@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:22:30 AM-11/07/2007 11:22:31 AM,11/07/2007 11:22:31 AM-11/07/2007 11:22:32 AM  
\$Orig: 9C18F426E47659538725738C0064EFE7  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:08:54 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:22:32 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

I am concerned that today fewer than 60 wolves exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part

of our ecological heritage that deserves our protection. Wolves belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial uplisting, the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that wolves be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Kirsten Stade  
430 W 116th St  
New York, NY 10027

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110711215290-156314 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:21:52 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 6E11619E8019 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 10:42:17 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 04202374.3603966896.70506.00-144.p01c11m094.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bspriddy@yahoo.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:21:52 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:18:09 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:21:52 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107182152.6758.11890.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: bspriddy@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments regarding Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110722); R=0.078(10710772556); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <bspriddy@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:21:52 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:08 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:08 AM  
SMTPOriginator: bspriddy@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:21:52 AM-11/07/2007 11:21:55 AM,11/07/2007 11:21:55 AM-11/07/2007 11:21:56 AM  
\$Orig: 2301F93DEC6597D48725738C0064E15A  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:08:52 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:21:56 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that

established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

I would like to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Brenda Priddy  
PO Box 381  
Quemado, NM 87829

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110711193570-156183 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:19:35 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m053.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 316EC19E803C for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 10:40:00 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m053.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 7b102374.2583923632.39976.00-207.p01c11m053.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kausfahl@aol.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:19:35 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:15:52 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:19:34 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107181934.6758.11874.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: kausfahl@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110722); R=0.078(1071077289); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <kausfahl@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:19:35 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:08 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:08 AM  
SMTPOriginator: kausfahl@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:19:35 AM-11/07/2007 11:19:38 AM,11/07/2007 11:19:38 AM-11/07/2007 11:19:38 AM  
\$Orig: AB4B5A72AEA5E6058725738C0064ABC2  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:08:49 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:19:38 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that

established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Karen Ausfahl  
600 S Watson Ln  
Littleton, CO 80123

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110711171507-156025 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:17:15 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 83F5F19E8057 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 10:37:39 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id a2102374.3666922416.10921.00-062.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <jeffkurtpetersen@gmail.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:17:14 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:13:29 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:17:11 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107181711.6758.11838.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: jeffkurtpetersen@gmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110722); R=0.078(10710772538); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <jeffkurtpetersen@gmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:17:15 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:09 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:09 AM  
SMTPOriginator: jeffkurtpetersen@gmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:17:15 AM-11/07/2007 11:17:15 AM,11/07/2007 11:17:15 AM-11/07/2007 11:17:15 AM  
\$Orig: 5D9D343CEA975D498725738C006474D3  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:08:46 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:17:15 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise

the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Jeff Petersen  
unknown  
unknown

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110711171416-156024 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:17:14 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id AA01419E8063 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 10:37:38 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id 92102374.3499084720.10921.00-062.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <gausfahl@aol.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:17:13 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:13:29 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:17:10 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107181710.6758.11836.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: gausfahl@aol.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110722); R=0.078(10710772538); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <gausfahl@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:17:14 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:09 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:09 AM  
SMTPOriginator: gausfahl@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:17:14 AM-11/07/2007 11:17:15 AM,11/07/2007 11:17:15 AM-11/07/2007 11:17:15 AM  
\$Orig: 12E2B3C04568ED198725738C00647478  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:08:44 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:17:15 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that

established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Gary Ausfahl  
600 S Watson Ln  
Littleton, CO 80123

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110711171343-156021 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:17:13 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id E8E2119E806D for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 10:37:37 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id 82102374.3142429616.10921.00-062.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <weigelsj@yahoo.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:17:12 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:13:28 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:17:10 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107181710.6758.11834.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: weigelsj@yahoo.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110722); R=0.078(10710772538); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <weigelsj@yahoo.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:17:13 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:10 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:10 AM  
SMTPOriginator: weigelsj@yahoo.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:17:13 AM-11/07/2007 11:17:14 AM,11/07/2007 11:17:14 AM-11/07/2007 11:17:14 AM  
\$Orig: 0D02668280C0AC2C8725738C00647431  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:08:41 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:17:14 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that

established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Stephanie Weigel  
983 E 7th St  
Chico, CA 95928

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110711171262-156020 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:17:12 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 1A76919E8063 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 10:37:37 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id 82102374.3205368752.10921.00-062.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <trais.kliphuis@state.nm.us>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:17:12 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:13:28 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:17:10 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107181710.6758.11832.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: trais.kliphuis@state.nm.us  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110722); R=0.078(10710772538); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <trais.kliphuis@state.nm.us>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [(unknown)]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:17:12 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:10 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:10 AM  
SMTPOriginator: trais.kliphuis@state.nm.us  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:17:12 AM-11/07/2007 11:17:13 AM,11/07/2007 11:17:13 AM-11/07/2007 11:17:13 AM  
\$Orig: 8EDB58025274E0BE8725738C006473DE  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:08:39 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:17:13 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise

the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Trais Kliphuis  
2445 Camino Capitan  
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110711171179-156017 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 11:17:11 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 44CDA19E8063 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 10:37:36 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 72102374.3341736880.10921.00-062.p01c11m084.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <nortykalishman@gmail.com>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 11:17:11 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO weba1.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 07 Nov 2007 10:13:28 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 11:17:10 AM  
\$MessageID: <20071107181710.6758.11830.qmail@weba1.sac.getactive.com>  
From: nortykalishman@gmail.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0008583193; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110722); R=0.078(10710772538); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <nortykalishman@gmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 11:17:11 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:11 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:11 AM  
SMTPOriginator: nortykalishman@gmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 11:17:11 AM-11/07/2007 11:17:12 AM,11/07/2007 11:17:12 AM-11/07/2007 11:17:13 AM  
\$Orig: EB6F08A2E99C5EBA8725738C0064738B  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:08:37 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 11:17:13 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that

established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial ?uplisting,? the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; formally support voluntary grazing permit buyout in the Gila bioregion; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves.

Please do not make my personal contact information public as a result of participating in this comment process.

Sincerely, Norton Kalishman  
1043 Columbia Dr NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87106

Principal: CN=R2FWE\_AL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
\$langprincipal:  
\$altprincipal:  
ForwardedFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
ForwardedDate: 11/07/2007 09:54:39 AM  
INetSendTo: John\_Slown@fws.gov  
INetCopyTo:  
InetBlindCopyTo:  
\$StorageTo: 1  
\$Mailer: Lotus Notes Release 6.5.1 January 21, 2004  
\$MessageID: <OFFEC909BC.DDBC13F1-ON8725738C.005CE4A2-8725738C.005CE816@LocalDomain>  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 09:54:47 AM  
Recipients: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
MailOptions: 0  
SaveOptions: 1  
From: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
AltFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
Logo: stdNotesLtr0  
useApplet: True  
DefaultMailSaveOptions: 1  
Query\_String:  
tmpImp:  
Sign:  
Encrypt:  
SendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
CopyTo:  
BlindCopyTo:  
Subject: Fw: Mexican Gray Wolf scoping  
EnterSendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
EnterCopyTo:  
EnterBlindCopyTo:  
\$RFSaveInfo: D85D774553F2E6828725738C00546183  
\$UpdatedBy: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 09:54 AM  
-----

Eric Dillingham <edillingham@fs.fed.us>  
11/07/2007 08:21 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Mexican Gray Wolf scoping

Hello -

You can see from my title and address that I am professionally affiliated with the Forest Service. Operating in a personal capacity, I would like the condensed version of your documents sent to:

Eric Dillingham  
1307 South Canyon  
Alamogordo, NM 88310  
505.434.3501

I don't have the time or patience to weed through an entire EIS (get enough of that, thanks) but would gladly review anything related to paleontological and prehistoric range of gray wolves as well as the short version of your planned reintroduction and perceived impacts. Also, if you'd post the condensed version on your web site, then maybe we can save some postage and a later trip to the dump.

Thanks,

Eric Dillingham  
Assistant Forest Archaeologist  
Lincoln National Forest  
1101 New York Avenue  
Alamogordo, NM 88310  
505.434.7276 or .7200  
FAX 505.434.7218

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110708213987-144562 ; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 08:21:39 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 9511F19E804B for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 7 Nov 2007 07:42:02 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [166.4.1.14] by p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with SMTP id 308d1374.2664192944.3303.00-119.p01c11m057.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <edillingham@fs.fed.us>); Wed, 07 Nov 2007 08:21:39 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from ([166.3.68.16]) by svatlsmtp001.r8.fs.fed.us with ESMTMP id KP-BRCKP.147342755; Wed, 07 Nov 2007 10:21:16 -0500  
Subject: Mexican Gray Wolf scoping  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
\$Mailer: Lotus Notes Release 6.0.2CF2 July 23, 2003  
\$MessageID: <OF19FC078E.8BCCA8B6-ON8725738C.00538134-8725738C.005455B2@fs.fed.us>  
From: Eric Dillingham <edillingham@fs.fed.us>  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 08:21:09 AM  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0005460905; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110714); R=0.051(1071077289); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <edillingham@fs.fed.us>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [166.4.1.14]  
\$MIMETrack: Serialize by Router on ENTR3B/E/USDAFS (Release 6.5.4FP1HF140 | September 14, 2005) at 11/07/2007 08:21:15, Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1 | January 10, 2007) at 11/07/2007 08:21:39 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1 | January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:12 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:12 AM  
SMTPOriginator: edillingham@fs.fed.us  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/07/2007 08:21:39 AM-11/07/2007 08:21:41 AM,11/07/2007 08:21:41 AM-11/07/2007 08:21:42 AM  
\$Orig: D85D774553F2E6828725738C00546183  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:08:34 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/07/2007 08:21:42 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

Hello -

You can see from my title and address that I am professionally affiliated with the Forest Service. Operating in a personal capacity, I would like the condensed version of your documents sent to:

Eric Dillingham  
1307 South Canyon  
Alamogordo, NM 88310  
505.434.3501

I don't have the time or patience to weed through an entire EIS (get enough of that, thanks) but would gladly review anything related to paleontological and prehistoric range of gray wolves as well as the short version of your planned reintroduction and perceived impacts. Also, if you'd post the condensed version on your web site, then maybe we can save some postage and a later trip to the dump.

Thanks,

Eric Dillingham  
Assistant Forest Archaeologist  
Lincoln National Forest  
1101 New York Avenue  
Alamogordo, NM 88310  
505.434.7276 or .7200  
FAX 505.434.7218

Principal: CN=R2FWE\_AL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
\$langprincipal:  
\$altprincipal:  
ForwardedFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
ForwardedDate: 11/07/2007 08:04:31 AM  
\$Moods: N  
HideWhen:  
DeliveryReport: B  
MailFormat:  
\$devopt\_basic\_moods: N  
SenderTag: N  
\_ViewIcon: 0  
INetSendTo: John\_Slown@fws.gov  
INetCopyTo:  
InetBlindCopyTo:  
\$StorageTo: 1  
\$Mailer: Lotus Notes Release 6.5.1 January 21, 2004  
\$MessageID: <OF9F93B7FC.4D515E41-ON8725738C.0052CF96-8725738C.0052D3FF@LocalDomain>  
PostedDate: 11/07/2007 08:04:42 AM  
Recipients: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
MailOptions: 0  
SaveOptions: 1  
From: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
AltFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
Logo: stdNotesLtr0  
useApplet: True  
DefaultMailSaveOptions: 1  
Query\_String:  
tmpImp:  
ReturnReceipt: 1  
Sign:  
Encrypt:  
SendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
CopyTo:  
BlindCopyTo:  
Subject: Fw: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72,  
No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
EnterSendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
EnterCopyTo:  
EnterBlindCopyTo:  
\$RFSaveInfo: A8FBE6CB8ECED0C08725738C0006BC90  
\$UpdatedBy: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/07/2007 08:04 AM  
-----

mustenga@cybermesa.com  
11/06/2007 06:13 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject

Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151,  
Pages  
44065-44069

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and

movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves. I firmly believe that God put diversity on the planet so we can learn compassion. Please take this life-affirming opportunity to show the world you are powerful and compassionate at the same time.

Sincerely, Diane Stayner  
PO Box 791  
Mc Intosh, NM 87032

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110618133488-125854 ; Tue, 6 Nov 2007 18:13:34 -0700  
Received: from p01c12m027.mxlogic.net (mx1145v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.145.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id E3A2819E8044 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Tue, 6 Nov 2007 17:33:47 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [65.160.234.70] (EHLO mx70.getactive.com) by p01c12m027.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id e3111374.2668608432.82232.00-038.p01c12m027.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <mustenga@cybermesa.com>); Tue, 06 Nov 2007 18:13:34 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown (HELO webal.sac.getactive.com) ([192.168.17.109]) by mx70.getactive.com with SMTP; 06 Nov 2007 17:09:52 -0800  
PostedDate: 11/06/2007 06:13:34 PM  
\$MessageID: <20071107011334.6758.1300.qmail@webal.sac.getactive.com>  
From: mustenga@cybermesa.com  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0014120031; B=0.500(0); S=0.016(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110651); R=0.079(107106134641); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <mustenga@cybermesa.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.160.234.70]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/06/2007 06:13:34 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:13 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:13 AM  
SMTPOriginator: mustenga@cybermesa.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/06/2007 06:13:34 PM-11/06/2007 06:13:35 PM,11/06/2007 06:13:35 PM-11/06/2007 06:13:36 PM  
\$Orig: A8FBE6CB8ECED0C08725738C0006BC90  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:08:32 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/06/2007 06:13:36 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

U.S. F&WS State Administrator Brian Millsap  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Dr. Millsap,

Thank you for this the opportunity to submit scoping comments on Scoping Comments pursuant to Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 151, Pages 44065-44069: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping Meetings and Intent to prepare and Environmental Impact Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf ("Mexican Gray Wolf")--the proposal to revise the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section 10(j) rule that

established and governs the management of the nonessential experimental population of Mexican wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area (BRWRA).

Please allow me to express my concern that today, almost a decade after wolves were reintroduced into the BRWRA, fewer than 60 lobos exist in the wild. The Mexican gray wolf is a charismatic and integral part of our ecological heritage, which deserves our protection. Lobos belong in the American Southwest. We hope that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will utilize this rule-making process to implement the conservation mandate of the ESA, and thus facilitate success for our Mexican gray wolf program.

Sweeping changes will be necessary in order to get our wolf program back on track. First and foremost, the Mexican gray wolf (*canis lupus baileyi*) should be listed as endangered in its own right, separate and distinct from the gray wolf (*canis lupus*). At the very least, the population of Mexican wolves in the BRWRA should be designated as "experimental, essential" under ESA Section 10(j).

Beyond this initial "uplisting," the Service can and should make many changes to the ways in which wolves are managed in the Southwest. These include: promulgating formal management procedures or guidelines for improving or maximizing the genetic integrity and viability of the BRWRA population of Mexican wolves; revising the current 10(j) rule to include authority to conduct initial releases of captive wolves anywhere within the BRWRA; eliminating all restrictions to wolf dispersal and movements; requiring livestock operators on public land to remove, bury, or render inedible carcasses of dead livestock to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock; repeal or at least suspend Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 13 until the 100 wolf threshold has been met; and immediately reinstate recovery planning on behalf of the lobos.

The ESA requires that our lobos be managed in order to "further the conservation of the species." Our obligation to recover Mexican wolves, however, goes beyond a legal mandate. Returning wolves to their rightful place on the landscape is both a moral and ecological imperative. Thank you for this opportunity to be a voice for wolves. I firmly believe that God put diversity on the planet so we can learn compassion. Please take this life-affirming opportunity to show the world you are powerful and compassionate at the same time.

Sincerely, Diane Stayner  
PO Box 791  
Mc Intosh, NM 87032

Principal: CN=R2FWE\_AL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
\$langprincipal:  
\$altprincipal:  
ForwardedFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
ForwardedDate: 11/06/2007 10:00:52 AM  
\$Moods: N  
HideWhen:  
DeliveryReport: B  
MailFormat:  
\$devopt\_basic\_moods: N  
SenderTag: N  
\_ViewIcon: 0  
INetSendTo: John\_Slown@fws.gov  
INetCopyTo:  
InetBlindCopyTo:  
\$StorageTo: 1  
\$Mailer: Lotus Notes Release 6.5.1 January 21, 2004  
\$MessageID: <OF4015A73C.DE0DCF49-ON8725738B.005D7632-8725738B.005D808C@LocalDomain>  
PostedDate: 11/06/2007 10:01:05 AM  
Recipients: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
MailOptions: 0  
SaveOptions: 1  
From: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
AltFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
Logo: stdNotesLtr0  
useApplet: True  
DefaultMailSaveOptions: 1  
Query\_String:  
tmpImp:  
ReturnReceipt: 1  
Sign:  
Encrypt:  
SendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
CopyTo:  
BlindCopyTo:  
Subject:  
EnterSendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
EnterCopyTo:  
EnterBlindCopyTo:  
\$RFSaveInfo: 928D07510B7DFB3E8725738A007164A9  
\$UpdatedBy: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/06/2007 10:00 AM  
-----

cdebbiemay@aol.com  
11/05/2007 01:38 PM

To  
r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
cc

Subject

Dear Brian; can you please e-mail the location of the Dec. 8th, Phoenix meeting for the Mexican Gray Wolf. I know the location has not been chosen yet so please let me know when it has been. Thanks Debbie May e-mail CDebbieMay@aol.com or ph. 602-549-2861  
Email and AIM finally together. You've gotta check out free AOL Mail!

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007110513383337-62365 ; Mon, 5 Nov 2007 13:38:33 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m077.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 311B519E800D for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 5 Nov 2007 12:58:27 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [205.188.144.208] (EHLO imo-d22.mx.aol.com) by p01c11m077.mxlogic.net (mxl\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTTP id 84f7f274.3606862768.16931.00-046.p01c11m077.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cdebbiemay@aol.com>); Mon, 05 Nov 2007 13:38:32 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from CDebbieMay@aol.com by imo-d22.mx.aol.com (mail\_out\_v38\_r9.3.) id y.c27.251d8eb7 (37535) for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 5 Nov 2007 15:38:29 -0500 (EST)  
Received: from FWM-D03 (fwm-d03.webmail.aol.com [205.188.160.195]) by cia-mb01.mx.aol.com (v120.9) with ESMTTP id MAILCIAMB018-929f472f7f441b3; Mon, 05 Nov 2007 15:38:29 -0500  
SendTo: r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
Subject:  
PostedDate: 11/05/2007 01:38:28 PM  
X\_MB\_Message\_Source: WebUI  
X\_MB\_Message\_Type: User  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
From: cdebbiemay@aol.com  
\$Mailer: AOL WebMail 31361-STANDARD  
Received: from 130.13.97.176 by FWM-D03.sysops.aol.com (205.188.160.195) with HTTP (WebMailUI); Mon, 05 Nov 2007 15:38:28 -0500  
\$MessageID: <8C9EE02F4DECACF-20C-761E@FWM-D03.sysops.aol.com>  
X\_AOL\_IP: 205.188.160.195  
X\_Spam\_Flag: NO  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007110539); R=0.009(10710572718); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <cdebbiemay@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [205.188.144.208]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/05/2007 01:38:33 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:14 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:14 AM  
SMTPOriginator: cdebbiemay@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/05/2007 01:38:33 PM-11/05/2007 01:38:34 PM,11/05/2007 01:38:34 PM-11/05/2007 01:38:35 PM  
\$Orig: 928D07510B7DFB3E8725738A007164A9  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:08:28 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/05/2007 01:38:35 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

Dear Brian; can you please e-mail the location of the Dec. 8th, Phoenix meeting for the Mexican Gray Wolf. I know the location has not been chosen yet so please let me know when it has been. Thanks Debbie May e-mail CDebbieMay@aol.com or ph. 602-549-2861

Email and AIM finally together. You've gotta check out free AOL Mail!

Principal: CN=R2FWE\_AL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
\$langprincipal:  
\$altprincipal:  
ForwardedFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
ForwardedDate: 11/05/2007 10:08:55 AM  
\$Moods: N  
HideWhen:  
DeliveryReport: B  
MailFormat:  
\$devopt\_basic\_moods: N  
SenderTag: N  
\_ViewIcon: 0  
INetSendTo: John\_Slown@fws.gov  
INetCopyTo:  
InetBlindCopyTo:  
\$StorageTo: 1  
\$Mailer: Lotus Notes Release 6.5.1 January 21, 2004  
\$MessageID: <OF4464FCC6.AE19F34F-ON8725738A.005E32F6-8725738A.005E3731@LocalDomain>  
PostedDate: 11/05/2007 10:09:05 AM  
Recipients: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
MailOptions: 0  
SaveOptions: 1  
\$PaperColor: 1  
From: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
AltFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
Logo: stdNotesLtr0  
useApplet: True  
DefaultMailSaveOptions: 1  
Query\_String:  
tmpImp:  
ReturnReceipt: 1  
Sign:  
Encrypt:  
SendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
CopyTo:  
BlindCopyTo:  
Subject:  
EnterSendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
EnterCopyTo:  
EnterBlindCopyTo:  
\$RFSaveInfo: 8025DFD5DED292D4872573890065F529  
\$UpdatedBy: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/05/2007 10:08 AM  
-----

"dr anthony west" <drawest@gmail.com>  
11/04/2007 11:33 AM

To  
r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
MEXICAN GREY WOLF NEPA SCOPING

November 04, 2007

Subject: Public Comment Regarding The Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping

To: Brian Millsap, et al

From: Dr Anthony West

Dear Mr Millsap, et al,

Thank you for taking time to read this personal input regarding the rule changing process at hand. In preface to the viewpoint offered here, it may be helpful to consider the underlying premise to my public comment. Simply stated, it is inextricably seated in the democratic ideals we cherish, ergo, "we the people" believe the single most important role of government, above all else, is to exact the will of the majority.

Growth and development of the West are unarguably on an historic acclivity from sparsity to density in some areas. On the rise as well, is an increasingly informed resident population with a sensitivity to the issues the Fish and Wildlife Service must grapple with in the Southwest's theater of change. To this extent may I suggest, given the constant of change, the demographic majority served by the Fish and Wildlife Service has shifted over the years. Clearly in the past, the appropriate response of the Fish and Wildlife Service was to address the public majority concerns of ranchers and gaming sportsmen.

In this present day, public majority concerns are now represented by a resident population far out-numbering ranchers and sportsmen. The vox populi arising from this mass tends to nourish a desire for an aesthetic lifestyle characterized as nearly as practicable to a natural environment thought of as the "Old Southwest".

To this end, may I ask the Fish and Wildlife Service to expand the rules associated with the protection of the Mexican Gray wolf. Doing so will reintroduce a natural balance to the region that lends to the Southwestern aesthetics sought by a majority of concerned residents. This is not to say

ranchers and sportsmen are not without formidable issues, but those issues might now more realistically be regarded as a representation of minority concerns. In keeping with our democratic principles, ranchers' and sportsman's issues might be more properly processed and resolved secondary to the majority will of the people, which I believe in this instance to be: broadened protection of the Mexican Gray wolf.

Sincerely,  
Anthony West

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/05/2007 10:08 AM -----

"Todd Hilson" <todd@thhilson.com>  
11/04/2007 10:08 PM

To  
<R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov>  
cc

Subject  
Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping

As an interested party of endangered species, in general, and the Mexican Gray Wolf, in particular, I would like to extend my approval for most of the proposed issues suggested in the amendment. I am in full agreement with issues: a, b, c and f. However, I disagree with the Fish & Wildlife' s suggestions for issues d and e, as I believe these suggestions will result in the injury and/or death of increasing numbers of wolves. If additional harassment methods and the authority to "take" wolves are granted to private citizens, this will prove to be detrimental to the endangered wolf population. Better suggestions might be to provide additional training for the public (e.g. public service announcements, etc.) and to post additional warning signs throughout the BRWRA, where the majority of conflicts will arise. As long as private citizens have been educated and warned about the potential conflicts the Mexican Gray Wolves pose, it is their responsibility to avoid engaging in behavior that might attract these animals to encroach on their private land. In other words, if you own a domestic dog and live near the BRWRA, don't leave your dog unsupervised in your yard. Similarly, if you are a farmer and have livestock near the BRWRA, it is your responsibility to protect your

livestock from predation using non-lethal means of deterrence. Farmers located in this area will need to keep a closer watch over their livestock. What is the point of spending all of the time and resources into reintroducing an endangered species if you are going to let a private citizen or farmer kill the endangered species because that animal encroached on their land?... isn't this a violation of the Endangered Species Act?  
Sincerely,

Todd H. Hilson  
1N119 Wheatberry Drive  
Carol Stream, IL 60188  
todd@thhilson.com

Principal: CN=R2FWE\_AL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
\$langprincipal:  
\$altprincipal:  
ForwardedFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
ForwardedDate: 11/05/2007 10:08:24 AM  
\_ViewIcon: 0  
\$Moods: N  
HideWhen:  
DeliveryReport: B  
MailFormat:  
\$devopt\_basic\_moods: N  
SenderTag: N  
INetSendTo: John\_Slown@fws.gov  
INetCopyTo:  
InetBlindCopyTo:  
\$StorageTo: 1  
\$Mailer: Lotus Notes Release 6.5.1 January 21, 2004  
\$MessageID: <OF197D1321.14335526-ON8725738A.005E26BE-8725738A.005E2E96@LocalDomain>  
PostedDate: 11/05/2007 10:08:43 AM  
Recipients: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
MailOptions: 0  
SaveOptions: 1  
\$PaperColor: 1  
From: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
AltFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
Logo: stdNotesLtr0  
useApplet: True  
DefaultMailSaveOptions: 1  
Query\_String:  
tmpImp:  
ReturnReceipt: 1  
Sign:  
Encrypt:  
SendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
CopyTo:  
BlindCopyTo:  
Subject:  
EnterSendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
EnterCopyTo:  
EnterBlindCopyTo:  
\$RFSaveInfo: 8025DFD5DED292D4872573890065F529  
\$UpdatedBy: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/05/2007 10:08 AM  
-----

"dr anthony west" <drawest@gmail.com>  
11/04/2007 11:33 AM

To  
r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
MEXICAN GREY WOLF NEPA SCOPING

November 04, 2007

Subject: Public Comment Regarding The Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping

To: Brian Millsap, et al

From: Dr Anthony West

Dear Mr Millsap, et al,

Thank you for taking time to read this personal input regarding the rule changing process at hand. In preface to the viewpoint offered here, it may be helpful to consider the underlying premise to my public comment. Simply stated, it is inextricably seated in the democratic ideals we cherish, ergo, "we the people" believe the single most important role of government, above all else, is to exact the will of the majority.

Growth and development of the West are unarguably on an historic acclivity from sparsity to density in some areas. On the rise as well, is an increasingly informed resident population with a sensitivity to the issues the Fish and Wildlife Service must grapple with in the Southwest's theater of change. To this extent may I suggest, given the constant of change, the demographic majority served by the Fish and Wildlife Service has shifted over the years. Clearly in the past, the appropriate response of the Fish and Wildlife Service was to address the public majority concerns of ranchers and gaming sportsmen.

In this present day, public majority concerns are now represented by a resident population far out-numbering ranchers and sportsmen. The vox populi arising from this mass tends to nourish a desire for an aesthetic lifestyle characterized as nearly as practicable to a natural environment thought of as the "Old Southwest".

To this end, may I ask the Fish and Wildlife Service to expand the rules associated with the protection of the Mexican Gray wolf. Doing so will reintroduce a natural balance to the region that lends to the Southwestern aesthetics sought by a majority of concerned residents. This is not to say

ranchers and sportsmen are not without formidable issues, but those issues might now more realistically be regarded as a representation of minority concerns. In keeping with our democratic principles, ranchers' and sportsman's issues might be more properly processed and resolved secondary to the majority will of the people, which I believe in this instance to be: broadened protection of the Mexican Gray wolf.

Sincerely,  
Anthony West

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 11/05/2007 10:08 AM -----

"Todd Hilson" <todd@thhilson.com>  
11/04/2007 10:08 PM

To  
<R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov>  
cc

Subject  
Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping

As an interested party of endangered species, in general, and the Mexican Gray Wolf, in particular, I would like to extend my approval for most of the proposed issues suggested in the amendment. I am in full agreement with issues: a, b, c and f. However, I disagree with the Fish & Wildlife' s suggestions for issues d and e, as I believe these suggestions will result in the injury and/or death of increasing numbers of wolves. If additional harassment methods and the authority to "take" wolves are granted to private citizens, this will prove to be detrimental to the endangered wolf population. Better suggestions might be to provide additional training for the public (e.g. public service announcements, etc.) and to post additional warning signs throughout the BRWRA, where the majority of conflicts will arise. As long as private citizens have been educated and warned about the potential conflicts the Mexican Gray Wolves pose, it is their responsibility to avoid engaging in behavior that might attract these animals to encroach on their private land. In other words, if you own a domestic dog and live near the BRWRA, don't leave your dog unsupervised in your yard. Similarly, if you are a farmer and have livestock near the BRWRA, it is your responsibility to protect your

livestock from predation using non-lethal means of deterrence. Farmers located in this area will need to keep a closer watch over their livestock. What is the point of spending all of the time and resources into reintroducing an endangered species if you are going to let a private citizen or farmer kill the endangered species because that animal encroached on their land?... isn't this a violation of the Endangered Species Act?  
Sincerely,

Todd H. Hilson  
1N119 Wheatberry Drive  
Carol Stream, IL 60188  
todd@thhilson.com

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110422035235-26978 ; Sun, 4 Nov 2007 22:03:52 -0700  
Received: from p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id C641319E800D for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 4 Nov 2007 21:23:35 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [68.251.215.114] (EHLO hilsonfs2.thhilson.com) by p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (mxl\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 734ae274.2482658224.6005.00-005.p01c11m073.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <todd@thhilson.com>); Sun, 04 Nov 2007 22:03:51 -0700 (MST)  
Subject: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
PostedDate: 11/04/2007 10:08:26 PM  
\$MessageID: <1D3E2C33B5B1DD4AB3CCBBEE00297C0A029C2338@hilsonfs2.thhilson.com>  
X\_MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft Exchange V6.5  
X\_MS\_Has\_Attach:  
X\_MS\_TNEF\_Correlator:  
Thread\_Topic: Attn: Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping  
thread\_index: AcgfaeWpEBkDXZBHTPmOnQoIXIX6Ug==  
From: "Todd Hilson" <todd@thhilson.com>  
SendTo: <R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov>  
X\_Processed\_By: Rebuild v2.0-0  
X\_Spam: [F=0.5017458270; B=0.500(0); S=0.105(2007101601); MH=0.850(2007110439); R=0.600(107104194524); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <todd@thhilson.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [68.251.215.114]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/04/2007 10:03:52 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:16 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:16 AM  
SMTPOriginator: todd@thhilson.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/04/2007 10:03:52 PM-11/04/2007 10:03:54 PM,11/04/2007 10:03:54 PM-11/04/2007 10:03:54 PM  
\$Orig: 0C44DE66B38C4C5E8725738A001BD203  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:08:23 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/04/2007 10:03:54 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

As an interested party of endangered species, in general, and the Mexican Gray Wolf, in particular, I would like to extend my approval for most of the proposed issues suggested in the amendment. I am in full agreement with issues: a, b, c and f. However, I disagree with the Fish & Wildlife's suggestions for issues d and e, as I believe these suggestions will result in the injury and/or death of increasing numbers of wolves. If additional harassment methods and the authority to "take" wolves are granted to private citizens, this will prove to be detrimental to the

endangered wolf population. Better suggestions might be to provide additional training for the public (e.g. public service announcements, etc.) and to post additional warning signs throughout the BRWRA, where the majority of conflicts will arise. As long as private citizens have been educated and warned about the potential conflicts the Mexican Gray Wolves pose, it is their responsibility to avoid engaging in behavior that might attract these animals to encroach on their private land. In other words, if you own a domestic dog and live near the BRWRA, don't leave your dog unsupervised in your yard. Similarly, if you are a farmer and have livestock near the BRWRA, it is your responsibility to protect your livestock from predation using non-lethal means of deterrence. Farmers located in this area will need to keep a closer watch over their livestock. What is the point of spending all of the time and resources into reintroducing an endangered species if you are going to let a private citizen or farmer kill the endangered species because that animal encroached on their land?... isn't this a violation of the Endangered Species Act?

Sincerely,

Todd H. Hilson

1N119 Wheatberry Drive

Carol Stream, IL 60188

todd@thhilson.com

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007110411333896-21627 ; Sun, 4 Nov 2007 11:33:38 -0700  
Received: from p01c12m042.mxlogic.net (mx1145v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.145.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 44D9619E8059 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 4 Nov 2007 10:53:15 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [64.233.166.179] (EHLO py-out-1112.google.com) by p01c12m042.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 2801e274.2581466032.39060.00-030.p01c12m042.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <drawest@gmail.com>); Sun, 04 Nov 2007 11:33:38 -0700 (MST)  
Received: by py-out-1112.google.com with SMTP id p76so2594729pyb for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 04 Nov 2007 10:33:38 -0800 (PST)  
DKIM\_Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed; d=gmail.com; s=beta; h=domainkey-signature:received:received:message-id:date:from:to:subject:mime-version:content-type; bh=bd8jkcL35Z4rHevd6pOTYQOM2uerfHJLgYcZnC06URw=; b=WAmazGpml1Vpmd5ZixsG8hrJ/620eOvrFcmyeiLJlER+g15caZKdleCI7VqcLTCMFWeZgqlrPvxMo5RRVT1ODiHPu0a7JB6Cje9f27goHxaj+HsMYBE015+tiVddsLi38hbVihdROqGsDA/Wx9FBjgI+qnlyWW2Q3bWGEg8NMCM=  
DomainKey\_Signature: a=rsa-sha1; c=noFWS; d=gmail.com; s=beta; h=received:message-id:date:from:to:subject:mime-version:content-type; b=HAIin7Ip+dieNEXJoDxT25WkKsqdDWwct1F3/2Q49mm5nSAhV9dZnntk4sNZKK4TgzP4g/DdRWhPoP0c+siLlEozZMVnbIV4Cv9rik7rLXuzLC/jHW5za80JoWRUVJ1T78oMxxjhn1OIEdVAdSfugCQefuFoBhJavNI3Bp/+Igs=  
Received: by 10.65.116.10 with SMTP id t10mr11283577qbm.1194201217501; Sun, 04 Nov 2007 10:33:37 -0800 (PST)  
Received: by 10.65.138.8 with HTTP; Sun, 4 Nov 2007 10:33:37 -0800 (PST)  
\$MessageID: <bee209330711041033q57069f8fv376a757a1a437dd@mail.gmail.com>  
PostedDate: 11/04/2007 11:33:37 AM  
From: "dr anthony west" <drawest@gmail.com>  
SendTo: r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
Subject: MEXICAN GREY WOLF NEPA SCOPING  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0002204922; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.367(2007101601); MH=0.010(2007110416); R=0.036(10710463719); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <drawest@gmail.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [64.233.166.179]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 11/04/2007 11:33:38 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:17 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:17 AM  
SMTPOriginator: drawest@gmail.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 11/04/2007 11:33:38 AM-11/04/2007 11:33:39 AM,11/04/2007 11:33:39 AM-11/04/2007 11:33:40 AM  
\$Orig: 8025DFD5DED292D4872573890065F529  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:08:20 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 11/04/2007 11:33:40 AM

\$RespondedTo: 2

November 04, 2007

Subject: Public Comment Regarding The Mexican Gray Wolf NEPA Scoping

To: Brian Millsap, et al

From: Dr Anthony West

Dear Mr Millsap, et al,

Thank you for taking time to read this personal input regarding the rule changing process at hand. In preface to the viewpoint offered here, it may be helpful to consider the underlying premise to my public comment. Simply stated, it is inextricably seated in the democratic ideals we cherish, ergo, "we the people" believe the single most important role of government, above all else, is to exact the will of the majority.

Growth and development of the West are unarguably on an historic acclivity from sparsity to density in some areas. On the rise as well, is an increasingly informed resident population with a sensitivity to the issues the Fish and Wildlife Service must grapple with in the Southwest's theater of change. To this extent may I suggest, given the constant of change, the demographic majority served by the Fish and Wildlife Service has shifted over the years. Clearly in the past, the appropriate response of the Fish and Wildlife Service was to address the public majority concerns of ranchers and gaming sportsmen.

In this present day, public majority concerns are now represented by a resident population far out-numbering ranchers and sportsmen. The vox populi arising from this mass tends to nourish a desire for an aesthetic lifestyle characterized as nearly as practicable to a natural environment thought of as the "Old Southwest".

To this end, may I ask the Fish and Wildlife Service to expand the rules associated with the protection of the Mexican Gray wolf. Doing so will reintroduce a natural balance to the region that lends to the Southwestern aesthetics sought by a majority of concerned residents. This is not to say ranchers and sportsmen are not without formidable issues, but those issues might now more realistically be regarded as a representation of minority concerns. In keeping with our democratic principles, ranchers' and sportsman's issues might be more properly processed and resolved secondary to the majority will of the people, which I believe in this instance to be: broadened protection of the Mexican Gray wolf.

Sincerely,  
Anthony West

Principal: CN=R2FWE\_AL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
\$langprincipal:  
\$altprincipal:  
ForwardedFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
ForwardedDate: 10/31/2007 01:52:50 PM  
\$Moods: N  
HideWhen:  
DeliveryReport: B  
MailFormat:  
\$devopt\_basic\_moods: N  
SenderTag: N  
\_ViewIcon: 0  
INetSendTo: John\_Slown@fws.gov  
INetCopyTo:  
InetBlindCopyTo:  
\$StorageTo: 1  
\$Mailer: Lotus Notes Release 6.5.1 January 21, 2004  
\$MessageID: <OFFD75486E.EA5524F3-ON87257385.006D34F2-87257385.006D3A1F@LocalDomain>  
PostedDate: 10/31/2007 01:52:59 PM  
Recipients: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
MailOptions: 0  
SaveOptions: 1  
From: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
AltFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
Logo: stdNotesLtr0  
useApplet: True  
DefaultMailSaveOptions: 1  
Query\_String:  
tmpImp:  
ReturnReceipt: 1  
Sign:  
Encrypt:  
SendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
CopyTo:  
BlindCopyTo:  
Subject: Fw: Wolves  
EnterSendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
EnterCopyTo:  
EnterBlindCopyTo:  
\$RFSaveInfo: 6C0FC1474F68B75087257385004EEC63  
\$UpdatedBy: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 10/31/2007 01:52 PM  
-----

Chjastch@aol.com  
10/31/2007 08:21 AM

To  
r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Wolves

I'm all for the reintroduction of the Mexican gray wolf, how bout  
letting  
us keep some single track motorcycle trails open, we can trade support.

Thanks,

Charlie

See what's new at [AOL.com](http://AOL.com) and Make AOL Your Homepage.

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007103108220323-440914 ; Wed, 31 Oct 2007 08:22:03 -0600  
Received: from p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 2B65E1300017 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 31 Oct 2007 06:40:32 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [205.188.139.136] (EHLO imo-d20.mx.aol.com) by p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTMP id 88f88274.2507324336.840.00-308.p01c11m076.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <chjastch@aol.com>); Wed, 31 Oct 2007 08:22:00 -0600 (MDT)  
Received: from Chjastch@aol.com by imo-d20.mx.aol.com (mail\_out\_v38\_r9.3.) id y.c3e.20e3a57e (65098) for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 31 Oct 2007 10:21:45 -0400 (EDT)  
From: Chjastch@aol.com  
\$MessageID: <c3e.20e3a57e.3459e979@aol.com>  
PostedDate: 10/31/2007 08:21:45 AM  
Subject: Wolves  
SendTo: r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
\$Mailer: 9.0 for Windows sub 5132  
X\_Spam\_Flag: NO  
X\_Processed\_By: Rebuild v2.0-0  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007103116); R=0.009(10793173556); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <chjastch@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [205.188.139.136]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 10/31/2007 08:22:03 AM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:18 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:18 AM  
SMTPOriginator: chjastch@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 10/31/2007 08:22:03 AM-10/31/2007 08:22:04 AM,10/31/2007 08:22:04 AM-10/31/2007 08:22:05 AM  
\$Orig: 6C0FC1474F68B75087257385004EEEC63  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:08:13 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 10/31/2007 08:22:05 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

I'm all for the reintroduction of the Mexican gray wolf, how bout letting us keep some single track motorcycle trails open, we can trade support.

Thanks,

Charlie

See what's new at [AOL.com](http://AOL.com) and Make AOL Your Homepage.

Principal: CN=R2FWE\_AL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
\$langprincipal:  
\$altprincipal:  
ForwardedFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
ForwardedDate: 10/30/2007 08:33:36 AM  
\$Moods: N  
HideWhen:  
DeliveryReport: B  
MailFormat:  
\$devopt\_basic\_moods: N  
SenderTag: N  
\_ViewIcon: 0  
INetSendTo: John\_Slown@fws.gov  
INetCopyTo:  
InetBlindCopyTo:  
\$StorageTo: 1  
\$Mailer: Lotus Notes Release 6.5.1 January 21, 2004  
\$MessageID: <OF54646A35.0F50694E-ON87257384.004FF40F-87257384.0050001A@LocalDomain>  
PostedDate: 10/30/2007 08:31:59 AM  
Recipients: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
MailOptions: 0  
SaveOptions: 1  
From: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
AltFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
Logo: stdNotesLtr0  
useApplet: True  
DefaultMailSaveOptions: 1  
Query\_String:  
tmpImp:  
ReturnReceipt: 1  
Sign:  
Encrypt:  
SendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
CopyTo:  
BlindCopyTo:  
Subject: Fw: Mexican Wolf Recovery Comment  
EnterSendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
EnterCopyTo:  
EnterBlindCopyTo:  
\$RFSaveInfo: 5402AB7FC1119EA68725738400492178  
\$UpdatedBy: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 10/30/2007 08:33 AM  
-----

Doug Fine <fine@well.com>  
10/30/2007 08:18 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Mexican Wolf Recovery Comment

I wish to fully support the Mexican Wolf Recovery Program. Predator/pray balance is good for people as well as forest ecosystems. As a New Mexico resident and neighbor of the Gila National Forest, I, like many of my neighbors, urge the agency to continue and grow this program so that healthy, wild populations of wolves repopulate our area as nature intended and as benefits the ecosystem. Any concerns about livestock predation on private land should be mitigated with timely, market-based financial payments until the program is complete.

Sincerely,

Doug Fine  
New Mexico

--

"Half the Story Has Never Been Told." --Bob Marley

Principal: CN=R2FWE\_AL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
\$langprincipal:  
\$altprincipal:  
ForwardedFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
ForwardedDate: 10/30/2007 08:33:07 AM  
INetSendTo: .  
INetCopyTo:  
InetBlindCopyTo:  
\$StorageTo: .  
RecipientGroupsExpanded: FW2 NM ES  
\$Mailer: Lotus Notes Release 6.5.1 January 21, 2004  
\$MessageID: <OF4F0C0802.EB16C673-ON87257384.004FE8E7-87257384.004FF441@LocalDomain>  
PostedDate: 10/30/2007 08:31:29 AM  
Recipients: CN=Anne Davis/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Antonia  
Nevarez/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Brian A  
Millsap/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Cyndie  
Abeyta/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Darlene  
Montoya/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=David  
Campbell/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Debra  
Hill/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Denise  
Smith/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Eric  
Hein/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Jennifer  
Parody/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Joann Perea-  
Richmann/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Joel  
Lusk/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=John  
Morgart/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=John  
Oakleaf/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=John  
Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Kevin  
Winter/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Larry  
Baca/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Lyle  
Lewis/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Lynda S  
Pieyns/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Lynn  
Gemlo/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Maceo  
Martinet/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Maggie  
Dwire/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Marilyn  
Myers/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Melissa  
Kreutzian/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Melissa  
Woolf/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Michelle  
Cummer/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Nancy  
Baczek/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Nancy  
Riley/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Patricia  
Zenone/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Russ  
MacRae/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Santiago  
Gonzales/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Sharon  
Whitmore/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Theresa  
Davidson/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Vanessa  
Sanchez/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS,CN=Wally  
Murphy/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
MailOptions: 0  
SaveOptions: 1  
From: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
AltFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
Logo: stdNotesLtr0  
useApplet: True  
DefaultMailSaveOptions: 1

Query\_String:  
tmpImp:  
Sign:  
Encrypt:  
SendTo: FW2 NM ES  
CopyTo:  
BlindCopyTo:  
Subject: Fw: Heritage Committee Graduate Thesis/Dissertation Archive  
Project  
EnterSendTo: FW2 NM ES  
EnterCopyTo:  
EnterBlindCopyTo:  
\$RFSaveInfo: 43819CE509E66F7D87257383004EF5C6  
\$UpdatedBy: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 10/30/2007 08:33 AM  
-----

John Cornely/R6/FWS/DOI  
10/29/2007 09:34 AM

To  
FWS All Refuge Employees, FWS FA ALL, FWS Regional Research  
Coordinators,  
FW2 LE, FW2 AZ ES, FW2 ES NewMexico@FWS, FW2 FR NewMexico@FWS, FW2 NM  
ES,  
FW2 OK ES, FW2 RO ES, FW3 All Employees R3, FW4 ALL R4, FW5 ES ALL, FW5  
Fire@FWS, FW5 FR ALL, FW5 LE ALL, FW5 MB, FW6 All Employees, FW7 All  
Users, FW8 All Employees, FW9 MBSP ARLINGTON, FW9 NCTC-ALL, FW9 OMA-  
STAFF,  
FW9 Science Advisor@FWS, FW9 WO-EA, FWS Directorate & Deputies  
cc

Subject  
Heritage Committee Graduate Thesis/Dissertation Archive Project

Heritage Committee Graduate Thesis/Dissertation Archive Project

The FWS Heritage Committee is collecting copies of Masters Degree  
Theses  
and Doctoral Dissertations completed by retired, former, and current  
FWS  
employees. These documents will be cataloged and become part of the  
FWS  
Archives at the National Conservation Training Center and made  
available  
to researchers using the Service archives. This collection will be an  
important contribution in the preservation of the history and  
accomplishments of FWS employees in keeping with the Mission of the  
Heritage Committee. For questions regarding this project, please  
contact  
John Cornely at (303) 933-9861 or johncornely@msn.com.

Please share with anyone who may not have gotten a copy.

If you have a thesis or dissertation that you would like to donate or can

make a copy of one to donate please send to:

Dr. Mark Madison, Historian  
National Conservation Training Center  
698 Conservation Way  
Shepherdstown, WV 25443-9713  
304 876-7276  
mark\_madison@fws.gov

John E. Cornely  
Volunteer  
Migratory Birds and State Programs  
Mountain--Prairie Region  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
P.O. Box 25486, Denver Federal Center  
Denver, CO 80225  
Cell Phone: (303) 910-9360  
Home E-mail: johncornely@msn.com

"Life is short and we have not too much time to gladden the hearts of those who travel the way with us. Oh, be swift to love. Make haste to be kind."

--Henri Frederic Amiel (1868)

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007103007184696-386112 ; Tue, 30 Oct 2007 07:18:46 -0600  
Received: from p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 2D99719E8156 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Tue, 30 Oct 2007 05:36:59 -0700 (MST)  
Received: from unknown [206.80.4.7] (EHLO smtp.well.com) by p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTTP id 63f27274.2500721584.13297.00-017.p01c11m064.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <fine@well.com>); Tue, 30 Oct 2007 07:18:46 -0600 (MDT)  
X\_WELL Auth: Yes  
Received: from [75.211.31.244] (244.sub-75-211-31.myvzw.com [75.211.31.244]) by smtp.well.com (8.13.8/8.14.1) with ESMTTP id 19UDIikv027438 for <R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov>; Tue, 30 Oct 2007 06:18:45 -0700 (PDT)  
MIME\_Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v624)  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
\$MessageID: <eb306ae538f6a2fc6be08c8a7f0ea0fb@well.com>  
From: Doug Fine <fine@well.com>  
Subject: Mexican Wolf Recovery Comment  
PostedDate: 10/30/2007 08:18:33 AM  
\$Mailer: Apple Mail (2.624)  
X\_Virus\_Scanned: ClamAV 0.91.2/4629/Tue Oct 30 01:34:09 2007 on paz.well.com  
X\_Virus\_Status: Clean  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0030133494; B=0.500(0); S=0.230(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007103014); R=0.009(10793012951); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X\_Mail\_From: <fine@well.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [206.80.4.7]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 10/30/2007 07:18:46 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:21 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:21 AM  
SMTPOriginator: fine@well.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 10/30/2007 07:18:46 AM-10/30/2007 07:18:47 AM,10/30/2007 07:18:47 AM-10/30/2007 07:18:48 AM  
\$Orig: 5402AB7FC1119EA68725738400492178  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:08:04 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 10/30/2007 07:18:48 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

I wish to fully support the Mexican Wolf Recovery Program.  
Predator/pray  
balance is good for people as well as forest ecosystems. As a New Mexico  
resident and neighbor of the Gila National Forest, I, like many of my  
neighbors, urge the agency to continue and grow this program so that  
healthy, wild populations of wolves repopulate our area as nature  
intended

and as benefits the ecosystem. Any concerns about livestock predation on private land should be mitigated with timely, market-based financial payments until the program is complete.

Sincerely,

Doug Fine  
New Mexico

--

"Half the Story Has Never Been Told." --Bob Marley

Principal: CN=John Cornely/OU=R6/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
\$langprincipal:  
\$altprincipal:  
\$AutoSpell: 1  
OriginalModTime: 10/29/2007 09:29:55 AM  
Logo: StdNotesLtr6  
useApplet: True  
DefaultMailSaveOptions: 1  
Query\_String:  
tmpImp:  
Sign:  
Subject: Heritage Committee Graduate Thesis/Dissertation Archive  
Project  
SendTo: FWS All Refuge Employees, FWS FA ALL, FWS Regional Research  
Coordinators, FW2 LE, FW2 AZ ES, FW2 ES NewMexico@FWS, FW2 FR  
NewMexico@FWS, FW2 NM ES, FW2 OK ES, FW2 RO ES, FW3 All Employees R3, FW4  
ALL R4, FW5 ES ALL, FW5 Fire@FWS, FW5 FR ALL, FW5 LE ALL, FW5 MB, FW6 All  
Employees, FW7 All Users, FW8 All Employees, FW9 MBSP ARLINGTON, FW9 NCTC-  
ALL, FW9 OMA-STAFF, FW9 Science Advisor@FWS, FW9 WO-EA, FWS Directorate &  
Deputies  
CopyTo:  
INetSendTo:  
. . . . ., R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov, New\_Mexico\_FRO@fws.gov, . . . . ., R5Fire@fw  
s.gov, . . . . ., ScienceAdvisor@fws.gov, . . .  
INetCopyTo:  
\$StorageTo: . . . . ., 1, 1, . . . . ., 1, . . . . ., 1, . . .  
\$Orig: 43819CE509E66F7D87257383004EF5C6  
\$Mailer: Lotus Notes Release 6.5.4 March 27, 2005  
\$MessageID: <OF43819CE5.09E66F7D-ON87257383.004EF5C6-  
87257383.0055BAEC@LocalDomain>  
From: CN=John Cornely/OU=R6/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
INetFrom: John\_Cornely@fws.gov  
PostedDate: 10/29/2007 09:34:34 AM  
Encrypt:  
\$UpdatedBy: CN=John  
Cornely/OU=R6/OU=FWS/O=DOI, , CN=IFW6ROMAIL/OU=R6/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers:  
CN=IFW6ROMAIL/OU=R6/OU=FWS/O=DOI, CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 10/29/2007 09:34:35 AM-10/29/2007 09:34:36 AM, 10/29/2007  
09:34:36 AM-10/29/2007 09:34:36 AM  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 10/29/2007 09:34:36 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 10/29/2007 09:34:36 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

Heritage Committee Graduate Thesis/Dissertation Archive Project

The FWS Heritage Committee is collecting copies of Masters Degree  
Theses  
and Doctoral Dissertations completed by retired, former, and current  
FWS  
employees. These documents will be cataloged and become part of the  
FWS  
Archives at the National Conservation Training Center and made  
available

to researchers using the Service archives. This collection will be an important contribution in the preservation of the history and accomplishments of FWS employees in keeping with the Mission of the Heritage Committee. For questions regarding this project, please contact

John Cornely at (303) 933-9861 or johncornely@msn.com.

Please share with anyone who may not have gotten a copy.

If you have a thesis or dissertation that you would like to donate or can

make a copy of one to donate please send to:

Dr. Mark Madison, Historian  
National Conservation Training Center  
698 Conservation Way  
Shepherdstown, WV 25443-9713  
304 876-7276  
mark\_madison@fws.gov

John E. Cornely  
Volunteer  
Migratory Birds and State Programs  
Mountain--Prairie Region  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
P.O. Box 25486, Denver Federal Center  
Denver, CO 80225  
Cell Phone: (303) 910-9360  
Home E-mail: johncornely@msn.com

"Life is short and we have not too much time to gladden the hearts of those who travel the way with us. Oh, be swift to love. Make haste to be kind."

--Henri Frederic Amiel (1868)

Principal: CN=R2FWE\_AL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
\$langprincipal:  
\$altprincipal:  
ForwardedFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
ForwardedDate: 10/25/2007 12:57:14 PM  
\$Moods: N  
HideWhen:  
DeliveryReport: B  
MailFormat:  
\$devopt\_basic\_moods: N  
SenderTag: N  
\_ViewIcon: 0  
INetSendTo: John\_Slown@fws.gov  
INetCopyTo:  
InetBlindCopyTo:  
\$StorageTo: 1  
\$Mailer: Lotus Notes Release 6.5.1 January 21, 2004  
\$MessageID: <OF2E5E6306.CF5C24CC-ON8725737F.00681E25-8725737F.00682339@LocalDomain>  
PostedDate: 10/25/2007 12:57:28 PM  
Recipients: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
MailOptions: 0  
SaveOptions: 1  
From: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
AltFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
Logo: stdNotesLtr0  
useApplet: True  
DefaultMailSaveOptions: 1  
Query\_String:  
tmpImp:  
ReturnReceipt: 1  
Sign:  
Encrypt:  
SendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
CopyTo:  
BlindCopyTo:  
Subject: Fw: Public Submission  
EnterSendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
EnterCopyTo:  
EnterBlindCopyTo:  
\$RFSaveInfo: F3C589F097931F7D8725737C00719A2A  
\$UpdatedBy: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 10/25/2007 12:57 PM  
-----

no-reply@erulemaking.net  
10/22/2007 02:40 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Public Submission

Please Do Not Reply This Email.

Public Comments on Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants;  
Notice  
of Scoping Meetings and Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact  
Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of  
the  
Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona  
and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf (`Mexican Gray  
Wolf):=====

Title: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping  
Meetings and Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement and  
Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule  
Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and  
New  
Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf (`Mexican Gray Wolf)  
FR Document Number: E7-14626  
Legacy Document ID:  
RIN: 1018-AV40  
Publish Date: 08/07/2007 00:00:00  
Submitter Info:

First Name: Daryl  
Last Name: Gray  
Mailing Address: 31 Quail Hollow Rd.  
City: Tijeras  
Country: United States  
State or Province: NM  
Postal Code: 87059-6306  
Organization Name:

Comment Info: =====

General Comment: The wolves numbers are not where they need to be to  
sustain a healthy  
ecosystem. With only 58 wolves in the entire southwest and only 1300  
wolves in  
the entire northern Rockies. Add to it the fact that the elk and  
caribou  
populations are at record numbers proves this fact. When alligators  
were  
hunted  
down and murdered in Florida almost to the brink of extinction there  
they  
were  
put on the endangered species list and now their numbers are over 1  
million  
strong in Florida alone, how can any one with any knowledge of what a  
properly

balanced ecosystem should be say that only 58 wolves in New Mexico and Arizona and 1300 wolves in the entire northern Rockies and 10,000 wolves in a state that is vast as Alaska is too much, that number is just a fraction of where the wolf populations should be to sustain a healthy ecosystem. The individual states themselves have already stated if the the wolves are de-listed they plan to eradicate them to the minimum acceptable level which is only 100 wolves in the northern states. So Alaska is like the last sanctuary for this beautiful animal to live with healthy numbers. What has happened to the days where nature decides what wildlife populations should be and allowing nature to balance the ecosystem like it has been for millions of years instead of politicians who spread misleading, false information and try to use scare tactics about wolves to pass their agenda and decide how many should be allowed to live and die in this country and on this planet for that matter. Essentially man is trying to play God and in doing so creating more harm to this worlds fragile ecosystem and this topic concerning the wolves just goes to prove this theory. Acts of violence towards this beautiful species is an outrage and needs to be stopped immediately, Wolves are one of the most intelligent, precious, and deserving of life species there is, and humanity needs to start recognizing their importance in this world. These people are murdering an animal that's on the endangered species list and this is absolutely unacceptable. These animals are on this list for good reason. If they were to be de-listed it would allow for states like Idaho, Alaska, and Wyoming to implement their hate wolf laws and start eradicating their species and this MUST not be permitted. Look how many needless murders of wolves is taking place with them on the list, imagine what would happen if they were removed from the list. There are laws in place protecting these animals and laws need to be enforced. This is a disgrace to what this country stands for, allowing aerial gunners, hunters, and ranchers to

break the  
law and murder these great animals due to loopholes in the laws, this  
is a  
travesty and it must be stopped immediately. This is one of the biggest  
reasons  
why the wolves must remain on the endangered species list with even  
more  
strict  
consequences to those murdering them. I can only pray humanity will  
awake  
from  
it's ignorance of these animals and start treating them with the love  
and  
respect they deserve. To try and use the excuse that the wolves  
predation  
of elk  
and caribou is too great but the fact is the northern Rockies states and  
Alaska  
are reporting 17-20% above the projected numbers for elk and caribou  
and  
their  
numbers are at record high levels according to the FWS. Furthermore,  
wolves make  
up for less than 1% of cattle deaths to ranchers herds and then they are  
compensated by Defenders of Wildlife and the US government for the  
cattle  
they  
do lose. Not to mention the huge \$70 million a year economical tourism  
boost the  
wolf watchers like myself bring to Alaska, and the northern Rockies  
states. It  
just seems like certain people are looking for any reason to hunt and  
kill  
them  
without care or consequence, what kind of world is this anyway where  
killing a  
wonderful family oriented species like the wolf is being allowed. It  
seems  
these  
people want take out their own personal frustrations out on the wolves.  
Hopefully we can help by standing up and being the voice for the  
wolves.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007102214405033-102429 ; Mon, 22 Oct 2007 14:40:50 -0600  
Received: from p01c11m006.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 654DB19E8047 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Mon, 22 Oct 2007 13:56:58 -0600 (MDT)  
Received: from unknown [63.238.87.158] (EHLO nerulepcfs01) by p01c11m006.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTTP id lda0d174.2691013552.18725.00-093.p01c11m006.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <no-reply@erulemaking.net>); Mon, 22 Oct 2007 14:40:49 -0600 (MDT)  
Received: from asserv0 ([10.10.12.23]) by nerulepcfs01 with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.1830); Mon, 22 Oct 2007 16:50:38 -0400  
\$MessageID: <16795788.1193085648856.JavaMail.oracle@asserv0>  
PostedDate: 10/22/2007 02:40:48 PM  
From: no-reply@erulemaking.net  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Public Submission  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
X\_OriginalArrivalTime: 22 Oct 2007 20:50:38.0125 (UTC)  
FILETIME=[3357B5D0:01C814ED]  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0504653365; B=0.500(0); S=0.028(2007101601); MH=0.550(2007102242); R=0.600(107922134618); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <no-reply@erulemaking.net>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [63.238.87.158]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 10/22/2007 02:40:50 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:24 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:24 AM  
SMTPOriginator: no-reply@erulemaking.net  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 10/22/2007 02:40:50 PM-10/22/2007 02:40:52 PM,10/22/2007 02:40:52 PM-10/22/2007 02:40:53 PM  
\$Orig: F3C589F097931F7D8725737C00719A2A  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:08:02 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 10/22/2007 02:40:53 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

Please Do Not Reply This Email.

Public Comments on Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants;  
Notice  
of Scoping Meetings and Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact  
Statement and Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of  
the  
Rule Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona  
and New Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf (`Mexican Gray  
Wolf):=====

Title: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Scoping  
Meetings and Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement and  
Socio-Economic Assessment for the Proposed Amendment of the Rule

Establishing a Nonessential Experimental Population of the Arizona and  
New  
Mexico Population of the Gray Wolf (``Mexican Gray Wolf)  
FR Document Number: E7-14626  
Legacy Document ID:  
RIN: 1018-AV40  
Publish Date: 08/07/2007 00:00:00  
Submitter Info:

First Name: Daryl  
Last Name: Gray  
Mailing Address: 31 Quail Hollow Rd.  
City: Tijeras  
Country: United States  
State or Province: NM  
Postal Code: 87059-6306  
Organization Name:

Comment Info: =====

General Comment: The wolves numbers are not where they need to be to sustain a healthy ecosystem. With only 58 wolves in the entire southwest and only 1300 wolves in the entire northern Rockies. Add to it the fact that the elk and caribou populations are at record numbers proves this fact. When alligators were hunted down and murdered in Florida almost to the brink of extinction there they were put on the endangered species list and now their numbers are over 1 million strong in Florida alone, how can any one with any knowledge of what a properly balanced ecosystem should be say that only 58 wolves in New Mexico and Arizona and 1300 wolves in the entire northern Rockies and 10,000 wolves in a state that is vast as Alaska is too much, that number is just a fraction of where the wolf populations should be to sustain a healthy ecosystem. The individual states themselves have already stated if the the wolves are de-listed they plan to eradicate them to the minimum acceptable level which is only 100 wolves in the northern states. So Alaska is like the last sanctuary for this beautiful animal to live with healthy numbers. What has happened to the days where nature decides

what wildlife populations should be and allowing nature to balance the ecosystem like it has been for millions of years instead of politicians who spread misleading, false information and try to use scare tactics about wolves to pass their agenda and decide how many should be allowed to live and die in this country and on this planet for that matter. Essentially man is trying to play God and in doing so creating more harm to this worlds fragile ecosystem and this topic concerning the wolves just goes to prove this theory. Acts of violence towards this beautiful species is an outrage and needs to be stopped immediately, Wolves are one of the most intelligent, precious, and deserving of life species there is, and humanity needs to start recognizing their importance in this world. These people are murdering an animal that's on the endangered species list and this is absolutely unacceptable. These animals are on this list for good reason. If they were to be de-listed it would allow for states like Idaho, Alaska, and Wyoming to implement their hate wolf laws and start eradicating their species and this MUST not be permitted. Look how many needless murders of wolves is taking place with them on the list, imagine what would happen if they were removed from the list. There are laws in place protecting these animals and laws need to be enforced. This is a disgrace to what this country stands for, allowing aerial gunners, hunters, and ranchers to break the law and murder these great animals due to loopholes in the laws, this is a travesty and it must be stopped immediately. This is one of the biggest reasons why the wolves must remain on the endangered species list with even more strict consequences to those murdering them. I can only pray humanity will awake from it's ignorance of these animals and start treating them with the love and respect they deserve. To try and use the excuse that the wolves predation of elk and caribou is to great but the fact is the northern Rockies states and Alaska are reporting 17-20% above the projected numbers for elk and caribou and

their  
numbers are at record high levels according to the FWS. Furthermore,  
wolves make  
up for less than 1% of cattle deaths to ranchers herds and then they are  
compensated by Defenders of Wildlife and the US government for the  
cattle  
they  
do lose. Not to mention the huge \$70 million a year economical tourism  
boost the  
wolf watchers like myself bring to Alaska, and the northern Rockies  
states. It  
just seems like certain people are looking for any reason to hunt and  
kill  
them  
without care or consequence, what kind of world is this anyway where  
killing a  
wonderful family oriented species like the wolf is being allowed. It  
seems  
these  
people want take out their own personal frustrations out on the wolves.  
Hopefully we can help by standing up and being the voice for the  
wolves.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Principal: CN=R2FWE\_AL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
\$langprincipal:  
\$altprincipal:  
ForwardedFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
ForwardedDate: 10/18/2007 07:38:58 AM  
\$Moods: N  
HideWhen:  
DeliveryReport: B  
MailFormat:  
\$devopt\_basic\_moods: N  
SenderTag: N  
\_ViewIcon: 0  
INetSendTo: John\_Slown@fws.gov  
INetCopyTo:  
InetBlindCopyTo:  
\$StorageTo: 1  
\$Mailer: Lotus Notes Release 6.5.1 January 21, 2004  
\$MessageID: <OF77BBBC69.358A1BB4-ON87257378.004AF9AE-87257378.004AFF67@LocalDomain>  
PostedDate: 10/18/2007 07:39:08 AM  
Recipients: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
MailOptions: 0  
SaveOptions: 1  
From: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
AltFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
Logo: stdNotesLtr0  
useApplet: True  
DefaultMailSaveOptions: 1  
Query\_String:  
tmpImp:  
ReturnReceipt: 1  
Sign:  
Encrypt:  
SendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
CopyTo:  
BlindCopyTo:  
Subject: Fw: Wolves  
EnterSendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
EnterCopyTo:  
EnterBlindCopyTo:  
\$RFSaveInfo: 7A81739C6AAE900987257378004A9E46  
\$UpdatedBy: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 10/18/2007 07:38 AM  
-----

coper1658@aol.com  
10/18/2007 07:34 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Wolves

More Wolves  
Less humans

cal lash  
Email and AIM finally together. You've gotta check out free AOL Mail!

Principal: CN=R2FWE\_AL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
\$langprincipal:  
\$altprincipal:  
ForwardedFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
ForwardedDate: 10/18/2007 07:37:47 AM  
\$Moods: N  
HideWhen:  
DeliveryReport: B  
MailFormat:  
\$devopt\_basic\_moods: N  
SenderTag: N  
\_ViewIcon: 0  
INetSendTo: John\_Slown@fws.gov  
INetCopyTo:  
InetBlindCopyTo:  
\$StorageTo: 1  
\$Mailer: Lotus Notes Release 6.5.1 January 21, 2004  
\$MessageID: <OF9DCBDE3F.A6A7CFBD-ON87257378.004ADDFD-87257378.004AE4E1@LocalDomain>  
PostedDate: 10/18/2007 07:38:00 AM  
Recipients: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
MailOptions: 0  
SaveOptions: 1  
From: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
AltFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
Logo: stdNotesLtr0  
useApplet: True  
DefaultMailSaveOptions: 1  
Query\_String:  
tmpImp:  
ReturnReceipt: 1  
Sign:  
Encrypt:  
SendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
CopyTo:  
BlindCopyTo:  
Subject:  
EnterSendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
EnterCopyTo:  
EnterBlindCopyTo:  
\$RFSaveInfo: 9C5F7A5EA1AE71788725737800086AD5  
\$UpdatedBy: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 10/18/2007 07:37 AM  
-----

Bob Brister <bbrister@greens.org>  
10/17/2007 07:29 PM

To  
r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
wolves

Please require ranchers to remove or render inedible dead cattle in  
wolf territory.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bob Brister

1102 S 800 E #A

Salt Lake City, UT 84105

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 10/18/2007 07:37 AM  
-----

coper1658@aol.com  
10/18/2007 07:34 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Wolves

More Wolves  
Less humans

cal lash  
Email and AIM finally together. You've gotta check out free AOL Mail!

Principal: CN=R2FWE\_AL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
\$langprincipal:  
\$altprincipal:  
ForwardedFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
ForwardedDate: 10/18/2007 07:37:11 AM  
\$Moods: N  
HideWhen:  
DeliveryReport: B  
MailFormat:  
\$devopt\_basic\_moods: N  
SenderTag: N  
\_ViewIcon: 0  
INetSendTo: John\_Slown@fws.gov  
INetCopyTo:  
InetBlindCopyTo:  
\$StorageTo: 1  
\$Mailer: Lotus Notes Release 6.5.1 January 21, 2004  
\$MessageID: <OF7B95656E.97FAF6C9-ON87257378.004ACFAE-87257378.004ADA00@LocalDomain>  
PostedDate: 10/18/2007 07:37:32 AM  
Recipients: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
MailOptions: 0  
SaveOptions: 1  
From: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
AltFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
Logo: stdNotesLtr0  
useApplet: True  
DefaultMailSaveOptions: 1  
Query\_String:  
tmpImp:  
ReturnReceipt: 1  
Sign:  
Encrypt:  
SendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
CopyTo:  
BlindCopyTo:  
Subject:  
EnterSendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
EnterCopyTo:  
EnterBlindCopyTo:  
\$RFSaveInfo: 9C5F7A5EA1AE71788725737800086AD5  
\$UpdatedBy: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 10/18/2007 07:37 AM  
-----

Bob Brister <bbrister@greens.org>  
10/17/2007 07:29 PM

To  
r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
wolves

Please require ranchers to remove or render inedible dead cattle in  
wolf territory.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bob Brister

1102 S 800 E #A

Salt Lake City, UT 84105

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 10/18/2007 07:37 AM  
-----

coper1658@aol.com  
10/18/2007 07:34 AM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
Wolves

More Wolves  
Less humans

cal lash  
Email and AIM finally together. You've gotta check out free AOL Mail!

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007101807350182-138261 ; Thu, 18 Oct 2007 07:35:01 -0600  
Received: from p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id A537A19E8191 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Thu, 18 Oct 2007 06:50:00 -0600 (MDT)  
Received: from unknown [64.12.137.2] (EHLO imo-m21.mx.aol.com) by p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTTP id 50167174.2559417264.119085.00-159.p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <coper1658@aol.com>); Thu, 18 Oct 2007 07:35:01 -0600 (MDT)  
Received: from Coper1658@aol.com by imo-m21.mx.aol.com (mail\_out\_v38\_r9.3.) id y.bd8.1eb81c78 (37542) for <R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov>; Thu, 18 Oct 2007 09:34:42 -0400 (EDT)  
Received: from WEBMAIL-MA16 (webmail-ma16.webmail.aol.com [64.12.88.80]) by cia-mb02.mx.aol.com (v119.12) with ESMTTP id MAILCIAMB023-92a6471760f237c; Thu, 18 Oct 2007 09:34:42 -0400  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: Wolves  
PostedDate: 10/18/2007 07:34:42 AM  
X\_MB\_Message\_Source: WebUI  
X\_MB\_Message\_Type: User  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
From: coper1658@aol.com  
\$Mailer: AOL WebMail 31361-STANDARD  
Received: from 74.93.251.213 by WEBMAIL-MA16.sysops.aol.com (64.12.88.80) with HTTP (WebMailUI); Thu, 18 Oct 2007 09:34:42 -0400  
\$MessageID: <8C9DFA2C62ED185-86C-2010@WEBMAIL-MA16.sysops.aol.com>  
X\_AOL\_IP: 64.12.88.80  
X\_Spam\_Flag: YES  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0001020200; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007101814); R=0.009(10791814930); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <coper1658@aol.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [64.12.137.2]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 10/18/2007 07:35:01 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:25 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:25 AM  
SMTPOriginator: coper1658@aol.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 10/18/2007 07:35:01 AM-10/18/2007 07:35:02 AM,10/18/2007 07:35:03 AM-10/18/2007 07:35:04 AM  
\$Orig: 7A81739C6AAE900987257378004A9E46  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:07:55 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 10/18/2007 07:35:04 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

More Wolves  
Less humans

cal lash

Email and AIM finally together. You've gotta check out free AOL Mail!

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007101719315637-123379 ; Wed, 17 Oct 2007 19:31:56 -0600  
Received: from p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 270B019E80A1 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 17 Oct 2007 18:46:47 -0600 (MDT)  
Received: from unknown [166.70.13.231] (EHLO out01.mta.xmission.com) by p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.2.0-1) with ESMTTP id c87b6174.2527050672.58531.00-124.p01c11m025.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <bbrister@greens.org>); Wed, 17 Oct 2007 19:31:56 -0600 (MDT)  
Received: from [166.70.13.201] (helo=mgr1.xmission.com) by out01.mta.xmission.com with esmtp (Exim 4.62) (envelope-from <bbrister@greens.org>) id 1IiKet-0002x6-PB for r2fwe\_al@fws.gov; Wed, 17 Oct 2007 19:31:55 -0600  
Received: from bbrister.dsl.xmission.com ([204.228.152.135] helo=oemcomputer.greens.org) by mgr1.xmission.com with esmtp (Exim 4.50) id 1IiKet-0000Rx-4k for r2fwe\_al@fws.gov; Wed, 17 Oct 2007 19:31:55 -0600  
\$Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Version 7.1.0.9  
PostedDate: 10/17/2007 07:29:53 PM  
SendTo: r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
From: Bob Brister <bbrister@greens.org>  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
Received\_SPF: none (mgr1.xmission.com: 204.228.152.135 is neither permitted nor denied by domain of greens.org) client-ip=204.228.152.135; envelope-from=bbrister@greens.org; helo=oemcomputer.greens.org;  
\$MessageID: <E1IiKet-0000Rx-4k@mgr1.xmission.com>  
X\_SA\_Exim\_Connect\_IP: 204.228.152.135  
X\_SA\_Exim\_Mail\_From: bbrister@greens.org  
X\_Spam\_Checker\_Version: SpamAssassin 3.2.3 (2007-08-08) on sa03.xmission.com  
X\_Spam\_Level:  
X\_Spam\_Status: No, score=-4.4 required=8.0 tests=ALL\_TRUSTED,BAYES\_00, DCC\_CHECK\_NEGATIVE,XM\_SPF\_Neutral autolearn=disabled version=3.2.3  
X\_Spam\_Report: \* -1.8 ALL\_TRUSTED Passed through trusted hosts only via SMTP \* -2.6 BAYES\_00 BODY: Bayesian spam probability is 0 to 1% \* [score: 0.0000] \* -0.0 DCC\_CHECK\_NEGATIVE Not listed in DCC \* [sa03 1397; Body=1 Fuz1=1 Fuz2=1] \* 0.0 XM\_SPF\_Neutral SPF-Neutral  
Subject: wolves  
X\_SA\_Exim\_Version: 4.2 (built Thu, 03 Mar 2005 10:44:12 +0100)  
X\_SA\_Exim\_Scanned: Yes (on mgr1.xmission.com)  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0012333756; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007101601); MH=0.500(2007101742); R=0.108(107917135345); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail\_From: <bbrister@greens.org>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [166.70.13.231]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 10/17/2007 07:31:56 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:26 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:26 AM  
SMTPOriginator: bbrister@greens.org  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI

RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-  
SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 10/17/2007 07:31:56 PM-10/17/2007 07:31:57 PM,10/17/2007  
07:31:57 PM-10/17/2007 07:31:58 PM  
\$Orig: 9C5F7A5EA1AE71788725737800086AD5  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:07:43 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 10/17/2007 07:31:58 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

Please require ranchers to remove or render inedible dead cattle in  
wolf territory.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bob Brister

1102 S 800 E #A

Salt Lake City, UT 84105

Principal: CN=R2FWE\_AL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
\$langprincipal:  
\$altprincipal:  
ForwardedFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
ForwardedDate: 10/09/2007 03:04:00 PM  
\$Moods: N  
HideWhen:  
DeliveryReport: B  
MailFormat:  
\$devopt\_basic\_moods: N  
SenderTag: N  
\_ViewIcon: 0  
INetSendTo: John\_Slown@fws.gov  
INetCopyTo:  
InetBlindCopyTo:  
\$StorageTo: 1  
\$Mailer: Lotus Notes Release 6.5.1 January 21, 2004  
\$MessageID: <OFBEE5E05C.4831A2E6-ON8725736F.0073B942-8725736F.0073C85A@LocalDomain>  
PostedDate: 10/09/2007 03:04:39 PM  
Recipients: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
MailOptions: 0  
SaveOptions: 1  
From: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
AltFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
Logo: stdNotesLtr0  
useApplet: True  
DefaultMailSaveOptions: 1  
Query\_String:  
tmpImp:  
ReturnReceipt: 1  
Sign:  
Encrypt:  
SendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
CopyTo:  
BlindCopyTo:  
Subject: Fw: Support of Mexican Wolf Program  
EnterSendTo: CN=John Slown/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
EnterCopyTo:  
EnterBlindCopyTo:  
\$RFSaveInfo: BC16C78E6638D29A8725736B004CECFD  
\$UpdatedBy: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 10/09/2007 03:04 PM  
-----

Doug Fine <fine@well.com>  
10/05/2007 08:00 AM

To  
r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
cc  
Nancy Kaminski <Kaminskinancy@hotmail.com>  
Subject  
Support of Mexican Wolf Program

I just sent a support email for the Mexican Wolf Recovery Program via the contact form on the F&W Service Wolf Web site. I wanted to point out that the Federal Register Notice PDF download ends right where it is about to give contact addresses. I would request that this be fixed so people can make comments on the program. I hope my email from the "contact" link at the top of the Web page is considered an official comment. Thanks.

-Doug Fine, New Mexico

--

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007100508001404-635040 ; Fri, 5 Oct 2007 08:00:14 -0600  
Received: from p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mxl144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 9281019E8057 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Fri, 5 Oct 2007 07:11:43 -0600 (MDT)  
Received: from unknown [206.80.4.7] (EHLO smtp.well.com) by p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (mxl\_mta-5.1.0-1) with ESMTTP id d6346074.2491603888.118248.00-018.p01c11m043.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <fine@well.com>); Fri, 05 Oct 2007 08:00:13 -0600 (MDT)  
X\_WELL\_Auth: Yes  
Received: from [75.209.222.86] (86.sub-75-209-222.myvzw.com [75.209.222.86]) by smtp.well.com (8.13.8/8.14.1) with ESMTTP id 195E09v5006488; Fri, 5 Oct 2007 07:00:11 -0700 (PDT)  
MIME\_Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v624)  
SendTo: r2fwe\_al@fws.gov  
\$MessageID: <e75175036fe630ecdd5b3f97ea71a4a2@well.com>  
CopyTo: Nancy Kaminski <Kaminskinancy@hotmail.com>  
Subject: Support of Mexican Wolf Program  
From: Doug Fine <fine@well.com>  
PostedDate: 10/05/2007 08:00:01 AM  
\$Mailer: Apple Mail (2.624)  
X\_Virus\_Scanned: ClamAV 0.91.2/4474/Fri Oct 5 00:59:26 2007 on paz.well.com  
X\_Virus\_Status: Clean  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0002228953; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007091401); MH=0.500(2007100516); R=0.021(1079573131); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X\_Mail\_From: <fine@well.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [206.80.4.7]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 10/05/2007 08:00:14 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:26 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:26 AM  
SMTPOriginator: fine@well.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 10/05/2007 08:00:14 AM-10/05/2007 08:00:15 AM,10/05/2007 08:00:15 AM-10/05/2007 08:00:15 AM  
\$Orig: BC16C78E6638D29A8725736B004CECFD  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:07:39 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 10/05/2007 08:00:15 AM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

I just sent a support email for the Mexican Wolf Recovery Program via the contact form on the F&W Service Wolf Web site. I wanted to point out that the Federal Register Notice PDF download ends right where it is about to give contact addresses. I would request that this be fixed so people can

make comments on the program. I hope my email from the "contact" link  
at  
the top of the Web page is considered an official comment. Thanks.

-Doug Fine, New Mexico

--

Principal: CN=R2FWE\_AL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
\$langprincipal:  
\$altprincipal:  
ForwardedFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
ForwardedDate: 10/02/2007 08:58:25 AM  
\$Moods: N  
HideWhen:  
DeliveryReport: B  
MailFormat:  
\$devopt\_basic\_moods: N  
SenderTag: N  
\_ViewIcon: 0  
INetSendTo: John\_Morgart@fws.gov  
INetCopyTo:  
InetBlindCopyTo:  
\$StorageTo: 1  
\$Mailer: Lotus Notes Release 6.5.1 January 21, 2004  
\$MessageID: <OF574ABEE0.B5A1E180-ON87257368.0052409F-87257368.0052457F@LocalDomain>  
PostedDate: 10/02/2007 08:58:37 AM  
Recipients: CN=John Morgart/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
MailOptions: 0  
SaveOptions: 1  
From: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
AltFrom: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
Logo: stdNotesLtr0  
useApplet: True  
DefaultMailSaveOptions: 1  
Query\_String:  
tmpImp:  
ReturnReceipt: 1  
Sign:  
Encrypt:  
SendTo: CN=John Morgart/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI@FWS  
CopyTo:  
BlindCopyTo:  
Subject: Fw: wolf recovery program in AZ and NM  
EnterSendTo: CN=John Morgart/OU=RO/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
EnterCopyTo:  
EnterBlindCopyTo:  
\$RFSaveInfo: 53CB9495697B6969872573660081FBF5  
\$UpdatedBy: CN=Magdalena Etemadi/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI

----- Forwarded by Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI on 10/02/2007 08:58 AM  
-----

Christine Wells <cwells@newmex.com>  
09/30/2007 05:39 PM

To  
R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
cc

Subject  
wolf recovery program in AZ and NM

Brian Millsap  
State Administrator  
NM Ecological Services Field Office  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Mr Millsap:

I support the Mexican Wolf Recovery Program---even though it has met with dire circumstances and difficulties.

I believe that wolves should be able to roam beyond the artificial boundaries of the recovery area, and that trapping and shooting of wolves must halt until recovery goals are met.

Ranchers should be REQUIRED to practice responsible husbandry, which includes proper care of ill livestock and of young calves and their mothers. Penned calving areas may be the answer. Herding with dogs may also be an appropriate practice.

The USFWS has a responsibility to protect the biome and to restore that which our predecessors have destroyed. Wolves were here before man or cattle.

I urge you to do whatever you can to ensure Mexican Wolf Recovery.

Sincerely,

Christine L. Wells, Ph.D.  
PO Box 730  
Arroyo Seco, NM 87514  
cwells@newmex.com

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007093017394645-387768 ; Sun, 30 Sep 2007 17:39:46 -0600  
Received: from p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id C20DC19E800A for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Sep 2007 16:50:01 -0600 (MDT)  
Received: from unknown [209.188.112.37] (EHLO rio.newmex.com) by p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.1.0-1) with ESMTTP id 2c330074.2514475952.214061.00-059.p01c11m032.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <cwells@newmex.com>); Sun, 30 Sep 2007 17:39:46 -0600 (MDT)  
Received: from [192.168.1.2] (209-188-124-28.taosnet.com [209.188.124.28]) by rio.newmex.com (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 415F3B40387 for <R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov>; Sun, 30 Sep 2007 17:39:45 -0600 (MDT)  
\$MessageID: <470033C1.1030800@newmex.com>  
PostedDate: 09/30/2007 05:39:45 PM  
From: Christine Wells <cwells@newmex.com>  
User\_Agent: Thunderbird 2.0.0.6 (Windows/20070728)  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
Subject: wolf recovery program in AZ and NM  
X\_Spam: [F=0.2650492987; B=0.500(0); S=0.193(2007091401); MH=0.500(2007093017); R=0.600(107830133729); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <cwells@newmex.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [209.188.112.37]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI (Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 09/30/2007 05:39:46 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI (Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:27 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:27 AM  
SMTPOriginator: cwells@newmex.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 09/30/2007 05:39:46 PM-09/30/2007 05:39:48 PM,09/30/2007 05:39:48 PM-09/30/2007 05:39:49 PM  
\$Orig: 53CB9495697B6969872573660081FBF5  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:07:37 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 09/30/2007 05:39:49 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

Brian Millsap  
State Administrator  
NM Ecological Services Field Office  
2105 Osuna NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Dear Mr Millsap:

I support the Mexican Wolf Recovery Program---even though it has met with dire circumstances and difficulties.

I believe that wolves should be able to roam beyond the artificial boundaries of the recovery area, and that trapping and shooting of wolves must halt until recovery goals are met.

Ranchers should be REQUIRED to to practice responsible husbandry, which includes proper care of ill livestock and of young calves and their mothers. Penned calving areas may be the answer. Herding with dogs may also be an appropriate practice.

The USFWS has a responsibility to protect the biome and to restore that which our predecessors have destroyed. Wolves were here before man or cattle.

I urge you to do whatever you can to ensure Mexican Wolf Recovery.

Sincerely,

Christine L. Wells, Ph.D.  
PO Box 730  
Arroyo Seco, NM 87514  
cwells@newmex.com

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTTP id 2007092511512256-185438 ; Tue, 25 Sep 2007 11:51:22 -0600

Received: from p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1144v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.144.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTTP id 505DA19E80C7 for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Tue, 25 Sep 2007 11:00:13 -0600 (MDT)

Received: from unknown [65.54.246.223] (EHLO bay0-omc3-s23.bay0.hotmail.com) by p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.1.0-1) with ESMTTP id a9a49f64.2472102832.64715.00-015.p01c11m012.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <kaminski\_nancy@hotmail.com>); Tue, 25 Sep 2007 11:51:22 -0600 (MDT)

Received: from hotmail.com ([65.55.152.107]) by bay0-omc3-s23.bay0.hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.3959); Tue, 25 Sep 2007 10:51:21 -0700

Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC; Tue, 25 Sep 2007 10:51:22 -0700

\$MessageID: <BAY141-F27562CE9D6877399FAB9E3FAB70@phx.gbl>

Received: from 65.55.152.123 by by141fd.bay141.hotmail.msn.com with HTTP; Tue, 25 Sep 2007 17:51:20 GMT

X\_Originating\_Ip: [198.187.251.81]

X\_Originating\_Email: [kaminski\_nancy@hotmail.com]

X\_Sender: kaminski\_nancy@hotmail.com

From: "Nancy Kaminski" <kaminski\_nancy@hotmail.com>

SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov

CopyTo: kaminskinancy@hotmail.com

Subject: Scoping

PostedDate: 09/25/2007 11:51:20 AM

MIME\_Version: 1.0

X\_OriginalArrivalTime: 25 Sep 2007 17:51:22.0089 (UTC)

FILETIME=[AF179D90:01C7FF9C]

X\_Spam: [F=0.0101901429; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.029(2007091401); MH=0.500(2007092534); R=0.251(10782573751); SC=none; SS=0.500]

X\_Mail\_From: <kaminski\_nancy@hotmail.com>

X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.54.246.223]

\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 09/25/2007 11:51:22 AM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:27 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:27 AM

SMTPOriginator: kaminski\_nancy@hotmail.com

RoutingState:

\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI

RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI

RouteTimes: 09/25/2007 11:51:22 AM-09/25/2007 11:51:23 AM,09/25/2007 11:51:23 AM-09/25/2007 11:51:24 AM

\$Orig: 1DFF4CF8E65C152B8725736100621660

Categories:

\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:07:32 AM

\$MsgTrackFlags: 0

DeliveredDate: 09/25/2007 11:51:24 AM

\$RespondedTo: 2

Mexican wolves would be better able to recover if we allowed them the freedoms listed below.

- 1 The wolves need the boundries removed. Allow wolves to set up territory outside the Blue Range Recovery Area.
- 2 Direct release wolves into New Mexico.
- 3 Amend SOP 13 so that wolves who have scavenged on dead cows cannot be removed for depredations on cattle after being habituated to eating beef by the failure to remove cow carcasses from wolf territory.
- 4 Remove White Sands Missile Range from possible recovery areas for the Mexican wolf due lack of sufficient prey density.
- 5 Mexican wolves need to be treated as the Endangered Species that they are. They should not be killed when killing any domestic animal.
- 6 All residents within the wolf recovery area need up-to-date common sense wolf education programs. Fearful adults may be frightening children through their own misinformation.

Nancy L kaminski  
General Delivery  
San Lorenzo, NM 88041  
kaminskinancy@hotmail.com

---

A place for moms to take a break!  
[http://www.reallivemoms.com?ocid=TXT\\_TAGHM&loc=us](http://www.reallivemoms.com?ocid=TXT_TAGHM&loc=us)

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007080819574677-852766 ; Wed, 8 Aug 2007 19:57:46 -0600  
Received: from p01c12m071.mxlogic.net (mxl145v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.145.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 839FE19E802C for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 8 Aug 2007 19:57:54 -0600 (MDT)  
Received: from unknown [206.190.48.130] (HELO web52207.mail.re2.yahoo.com) by p01c12m071.mxlogic.net (mxl\_mta-5.1.0-1) with SMTP id a947ab64.2618043312.96990.00-017.p01c12m071.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <joseph@reverendjbsmith.org>); Wed, 08 Aug 2007 19:57:46 -0600 (MDT)  
Received: (qmail 25202 invoked by uid 60001); 9 Aug 2007 01:57:42 -0000  
X\_YMail\_OSG:  
GdLzXv8VM1lzmyie8FXtKiew0URHt77LBcwuYahfUC\_HpVRkWR\_MPAUGMn1OR2xZQvv8K\_Shg\_YiL3Pbdpvw.udbFPgiWojhtPJLiYHpTCm\_Ng--  
Received: from [209.180.145.229] by web52207.mail.re2.yahoo.com via HTTP; Wed, 08 Aug 2007 18:57:41 PDT  
PostedDate: 08/08/2007 07:57:41 PM  
From: "rev. joseph burns smith" <joseph@reverendjbsmith.org>  
Subject: wolves  
SendTo: R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
\$MessageID: <9536.25144.qm@web52207.mail.re2.yahoo.com>  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0002077308; B=0.500(0); S=0.010(2007071801); MH=0.500(2007080857); R=0.020(10778184525); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X-Mail-From: <joseph@reverendjbsmith.org>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [206.190.48.130]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 08/08/2007 07:57:46 PM,MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:27 AM,MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:27 AM  
SMTPOriginator: joseph@reverendjbsmith.org  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI,CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 08/08/2007 07:57:46 PM-08/08/2007 07:57:48 PM,08/08/2007 07:57:49 PM-08/08/2007 07:57:49 PM  
\$Orig: 2214AB47CD09576F87257332000AC876  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:07:08 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 08/08/2007 07:57:49 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

as conflicts between wolves and cattle appear to be an issue, one option is to buy out the grazing rights in the area of the reintroduction. such action would have a series of positive aspects.

Received: from smtp1.fws.gov ([164.159.171.2]) by ifw9bct-smtp1.fws.doi.net (Lotus Domino Release 7.0.2FP1) with ESMTMP id 2007080812230659-835982 ; Wed, 8 Aug 2007 12:23:06 -0600  
Received: from p01c12m066.mxlogic.net (mx1145v247.mxlogic.net [208.65.145.247]) by smtp1.fws.gov (Postfix) with ESMTMP id 3817519E805D for <r2fwe\_al@fws.gov>; Wed, 8 Aug 2007 12:23:14 -0600 (MDT)  
Received: from unknown [65.54.246.153] (EHLO bay0-omc2-s17.bay0.hotmail.com) by p01c12m066.mxlogic.net (mx1\_mta-5.1.0-1) with ESMTMP id 90a0ab64.2526866352.27607.00-023.p01c12m066.mxlogic.net (envelope-from <billinthewild@msn.com>); Wed, 08 Aug 2007 12:23:05 -0600 (MDT)  
Received: from hotmail.com ([65.55.131.12]) by bay0-omc2-s17.bay0.hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.2668); Wed, 8 Aug 2007 11:23:05 -0700  
Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC; Wed, 8 Aug 2007 11:23:05 -0700  
\$MessageID: <BAY126-DAV291E6B8457737F214FC11A6E70@phx.gbl>  
Received: from 74.37.174.145 by BAY126-DAV2.phx.gbl with DAV; Wed, 08 Aug 2007 18:23:02 +0000  
X\_Originating\_Ip: [74.37.174.145]  
X\_Originating\_Email: [billinthewild@msn.com]  
X\_Sender: billinthewild@msn.com  
From: "bill berlat" <billinthewild@msn.com>  
SendTo: <mexwolf@azgfd.gov>, <R2FWE\_AL@fws.gov>  
Subject: NEPA scoping & AMOC  
PostedDate: 08/08/2007 12:23:18 PM  
MIME\_Version: 1.0  
DeliveryPriority: N  
X\_MSMail\_Priority: Normal  
\$Mailer: MSN 9  
X\_MimeOLE: Produced By MSN MimeOLE V9.50.0039.1900  
Seal\_Send\_Time: Wed, 8 Aug 2007 11:23:18 -0700  
X\_OriginalArrivalTime: 08 Aug 2007 18:23:05.0230 (UTC)  
FILETIME=[299FE2E0:01C7D9E9]  
X\_Processed\_By: Rebuild v2.0-0  
X\_Spam: [F=0.0047085771; B=0.500(0); spf=0.500; S=0.010(2007071801); MH=0.520(2007080837); R=0.301(1077863545); SC=none; SS=0.500]  
X\_Mail\_From: <billinthewild@msn.com>  
X\_SOURCE\_IP: [65.54.246.153]  
\$MIMETrack: Itemize by SMTP Server on IFW9BCT-SMTP1/FWS/DOI(Release 7.0.2FP1|January 10, 2007) at 08/08/2007 12:23:06 PM, MIME-CD by Notes Client on Magdalena Etemadi/R2/FWS/DOI(Release 6.5.1|January 21, 2004) at 01/23/2008 10:05:28 AM, MIME-CD complete at 01/23/2008 10:05:28 AM  
SMTPOriginator: billinthewild@msn.com  
RoutingState:  
\$UpdatedBy: ,CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteServers: CN=IFW9BCT-SMTP1/OU=FWS/O=DOI, CN=FW2ROMAIL/OU=R2/OU=FWS/O=DOI  
RouteTimes: 08/08/2007 12:23:06 PM-08/08/2007 12:23:08 PM, 08/08/2007 12:23:08 PM-08/08/2007 12:23:08 PM  
\$Orig: BAFD143F183204AD872573310064FE23  
Categories:  
\$Revisions: 01/04/2008 09:07:06 AM  
\$MsgTrackFlags: 0  
DeliveredDate: 08/08/2007 12:23:08 PM  
\$RespondedTo: 2

Gentlemen or Gentleladies, whatever may be the case

I really like this line:

"The Service established a nonessential, experimental population of the Mexican gray wolf in 1998 and has since introduced more than 90 wolves into Arizona and New Mexico."

The key words here are "non essential" and "experimental." Not the first I have heard of the feds "exerimenting" on folks without their consent, but thought that had been stopped years ago. This non essential experiment should never have been allowed without the consent of those being experimented on, the residents of Alpine and the Blue area.

I see the term Endangered Species Updates. These critters were never an endangered species in Arizona, they were an extirpated species. Next thing one knows some basket case will be arguing for a critical habitat designation.

My comments are as follows:

1. This Mexican wolf project has been an abysmal failure from the get go.
2. A waste of tax dollars that could have been better spent in maintaining and enhancing existing wildlife resources and species in Arizona.
3. A abuse of federal power by inflicting these critters on the people of the Alpine and Blue area, and other areas since then, without their consent.
4. A creation of a continuing source of income for those engaged in this forced nuisance.

Suggestions:

1. Eliminate AMOC
2. End the project
3. Enlarge ability to shoot the devils when any domestic animal, dogs included, are threatened, or,
4. Issue permits for their take.
5. Request a psychiatric exam for all those engaged in and pursuing this "project."
6. Get the AZ G&F department and the commission back on its intended course. Hunting and fishing, and management and protection of existing wildlife resoruces.

The people of Arizona and New Mexico deserve a better use of their tax dollars. Critters once having been rendered extinct by act of nature or by act of man, should not be reintroduced. The changing human and

increased population in these areas does not lend itself to  
reintroduction without great inconvenience to those affected.

End the project.

Bill Berlat  
Past member, AZ G&F Commission  
Box 2651  
Pinetop, AZ 85935

"If we ever forget that we are one nation under God, then we will be  
a  
nation gone under."  
Ronald Reagan